					Gender			Pa	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.81	5.00	4.69	4.35	5.66	5.18	3.66	3.75
Seattle right direction/wrong	Right direction	346	49%	50%	50%	17%	59%	57%	29%	26%
track	Wrong track	319	46%	46%	44%	83%	39%	36%	70%	67%
	(Don't know/Refused)	35	5%	4%	6%	-	1%	6%	2%	7%
	Net Right direction	+27	+4	+4	+7	-66	+20	+21	-41	-41
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	314	45%	43%	47%	60%	42%	46%	43%	41%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	97	14%	16%	11%	17%	11%	16%	13%	7%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	86	12%	13%	12%	0%	16%	11%	8%	24%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	76	11%	7%	15%	18%	15%	12%	10%	3%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	72	10%	10%	10%	0%	2%	11%	13%	9%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	64	9%	9%	9%	14%	2%	8%	10%	18%
	Walkability/Transit	42	6%	6%	6%	17%	13%	6%	5%	0%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	37	5%	7%	4%	0%	5%	6%	4%	3%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	18	3%	2%	3%	0%	1%	3%	3%	2%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	10	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	6%	1%
	Other	32	5%	5%	4%	0%	13%	4%	5%	2%
	Nothing/Don't know	12	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	3%	7%

					Gender			Pa	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	258	37%	36%	38%	32%	22%	41%	27%	38%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	211	30%	32%	29%		8%	27%	39%	54%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	208	30%	28%	31%		52%	30%	32%	8%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	71	10%	11%	9%	29%	13%	8%	16%	9%
	Taxes	35	5%	6%	4%	14%	2%	5%	2%	16%
	Jobs/Economy	34	5%	5%	5%	0%	8%	5%	4%	2%
	Growth/Development/Population	33	5%	4%	6%		13%	5%	3%	1%
	Traffic/Congestion	31	4%	3%	6%	0%	1%	5%	4%	1%
	Public transportation	31	4%	6%	3%		7%	4%	3%	6%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	26	4%	2%	6%	0%	0%	4%	6%	0%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	19	3%	3%	3%			1%	4%	6%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	17	2%	2%	3%	0%	0%	2%	4%	3%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	14	2%	2%	1%	17%	2%	1%	3%	6%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	11	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	1%	0%
	Climate change/Environment	7	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%
	COVID-19/Coronavirus	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	23	3%	3%	3%	0%	2%	3%	3%	5%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	5	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
Change in quality of life in	Much better	18	3%	4%	1%	-	1%	3%	2%	1%
Seattle	Somewhat better	181	26%	31%	21%	17%	37%	27%	16%	24%
	The same	155	22%	19%	26%	27%	27%	24%	16%	16%
	Somewhat worse	219	31%	30%	33%	56%	26%	34%	27%	27%
	Much worse	118	17%	16%	18%	-	9%	10%	38%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	1%	3%
Change in quality of life in	Better	199	28%	35%	22%	17%	38%	30%	18%	25%
Seattle	Same/(DK/Ref)	164	23%	20%	27%	27%	27%	25%	17%	19%
	Worse	337	48%	45%	50%	56%	34%	44%	65%	56%
	Net Better	-138	-20	-10	-28	-39	+4	-14	-46	-31

Row percent				Gender Party Non-						rty	
Number of cases Row percent 1006							Non-				
Row percent			n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Considered moving out of Yes No/(Don't know/Refused) 315 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 313% Still actively considering moving Yes out of Seattle No/(Don't know/Refused) 80 21% 19% 22% 38% 43% 25% 13% 8% Considered moving/actively Yes, still actively considering 80 21% 19% 22% 38% 43% 25% 13% 8% Considered moving/actively Yes, still actively considering 80 21% 19% 22% 38% 43% 25% 13% 8% Considering moving out of Yes, no longer actively considering 80 11% 9% 13% 18% 15% 13% 9% 5% Seattle No/(Don't know/Refused) 315 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 313% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 313% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% 45% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 51% 5	Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Seattle	Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Still actively considering moving Yes No/(Don't know/Refused) 80 21% 19% 22% 38% 43% 25% 13% 88% 20% 13% 18% 15% 13% 15% 13% 13% 15% 13% 13% 15% 13%	Considered moving out of	Yes	385	55%	49%	59%	48%	35%	51%	70%	69%
out of Seattle No/(Don't know/Refused) 80 21% 19% 22% 38% 43% 25% 13% 8% Considered moving/actively Yes, still actively considering 304 43% 40% 46% 30% 20% 39% 61% 64% considering moving out of Yes, no longer actively considering 80 11% 9% 13% 18% 15% 13% 9% 5% Seattle No/(Don't know/Refused) 315 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% U.S. right direction/wrong track Right direction 91 13% 17% 8% 20% - 4% 22% 67% Wrong track 604 86% 883% 91% 80% 100% 95% 77% 333 Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region Strongly agree 152 22% 27% 17% 8% 41% 23% 14% 11% 11% 11% 11%	Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	315	45%	51%	41%	52%	65%	49%	30%	31%
Considered moving/actively Yes, still actively considering considering moving out of Yes, no longer actively considering 80 11% 9% 13% 18% 15% 13% 9% 5% Seattle No/(Don't know/Refused) 315 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31% 31% U.S. right direction/wrong track Right direction Wrong track (Don't know/Refused) 5 1% 14% 15% 88% 20% - 4% 22% 67% 67% 604 86% 83% 91% 80% 100% 95% 77% 33% (Don't know/Refused) 5 1% 11% 11% - 11% 11% - 11% 11% 11% 11%	Still actively considering moving		1								
Considering moving out of Yes, no longer actively considering Seattle No/(Don't know/Refused) Seattle No/(Don't know/Refused) Seattle Storogly agree Storogly disagree Con't know/Refused) Storogly agree Con't know/Refused) Storogly agree Con't know/Refused Storogly agree Con't know/Refused Storogly agree Con't know/Refused Storogly agree Con't know/Refused Con't kn	out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	80	21%	19%	22%	38%	43%	25%	13%	8%
Seattle No/(Don't know/Refused) 315 45% 51% 41% 52% 65% 49% 30% 31%	Considered moving/actively		1								64%
U.S. right direction/wrong track	considering moving out of		1								5%
Wrong track	Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	315	45%	51%	41%	52%	65%	49%	30%	31%
CDOn't know/Refused 5	U.S. right direction/wrong track	Right direction	91	13%	17%	8%	20%	-	4%	22%	67%
Net Right direction		Wrong track	604	86%	83%	91%	80%	100%	95%	77%	33%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region		(Don't know/Refused)	5					-	1%		-
future of this region		Net Right direction	-512	-73	-66	-84	-60	-	-92	-55	+34
Somewhat disagree 145 21% 19% 22% 33% 12% 18% 35% 24		Strongly agree	1		27%		8%				
Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused) 10% 10% 9% - 5% 5% 20% 27%	future of this region	Somewhat agree	1								
Agree: I'm worried about the Strongly agree 236 34% 35% 32% 39% 12% 29% 44% 62% future of downtown Seattle Somewhat agree 262 37% 36% 40% 18% 26% 44% 28% 19% Strongly disagree 157 22% 22% 23% 13% 42% 23% 14% 14% Strongly disagree 44 6% 7% 5% 30% 20% 3% 13% 5% (Don't know/Refused) 1 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% - 0% 1% - 0% 10% 10% 7% future of downtown Seattle Somewhat agree 312 45% 44% 47% 30% 52% 51% 29% 23% 13% 41% 14% 500 10% 10% 10% 7% 500 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 1		_	1				33%				
Agree: I'm worried about the Strongly agree 236 34% 35% 32% 39% 12% 29% 44% 62% future of downtown Seattle Somewhat agree 262 37% 36% 40% 18% 26% 44% 28% 19% Somewhat disagree 157 22% 22% 23% 13% 42% 23% 14% 14% Strongly disagree 44 6% 7% 5% 30% 20% 3% 13% 5% (Don't know/Refused) 1 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% - 0% 1% - 0% 10% 7% future of downtown Seattle Somewhat agree 312 45% 44% 47% 30% 52% 51% 29% 23% 14% 14% 16% 39% 1% 11% 28% 39% 39%			68			9%	-	5%	5%		27%
future of downtown Seattle		(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	3%
Somewhat disagree 157 22% 22% 23% 13% 42% 23% 14		Strongly agree	1								
Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused) 44 6% 7% 5% 30% 20% 3% 13% 5% (Don't know/Refused) 1 0% 0% 0% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0% 1% 1% 0% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 15% 6% 8% 20% 10% 10% 10% 7% 5% 50mewhat agree 312 45% 44% 47% 30% 52% 51% 29% 23% 50mewhat disagree 200 29% 27% 29% 23% 25% 28% 33% 31% 50mewhat disagree 311 16% 14% 16% 39% 1% 11% 28% 39%	future of downtown Seattle	_	1								19%
(Don't know/Refused) Agree: I'm optimistic about the Strongly agree 73 10% 15% 6% 8% 20% 10% 10% 7% 100% 10% 7% 100% 10% 10% 7% 100% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 1		_	157	22%							14%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the Strongly agree 73 10% 15% 6% 8% 20% 10% 10% 7% future of downtown Seattle Somewhat agree 312 45% 44% 47% 30% 52% 51% 29% 23% Somewhat disagree 200 29% 27% 29% 23% 25% 28% 33% 31% Strongly disagree 111 16% 14% 16% 39% 1% 11% 28% 39%		= : =	44				30%	20%			
future of downtown Seattle Somewhat agree 312 45% 44% 47% 30% 52% 51% 29% 23% Somewhat disagree 200 29% 27% 29% 23% 25% 28% 33% 31% Strongly disagree 111 16% 14% 16% 39% 1% 11% 28% 39%		(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	-
Somewhat disagree 200 29% 27% 29% 23% 25% 28% 33% 31% Strongly disagree 111 16% 14% 16% 39% 1% 11% 28% 39%	_ ·										
Strongly disagree 111 16% 14% 16% 39% 1% 11% 28% 39%	future of downtown Seattle										23%
		_									
(Don't know/Refused) 4 1% - 1% - 2% 1% 1% -					14%						
		(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	-

					Gender			Pa	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334		8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	366		51%	l		87%	56%	33%	30%
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	229	33%	33%			10%	34%	37%	34%
day	Somewhat disagree	64	9%	10%	l	20%	3%	7%	14%	23%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	41	6%	6%	5%	18%	-	3%	15%	13%
	(Don't know/keruseu)	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	119	17%	19%	l	13%	41%	18%	10%	5%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	211	30%	35%		8%	23%	33%	26%	24%
	Somewhat disagree	196	28%	24%	l	30%	30%	31%	17%	28%
	Strongly disagree	173	25%	22%	26%	48%	5%	18%	48%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	1%	0%	-	-
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	118	17%	22%	13%	8%	28%	19%	11%	5%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	302	43%	44%	44%	18%	45%	48%	29%	39%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	179	26%	23%	27%	59%	20%	23%	35%	26%
	Strongly disagree	100	14%	12%	16%	14%	7%	10%	25%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	335	48%	46%	51%	48%	59%	55%	35%	16%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	242	35%	36%	33%	45%	26%	37%	28%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	74	11%	10%	10%	7%	8%	5%	21%	28%
	Strongly disagree	46	7%	7%	6%	-	7%	3%	15%	18%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	3%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	50	7%	7%	8%	_	7%	8%	7%	1%
meaningful progress in reducing	Somewhat agree	241	34%	34%	35%	18%	30%	40%	22%	22%
the number of homeless	Somewhat disagree	193	28%	29%	25%	55%	32%	28%	22%	30%
encampments	Strongly disagree	211	30%	30%	31%	27%	28%	23%	48%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	0%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	-
1					l	1				

					Gender			Pa	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	41	6%	6%	6%	8%	6%	5%	9%	3%
meaningful progress on public	Somewhat agree	306	44%	42%	46%	35%	36%	51%	31%	
safety	Somewhat disagree	196	28%	28%	28%	23%	36%	28%	27%	27%
	Strongly disagree	153	22%	23%	19%	33%	20%	15%	33%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	-
Agree: Seattle has made	Strongly agree	27	4%	6%	2%	-	11%	3%	3%	2%
meaningful progress on shutting	Somewhat agree	226	32%	32%	33%	8%	17%	39%	21%	21%
down open air drug markets	Somewhat disagree	223	32%	29%	34%	92%	45%	33%	27%	24%
	Strongly disagree	204	29%	33%	26%	-	22%	22%	46%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	1%	5%	-	5%	3%	3%	-
Agree: The City needs to	Strongly agree	308	44%	51%	38%	31%	46%	44%	42%	45%
streamline permitting to make it	Somewhat agree	240	34%	35%	34%	25%	34%	38%	23%	31%
easier and faster to build new	Somewhat disagree	83	12%	8%	16%	14%	9%	11%	16%	13%
housing to address Seattle's	Strongly disagree	58	8%	5%	11%	30%	11%	5%	18%	10%
housing affordability crisis	(Don't know/Refused)	10	1%	0%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	-
Agree: I am worried that	Strongly agree	445	64%	57%	70%	80%	80%	71%	50%	27%
national economic policies will	Somewhat agree	147	21%	25%	18%	-	20%	24%	20%	8%
lead to a recession	Somewhat disagree	49	7%	9%	5%	7%	-	3%	13%	28%
	Strongly disagree	53	8%	8%	6%	14%	-	1%	17%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Agree: I've become more	Strongly agree	352	50%	45%	56%	61%	65%	56%	40%	16%
concerned about my personal	Somewhat agree	159	23%	21%	25%	-	25%	26%	16%	10%
financial situation since	Somewhat disagree	94	13%	16%	11%	18%	7%	14%	15%	12%
President Trump took office	Strongly disagree	92	13%	16%	8%	20%	3%	3%	28%	60%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	2%

					Gender			Pa	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Agree: Businesses are closing	Strongly agree	326	47%	43%	49%	55%	24%	42%	58%	71%
down or leaving Seattle for	Somewhat agree	202	29%	29%	29%	28%	19%	34%	18%	25%
nearby cities because the cost of	Somewhat disagree	124	18%	20%		17%	37%	19%	13%	
doing business has gotten too	Strongly disagree	44	6%	8%	5%	-	18%	4%	11%	1%
high here	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	2%	1%	-	-
Agree: Building more housing	Strongly agree	214	31%	42%		13%	53%	29%	24%	31%
will help slow down Seattle's	Somewhat agree	254	36%	33%	39%	37%	31%	42%	24%	23%
increasing housing costs	Somewhat disagree	141	20%	15%	25%	-	7%	19%	27%	22%
	Strongly disagree	87	12%	9%	15%	50%	8%	8%	24%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	484	69%	70%	69%	67%	84%	78%	44%	46%
future of this region	Disagree	214	31%	29%	31%	33%	16%	22%	55%	51%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	3%
	Net Agree	+270	+39	+42	+38	+33	+68	+55	-11	-5
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	498	71%	70%	72%	57%	38%	74%	72%	81%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	201	29%	29%	28%	43%	62%	26%	27%	19%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+297	+42	+41	+44	+14	-24	+47	+46	+61
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	385	55%	59%	53%	38%	72%	61%	39%	30%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	311	44%	41%	46%	62%	26%	39%	60%	70%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+74	+11	+17	+7	-23	+45	+22	-21	-40
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	595	85%	84%	87%	61%	97%	90%	71%	64%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	105	15%	16%	13%	39%	3%	10%	29%	36%
day	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+489	+70	+68	+74	+23	+95	+81	+41	+28

					Gender			Pa	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334		8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	330	47%	54%			64%	51%	35%	29%
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	368	53%	46%	l	78%	35%	49%	65%	71%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	l	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-38	-5	+8	-15	-57	+28	+2	-29	-42
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	420	60%	66%	56%	27%	73%	66%	39%	44%
growth and development has	Disagree	279	40%	34%	44%	73%	27%	33%	61%	56%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	+141	+20	+31	+13	-46	+45	+33	-21	-11
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	577	82%	82%	84%	93%	85%	92%	63%	52%
Seattleite	Disagree	120	17%	17%	16%	7%	15%	8%	36%	46%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	3%
	Net Agree	+457	+65	+66	+68	+87	+71	+84	+27	+6
Agree: The City has made	Agree	291	42%	41%	43%	18%	38%	48%	30%	23%
meaningful progress in reducing	Disagree	404	58%	59%	56%	82%	60%	51%	70%	77%
the number of homeless	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	0%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	-
encampments	Net Agree	-113	-16	-18	-12	-64	-23	-3	-41	-53
Agree: The City has made	Agree	347	50%	48%	52%	44%	42%	56%	40%	29%
meaningful progress on public	Disagree	349	50%	51%	48%	56%	56%	43%	60%	71%
safety	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	-2	-0	-3	+4	-12	-14	+14	-20	-42
Agree: Seattle has made	Agree	253	36%	38%	35%	8%	28%	43%	24%	23%
meaningful progress on shutting	Disagree	428	61%	61%	60%	92%	66%	55%	73%	77%
down open air drug markets	(DK/Ref)	20	3%	1%	5%	-	5%	3%	3%	-
	Net Agree	-175	-25	-23	-24	-83	-38	-12	-49	-54

			Gender Party Non-						rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Agree: The City needs to	Agree	548	78%	86%	71%	56%	80%	82%	64%	77%
streamline permitting to make it	Disagree	142	20%	13%	26%	44%	20%	16%	34%	23%
easier and faster to build new	(DK/Ref)	10	1%	0%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	-
housing to address Seattle's housing affordability crisis	Net Agree	+407	+58	+73	+45	+13	+60	+66	+30	+54
Agree: I am worried that	Agree	592	85%	82%	89%	80%	100%	95%	69%	34%
national economic policies will	Disagree	102	15%	17%	10%	20%	100/0	4%	30%	66%
lead to a recession	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	1%	2070	_	1%	1%	-
icad to a recession	Net Agree	+490	+70	+65	+78	+60	-	+90	+40	-31
Agree: I've become more	Agree	510	73%	66%	81%	61%	90%	83%	55%	27%
concerned about my personal	Disagree	186	27%	33%	19%	39%	10%	17%	44%	72%
financial situation since	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	2%
President Trump took office	Net Agree	+324	+46	+33	+62	+23	+80	+66	+11	-45
Agree: Businesses are closing	Agree	527	75%	72%	78%	83%	43%	76%	76%	96%
down or leaving Seattle for	Disagree	168	24%	28%	20%	17%	55%	23%	24%	4%
nearby cities because the cost of	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	-	2%	1%	-	-
doing business has gotten too high here	Net Agree	+359	+51	+44	+58	+66	-13	+53	+52	+93
Agree: Building more housing	Agree	468	67%	75%	59%	50%	84%	72%	48%	54%
will help slow down Seattle's	Disagree	228	33%	24%	40%	50%	16%	27%	52%	
increasing housing costs	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	_
	Net Agree	+240	+34	+51	+19	-0	+69	+44	-3	+8
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	230	33%	32%	33%	29%	8%	22%	65%	64%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	203	29%	29%	30%	18%	26%	35%	15%	17%
	Too low	72	10%	13%	8%	13%	29%	11%	6%	1%
	About right	190	27%	26%	28%	40%	37%	31%	14%	18%
	(Don't know)	5	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-

				Gender Party Non-					rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	433	62%	61%	63%	47%	34%	58%	80%	81%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	194	28%	26%	29%	40%	37%	32%	14%	
	Too low	72	10%	13%	8%	13%	29%	11%	6%	1%
	Net Too high	+361	+52	+48	+55	+34	+6	+47	+74	+80
Downtown Seattle recovery	1 – Very pessimistic	75	11%	12%		-	4%	4%	23%	
progress sentiment	2	147	21%	22%	19%	48%	18%	21%	21%	24%
	3	293	42%	37%	47%	30%	38%	47%	36%	25%
	4	156	22%	25%	20%	22%	32%	25%	12%	13%
	5 – Very optimistic	27	4%	3%	5%	-	8%	3%	8%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	-
	Mean	697	2.88	2.85	2.92	2.73	3.22	3.02	2.60	2.16
Downtown Seattle recovery	1-2 Pessimistic	222	32%	34%	28%	48%	22%	25%	44%	61%
progress sentiment	3/(DK)	296	42%	38%	48%	30%	38%	47%	37%	25%
	4-5 Optimistic	183	26%	28%	24%	22%	40%	28%	19%	14%
How much city regulations and	Primary factor	90	13%	15%	10%	33%	2%	7%	26%	38%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Major factor	254	36%	40%	33%	27%	25%	35%	44%	45%
living	Minor factor	285	41%	37%	45%	30%	60%	48%	19%	14%
	Not really a factor at all	63	9%	7%	11%	10%	14%	9%	10%	4%
	(Don't know)	9	1%	0%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	-
How much city regulations and	Primary/major factor	344	49%	55%	43%	60%	26%	41%	69%	82%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Minor/not really at all a factor	348	50%	44%	55%	40%	74%	57%	30%	18%
living	(DK)	9	1%	0%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	-
	Net Primary/major factor	-4	-1	+11	-13	+21	-47	-16	+40	+65

					Gender			Pa	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Policy preference: Prioritize	Prioritize basics	495	71%	70%	72%	63%	34%	69%	82%	92%
basics vs Maintain spending	Maintain spending levels	196	28%	28%	28%	37%	66%	30%	17%	3%
levels	(Both)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
	(Neither)	6	1%	2%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
Policy preference: Prioritize	Prioritize basics	495	71%	70%	72%	63%	34%	69%	82%	92%
basics vs Maintain spending	Maintain spending levels	196	28%	28%	28%	37%	66%	30%	17%	3%
levels	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	9	1%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	5%
	Net Prioritize basics	+299	+43	+41	+44	+27	-32	+39	+65	+89
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	215	31%	25%	36%	43%	72%	30%	26%	7%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	444	63%	67%	59%	57%	24%	68%	65%	64%
local issues	(Both)	3	0%	0%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	-
	(Neither)	28	4%	5%	3%	-	3%	1%	5%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	2%	1%	-	-	0%	4%	4%
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	215	31%	25%	36%	43%	72%	30%	26%	7%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	444	63%	67%	59%	57%	24%	68%	65%	64%
local issues	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	41	6%	8%	4%	-	4%	1%	9%	29%
	Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda	-229	-33	-42	-23	-14	+48	-38	-40	-58
Agree: Recently passed laws,	Strongly agree	121	17%	20%	15%	-	-	16%	23%	32%
such as installing closed circuit	Somewhat agree	235	34%	29%	39%	8%	17%	38%	29%	27%
cameras to capture real-time	Somewhat disagree	171	24%	24%	25%	32%	29%	26%	22%	17%
information about crime on our	Strongly disagree	150	21%	23%	18%	60%	53%	17%	23%	18%
streets and keeping people out	(Don't know/Refused)	24	3%	3%	4%	-	2%	3%	3%	5%
of areas where they have										
Agree: Recently passed laws,	Agree	356	51%	50%	53%	8%	17%	54%	52%	59%
such as installing closed circuit	Disagree	320	46%	47%	43%	92%	82%	43%	45%	35%
cameras to capture real-time	(DK/Ref)	24	3%	3%	4%	-	2%	3%	3%	5%
information about crime on our	Net Agree	+36	+5	+3	+10	-83	-65	+11	+7	+24
streets and keeping people out										

					Gender			Pa	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
City leadership priority: Taking	1 – Not at all important	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	2%
care of the basics like filling	2	5	1%	0%	1%	-	-	0%	3%	-
potholes and maintaining our	3	7	1%	0%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
parks and local transportation	4	53	8%	7%	8%	35%	18%	7%	8%	3%
infrastructure	5	164	23%	27%	20%	-	37%	24%	17%	21%
	6	160	23%	20%	26%	-	12%	26%	21%	16%
	7 – Extremely important	311	44%	46%	43%	65%	33%	42%	51%	58%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.99	6.03	5.96	5.94	5.57	6.01	6.04	6.19
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	113	16%	21%	11%	20%	1%	8%	28%	62%
Protecting core progressive	2	31	4%	5%	3%	-	1%	3%	7%	9%
values like social justice, equity,	3	54	8%	10%	6%	-	4%	8%	9%	7%
and inclusivity	4	69	10%	10%	10%	-	-	12%	6%	10%
	5	102	15%	14%	15%	-	14%	16%	17%	3%
	6	92	13%	13%	14%	10%	19%	16%	7%	2%
	7 – Extremely important	238	34%	27%	41%	70%	61%	37%	26%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.78	4.36	5.22	5.69	6.24	5.20	4.02	2.19
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	8	1%	1%	1%	-	6%	1%	-	2%
Protecting local jobs and small	2	10	1%	2%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	-
businesses in this uncertain	3	21	3%	4%	2%	10%	7%	3%	3%	1%
economic environment by	4	72	10%	9%	11%	-	12%	12%	9%	2%
lowering the cost to start and	5	137	20%	19%	20%	-	36%	20%	16%	8%
run a business in Seattle	6	136	19%	19%	20%	39%	12%	23%	16%	11%
	7 – Extremely important	316	45%	47%	44%	52%	26%	41%	53%	76%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.84	5.86	5.83	6.22	5.16	5.78	5.99	6.53

				Gender Party Non-						
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	16	2%	1%	l	17%	10%	1%	4%	2%
Continuing to reduce the	2	31	4%	5%		23%	9%	5%	1%	1%
number of homeless	3	38	5%	5%	5%	13%	25%	3%	6%	3%
encampments	4	76	11%	9%	13%	-	28%	10%	10%	4%
	5	117	17%	18%	15%	18%	10%	19%	11%	19%
	6	112	16%	18%	15%	-	7%	19%	14%	11%
	7 – Extremely important	307	44%	44%	44%	29%	11%	43%	54%	60%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	698	5.60	5.68	5.55	3.95	3.83	5.70	5.80	6.13
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	14	2%	2%	1%	-	6%	1%	4%	2%
Maintaining progress on public	2	18	3%	4%	1%	13%	14%	1%	1%	3%
safety and reducing street	3	37	5%	5%	5%	10%	17%	5%	3%	1%
disorder by continuing to shut	4	69	10%	9%	11%	13%	23%	10%	3%	8%
down open-air drug markets	5	103	15%	12%	17%	-	21%	16%	12%	3%
	6	90	13%	14%	12%	18%	2%	17%	10%	2%
	7 – Extremely important	366	52%	53%	51%	46%	18%	48%	67%	81%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	695	5.82	5.79	5.86	5.38	4.17	5.87	6.14	6.37
City leadership priority: Updating	g 1 – Not at all important	52	7%	6%	8%	33%	7%	4%	13%	19%
Seattle's zoning laws and	2	25	4%	3%	4%	-	1%	4%	3%	3%
expediting permitting to allow	3	70	10%	9%	11%	-	2%	11%	9%	15%
for more housing across the city,	4	106	15%	11%	20%	17%	10%	17%	11%	15%
even if it means there will be	5	132	19%	22%	16%	-	11%	22%	16%	12%
more types of housing, like	6	108	15%	14%	18%	18%	22%	15%	14%	13%
duplexes and triplexes, in many	7 – Extremely important	207	30%	36%	23%	31%	46%	27%	33%	23%
single-family residential	(Don't know/Refused)	-	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
neighborhoods	Mean	700	4.99	5.25	4.77	4.31	5.70	5.03	4.88	4.31

					Gender			Pa	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	102	15%	21%	8%	20%	1%	5%	23%	72%
Aggressively standing up to the	2	31	4%	5%	4%	-	1%	3%	12%	5%
Trump Administration, including	3	35	5%	6%	4%	-	3%	6%	4%	2%
joining lawsuits against the	4	51	7%	7%	7%	-	-	7%	13%	5%
administration when the federal	5	78	11%	12%	11%	17%	10%	14%	9%	-
government overreaches	6	87	12%	11%	14%	18%	19%	14%	7%	5%
	7 – Extremely important	314	45%	39%	52%	44%	66%	51%	32%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	699	5.13	4.72	5.59	5.26	6.37	5.67	4.24	2.17
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	18	3%	3%	2%	20%	1%	1%	4%	11%
Incentivizing businesses to	2	11	2%	2%	1%	10%	2%	1%	3%	2%
create family wage jobs here in	3	38	5%	7%	4%	-	3%	5%	4%	12%
Seattle so that our local	4	93	13%	12%	13%	-	21%	12%	12%	15%
economy stays resilient in the	5	161	23%	20%	26%	17%	14%	26%	24%	12%
face of national economic	6	148	21%	20%	23%	18%	22%	23%	16%	15%
uncertainty	7 – Extremely important	230	33%	36%	30%	35%	37%	32%	35%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	699	5.48	5.49	5.53	4.78	5.57	5.57	5.39	4.94
City leadership priority: Taking	1-3 Not important	13	2%	0%	3%	-	1%	1%	3%	3%
care of the basics like filling	4/(DK/Ref)	53	8%	7%	8%	35%	18%	7%	8%	3%
potholes and maintaining our	5-7 Important	634	91%	93%	89%	65%	81%	92%	88%	94%
parks and local transportation										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	198	28%	36%	20%	20%	6%	19%	44%	78%
Protecting core progressive	4/(DK/Ref)	69	10%	10%	10%	-	-	12%	6%	10%
values like social justice, equity,	5-7 Important	432	62%	54%	70%	80%	94%	69%	50%	12%
and inclusivity										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	39	6%	7%	5%	10%	13%	5%	6%	2%
Protecting local jobs and small	4/(DK/Ref)	72	10%	9%	11%	-	12%	12%	9%	2%
businesses in this uncertain	5-7 Important	588	84%	84%	84%	90%	75%	83%	85%	95%
economic environment by										

Number of cases 700						Gender			Pa	rty	
Number of cases Row percent 100% 334 344 8 60 447 122							Non-				
Row percent 100%			n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important	5		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Continuing to reduce the 4/(DK/Ref) 78 11% 9% 14% - 28% 10% 10% number of homeless 5-7 Important 537 77% 80% 74% 47% 28% 80% 79% encampments City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 68 10% 11% 7% 23% 37% 7% 8% Maintaining progress on public 4/(DK/Ref) 74 11% 9% 12% 13% 23% 11% 3% safety and reducing street 5-7 Important 559 80% 79% 81% 64% 41% 82% 89% disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not important 147 21% 18% 23% 33% 10% 19% 26% Seattle's zoning laws and 4/(DK/Ref) 106 15% 11% 20% 17% 10% 17% 11% expediting permitting to allow 5-7 Important 447 64% 72% 57% 50% 80% 64% 63% for more housing across the city, City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 169 24% 32% 16% 20% 5% 14% 39% Aggressively standing up to the 4/(DK/Ref) 52 7% 7% 7% 7% 13% Aggressively standing up to the 4/(DK/Ref) 5-7 Important 479 68% 61% 77% 80% 95% 78% 49% joining lawsuits against the City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 539 77% 76% 80% 70% 72% 81% 75% Seattle's against priority: 1-3 Not important 539 77% 76% 80% 70% 72% 81% 75% Seattle's ot hat our local Current downtown visit Once a week or more 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19% frequency (non-work) A few times a month 231 33% 35% 32% 38% 50% 36% 24%				100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
number of homeless 5-7 Important 537 77% 80% 74% 47% 28% 80% 79% encampments City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 68 10% 11% 7% 23% 37% 7% 8% Maintaining progress on public safety and reducing street 5-7 Important 559 80% 79% 81% 64% 41% 82% 89% disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not important 147 21% 18% 23% 33% 10% 19% 26% Seattle's zoning laws and 4/(DK/Ref) 106 15% 11% 20% 17% 10% 17% 11% expediting permitting to allow 5-7 Important 447 64% 72% 57% 50% 80% 64% 63% for more housing across the city, City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 169 24% 32% 16% 20% 5% 14% 39% Aggressively standing up to the 4/(DK/Ref) 52 7% 7% 7% 7% 13% Aggressively standing up to the 4/(DK/Ref) 52 7% 7% 7% 7% 13% Important 479 68% 61% 77% 80% 95% 78% 49% joining lawsuits against the City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 479 68% 61% 77% 80% 95% 78% 49% joining lawsuits against the City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 539 77% 76% 80% 70% 72% 81% 75% Seattle's ot hat our local Current downtown visit Once a week or more 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19% frequency (non-work) A few times a month 231 33% 35% 32% 38% 50% 36% 24%	oriority: 1-3 Not im	ortant	l l	12%	11%	12%	53%	44%	9%	11%	6%
encampments City leadership priority:	duce the 4/(DK/Ref)		l l	11%	9%	14%	-	28%	10%		
Maintaining progress on public 4/(DK/Ref) 4/(DK/Ref) 74 11% 9% 12% 13% 23% 11% 3% safety and reducing street 5-7 Important 559 80% 79% 81% 64% 41% 82% 89% disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not important 147 21% 18% 23% 33% 10% 19% 26% Seattle's zoning laws and expediting permitting to allow spenditing be spenditing permitting to allow of the expediting permitting to allow of the expedition of the	eless 5-7 Import	nt	537	77%	80%	74%	47%	28%	80%	79%	91%
safety and reducing street 5-7 Important 559 80% 79% 81% 64% 41% 82% 89% disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not important 147 21% 18% 23% 33% 10% 19% 26% Seattle's zoning laws and 4/(DK/Ref) 106 15% 11% 20% 17% 10% 17% 11% expediting permitting to allow 5-7 Important 447 64% 72% 57% 50% 80% 64% 63% for more housing across the city, City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 169 24% 32% 16% 20% 5% 14% 39% Aggressively standing up to the 4/(DK/Ref) 52 7% 7% 7% 7 7% 13% Trump Administration, including 5-7 Important 479 68% 61% 77% 80% 95% 78% 49% joining lawsuits against the City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 67 10% 12% 6% 30% 6% 7% 12% Incentivizing businesses to 4/(DK/Ref) 94 13% 12% 13% - 21% 12% 13% create family wage jobs here in 5-7 Important 539 77% 76% 80% 70% 72% 81% 75% Seattle so that our local Current downtown visit Once a week or more 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19% frequency (non-work) A few times a month	oriority: 1-3 Not im	ortant	68	10%	11%	7%	23%	37%	7%	8%	6%
disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not important 147 21% 18% 23% 33% 10% 19% 26% Seattle's zoning laws and 4/(DK/Ref) expediting permitting to allow 5-7 Important 447 64% 72% 57% 50% 80% 64% 63% for more housing across the city, City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 169 24% 32% 16% 20% 5% 14% 39% Aggressively standing up to the 4/(DK/Ref) Trump Administration, including 5-7 Important 479 68% 61% 77% 80% 95% 78% 49% joining lawsuits against the City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 67 10% 12% 6% 30% 6% 7% 12% Incentivizing businesses to 4/(DK/Ref) 94 13% 12% 13% - 21% 12% 13% create family wage jobs here in 5-7 Important 539 77% 76% 80% 70% 72% 81% 75% Seattle so that our local Current downtown visit Once a week or more 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19% frequency (non-work) A few times a month	gress on public 4/(DK/Ref)		74	11%	9%	12%	13%	23%	11%	3%	8%
City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not important 147 21% 18% 23% 33% 10% 19% 26% Seattle's zoning laws and expediting bermitting to allow 5-7 Important 447 64% 72% 57% 50% 80% 64% 63% for more housing across the city, City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 169 24% 32% 16% 20% 5% 14% 39% Aggressively standing up to the Aggressively standing up to the 4/(DK/Ref) 52 7% 7% 7% - - 7% 13% Trump Administration, including Joining lawsuits against the 5-7 Important 479 68% 61% 77% 80% 95% 78% 49% Joining lawsuits against the 67 10% 12% 6% 30% 6% 7% 12% City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 67 10% 12% 6% 30% 6% 7% 12% Incentivizing businesses to 4/(DK/Ref) 94 13% 12% 13% - 21% 12% 13% - 21% 12% <td>ing street 5-7 Import</td> <td>nt</td> <td>559</td> <td>80%</td> <td>79%</td> <td>81%</td> <td>64%</td> <td>41%</td> <td>82%</td> <td>89%</td> <td>86%</td>	ing street 5-7 Import	nt	559	80%	79%	81%	64%	41%	82%	89%	86%
Seattle's zoning laws and expediting bermitting to allow spediting permitting to allow spediting speditin	inuing to shut										
Expediting permitting to allow 5-7 Important 447 64% 72% 57% 50% 80% 64% 63%	priority: Updating 1-3 Not im	ortant	147	21%	18%	23%	33%	10%	19%	26%	37%
for more housing across the city, City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 169 24% 32% 16% 20% 5% 14% 39% Aggressively standing up to the 4/(DK/Ref) 52 7% 7% 7% 7% 13% Trump Administration, including 5-7 Important 479 68% 61% 77% 80% 95% 78% 49% joining lawsuits against the City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 67 10% 12% 6% 30% 6% 7% 12% Incentivizing businesses to 4/(DK/Ref) 94 13% 12% 13% - 21% 12% 13% create family wage jobs here in 5-7 Important 539 77% 76% 80% 70% 72% 81% 75% Seattle so that our local Current downtown visit Once a week or more 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19% frequency (non-work) A few times a month 231 33% 35% 32% 38% 50% 36% 24%	laws and 4/(DK/Ref)		106	15%	11%	20%	17%	10%	17%	11%	15%
City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 169 24% 32% 16% 20% 5% 14% 39% Aggressively standing up to the Aggressive u	itting to allow 5-7 Import	nt	447	64%	72%	57%	50%	80%	64%	63%	49%
Aggressively standing up to the Trump Administration, including 5-7 Important 52 7% 7% 7% - - 7% 13% Trump Administration, including 5-7 Important joining lawsuits against the 479 68% 61% 77% 80% 95% 78% 49% City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 67 10% 12% 6% 30% 6% 7% 12% Incentivizing businesses to 4/(DK/Ref) 94 13% 12% 13% - 21% 12% 13% create family wage jobs here in 5-7 Important 539 77% 76% 80% 70% 72% 81% 75% Seattle so that our local 20 20% 19% 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19% Grequency (non-work) A few times a month 231 33% 35% 32% 38% 50% 36% 24%	g across the city,										
Trump Administration, including 5-7 Important 479 68% 61% 77% 80% 95% 78% 49% joining lawsuits against the City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 67 10% 12% 6% 30% 6% 7% 12% Incentivizing businesses to 4/(DK/Ref) 94 13% 12% 13% - 21% 12% 13% create family wage jobs here in 5-7 Important 539 77% 76% 80% 70% 72% 81% 75% Seattle so that our local Current downtown visit Once a week or more 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19% frequency (non-work) A few times a month 231 33% 35% 32% 38% 50% 36% 24%	priority: 1-3 Not im	ortant	169	24%	32%	16%	20%	5%	14%	39%	79%
joining lawsuits against the City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important Incentivizing businesses to 4/(DK/Ref) City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important Frequency (non-work) 1-3 Not important 67 10% 12% 6% 30% 6% 7% 12% 12% 13% - 21% 12% 13% - 21% 12% 13% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12% 12	nding up to the 4/(DK/Ref)		52	7%	7%	7%	-	-	7%	13%	5%
City leadership priority: 1-3 Not important 67 10% 12% 6% 30% 6% 7% 12% Incentivizing businesses to 4/(DK/Ref) 94 13% 12% 13% - 21% 12% 13% create family wage jobs here in 5-7 Important 539 77% 76% 80% 70% 72% 81% 75% Seattle so that our local Current downtown visit Once a week or more 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19% frequency (non-work) A few times a month 231 33% 35% 32% 38% 50% 36% 24%	ration, including 5-7 Import	nt	479	68%	61%	77%	80%	95%	78%	49%	17%
Incentivizing businesses to 4/(DK/Ref) 94 13% 12% 13% - 21% 12% 13% 12% 13% 12% 13% 12% 13% 12%	against the										
create family wage jobs here in 5-7 Important 539 77% 76% 80% 70% 72% 81% 75% Seattle so that our local Current downtown visit Once a week or more 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19% frequency (non-work) A few times a month 231 33% 35% 32% 38% 50% 36% 24%	oriority: 1-3 Not im	ortant	67	10%	12%	6%	30%			12%	25%
Seattle so that our local Current downtown visit Once a week or more 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19% frequency (non-work) A few times a month 231 33% 35% 32% 38% 50% 36% 24%	sinesses to 4/(DK/Ref)		94	13%	12%	13%	-	21%	12%	13%	15%
Current downtown visit Once a week or more 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19% frequency (non-work) A few times a month 231 33% 35% 32% 38% 50% 36% 24%	ige jobs here in 5-7 Import	nt	539	77%	76%	80%	70%	72%	81%	75%	61%
frequency (non-work) A few times a month 231 33% 35% 32% 38% 50% 36% 24%	ur local										
	wn visit Once a we	or more	I								
1 200 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/	work) A few time	a month	I	33%	35%	32%	38%	50%	36%	24%	17%
1	A few time	a year	202	29%	29%	28%	28%	26%	31%	26%	
Rarely 94 13% 13% 15% - 4% 11% 20%	Rarely				13%		-	4%	11%	20%	
Never 28 4% 2% 6% 1% 11%	Never		28	4%	2%	6%	-	-	1%	11%	11%
(Refused)	(Refused)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current downtown visit Weekly 145 21% 21% 19% 33% 20% 20% 19%	•										
frequency (non-work) Few times a month 231 33% 35% 32% 38% 50% 36% 24%	,	month	I								
Less often 324 46% 44% 49% 28% 30% 44% 57%	Less often		324	46%	44%	49%	28%	30%	44%	57%	58%

				Gender Party Non- Men Women binary Socialist Dem Ind						
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344		60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Current downtown visit	Much more often	61	9%	7%	11%	13%	21%	9%	1%	11%
frequency compared to last year	Somewhat more often	128	18%	21%			25%	19%	15%	
	About the same	333	48%	47%	l		45%	53%	40%	31%
	Somewhat less often	79	11%	12%		l	5%	10%	17%	15%
	Much less often	96	14%	13%	15%	-	4%	9%	27%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-
Current downtown visit	More often	190	27%	28%	27%	38%	46%	28%	16%	25%
frequency compared to last year	Same/(DK/Ref)	335	48%	47%	47%	52%	45%	53%	40%	31%
	Less often	176	25%	25%	26%	10%	9%	19%	44%	44%
	Net More often	+14	+2	+3	+0	+29	+37	+9	-28	-20
Duration of residency	<2 years	11	2%	2%	1%	18%	3%	2%	1%	-
	2-5 years	112	16%	21%	11%	30%	32%	14%	16%	12%
	6-10 years	63	9%	9%	9%	18%	16%	9%	6%	9%
	11-20 years	144	21%	22%	19%	20%	25%	19%	21%	23%
	>20 years	359	51%	45%	59%	14%	24%	53%	56%	57%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	186	27%	32%	21%	67%	51%	25%	23%	21%
	11-20 years	144	21%	22%	19%	20%	25%	19%	21%	23%
	>20 years	370	53%	46%	60%	14%	24%	55%	56%	57%
Party	Strong Democrat	272	39%	34%	44%	47%	-	61%	-	
	Not very strong Democrat	117	17%	18%	17%	-	-	26%	-	-
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	57	8%	8%	8%	-	-	13%	-	-
	Independent	56	8%	9%	7%	-	-	-	46%	-
	Independent, closer to Republican party	30	4%	6%	3%	-	-	-	-	43%
	Not very strong Republican	15	2%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	21%
	Strong Republican	26	4%	6%	2%	-	-	-	-	36%
	Socialist	60	9%	10%	6%	53%	100%	-	-	-
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	66	9%	7%	11%	-	-	-	54%	-

					Gender			Pai	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Party	Socialist	60	9%	10%	6%	53%	100%	-	-	-
	Democrat	447	64%	60%	70%	47%	-	100%	-	-
	Independent	122	17%	16%	18%	-	-	-	100%	-
	Republican	71	10%	15%	6%	-	-	-	-	100%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	134	19%	17%	20%	82%	72%	17%	11%	4%
	2	160	23%	21%	25%	18%	16%	30%	10%	5%
	3	174	25%	24%	28%	-	6%	34%	15%	1%
	4	89	13%	16%	10%	-	1%	11%	23%	15%
	5	66	9%	11%	8%	-	-	5%	14%	37%
	6	25	4%	5%	3%	-	-	2%	4%	18%
	7 – Very conservative	18	3%	3%	2%	-	-	1%	1%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	34	5%	4%	4%	-	4%	0%	22%	4%
	Mean	666	2.91	3.09	2.77	1.18	1.34	2.67	3.45	5.05
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	468	67%	62%	73%	100%	95%	81%	36%	10%
	Moderate	122	17%	19%	14%	-	5%	11%	45%	19%
	Conservative	110	16%	19%	13%	-	-	8%	19%	71%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	165	24%	27%	19%	20%	-	19%	31%	57%
	2	104	15%	16%	14%	17%	6%	13%	19%	23%
	3	141	20%	20%	20%	18%	34%	23%	11%	5%
	4	122	17%	17%	18%	13%	27%	20%	11%	4%
	5	77	11%	7%	15%	8%	17%	11%	15%	1%
	6	34	5%	4%	6%	23%	10%	5%	5%	2%
	7 – Very conservative	15	2%	3%	2%	-	7%	2%	3%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	42	6%	6%	6%	-	-	7%	5%	
	Mean	658	3.01	2.84	3.20	3.41	4.11	3.10	2.87	1.64
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	409	58%	63%	53%	56%	40%	56%	61%	85%
	Moderate	164	23%	23%	24%	13%	27%	27%	16%	
	Conservative	127	18%	14%	22%	31%	33%	17%	23%	3%

					Gender			Pai	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	52%	49%	14%	41%	51%	50%	51%
	Renter	350	50%	48%	51%	86%	59%	49%	50%	49%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	71%	75%	86%	83%	75%	66%	63%
	African American or Black	28	4%	6%	2%	-	2%	4%	1%	8%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	7%	4%	-	7%	7%	1%	5%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	9%	8%	-	3%	7%	11%	14%
	Something else	49	7%	6%	8%	14%	5%	5%	13%	8%
	(Refused)	14	2%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	7%	1%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	71%	75%	86%	83%	75%	66%	63%
	African American or Black	28	4%	6%	2%	-	2%	4%	1%	8%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	7%	4%	-	7%	7%	1%	5%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	9%	8%	-	3%	7%	11%	14%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	63	9%	7%	10%	14%	5%	6%	20%	9%
Ethnicity	White	511	73%	71%	75%	86%	83%	75%	66%	63%
	POC	175	25%	28%	23%	14%	17%	24%	27%	35%
	(Ref)	14	2%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	7%	1%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	138	20%	20%	20%	-	40%	19%	12%	20%
	30-39	176	25%	25%	25%	58%	34%	26%	26%	14%
	40-49	119	17%	18%	16%	8%	17%	15%	23%	20%
	50-64	138	20%	18%	20%	33%	9%	20%	24%	20%
	65+	129	18%	19%	19%	-	1%	21%	15%	26%
Two-Age Split	18-39	314	45%	45%	44%	58%	74%	44%	38%	34%
	40+	386	55%	55%	56%	42%	26%	56%	62%	66%
Generation	18-39	314	45%	45%	44%	58%	74%	44%	38%	34%
	40-64	257	37%	36%	36%	42%	25%	35%	47%	40%
	65+	129	18%	19%	19%	-	1%	21%	15%	

					Gender			Pa	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
Education	Some grade school	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
	Some high school	5	1%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Graduated high school	17	2%	2%	3%	-	-	2%	5%	3%
	Technical/vocational school	20	3%	3%	3%	-	3%	3%	2%	6%
	Some college/<4-year degree	111	16%	20%	12%	17%	18%	14%	16%	24%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	298	43%	43%	44%	56%	41%	42%	44%	44%
	Graduate/professional degree	238	34%	31%	37%	27%	36%	37%	28%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	0%	2%	-	2%	1%	5%	-
Education	<4-year degree	165	24%	26%	19%	17%	23%	21%	28%	32%
	4-year degree+	535	76%	74%	81%	83%	77%	79%	72%	68%
Education	Less than college	165	24%	26%	19%	17%	23%	21%	28%	32%
	Graduated college	298	43%	43%	44%	56%	41%	42%	44%	44%
	Graduate/professional degree	238	34%	31%	37%	27%	36%	37%	28%	23%
Gender	Men	334	48%	100%	-	-	54%	45%	43%	69%
	Women	344	49%	-	100%	-	35%	54%	50%	31%
	Non-binary	8	1%	-	-	100%	7%	1%	-	_
	Another gender identity	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	_
	(Refused)	14	2%	-	-	-	3%	1%	8%	-
Region	South	203	29%	28%	30%	13%	35%	28%	31%	30%
	Central	200	29%	29%	27%	40%	26%	28%	29%	32%
	North	298	43%	42%	43%	47%	39%	44%	40%	39%

					Gender			Pa	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Men	Women	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		334	344	8	60	447	122	71
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	9%	64%	17%	10%
City Council District	1	109	16%	13%	17%	13%	15%	14%	19%	20%
	2	95	14%	15%	12%	-	21%	13%	12%	10%
	3	112	16%	16%	16%	17%	13%	16%	18%	12%
	4	88	13%	12%	13%	15%	10%	13%	11%	12%
	5	98	14%	13%	16%	-	23%	12%	16%	16%
	6	112	16%	17%	15%	32%	5%	19%	13%	
	7	88	13%	14%	11%	23%	13%	12%	11%	20%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	0-3/6	336	48%	52%	43%	55%	61%	45%	50%	54%
	4-5/6	175	25%	21%	30%	22%	11%	26%	30%	24%
	6/6	189	27%	27%	27%	23%	28%	29%	21%	22%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	151	22%	45%	-	-	37%	21%	14%	29%
	M 40-64	120	17%	36%	-	-	17%	15%	20%	25%
	M 65+	62	9%	19%	-	-	1%	9%	8%	14%
	F 18-39	152	22%	-	44%	-	30%	23%	21%	6%
	F 40-64	125	18%	-	36%	-	5%	19%	22%	14%
	F 65+	67	10%	-	19%	-	-	11%	6%	12%
	Other	22	3%	-	-	100%	10%	2%	8%	_
Party/Gender	D Men	201	29%	60%	_	-	-	45%	_	_
	D Women	239	34%	-	70%	-	-	54%	-	-
	R/I Men	101	14%	30%	-	-	-	-	43%	69%
	R/I Women	83	12%	-	24%	-	-	-	50%	31%
	Other	76	11%	10%	6%	100%	100%	2%	8%	-

				Age (Replaced)					Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.81	5.09	4.78	4.40	4.64	5.11	4.99	4.39
Seattle right direction/wrong	Right direction	346	49%	45%	48%	45%	51%	59%	52%	43%
track	Wrong track	319	46%	43%	47%	54%	46%	38%	43%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	35	5%	12%	5%	1%	3%	3%	5%	5%
	Net Right direction	+27	+4	+2	+0	-9	+5	+20	+10	-9
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	314	45%	42%	53%	43%	46%	37%	46%	40%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	97	14%	13%	16%	11%	19%	10%	15%	10%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	86	12%	5%	7%	18%	14%	20%	11%	15%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	76	11%	11%	11%	9%	10%	14%	11%	12%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	72	10%	14%	6%	11%	11%	11%	12%	6%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	64	9%	3%	6%	12%	14%	12%	10%	8%
	Walkability/Transit	42	6%	12%	5%	4%	5%	5%	7%	5%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	37	5%	5%	6%	8%	4%	4%	5%	4%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	18	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	10	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	4%	1%	4%
	Other	32	5%	9%	4%	1%	2%	7%	3%	9%
	Nothing/Don't know	12	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%

				Age (Replaced) 18-29 30-39 40-49 50-64 65+					Ethr	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700	70	138	176		138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%		20%	18%	73%	l
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	258	37%	22%	34%	38%	44%	48%	37%	37%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	211	30%	22%	22%	35%	41%	34%	32%	26%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	208	30%	33%	40%	33%	22%	17%	28%	34%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	71	10%	11%	10%	9%	10%	10%	10%	10%
	Taxes	35	5%	1%	6%	10%	4%	5%	4%	8%
	Jobs/Economy	34	5%	2%	4%	2%	10%	6%	5%	3%
	Growth/Development/Population	33	5%	5%	5%	5%	1%	6%	5%	3%
	Traffic/Congestion	31	4%	3%	3%	3%	5%	8%	5%	
	Public transportation	31	4%	5%	7%	5%	1%	4%	4%	5%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	26	4%	2%	3%	2%	4%	7%	4%	3%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	19	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	1%	3%	
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	17	2%	4%	3%	3%	0%	2%	2%	2%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	14	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	11	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	Climate change/Environment	7	1%	3%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%	
	COVID-19/Coronavirus	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	23	3%	4%	5%	4%	1%	3%	3%	3%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	5	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%
Change in quality of life in	Much better	18	3%	5%	1%	4%	1%	3%	3%	1%
Seattle	Somewhat better	181	26%	35%	21%	17%	26%	30%	28%	20%
	The same	155	22%	21%	27%	25%	17%	20%	23%	21%
	Somewhat worse	219	31%	19%	35%	36%	38%	28%	30%	37%
	Much worse	118	17%	16%	15%	17%	18%	19%	15%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Change in quality of life in	Better	199	28%	40%	22%	21%	28%	33%	31%	22%
Seattle	Same/(DK/Ref)	164	23%	25%	28%	26%	17%	20%	24%	23%
	Worse	337	48%	35%	50%	54%	55%	47%	45%	
	Net Better	-138	-20	+4	-28	-33	-27	-14	-14	-34

				I						-
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700	,,	138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	
Considered moving out of	Yes	385	55%	52%	56%	61%	57%	48%	51%	66%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	315	45%	48%	44%	39%	43%	52%	49%	34%
Still actively considering moving	Yes	304	79%	82%	79%	80%	80%	75%	77%	82%
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	80	21%	18%	21%	20%	20%	25%	23%	18%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	304	43%	43%	44%	49%	46%	36%	39%	54%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	80	11%	10%	12%	12%	11%	12%	11%	12%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	315	45%	48%	44%	39%	43%	52%	49%	34%
	Right direction	91	13%	12%	6%	18%	16%	16%	10%	18%
	Wrong track	604	86%	87%	93%	80%	84%	84%	89%	80%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	2%	0%	2%	-	-	0%	2%
ı	Net Right direction	-512	-73	-75	-87	-62	-68	-69	-79	-62
	Strongly agree	152	22%	35%	23%	18%	14%	17%	24%	15%
	Somewhat agree	332	47%	36%	52%	45%	50%	53%	46%	52%
	Somewhat disagree	145	21%	19%	17%	27%	22%	21%	21%	20%
	Strongly disagree	68	10%	9%	7%	10%	14%	10%	8%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%
_	Strongly agree	236	34%	26%	32%	31%	39%	40%	33%	33%
	Somewhat agree	262	37%	24%	37%	43%	40%	45%	39%	34%
	Somewhat disagree	157	22%	40%	21%	19%	18%	14%	21%	28%
	Strongly disagree	44	6%	10%	10%	6%	3%	1%	7%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	0%	-
	Strongly agree	73	10%	19%	5%	12%	8%	10%	11%	10%
	Somewhat agree	312	45%	53%	49%	39%	35%	45%	45%	45%
	Somewhat disagree	200	29%	13%	31%	33%	39%	26%	29%	27%
	Strongly disagree	111	16%	15%	14%	16%	17%	18%	15%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	-

					Aį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	366	52%	59%	54%	46%	51%	49%	57%	42%
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	229	33%	30%	35%	37%	30%	32%	30%	39%
day	Somewhat disagree	64	9%	6%	4%	13%	13%	12%	9%	9%
	Strongly disagree	41	6%	5%	7%	4%	6%	8%	4%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	119	17%	17%	21%	20%	17%	9%	21%	6%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	211	30%	35%	29%	28%	26%	33%	29%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	196	28%	28%	26%	30%	26%	32%	27%	32%
	Strongly disagree	173	25%	20%	25%	22%	31%	25%	23%	27%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	-
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	118	17%	25%	14%	20%	14%	12%	19%	12%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	302	43%	53%	51%	37%	32%	38%	43%	46%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	179	26%	15%	25%	24%	33%	30%	25%	28%
	Strongly disagree	100	14%	6%	9%	19%	21%	19%	13%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	335	48%	48%	52%	40%	47%	49%	52%	38%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	242	35%	42%	35%	32%	32%	31%	31%	47%
	Somewhat disagree	74	11%	8%	7%	17%	11%	11%	10%	8%
	Strongly disagree	46	7%	2%	5%	10%	9%	9%	7%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	50	7%	6%	5%	8%	10%	8%	9%	1%
meaningful progress in reducing	Somewhat agree	241	34%	32%	27%	30%	34%	51%	35%	35%
the number of homeless	Somewhat disagree	193	28%	23%	33%	33%	26%	22%	27%	28%
encampments	Strongly disagree	211	30%	38%	34%	29%	29%	18%	28%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	0%	1%	1%	-
1		1								

					Aį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	41	6%	4%	5%	7%	6%	8%	8%	-
meaningful progress on public	Somewhat agree	306	44%	49%	35%	37%	47%	53%	44%	
safety	Somewhat disagree	196	28%	26%	39%	32%	19%	21%	27%	31%
	Strongly disagree	153	22%	21%	20%	24%	28%	16%	21%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	-
Agree: Seattle has made	Strongly agree	27	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%	5%	1%
meaningful progress on shutting	Somewhat agree	226	32%	28%	29%	28%	33%	45%	35%	25%
down open air drug markets	Somewhat disagree	223	32%	32%	32%	33%	31%	31%	30%	36%
	Strongly disagree	204	29%	35%	30%	34%	29%	17%	26%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	1%	4%	1%	4%	2%	3%	1%
Agree: The City needs to	Strongly agree	308	44%	56%	51%	46%	32%	33%	44%	47%
streamline permitting to make it	Somewhat agree	240	34%	34%	30%	31%	39%	38%	36%	31%
easier and faster to build new	Somewhat disagree	83	12%	5%	9%	11%	19%	16%	13%	8%
housing to address Seattle's	Strongly disagree	58	8%	5%	7%	12%	9%	10%	6%	15%
housing affordability crisis	(Don't know/Refused)	10	1%	-	4%	-	1%	2%	2%	-
Agree: I am worried that	Strongly agree	445	64%	69%	71%	59%	62%	54%	66%	57%
national economic policies will	Somewhat agree	147	21%	19%	18%	23%	19%	27%	21%	23%
lead to a recession	Somewhat disagree	49	7%	3%	6%	8%	9%	9%	6%	9%
	Strongly disagree	53	8%	10%	4%	7%	9%	9%	6%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I've become more	Strongly agree	352	50%	63%	51%	44%	48%	45%	55%	39%
concerned about my personal	Somewhat agree	159	23%	17%	24%	23%	22%	27%	21%	28%
financial situation since	Somewhat disagree	94	13%	8%	16%	17%	12%	13%	13%	13%
President Trump took office	Strongly disagree	92	13%	11%	9%	14%	18%	14%	11%	18%
·	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%

Number of cases Row percent		n 700	%	18-29	30-39	40-49				
		700			30 33	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Row percent				138	176	119	138	129	511	175
4			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
Agree: Businesses are closing Strongly	agree	326	47%	48%	44%	47%	50%	44%	45%	50%
down or leaving Seattle for Somewh	at agree	202	29%	22%	26%	31%	33%	33%	29%	27%
nearby cities because the cost of Somewh	at disagree	124	18%	23%	18%	15%	14%	18%	18%	
doing business has gotten too Strongly	disagree	44	6%	6%	10%	7%	3%	4%	7%	5%
high here (Don't kr	now/Refused)	4	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Agree: Building more housing Strongly	agree	214	31%	43%	40%	33%	14%	19%	29%	35%
will help slow down Seattle's Somewh	at agree	254	36%	39%	30%	33%	42%	38%	38%	32%
increasing housing costs Somewh	at disagree	141	20%	12%	17%	20%	25%	28%	21%	17%
Strongly	disagree	87	12%	5%	12%	14%	18%	13%	11%	16%
(Don't kr	now/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the Agree		484	69%	71%	75%	63%	64%	69%	71%	67%
future of this region Disagree		214	31%	28%	24%	37%	36%	31%	29%	32%
(DK/Ref)		3	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%
Net Agre	e	+270	+39	+43	+52	+26	+29	+38	+41	+35
Agree: I'm worried about the Agree		498	71%	49%	69%	75%	79%	85%	72%	66%
future of downtown Seattle Disagree		201	29%	50%	31%	24%	21%	15%	28%	34%
(DK/Ref)		1	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	0%	-
Net Agre	e	+297	+42	-0	+39	+50	+57	+70	+45	+33
Agree: I'm optimistic about the Agree		385	55%	72%	53%	51%	44%	55%	56%	55%
future of downtown Seattle Disagree		311	44%	28%	45%	49%	56%	44%	43%	45%
(DK/Ref)		4	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Net Agre	e	+74	+11	+44	+8	+2	-12	+10	+13	+9
Agree: I would feel safe visiting Agree		595	85%	89%	89%	83%	81%	80%	87%	80%
downtown Seattle during the Disagree		105	15%	11%	11%	17%	19%	20%	13%	20%
day (DK/Ref)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Agre	e	+489	+70	+78	+79	+66	+63	+61	+74	+60

					78	ge (Replace	u)		EUIII	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	330	47%	52%	49%	48%	43%	43%	50%	41%
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	368	53%	48%	51%	52%	56%	57%	50%	59%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%	-
	Net Agree	-38	-5	+5	-1	-5	-13	-14	+0	-18
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	420	60%	78%	65%	57%	47%	51%	62%	57%
growth and development has	Disagree	279	40%	22%	34%	43%	53%	49%	38%	43%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-
	Net Agree	+141	+20	+56	+31	+14	-6	+1	+24	+15
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	577	82%	90%	87%	72%	79%	80%	82%	85%
Seattleite	Disagree	120	17%	10%	12%	27%	21%	20%	17%	14%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+457	+65	+80	+76	+45	+59	+60	+65	+70
Agree: The City has made	Agree	291	42%	38%	32%	38%	44%	59%	44%	37%
meaningful progress in reducing	Disagree	404	58%	61%	67%	62%	56%	40%	55%	63%
the number of homeless	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	0%	1%	1%	-
encampments	Net Agree	-113	-16	-24	-35	-23	-12	+19	-12	-27
Agree: The City has made	Agree	347	50%	53%	40%	44%	52%	62%	52%	46%
meaningful progress on public	Disagree	349	50%	47%	60%	56%	47%	37%	48%	54%
safety	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	-2	-0	+6	-20	-12	+5	+24	+4	-8
Agree: Seattle has made	Agree	253	36%	31%	34%	31%	36%	49%	40%	26%
meaningful progress on shutting	Disagree	428	61%	67%	62%	67%	60%	48%	56%	74%
down open air drug markets	(DK/Ref)	20	3%	1%	4%	1%	4%	2%	3%	1%
	Net Agree	-175	-25	-36	-28	-36	-25	+1	-16	-48

					Aį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
Agree: The City needs to	Agree	548	78%	90%	81%	77%	71%	72%	79%	78%
streamline permitting to make it	Disagree	142	20%	10%	16%	23%	28%	26%	19%	22%
easier and faster to build new	(DK/Ref)	10	1%	-	4%	-	1%	2%	2%	-
housing to address Seattle's housing affordability crisis	Net Agree	+407	+58	+80	+65	+54	+43	+45	+60	+55
Agree: I am worried that	Agree	592	85%	87%	89%	82%	81%	81%	87%	81%
national economic policies will	Disagree	102	15%	13%	10%	16%	19%	18%	12%	19%
lead to a recession	(DK/Ref)	6		-	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+490		+75	+80		+63	+63	+75	+62
Agree: I've become more	Agree	510	73%	80%	75%	67%	69%	73%	76%	68%
concerned about my personal	Disagree	186	27%	19%	25%	32%	31%	27%	24%	31%
financial situation since	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%
President Trump took office	Net Agree	+324	+46	+61	+50	+35	+39	+45	+52	+36
Agree: Businesses are closing	Agree	527	75%	71%	70%	78%	83%	77%	74%	77%
down or leaving Seattle for	Disagree	168	24%	29%	28%	22%	17%	22%	25%	23%
nearby cities because the cost of	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	-
doing business has gotten too high here	Net Agree	+359	+51	+42	+42	+55	+65	+55	+49	+54
Agree: Building more housing	Agree	468	67%	82%	71%	66%	56%	57%	67%	67%
will help slow down Seattle's	Disagree	228	33%	17%	29%		43%	41%	32%	
increasing housing costs	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	_
	Net Agree	+240	+34	+65	+41	+32	+14	+16	+35	+33
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	230	33%	27%	24%	44%	37%	36%	29%	41%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	203	29%	27%	29%	30%	27%	32%	31%	24%
	Too low	72	10%	10%	17%	8%	9%	5%	11%	10%
	About right	190	27%	36%	28%	17%	25%	26%	29%	24%
	(Don't know)	5	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%

					A	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
			- 4							
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176		138		511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	433	62%	54%	53%	74%	65%	68%	60%	65%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	194	28%	36%	29%	18%	26%	27%	29%	25%
	Too low	72	10%	10%	17%	8%	9%	5%	11%	10%
	Net Too high	+361	+52	+44	+36	+65	+56	+64	+50	+54
Downtown Seattle recovery	1 – Very pessimistic	75	11%	7%	9%	16%	12%	10%	9%	13%
progress sentiment	2	147	21%	19%	24%	22%	22%	17%	21%	20%
	3	293	42%	39%	43%	41%	40%	45%	42%	41%
	4	156	22%	30%	19%	17%	22%	25%	23%	21%
	5 – Very optimistic	27	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	2%	3%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	-
	Mean	697	2.88	3.04	2.85	2.71	2.85	2.91	2.90	2.85
Downtown Seattle recovery	1-2 Pessimistic	222	32%	26%	33%	38%	34%	27%	31%	33%
progress sentiment	3/(DK)	296	42%	40%	43%	41%	40%	46%	43%	41%
	4-5 Optimistic	183	26%	34%	23%	21%	26%	26%	26%	26%
How much city regulations and	Primary factor	90	13%	5%	8%	16%	20%	17%	12%	15%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Major factor	254	36%	43%	31%	39%	36%	33%	36%	39%
living	Minor factor	285	41%	46%	39%	40%	38%	41%	43%	36%
	Not really a factor at all	63	9%	6%	18%	5%	5%	8%	9%	10%
	(Don't know)	9	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	-	1%	-
How much city regulations and	Primary/major factor	344	49%	48%	39%	56%	56%	50%	47%	54%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Minor/not really at all a factor	348	50%	51%	57%	44%	43%	50%	51%	46%
living	(DK)	9	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	-	1%	_
_	Net Primary/major factor	-4	-1	-4	-18	+11	+14	+1	-4	+8

					Αį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700	,,,	138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
Policy preference: Prioritize	Prioritize basics	495	71%	71%	57%	70%	80%	80%	71%	69%
basics vs Maintain spending	Maintain spending levels	196	28%	28%	41%	29%	18%	19%	28%	29%
levels	(Both)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-
	(Neither)	6	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	0%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	-	1%	0%	-
Policy preference: Prioritize	Prioritize basics	495	71%	71%	57%	70%	80%	80%	71%	69%
basics vs Maintain spending	Maintain spending levels	196	28%	28%	41%	29%	18%	19%	28%	29%
levels	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	9	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	Net Prioritize basics	+299	+43	+42	+16	+41	+62	+61	+42	+41
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	215	31%	54%	34%	26%	19%	18%	34%	24%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	444	63%	43%	62%	64%	76%	72%	62%	67%
local issues	(Both)	3	0%	-	-	2%	-	1%	1%	-
	(Neither)	28	4%	3%	1%	7%	3%	8%	3%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	-	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	215	31%	54%	34%	26%	19%	18%	34%	24%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	444	63%	43%	62%	64%	76%	72%	62%	67%
local issues	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	41	6%	3%	4%	9%	5%	10%	5%	9%
	Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda	-229	-33	+11	-29	-38	-57	-54	-28	-43
Agree: Recently passed laws,	Strongly agree	121	17%	13%	14%	17%	19%	26%	16%	22%
such as installing closed circuit	Somewhat agree	235	34%	29%	21%	33%	37%	52%	35%	29%
cameras to capture real-time	Somewhat disagree	171	24%	24%	33%	23%	29%	9%	21%	33%
information about crime on our	Strongly disagree	150	21%	29%	27%	26%	13%	10%	25%	10%
streets and keeping people out	(Don't know/Refused)	24	3%	5%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%
of areas where they have										
Agree: Recently passed laws,	Agree	356	51%	42%	35%	49%	55%	78%	51%	51%
such as installing closed circuit	Disagree	320	46%	53%	60%	49%	42%	20%	46%	44%
cameras to capture real-time	(DK/Ref)	24	3%	5%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%
information about crime on our	Net Agree	+36	+5	-11	-25	+1	+13	+59	+5	+7
streets and keeping people out										

				,	Ag	ge (Replace	d)		Ethr	nicity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
City leadership priority: Taking	1 – Not at all important	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
care of the basics like filling	2	5	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	
potholes and maintaining our	3	7	1%	-	-	4%	0%	1%	1%	1%
parks and local transportation	4	53	8%	6%	11%	7%	5%	8%	7%	9%
infrastructure	5	164	23%	37%	21%	24%	17%	19%	24%	22%
	6	160	23%	22%	22%	18%	30%	22%	25%	16%
	7 – Extremely important	311	44%	36%	45%	45%	47%	49%	42%	51%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.99	5.88	5.98	5.87	6.15	6.08	5.99	6.04
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	113	16%	10%	12%	30%	20%	12%	14%	21%
Protecting core progressive	2	31	4%	6%	2%	0%	9%	5%	4%	4%
values like social justice, equity,	3	54	8%	5%	11%	7%	7%	8%	7%	9%
and inclusivity	4	69	10%	8%	9%	10%	12%	10%	10%	11%
	5	102	15%	15%	19%	12%	10%	16%	14%	17%
	6	92	13%	14%	7%	13%	16%	17%	16%	7%
	7 – Extremely important	238	34%	42%	40%	28%	26%	32%	35%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.78	5.21	5.02	4.22	4.37	4.93	4.92	4.43
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	8	1%	1%	2%	3%	-	-	1%	1%
Protecting local jobs and small	2	10	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%
businesses in this uncertain	3	21	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%	4%	1%
economic environment by	4	72	10%	8%	7%	15%	14%	10%	11%	
lowering the cost to start and	5	137	20%	18%	18%	25%	16%	22%	22%	12%
run a business in Seattle	6	136	19%	19%	23%	13%	19%	22%	18%	
	7 – Extremely important	316	45%	50%	48%	40%	44%	42%	43%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	_
	Mean	700	5.84	5.98	5.98	5.54	5.79	5.84	5.74	6.14

					A	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	16	2%	1%	4%	5%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Continuing to reduce the	2	31	4%	12%	4%	5%	0%	1%	6%	0%
number of homeless	3	38	5%	12%	6%	5%	4%	1%	6%	3%
encampments	4	76	11%		15%	12%	8%	5%	13%	5%
	5	117	17%	20%	14%	20%	11%	20%	17%	16%
	6	112	16%	16%	17%	10%	20%	16%	15%	20%
	7 – Extremely important	307	44%	27%	40%	44%	56%	55%	41%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	0%	-
	Mean	698	5.60	4.95	5.43	5.41	6.11	6.14	5.45	6.03
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	14	2%	2%	2%	5%	0%	1%	2%	1%
Maintaining progress on public	2	18	3%	2%	5%	3%	2%	-	2%	3%
safety and reducing street	3	37	5%	8%	7%	6%	3%	2%	6%	3%
disorder by continuing to shut	4	69	10%	17%	11%	9%	6%	5%	10%	8%
down open-air drug markets	5	103	15%	14%	19%	15%	11%	13%	15%	15%
	6	90	13%	9%	11%	14%	14%	18%	14%	11%
	7 – Extremely important	366	52%	48%	44%	48%	62%	61%	50%	59%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-	1%	-
	Mean	695	5.82	5.61	5.53	5.62	6.17	6.26	5.74	6.04
City leadership priority: Updating	1 – Not at all important	52	7%	2%	4%	13%	13%	7%	7%	8%
Seattle's zoning laws and	2	25	4%	2%	1%	5%	6%	5%	4%	3%
expediting permitting to allow	3	70	10%	10%	11%	4%	9%	16%	12%	6%
for more housing across the city,	4	106	15%	12%	14%	11%	19%	19%	16%	13%
even if it means there will be	5	132	19%	22%	15%	22%	18%	18%	19%	18%
more types of housing, like	6	108	15%	17%	13%	15%	16%	17%	16%	12%
duplexes and triplexes, in many	7 – Extremely important	207	30%	34%	42%	30%	19%	18%	27%	39%
single-family residential	(Don't know/Refused)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
neighborhoods	Mean	700	4.99	5.41	5.46	4.87	4.47	4.57	4.93	5.24

					Aį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
			0/	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700	%	18-29	176		138	129	511	175
Row percent		700	100%	20%	25%		20%	18%	73%	25%
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	102	15%	11%	8%	21%	20%	17%	12%	20%
Aggressively standing up to the	2	31	4%	2%	4%	9%	4%	4%	5%	3%
Trump Administration, including	3	35	5%	4%	7%	6%	4%	5%	5%	7%
joining lawsuits against the	4	51	7%	3%	9%	11%	7%	7%	6%	9%
administration when the federal	5	78	11%	10%	13%	12%	12%	9%	9%	17%
government overreaches	6	87	12%	12%	16%	9%	15%	9%	13%	9%
	7 – Extremely important	314	45%	58%	44%	34%	39%	49%	49%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-
	Mean	699	5.13	5.64	5.39	4.46	4.86	5.12	5.31	4.71
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	18	3%	0%	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%	4%
Incentivizing businesses to	2	11	2%	-	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%
create family wage jobs here in	3	38	5%	5%	4%	7%	5%	6%	6%	5%
Seattle so that our local	4	93	13%	17%	7%	18%	15%	11%	15%	8%
economy stays resilient in the	5	161	23%	18%	25%		26%	23%	23%	23%
face of national economic	6	148	21%	15%	22%	19%	23%	27%	22%	19%
uncertainty	7 – Extremely important	230	33%	44%	36%	28%	27%	27%	31%	40%
,	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	_	-	1%	-	1%
	Mean	699	5.48	5.73	5.63	5.16	5.38	5.39	5.44	5.65
City leadership priority: Taking	1-3 Not important	13	2%	_	1%	6%	1%	2%	1%	2%
care of the basics like filling	4/(DK/Ref)	53	8%	6%	11%		5%	8%	7%	9%
potholes and maintaining our	5-7 Important	634	91%	94%	88%		94%	90%	91%	89%
parks and local transportation	3 / Important	054	31/0	3470	0070	0770	3470	3070	3170	0370
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	198	28%	21%	25%	38%	36%	25%	26%	34%
Protecting core progressive	4/(DK/Ref)	69	10%	8%	9%		12%	10%	10%	11%
values like social justice, equity,	5-7 Important	432	62%	71%	66%		52%	65%	65%	55%
and inclusivity		.52	52,0	, 170]	3270	3370	3370	3370
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	39	6%	5%	5%	8%	6%	5%	7%	2%
Protecting local jobs and small	4/(DK/Ref)	72	10%	8%	7%	15%	14%	10%	11%	8%
businesses in this uncertain	5-7 Important	588	84%	87%	89%	77%	79%	86%	82%	89%
economic environment by										

					A	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	85	12%	24%	14%	15%	5%	2%	14%	6%
Continuing to reduce the	4/(DK/Ref)	78	11%	13%	15%	12%	8%	6%	13%	5%
number of homeless encampments	5-7 Important	537	77%	63%	71%	74%	87%	91%	73%	89%
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	68	10%	11%	13%	13%	6%	3%	11%	6%
Maintaining progress on public	4/(DK/Ref)	74	11%	17%	13%	9%	7%	5%	11%	8%
safety and reducing street	5-7 Important	559	80%	72%	74%	77%	87%	91%	78%	85%
disorder by continuing to shut										
City leadership priority: Updating	1-3 Not important	147	21%	13%	15%	23%	28%	28%	22%	17%
Seattle's zoning laws and	4/(DK/Ref)	106	15%	12%	14%	11%	19%	19%	16%	13%
expediting permitting to allow	5-7 Important	447	64%	74%	71%	66%	53%	53%	62%	70%
for more housing across the city,										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	169	24%	18%	18%	35%	28%	25%	22%	29%
Aggressively standing up to the	4/(DK/Ref)	52	7%	3%	9%	11%	7%	8%	7%	9%
Trump Administration, including	5-7 Important	479	68%	79%	73%	54%	65%	67%	71%	62%
joining lawsuits against the										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	67	10%	6%	9%	14%	9%	11%	9%	9%
Incentivizing businesses to	4/(DK/Ref)	94	13%	17%	7%	18%	15%	12%	15%	8%
create family wage jobs here in	5-7 Important	539	77%	77%	84%	68%	76%	77%	76%	82%
Seattle so that our local										
Current downtown visit	Once a week or more	145	21%	30%	18%	27%	21%	9%	19%	25%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	231	33%	42%	40%	29%	27%	24%	36%	27%
	A few times a year	202	29%	15%	29%	28%	37%	36%	28%	30%
	Rarely	94	13%	10%	10%	12%	10%	27%	14%	12%
	Never	28	4%	2%	4%	4%	5%	5%	3%	6%
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current downtown visit	Weekly	145	21%	30%	18%		21%	9%	19%	25%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	231	33%	42%	40%	29%	27%	24%	36%	27%
	Less often	324	46%	28%	43%	45%	52%	67%	45%	48%

					Αį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
Current downtown visit	Much more often	61	9%	18%	10%	3%	5%	6%	9%	7%
frequency compared to last year	Somewhat more often	128	18%	13%	15%	32%	17%	18%	20%	16%
	About the same	333	48%	46%	45%	44%	50%	53%	47%	47%
	Somewhat less often	79	11%	8%	18%	10%	11%	8%	10%	17%
	Much less often	96	14%	15%	12%	11%	17%	14%	13%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-
Current downtown visit	More often	190	27%	31%	25%	35%	21%	25%	29%	23%
frequency compared to last year	Same/(DK/Ref)	335	48%	46%	46%	44%	50%	53%	48%	47%
	Less often	176	25%	22%	29%	21%	29%	22%	23%	30%
	Net More often	+14	+2	+9	-5	+14	-7	+2	+6	-7
Duration of residency	<2 years	11	2%	2%	4%	1%	0%	-	2%	1%
	2-5 years	112	16%	28%	27%	11%	6%	4%	14%	21%
	6-10 years	63	9%	12%	14%	11%	6%	1%	8%	11%
	11-20 years	144	21%	29%	22%	26%	16%	8%	21%	20%
	>20 years	359	51%	26%	31%	49%	72%	87%	54%	44%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	186	27%	42%	45%	23%	13%	4%	24%	33%
	11-20 years	144	21%	29%	22%	26%	16%	8%	21%	20%
	>20 years	370	53%	29%	33%	51%	72%	87%	55%	47%
Party	Strong Democrat	272	39%	30%	42%	34%	38%	49%	42%	32%
1	Not very strong Democrat	117	17%	25%	15%	18%	14%	12%	17%	18%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	57	8%	6%	8%	5%	12%	11%	7%	11%
	Independent	56	8%	4%	8%	9%	13%	7%	8%	9%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	30	4%	4%	2%	6%	4%	6%	4%	5%
1	Not very strong Republican	15	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	5%
	Strong Republican	26	4%	3%	3%	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%
	Socialist	60	9%	17%	12%	9%	4%	0%	10%	6%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	66	9%	7%	10%	14%	9%	7%	8%	10%

Number of cases 700 138 176 119 138 129 511 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 73% 129 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 73% 129 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 73% 129 100% 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 73% 129 100% 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 20% 25% 17% 20%						Αį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
Number of cases 700 138 176 119 138 129 511 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 73% 129 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 73% 129 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 73% 129 100% 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 73% 129 100% 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 20% 25% 17% 20%			n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Party Socialist	Number of cases					176	119	138	129		175
Democrat	Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
Independent Republican	Party	Socialist	60	9%	17%	12%	9%	4%	0%	10%	6%
Republican 71 10% 11% 6% 12% 10% 14% 9%		Democrat	447	64%	61%	65%	56%	64%	72%	66%	61%
Perceived personal ideology		Independent	122	17%	11%	18%	24%	21%	14%	16%	19%
2		Republican	71	10%	11%	6%	12%	10%	14%	9%	14%
3	Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	134	19%	24%	23%	21%	16%	10%	21%	14%
A		2	160	23%	27%	30%	21%	15%	20%	24%	21%
5		3	174	25%	25%	19%	22%	27%	31%	25%	24%
Ferceived city council ideology 1 - Very liberal 165 24% 24% 12% 14% 14% 21% 15% 15% 14% 14% 15% 15% 14%		4	89	13%	4%	9%	12%	23%	17%	10%	19%
T - Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) 18 3% 4% 2% 1% 1% 5% 3% 3% 5% 5% 5% 6% 3% 4% 5% 5% 6% 3% 4% 5% 5% 666 2.91 2.69 2.63 2.93 3.10 3.30 2.83 Perceived personal ideology Liberal 468 67% 76% 73% 64% 58% 61% 70% 70% 73% 64% 58% 61% 70% 73%		5	66	9%	9%	7%	12%	14%	6%	7%	16%
Con't know/Refused 34 5% 5% 5% 6% 3% 4% 5% 666 2.91 2.69 2.63 2.93 3.10 3.30 2.83		6	25	4%	3%	4%	6%	0%	6%	5%	1%
Perceived personal ideology Liberal 468 67% 76% 73% 64% 58% 61% 70% 70% 73%		7 – Very conservative	18	3%	4%	2%	1%	1%	5%	3%	2%
Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative 122 17% 8% 14% 18% 27% 21% 15% 110 16% 16% 13% 18% 15% 17% 15% Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 104 15% 10% 14% 14% 21% 16% 14% 3 141 20% 22% 18% 16% 20% 25% 21% 4 122 17% 20% 17% 16% 14% 20% 18% 5 77 11% 11% 16% 11% 9% 6% 11% 6 34 5% 6% 6% 6% 6% 3% 2% 6% 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) 468 67% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 76% 66% 66% 66%		(Don't know/Refused)	34	5%	5%	5%	6%	3%	4%	5%	3%
Moderate Conservative 122 17% 8% 14% 18% 27% 21% 15% 15% 110 16% 16% 13% 18% 15% 17% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15		Mean	666	2.91	2.69	2.63	2.93	3.10	3.30	2.83	3.13
Perceived city council ideology	Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	468	67%	76%	73%	64%	58%	61%	70%	59%
Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 165 24% 22% 19% 30% 26% 22% 21% 21% 104 15% 10% 14% 14% 21% 16% 14% 3 141 20% 22% 18% 16% 20% 25% 21% 4 122 17% 20% 17% 16% 14% 20% 18% 5 77 11% 11% 16% 11% 9% 6% 11% 6 34 5% 6% 6% 6% 6% 3% 2% 6% 7 – Very conservative 15 2% 1% 2% 3% 2% 4% 2% (Don't know/Refused) 42 6% 9% 6% 4% 5% 5% 5% 7%		Moderate	122	17%	8%	14%	18%	27%	21%	15%	22%
2 104 15% 10% 14% 14% 21% 16% 14% 3 141 20% 22% 18% 16% 20% 25% 21% 4 122 17% 20% 17% 16% 11% 9% 6% 11% 6 34 5% 6% 6% 6% 6% 3% 2% 6% 7 - Very conservative 15 2% 1% 2% 3% 2% 4% 2% (Don't know/Refused) 42 6% 9% 6% 4% 5% 5% 7%		Conservative	110	16%	16%	13%	18%	15%	17%	15%	19%
3	Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	165	24%	22%	19%	30%	26%	22%	21%	30%
4 122 17% 20% 17% 16% 14% 20% 18% 5 77 11% 11% 16% 11% 9% 6% 11% 6 34 5% 6% 6% 6% 6% 3% 2% 6% 7 – Very conservative 15 2% 1% 2% 3% 2% 4% 2% (Don't know/Refused) 42 6% 9% 6% 4% 5% 5% 7%		2	104	15%	10%	14%	14%	21%	16%	14%	15%
5 77 11% 11% 16% 11% 9% 6% 11% 6 34 5% 6% 6% 6% 3% 2% 6% 7 - Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) 15 2% 1% 2% 3% 2% 4% 2%		3	141	20%	22%	18%	16%	20%	25%	21%	19%
6 34 5% 6% 6% 6% 3% 2% 6% 7 – Very conservative 15 2% 1% 2% 3% 2% 4% 2% (Don't know/Refused) 42 6% 9% 6% 4% 5% 5% 7%		4									17%
7 – Very conservative 15 2% 1% 2% 3% 2% 4% 2% (Don't know/Refused) 42 6% 9% 6% 4% 5% 5% 7%		5	77	11%	11%	16%	11%	9%	6%	11%	11%
(Don't know/Refused) 42 6% 9% 6% 4% 5% 5% 7%		6	34	5%	6%	6%	6%	3%	2%	6%	2%
		•		2%			3%		4%		2%
Mann CEQL 204 240 250 274 205 240		(Don't know/Refused)									4%
		Mean	658	3.01	3.10	3.26	2.93	2.74	2.95	3.10	2.77
Perceived city council ideology Liberal 409 58% 53% 52% 60% 67% 63% 56%	Perceived city council ideology		l I								
Moderate 164 23% 29% 23% 20% 20% 25% 25% 25%											
Conservative 127 18% 18% 25% 20% 14% 12% 19%		Conservative	127	18%	18%	25%	20%	14%	12%	19%	15%

					A	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176		138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	26%	45%	53%	64%	64%	52%	44%
	Renter	350	50%	74%	55%	47%	36%	36%	48%	56%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	71%	64%	76%	75%	83%	100%	-
	African American or Black	28	4%	6%	3%	5%	1%	4%	-	16%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	6%	10%	4%	5%	3%	-	24%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	8%	14%	5%	7%	3%	-	32%
	Something else	49	7%	6%	7%	8%	8%	7%	-	28%
	(Refused)	14	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	-	-
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	71%	64%	76%	75%	83%	100%	-
	African American or Black	28	4%	6%	3%	5%	1%	4%	-	16%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	6%	10%	4%	5%	3%	-	24%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	8%	14%	5%	7%	3%	-	32%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	63	9%	8%	8%	9%	11%	8%	-	28%
Ethnicity	White	511	73%	71%	64%	76%	75%	83%	100%	-
	POC	175	25%	27%	34%	23%	22%	16%	-	100%
	(Ref)	14	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	-	-
Age (Replaced)	18-29	138	20%	100%	-	-	-	-	19%	21%
	30-39	176	25%	-	100%	-	-	-	22%	34%
	40-49	119	17%	-	-	100%	-	-	18%	15%
	50-64	138	20%	-	-	-	100%	-	20%	17%
	65+	129	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	21%	12%
Two-Age Split	18-39	314	45%	100%	100%	-	-	-	41%	56%
	40+	386	55%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	59%	44%
Generation	18-39	314	45%	100%	100%	-	-	-	41%	56%
	40-64	257	37%	-	-	100%	100%	-	38%	32%
	65+	129	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	21%	

					A	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
Education	Some grade school	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
	Some high school	5	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%
	Graduated high school	17	2%	5%	0%	1%	2%	4%	3%	2%
	Technical/vocational school	20	3%	2%	1%	7%	2%	3%	3%	4%
	Some college/<4-year degree	111	16%	21%	11%	13%	15%	21%	15%	17%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	298	43%	52%	44%	45%	42%	29%	42%	45%
	Graduate/professional degree	238	34%	19%	40%	31%	36%	42%	35%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Education	<4-year degree	165	24%	29%	16%	23%	22%	30%	23%	24%
	4-year degree+	535	76%	71%	84%	77%	78%	70%	77%	76%
Education	Less than college	165	24%	29%	16%	23%	22%	30%	23%	24%
	Graduated college	298	43%	52%	44%	45%	42%	29%	42%	45%
	Graduate/professional degree	238	34%	19%	40%	31%	36%	42%	35%	30%
Gender	Men	334	48%	49%	47%	51%	43%	48%	46%	54%
	Women	344	49%	49%	48%	46%	51%	52%	51%	44%
	Non-binary	8	1%	-	3%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%
	Another gender identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(Refused)	14	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	-	1%	1%
Region	South	203	29%	22%	28%	32%	32%	31%	30%	27%
	Central	200	29%	35%	32%	25%	25%	25%	28%	29%
	North	298	43%	43%	41%	42%	43%	44%	42%	44%

					Ag	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	511	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	73%	25%
City Council District	1	109	16%	7%	13%	19%	19%	20%	17%	11%
	2	95	14%	15%	15%	13%	13%	11%	13%	16%
	3	112	16%	18%	21%	9%	15%	14%	15%	18%
	4	88	13%	18%	10%	11%	12%	13%	12%	14%
	5	98	14%	16%	12%	13%	14%	15%	13%	18%
	6	112	16%	9%	19%	19%	17%	16%	18%	11%
	7	88	13%	16%	10%	16%	10%	11%	13%	11%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	0-3/6	336	48%	82%	59%	40%	33%	19%	42%	64%
	4-5/6	175	25%	13%	24%	31%	30%	28%	27%	21%
	6/6	189	27%	4%	17%	28%	37%	53%	31%	14%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	151	22%	49%	47%	-	-	-	19%	31%
	M 40-64	120	17%	_	-	51%	43%	-	18%	17%
	M 65+	62	9%	-	-	-	-	48%	10%	6%
	F 18-39	152	22%	49%	48%	-	-	-	21%	24%
	F 40-64	125	18%	_	-	46%	51%	-	19%	14%
	F 65+	67	10%	-	-	-	-	52%	11%	6%
	Other	22	3%	2%	4%	3%	6%	-	3%	2%
Party/Gender	D Men	201	29%	26%	31%	26%	27%	32%	28%	31%
	D Women	239	34%	35%	32%	29%	36%	39%	37%	28%
	R/I Men	101	14%	13%	11%	19%	15%	16%	13%	19%
	R/I Women	83	12%	6%	12%	15%	14%	12%	11%	14%
	Other	76	11%	19%	14%	12%	8%	0%	11%	8%
Party/Gender	D Men D Women R/I Men R/I Women	201 239 101 83	29% 34% 14% 12%	26% 35% 13% 6%	31% 32% 11% 12%	26% 29% 19% 15%	27% 36% 15% 14%	39% 16% 12%	28% 37% 13% 11%	

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.81	4.38	4.94	5.09	4.83	4.66	4.86	4.76
Seattle right direction/wrong	Right direction	346	49%	38%	53%	49%	47%	51%	51%	47%
track	Wrong track	319	46%	52%	44%	45%	47%	46%	45%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	35	5%	10%	4%	7%	6%	4%	4%	6%
	Net Right direction	+27	+4	-14	+9	+4	+0	+5	+6	+1
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	314	45%	34%	48%	51%	40%	44%	50%	40%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	97	14%	13%	14%	15%	14%	13%	13%	15%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	86	12%	18%	11%	13%	7%	14%	12%	13%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	76	11%	8%	12%	9%	11%	12%	8%	13%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	72	10%	8%	11%	9%	12%	10%	11%	9%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	64	9%	8%	10%	9%	6%	10%	12%	6%
	Walkability/Transit	42	6%	9%	5%	8%	8%	4%	4%	8%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	37	5%	7%	5%	4%	8%	5%	5%	6%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	18	3%	5%	2%	0%	6%	2%	2%	3%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	10	1%	4%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%
	Other	32	5%	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%	6%
	Nothing/Don't know	12	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	258	37%	36%	37%	41%	34%	36%	39%	35%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	211	30%	30%	30%	25%	33%	32%	34%	27%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	208	30%	29%	30%	40%	32%	24%	24%	36%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	71	10%	8%	11%	8%	13%	10%	12%	9%
	Taxes	35	5%	7%	4%	3%	2%	7%	7%	3%
	Jobs/Economy	34	5%	5%	5%	5%	4%	5%	6%	4%
	Growth/Development/Population	33	5%	5%	5%	3%	5%	5%	5%	5%
	Traffic/Congestion	31	4%	3%	5%	2%	1%		4%	
	Public transportation	31	4%	4%	5%	8%	4%	3%	4%	5%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	26	4%	2%	4%	2%	3%	5%	4%	3%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	19	3%	5%		3%	3%		2%	
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	17	2%	2%	3%	2%	6%	1%	3%	2%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	14	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	11	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	Climate change/Environment	7	1%	1%		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	COVID-19/Coronavirus	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	23	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	5	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Change in quality of life in	Much better	18	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%
Seattle	Somewhat better	181	26%	20%	28%	28%	27%	24%	27%	25%
	The same	155	22%	23%	22%	24%	25%	20%	19%	25%
	Somewhat worse	219	31%	26%	33%	32%	26%	33%	34%	29%
	Much worse	118	17%	25%	14%	13%	15%	19%	16%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Change in quality of life in	Better	199	28%	23%	30%	30%	30%	27%	30%	27%
Seattle	Same/(DK/Ref)	164	23%	26%	23%	25%	28%	21%	20%	27%
	Worse	337	48%	52%	47%	44%	41%	53%	50%	46%
	Net Better	-138	-20	-29	-17	-14	-11	-26	-20	-19

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Considered moving out of	Yes	385	55%	64%	52%	48%	58%	57%	53%	57%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	315	45%	36%	48%	52%	42%	43%	47%	43%
Still actively considering moving	Yes	304	79%	84%	77%	82%	72%	80%	75%	
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	80	21%	16%	23%	18%	28%	20%	25%	17%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	304	43%	54%	40%	40%	42%	46%	40%	47%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	80	11%	10%	12%	9%	16%	11%	14%	9%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	315	45%	36%	48%	52%	42%	43%	47%	43%
U.S. right direction/wrong track	Right direction	91	13%	18%	12%	12%	13%	14%	13%	13%
	Wrong track	604	86%	81%	88%	87%	85%	86%	87%	86%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%
	Net Right direction	-512	-73	-63	-76	-75	-72	-73	-74	-72
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	152	22%	18%	23%	29%	25%	17%	18%	25%
future of this region	Somewhat agree	332	47%	48%	47%	43%	51%	48%	52%	43%
	Somewhat disagree	145	21%	22%		18%	16%	24%	20%	21%
	Strongly disagree	68	10%	12%	9%	8%	8%	12%	10%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	-	0%	1%
Agree: I'm worried about the	Strongly agree	236	34%	39%		26%	30%	39%	33%	
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	262	37%	34%	38%	35%	34%		40%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	157	22%	22%	23%	29%	28%	17%	22%	23%
	Strongly disagree	44	6%	4%	7%	10%	8%	4%	5%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	73	10%	11%	10%	12%	15%	8%	10%	11%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	312	45%	45%	44%	45%	47%		44%	45%
	Somewhat disagree	200	29%	27%	29%	28%	25%	30%	30%	27%
	Strongly disagree	111	16%	15%		15%	12%		15%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	366	52%	33%		59%	54%	1	55%	
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	229	33%	45%		27%	37%	1	28%	
day	Somewhat disagree	64	9%	16%	7%	7%	6%	1	11%	
	Strongly disagree	41	6%	6%	6%	6%	3%	7%	6%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	119	17%	10%	19%	22%	20%	14%	21%	13%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	211	30%	33%	29%	28%	32%	30%	27%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	196	28%	31%	27%	27%	30%	28%	26%	30%
	Strongly disagree	173	25%	26%	24%	24%	17%	28%	25%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	0%	0%	-	0%
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	118	17%	18%	17%	22%	22%	13%	18%	16%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	302	43%	32%	47%	49%	46%	39%	41%	45%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	179	26%	29%	24%	20%	20%	30%	26%	25%
	Strongly disagree	100	14%	21%	12%	9%	12%	18%	15%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	335	48%	43%	49%	47%	44%	50%	44%	52%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	242	35%	38%	33%	36%	43%	30%	38%	31%
	Somewhat disagree	74	11%	9%	11%	9%	8%	12%	11%	10%
	Strongly disagree	46	7%	8%	6%	6%	5%	7%	7%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	0%	1%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	50	7%	5%	8%	4%	8%	8%	10%	4%
meaningful progress in reducing	Somewhat agree	241	34%	30%	36%	32%	29%	38%	40%	28%
the number of homeless	Somewhat disagree	193	28%	29%	27%	32%	28%	25%	22%	33%
encampments	Strongly disagree	211	30%	35%	29%	31%	35%	28%	27%	33%
·	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	41	6%	6%	6%	4%	8%	6%	7%	I I
meaningful progress on public	Somewhat agree	306	44%	39%	45%	43%	41%	1	43%	
safety	Somewhat disagree	196	28%	30%	27%	26%	30%	1	26%	I I
	Strongly disagree	153	22%	23%	21%	25%	20%	1	23%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
Agree: Seattle has made	Strongly agree	27	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%	3%	4%	1
meaningful progress on shutting	Somewhat agree	226	32%	26%	34%	27%	35%	34%	36%	28%
down open air drug markets	Somewhat disagree	223	32%	35%	31%	34%	32%	31%	30%	34%
	Strongly disagree	204	29%	31%	29%	33%	26%	29%	27%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%
Agree: The City needs to	Strongly agree	308	44%	44%	44%	51%	50%	38%	40%	47%
streamline permitting to make it	Somewhat agree	240	34%	34%	34%	36%	31%	35%	36%	33%
easier and faster to build new	Somewhat disagree	83	12%	10%	12%	5%	12%	15%	13%	11%
housing to address Seattle's	Strongly disagree	58	8%	8%	8%	7%	7%	9%	10%	7%
housing affordability crisis	(Don't know/Refused)	10	1%	4%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%
Agree: I am worried that	Strongly agree	445	64%	63%	64%	66%	70%	60%	60%	68%
national economic policies will	Somewhat agree	147	21%	19%	22%	20%	20%	22%	23%	19%
lead to a recession	Somewhat disagree	49	7%	12%	5%	7%	4%	8%	8%	6%
	Strongly disagree	53	8%	5%	8%	7%	6%	9%	9%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Agree: I've become more	Strongly agree	352	50%	57%	48%	51%	57%	47%	44%	56%
concerned about my personal	Somewhat agree	159	23%	13%	26%	24%	18%	24%	23%	22%
financial situation since	Somewhat disagree	94	13%	12%	14%	12%	14%	14%	18%	9%
President Trump took office	Strongly disagree	92	13%	18%	12%	13%	9%	15%	15%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	0%	0%	1%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Agree: Businesses are closing	Strongly agree	326	47%	58%	l		45%	1	41%	52%
down or leaving Seattle for	Somewhat agree	202	29%	22%			27%	1	34%	
nearby cities because the cost of	Somewhat disagree	124	18%	16%	l		22%	1	18%	
doing business has gotten too	Strongly disagree	44	6%	3%			5%	1	7%	
high here	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Agree: Building more housing	Strongly agree	214	31%	27%	32%	40%	39%	23%	27%	34%
will help slow down Seattle's	Somewhat agree	254	36%	33%	37%	36%	31%	38%	38%	35%
increasing housing costs	Somewhat disagree	141	20%	23%	19%	12%	20%	24%	22%	18%
	Strongly disagree	87	12%	16%	11%	12%	9%	14%	13%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	0%	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	484	69%	66%	70%	72%	76%	65%	70%	68%
future of this region	Disagree	214	31%	34%	29%	26%	24%	35%	30%	31%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	-	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+270	+39	+32	+41	+46	+52	+30	+40	+37
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	498	71%	73%	70%	60%	64%	79%	73%	70%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	201	29%	26%	29%	39%	36%	21%	27%	30%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+297	+42	+47	+41	+21	+28	+59	+45	+39
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	385	55%	57%	54%	57%	62%	51%	54%	56%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	311	44%	42%	45%	43%	37%	48%	45%	43%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+74	+11	+14	+9	+14	+25	+3	+8	+13
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	595	85%	78%	87%	86%	91%	82%	83%	87%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	105	15%	22%	13%	14%	9%	18%	17%	
day	(DK/Ref)	_	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	_
	Net Agree	+489	+70	+56	+74	+73	+82	+64	+66	+74

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	330	47%	43%		50%	52%	1	49%	
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	368	53%	57%		50%	48%	1	51%	
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	-	0%	0%	-	0%
	Net Agree	-38	-5	-14	-3	-0	+4	-12	-3	-8
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	420	60%	50%		70%	68%	1	59%	
growth and development has	Disagree	279	40%	50%	37%	29%	32%	48%	41%	39%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	
	Net Agree	+141	+20	-0	+27	+41	+36	+3	+18	+22
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	577	82%	82%	83%	83%	87%	80%	82%	83%
Seattleite	Disagree	120	17%	18%	17%	16%	13%	19%	18%	16%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	0%	
	Net Agree	+457	+65	+64	+66	+68	+74	+61	+64	+67
Agree: The City has made	Agree	291	42%	35%	44%	36%	37%	46%	51%	32%
meaningful progress in reducing	Disagree	404	58%	64%	56%	63%	63%	53%	49%	
the number of homeless	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%
encampments	Net Agree	-113	-16	-29	-12	-26	-26	-7	+2	-34
Agree: The City has made	Agree	347	50%	46%	51%	48%	49%	51%	51%	48%
meaningful progress on public	Disagree	349	50%	53%	49%	52%	51%	49%	49%	51%
safety	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	-2	-0	-8	+2	-4	-1	+2	+2	-2
Agree: Seattle has made	Agree	253	36%	30%	38%	31%	41%	37%	40%	32%
meaningful progress on shutting	Disagree	428	61%	66%	59%	67%	57%	60%	56%	66%
down open air drug markets	(DK/Ref)	20	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%
	Net Agree	-175	-25	-37	-21	-36	-16	-23	-16	-34

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370		
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Agree: The City needs to	Agree	548	78%	78%	79%	87%	81%		76%	
streamline permitting to make it	_	142	20%	19%	21%	12%	19%		23%	
easier and faster to build new	(DK/Ref)	10	1%	4%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	
housing to address Seattle's housing affordability crisis	Net Agree	+407	+58	+59	+58	+75	+61	+48	+53	+63
Agree: I am worried that	Agree	592	85%	82%	85%	86%	89%	82%	83%	86%
national economic policies will	Disagree	102	15%	17%	14%	13%	10%	17%	17%	
lead to a recession	(DK/Ref)	6		1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	
	Net Agree	+490		+65	+72	+72	+79	+65	+66	
Agree: I've become more	Agree	510	73%	70%	74%	75%	75%	71%	67%	79%
concerned about my personal	Disagree	186	27%	29%	26%	25%	23%	29%	33%	21%
financial situation since	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	0%	0%	1%
President Trump took office	Net Agree	+324	+46	+41	+48	+51	+51	+42	+34	+58
Agree: Businesses are closing	Agree	527	75%	80%	74%	69%	72%	80%	75%	76%
down or leaving Seattle for	Disagree	168	24%	19%	26%	30%	27%	20%	25%	23%
nearby cities because the cost of	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	
doing business has gotten too high here	Net Agree	+359	+51	+61	+48	+39	+45	+60	+50	+53
Agree: Building more housing	Agree	468	67%	60%	69%	76%	70%	61%	65%	69%
will help slow down Seattle's	Disagree	228	33%	40%	30%	24%	29%	38%	35%	
increasing housing costs	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+240	+34	+20	+39	+52	+42	+23	+30	+39
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	230	33%	45%	29%	23%	26%	40%	35%	31%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	203	29%	27%	30%	27%	33%	29%	29%	29%
	Too low	72	10%	6%	12%	13%	15%	7%	11%	10%
	About right	190	27%	22%	29%	36%	26%	23%	24%	30%
	(Don't know)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-

				Educ	ation	Durat	tion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	433	62%	72%	59%	51%	59%	69%	64%	60%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	194	28%	22%	29%	36%	26%	24%	25%	30%
	Too low	72	10%	6%	12%	13%	15%	7%	11%	10%
	Net Too high	+361	+52	+66	+47	+38	+44	+62	+53	+50
Downtown Seattle recovery	1 – Very pessimistic	75	11%	11%			8%		12%	
progress sentiment	2	147	21%	25%	20%	19%	20%	22%	21%	21%
	3	293	42%	36%	44%	40%	45%	42%	41%	43%
	4	156	22%	25%	21%	23%	24%	21%	23%	22%
	5 – Very optimistic	27	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	-	1%
	Mean	697	2.88	2.84	2.89	2.90	2.94	2.84	2.88	2.87
Downtown Seattle recovery	1-2 Pessimistic	222	32%	35%	30%	32%	28%	33%	32%	31%
progress sentiment	3/(DK)	296	42%	37%	44%	40%	45%	43%	41%	44%
	4-5 Optimistic	183	26%	28%	26%	29%	27%	24%	27%	25%
How much city regulations and	Primary factor	90	13%	16%	12%	10%	8%	16%	13%	12%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Major factor	254	36%	43%	34%	34%	39%	37%	37%	36%
living	Minor factor	285	41%	36%	42%	42%	44%	39%	37%	44%
	Not really a factor at all	63	9%	3%	11%	13%	8%	7%	12%	6%
	(Don't know)	9	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
How much city regulations and	Primary/major factor	344	49%	59%	46%	44%	47%	52%	50%	48%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Minor/not really at all a factor	348	50%	39%	53%	55%	52%	46%	49%	50%
living	(DK)	9	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	Net Primary/major factor	-4	-1	+20	-7	-11	-4	+6	+1	-2

				Educ	ation	Durat	tion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Policy preference: Prioritize	Prioritize basics	495	71%	81%		61%	70%	76%	72%	70%
basics vs Maintain spending	Maintain spending levels	196	28%	18%	31%	38%	29%	23%	26%	30%
levels	(Both)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	0%	-
	(Neither)	6	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Policy preference: Prioritize	Prioritize basics	495	71%	81%	67%	61%	70%	76%	72%	70%
basics vs Maintain spending	Maintain spending levels	196	28%	18%	31%	38%	29%	23%	26%	30%
levels	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	9	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Net Prioritize basics	+299	+43	+64	+36	+23	+42	+53	+46	+40
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	215	31%	37%	29%	40%	36%	24%	24%	38%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	444	63%	54%	66%	54%	61%	70%	70%	57%
local issues	(Both)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	(Neither)	28	4%	8%	3%	3%	2%	5%	5%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	0%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	215	31%	37%	29%	40%	36%	24%	24%	38%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	444	63%	54%	66%	54%	61%	70%	70%	57%
local issues	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	41	6%	9%	5%	6%	3%	6%	6%	5%
	Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda	-229	-33	-16	-38	-13	-25	-45	-46	-19
Agree: Recently passed laws,	Strongly agree	121	17%	15%	18%	14%	16%	19%	19%	16%
such as installing closed circuit	Somewhat agree	235	34%	31%	34%	28%	29%	38%	39%	28%
cameras to capture real-time	Somewhat disagree	171	24%	13%	28%	25%	29%	22%	24%	24%
information about crime on our	Strongly disagree	150	21%	34%	17%	28%	23%	18%	17%	26%
streets and keeping people out	(Don't know/Refused)	24	3%	6%	2%	4%	4%	3%	1%	5%
of areas where they have										
Agree: Recently passed laws,	Agree	356	51%	46%	52%	43%	45%	57%	58%	44%
such as installing closed circuit	Disagree	320	46%	48%	45%	53%	52%	40%	41%	50%
cameras to capture real-time	(DK/Ref)	24	3%	6%	2%	4%	4%	3%	1%	5%
information about crime on our	Net Agree	+36	+5	-2	+7	-11	-7	+18	+17	-6
streets and keeping people out										

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
1				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
City leadership priority: Taking	1 – Not at all important	1	0%	-	0%	-	1%	-	-	0%
care of the basics like filling	2	5	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
potholes and maintaining our	3	7	1%	2%	1%	-	-	2%	1%	1%
parks and local transportation	4	53	8%	9%	7%	9%	8%	7%	7%	8%
infrastructure	5	164	23%	27%	22%	28%	30%	18%	23%	24%
	6	160	23%	18%	24%	21%	20%	25%	23%	23%
	7 – Extremely important	311	44%	41%	45%	42%	39%	48%	46%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.99	5.81	6.05	5.93	5.84	6.09	6.06	5.93
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	113	16%	17%	16%	14%	16%	17%	19%	14%
Protecting core progressive	2	31	4%	3%	5%	2%	6%	5%	6%	3%
values like social justice, equity,	3	54	8%	4%	9%	7%	9%	8%	8%	7%
and inclusivity	4	69	10%	13%	9%	11%	12%	9%	10%	9%
1	5	102	15%	11%	16%	16%	11%	16%	15%	14%
	6	92	13%	13%	13%	10%	11%	16%	12%	14%
	7 – Extremely important	238	34%	39%	33%	41%	36%	30%	29%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
	Mean	700	4.78	4.93	4.73	5.05	4.70	4.67	4.51	5.05
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	8	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Protecting local jobs and small	2	10	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
businesses in this uncertain	3	21	3%	0%	4%	5%	2%	2%	4%	2%
economic environment by	4	72	10%	9%	11%	9%	11%	11%	9%	11%
lowering the cost to start and	5	137	20%	15%	21%	22%	18%	19%	23%	16%
run a business in Seattle	6	136	19%	18%	20%	19%	16%		19%	
1	7 – Extremely important	316	45%	56%	42%	42%	49%	45%	43%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	_	_	_	_	-	-	_	_	_
	Mean	700	5.84	6.17	5.74	5.70	5.88	5.90	5.79	5.89

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	16	1	3%	2%	3%	6%		2%	
Continuing to reduce the	2	31	1	7%	4%	7%	6%		3%	
number of homeless	3	38	5%	4%	6%	9%	5%	4%	3%	7%
encampments	4	76	11%	15%	9%	12%	12%	10%	12%	1
	5	117	17%	18%	17%	16%	15%	18%	14%	20%
	6	112	16%	11%	18%	15%	15%		16%	
	7 – Extremely important	307	44%	41%	45%	38%	39%	49%	50%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	1	1%	0%	-	1%		-	1%
	Mean	698	5.60	5.37	5.67	5.30	5.28	5.87	5.83	5.36
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	14	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	1%	3%	1%
Maintaining progress on public	2	18	3%	2%	3%	4%	5%	1%	1%	4%
safety and reducing street	3	37	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%	4%	4%	6%
disorder by continuing to shut	4	69	10%	10%	10%	14%	12%	7%	8%	12%
down open-air drug markets	5	103	15%	20%	13%	14%	16%	15%	13%	16%
	6	90	13%	10%	14%	13%	8%	15%	14%	12%
	7 – Extremely important	366	52%	49%	53%	45%	50%	57%	57%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	2%	0%	1%	-	1%	-	1%
	Mean	695	5.82	5.78	5.83	5.51	5.60	6.07	5.96	5.69
City leadership priority: Updating	; 1 – Not at all important	52	7%	8%	7%	5%	7%	9%	10%	5%
Seattle's zoning laws and	2	25	4%	3%	4%	1%	1%	6%	4%	3%
expediting permitting to allow	3	70	10%	9%	10%	5%	14%	11%	8%	12%
for more housing across the city,	4	106	15%	16%	15%	13%	7%	19%	17%	13%
even if it means there will be	5	132	19%	18%	19%	20%	20%	18%	16%	21%
more types of housing, like	6	108	15%	18%	15%	13%	19%	16%	18%	13%
duplexes and triplexes, in many	7 – Extremely important	207	30%	28%	30%	42%	32%	22%	26%	33%
single-family residential	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
neighborhoods	Mean	700	4.99	4.98	4.99	5.49	5.17	4.67	4.84	5.14

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	102	15%	16%	14%	13%	14%	16%	17%	12%
Aggressively standing up to the	2	31	4%	3%	5%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%
Trump Administration, including	3	35	5%	6%	5%	4%	6%	5%	7%	3%
joining lawsuits against the	4	51	7%	4%	8%	6%	5%	9%	8%	7%
administration when the federal	5	78	11%	6%	13%	11%	17%	9%	11%	12%
government overreaches	6	87	12%	12%	13%	12%	15%	11%	13%	12%
	7 – Extremely important	314	45%	53%	42%	49%	39%	45%	40%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	0%	-
	Mean	699	5.13	5.27	5.08	5.33	5.08	5.04	4.89	5.37
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	18	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%
Incentivizing businesses to	2	11	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
create family wage jobs here in	3	38	5%	8%	5%	4%	6%	6%	6%	4%
Seattle so that our local	4	93	13%	13%	13%	13%	16%	12%	14%	12%
economy stays resilient in the	5	161	23%	15%	25%	21%	20%	25%	25%	21%
face of national economic	6	148	21%	22%	21%	22%	16%	23%	21%	21%
uncertainty	7 – Extremely important	230	33%	39%	31%	37%	39%	28%	29%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Mean	699	5.48	5.66	5.42	5.62	5.55	5.38	5.35	5.60
City leadership priority: Taking	1-3 Not important	13	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%
care of the basics like filling	4/(DK/Ref)	53	8%	9%	7%	9%	8%	7%	7%	8%
potholes and maintaining our	5-7 Important	634	91%	86%	92%	90%	90%	91%	92%	90%
parks and local transportation										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	198	28%	24%	30%	23%	31%	30%	33%	24%
Protecting core progressive	4/(DK/Ref)	69	10%	13%	9%	11%	12%	9%	10%	9%
values like social justice, equity,	5-7 Important	432	62%	63%	61%	67%	57%	61%	57%	67%
and inclusivity										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	39	6%	1%	7%	9%	5%	4%	6%	5%
Protecting local jobs and small	4/(DK/Ref)	72	10%	9%	11%	9%	11%	11%	9%	11%
businesses in this uncertain	5-7 Important	588	84%	89%	82%	83%	83%	85%	84%	84%
economic environment by										

Number of cases Row percent City leadership priority: 1-3 Not im Continuing to reduce the 4/(DK/Ref) number of homeless 5-7 Import encampments City leadership priority: 1-3 Not im Maintaining progress on public safety and reducing street 5-7 Import disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not im Seattle's zoning laws and 4/(DK/Ref)	ant	n 700 85 78 537 68 74	% 100% 12% 11% 77%	<4-year degree 165 24% 14% 16% 70%	4-year degree+ 535 76% 12% 10%	1-10 years 186 27% 18% 12%	11-20 years 144 21%	7%	Owner 350 50%	I I
Row percent City leadership priority: 1-3 Not im Continuing to reduce the 4/(DK/Ref) number of homeless 5-7 Import encampments City leadership priority: 1-3 Not im Maintaining progress on public 4/(DK/Ref) safety and reducing street 5-7 Import disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not im	ant	700 85 78 537 68	100% 12% 11%	165 24% 14% 16%	535 76% 12% 10%	186 27% 18%	144 21% 18%	370 53% 7%	350 50%	350 50%
Row percent City leadership priority: 1-3 Not im Continuing to reduce the 4/(DK/Ref) number of homeless 5-7 Import encampments City leadership priority: 1-3 Not im Maintaining progress on public 4/(DK/Ref) safety and reducing street 5-7 Import disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not im	ant	85 78 537 68	12% 11%	24% 14% 16%	76% 12% 10%	27% 18%	21% 18%	53% 7%	50%	50%
City leadership priority: Continuing to reduce the number of homeless encampments City leadership priority: Maintaining progress on public safety and reducing street City leadership priority: 5-7 Import disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not im	ant	78 537 68	12% 11%	14% 16%	12% 10%	18%	18%	7%		
Continuing to reduce the number of homeless 5-7 Import encampments City leadership priority: 1-3 Not im Maintaining progress on public 4/(DK/Ref) safety and reducing street 5-7 Import disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not im	ant	78 537 68	11%	16%	10%	I		1 1	8%	17%
number of homeless 5-7 Import encampments City leadership priority: 1-3 Not im Maintaining progress on public 4/(DK/Ref) safety and reducing street 5-7 Import disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not im	portant	537 68				12%	430/			<i>i</i> 1
encampments City leadership priority: 1-3 Not im Maintaining progress on public 4/(DK/Ref) safety and reducing street 5-7 Import disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not im	portant	68	77%	70%		12/0	13%	10%	12%	10%
Maintaining progress on public 4/(DK/Ref) safety and reducing street 5-7 Import disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not im		1			79%	69%	70%	83%	80%	73%
safety and reducing street 5-7 Import disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not im		7/1	10%	9%	10%	14%	13%	6%	9%	11%
disorder by continuing to shut City leadership priority: Updating 1-3 Not im	ant	ı '4	11%	12%	10%	14%	12%	8%	8%	13%
		559	80%	79%	80%	72%	74%	86%	84%	76%
Seattle's zoning laws and 4/(DK/Ref)	portant	147	21%	20%	21%	12%	22%	25%	23%	19%
		106	15%	16%	15%	13%	7%	19%	17%	13%
expediting permitting to allow 5-7 Import	ant	447	64%	64%	64%	75%	71%	56%	60%	67%
for more housing across the city,										
City leadership priority: 1-3 Not im	portant	169	24%	25%	24%	21%	24%	26%	29%	20%
Aggressively standing up to the 4/(DK/Ref)		52	7%	4%	8%	6%	5%	9%	8%	7%
Trump Administration, including 5-7 Import	ant	479	68%	70%	68%	73%	71%	65%	63%	73%
joining lawsuits against the										
City leadership priority: 1-3 Not im	portant	67	10%	10%	10%	8%	9%	11%	11%	8%
Incentivizing businesses to 4/(DK/Ref)		94	13%	14%	13%	13%	16%	13%	14%	13%
create family wage jobs here in 5-7 Import	ant	539	77%	76%	77%	80%	75%	77%	75%	79%
Seattle so that our local										
Current downtown visit Once a we	ek or more	145	21%	19%	21%	21%	24%	19%	16%	25%
frequency (non-work) A few time	s a month	231	33%	29%	34%	43%	32%	28%	27%	39%
A few time	s a year	202	29%	24%	30%	20%	34%	31%	37%	21%
Rarely		94	13%	22%	11%	13%	6%	17%	16%	11%
Never		28	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
(Refused)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current downtown visit Weekly		145	21%	19%	21%	21%	24%	1 1	16%	I I
frequency (non-work) Few times	a month	231	33%	29%	34%	43%	32%	1 1	27%	
Less often		324	46%	53%	44%	36%	44%	52%	57%	36%

				Educ	ation	Durat	tion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165			144	370	350	I I
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Current downtown visit	Much more often	61	9%	7%	9%	11%	16%	5%	7%	11%
frequency compared to last year	Somewhat more often	128	18%	11%	21%	17%	16%	20%	19%	
	About the same	333	48%	50%	47%	43%	44%	51%	50%	45%
	Somewhat less often	79	11%	9%	12%	15%	9%	10%	11%	12%
	Much less often	96	14%	23%	11%	13%	14%	14%	14%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	0%	-	1%
Current downtown visit	More often	190	27%	18%	30%	28%	32%	25%	25%	29%
frequency compared to last year	Same/(DK/Ref)	335	48%	50%	47%	44%	44%	51%	50%	45%
	Less often	176	25%	32%	23%	28%	23%	24%	24%	26%
	Net More often	+14	+2	-14	+7	-0	+9	+0	+1	+3
Duration of residency	<2 years	11	2%	1%	2%	6%	-	-	1%	2%
	2-5 years	112	16%	8%	18%	60%	-	-	11%	21%
	6-10 years	63	9%	7%	10%	34%	-	-	8%	10%
	11-20 years	144	21%	23%	20%	-	100%	-	19%	22%
	>20 years	359	51%	58%	49%	-	-	97%	59%	43%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	186	27%	16%	30%	100%	-	-	20%	33%
	11-20 years	144	21%	23%	20%	-	100%	-	19%	22%
	>20 years	370	53%	60%	51%	-	-	100%	60%	45%
Party	Strong Democrat	272	39%	28%	42%	38%	32%	42%	41%	36%
	Not very strong Democrat	117	17%	21%	15%	15%	23%	15%	15%	18%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	57	8%	8%	8%	8%	6%	9%	9%	8%
	Independent	56	8%	7%	8%	7%	6%	9%	9%	7%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	30	4%	5%	4%	5%	2%	5%	5%	4%
	Not very strong Republican	15	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	3%
	Strong Republican	26	4%	6%	3%	2%	6%	4%	4%	3%
	Socialist	60	9%	8%	9%	16%	11%	4%	7%	10%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	66	9%	14%	8%	8%	12%	9%	8%	11%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Party	Socialist	60	9%	8%	9%	16%	11%	4%	7%	10%
	Democrat	447	64%	57%	66%	61%	61%	67%	65%	62%
	Independent	122	17%	21%	16%	15%	18%	19%	17%	18%
	Republican	71	10%	14%	9%	8%	11%	11%	10%	10%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	134	19%	17%	20%	29%	22%	13%	16%	22%
	2	160	23%	20%	24%	23%	22%	23%	24%	21%
	3	174	25%	18%	27%	23%	23%	26%	25%	25%
	4	89	13%	13%	13%	7%	10%	17%	16%	9%
	5	66	9%	13%	8%	9%	6%	11%	9%	10%
	6	25	4%	7%	3%	2%	6%	4%	3%	4%
	7 – Very conservative	18	3%	5%	2%	1%	5%	3%	3%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	34	5%	7%	4%	6%	6%	4%	4%	6%
	Mean	666	2.91	3.29	2.80	2.49	2.93	3.11	2.97	2.85
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	468	67%	55%	71%	75%	67%	63%	66%	68%
	Moderate	122	17%	19%	17%	13%	16%	20%	20%	15%
	Conservative	110	16%	25%	13%	11%	17%	17%	15%	17%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	165	24%	21%	24%	22%	22%	25%	25%	22%
	2	104	15%	12%	16%	14%	13%	16%	17%	13%
	3	141	20%	16%	21%	22%	18%	20%	20%	20%
	4	122	17%	22%	16%	16%	14%	19%	15%	20%
	5	77	11%	10%	11%	15%	11%	9%	11%	11%
	6	34	5%	7%	4%	4%	9%	4%	4%	5%
	7 – Very conservative	15	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	42	6%	10%		5%	12%	5%	6%	
	Mean	658	3.01	3.24	2.94	3.11	3.12	2.92	2.92	3.10
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	409	58%	49%	61%	58%	53%	61%	61%	56%
	Moderate	164	23%	31%		21%	26%	1		
	Conservative	127	18%	20%	18%	21%	21%	15%	18%	19%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535		144		350	
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	32%	56%	39%	47%	57%	100%	-
	Renter	350	50%	68%	44%	61%	53%	43%	-	100%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	71%	74%	67%	74%	76%	76%	70%
	African American or Black	28	4%	9%	2%	4%	4%	4%	2%	6%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	9%	5%	8%	7%	5%	5%	7%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	2%	10%	9%	9%	7%	8%	8%
	Something else	49	7%	5%	8%	10%	5%	6%	8%	6%
	(Refused)	14	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	71%	74%	67%	74%	76%	76%	70%
	African American or Black	28	4%	9%	2%	4%	4%	4%	2%	6%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	9%	5%	8%	7%	5%	5%	7%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	2%	10%	9%	9%	7%	8%	8%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	63	9%	9%	9%	12%	7%	8%	10%	8%
Ethnicity	White	511	73%	71%	74%	67%	74%	76%	76%	70%
	POC	175	25%	26%	25%	31%	25%	22%	22%	28%
	(Ref)	14	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	138	20%	24%	18%	31%	28%	11%	10%	29%
	30-39	176	25%	17%	28%	42%	27%	16%	23%	28%
	40-49	119	17%	17%	17%	15%	22%	16%	18%	16%
	50-64	138	20%	19%	20%	9%	15%	27%	25%	14%
	65+	129	18%	23%	17%	3%	8%	30%	24%	13%
Two-Age Split	18-39	314	45%	41%	46%	73%	56%	26%	33%	57%
	40+	386	55%	59%	54%	27%	44%	74%	67%	43%
Generation	18-39	314	45%	41%	46%	73%	56%	26%	33%	57%
	40-64	257	37%	35%	37%	24%	37%	43%	43%	30%
	65+	129	18%	23%	17%	3%	8%	30%	24%	13%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Education	Some grade school	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Some high school	5	1%	3%	-	-	1%	1%	0%	1%
	Graduated high school	17	2%	10%	-	0%	2%	4%	2%	3%
	Technical/vocational school	20	3%	12%	-	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%
	Some college/<4-year degree	111	16%	67%	-	12%	18%	17%	9%	23%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	298	43%	-	56%	45%	45%	40%	43%	42%
	Graduate/professional degree	238	34%	-	44%	40%	28%	33%	42%	26%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	7%	-	-	3%	2%	0%	3%
Education	<4-year degree	165	24%	100%	-	14%	27%	27%	15%	32%
	4-year degree+	535	76%	-	100%	86%	73%	73%	85%	68%
Education	Less than college	165	24%	100%	-	14%	27%	27%	15%	32%
	Graduated college	298	43%	-	56%	45%	45%	40%	43%	42%
	Graduate/professional degree	238	34%	-	44%	40%	28%	33%	42%	26%
Gender	Men	334	48%	54%	46%	57%	50%	42%	50%	46%
	Women	344	49%	41%	52%	38%	45%	56%	48%	50%
	Non-binary	8	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	0%	2%
	Another gender identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(Refused)	14	2%	5%	1%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%
Region	South	203	29%	33%	28%	24%	24%	34%	35%	23%
	Central	200	29%	30%	28%	33%	33%	24%	18%	39%
	North	298	43%	36%	44%	43%	43%	42%	47%	38%

Number of cases Row percent 100% 24% 76% 27% 21% 53% 50%					Educ	ation	Durat	tion of resid	dency	Home	owner
Number of cases Row percent 100% 24% 76% 27% 21% 53% 50%					<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
Row percent 100% 24% 76% 27% 21% 53% 50%			n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
City Council District 1 109 16% 16% 15% 9% 12% 20% 22% 95 14% 18% 12% 14% 12% 14% 13% 3 112 16% 19% 15% 17% 19% 15% 12% 4 88 13% 10% 13% 14% 12% 12% 15% 5 98 14% 16% 13% 14% 19% 12% 14% 6 112 16% 11% 18% 16% 13% 17% 14% 10% 7% 88 13% 11% 13% 17% 14% 10% 7% 88 13% 11% 13% 17% 14% 10% 7% 88 13% 11% 13% 17% 14% 10% 7% 88 13% 11% 13% 17% 14% 10% 7% 666 189 27% 19% 30% 12% 28% 34% 36% 666 189 27% 19% 30% 12% 28% 34% 36% 67 152 22% 17% 22% 16% 14% 21% 17% 21% M 65+ 62 9% 13% 8% 2% 3% 15% 11% F 18-39 152 22% 17% 20% 16% 14% 21% 17% 21% F 65+ 67 10% 10% 9% 13% 24% 21% F 65+ 67 10% 10% 9% 13% 24% 21% F 65+ 67 10% 10% 9% 13% 24% 21% F 65+ 67 10% 10% 9% 13% 24% 21% F 65+ 67 10% 10% 9% 13% 55% 16% 13% Other 20 10% 29% 29% 29% 29% 34% 28% 26% 30% Party/Gender D Men 201 29% 29% 29% 34% 28% 26% 30%	Number of cases		700		165	535	186	144	370	350	350
2 95 14% 18% 12% 14% 12% 14% 13% 3 112 16% 19% 15% 17% 19% 15% 12% 4 88 13% 10% 13% 14% 12% 14% 12% 14% 6 98 14% 16% 13% 14% 19% 12% 14% 6 112 16% 11% 18% 16% 13% 17% 18% 7 88 13% 11% 13% 17% 14% 10% 7% 88 13% 11% 13% 17% 14% 10% 7% 6 15% 6/6 175 25% 22% 26% 18% 19% 30% 27% 6/6 189 27% 19% 30% 12% 28% 34% 36% 6 189 27% 19% 30% 12% 28% 34% 36% 6 15% 6 120 17% 20% 16% 14% 21% 17% 21% M 65+ 62 9% 13% 8% 2% 3% 15% 11% F 40-64 125 18% 13% 19% 9% 13% 24% 21% F 65+ 65+ 67 10% 10% 10% 9% 1% 5% 16% 13% Other 201 29% 29% 29% 34% 28% 26% 30% Party/Gender D Men	Row percent			100%	24%	76%	27%	21%	53%	50%	50%
Semble S	City Council District	1	109	16%	16%	15%	9%	12%	20%	22%	9%
A		2	95	14%	18%	12%	14%	12%	14%	13%	14%
S		3	112	16%	19%	15%	17%	19%	15%	12%	20%
6		4	88	13%	10%	13%	14%	12%	12%	15%	10%
7		5	98	14%	16%	13%	14%	19%	12%	14%	14%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24) 0-3/6 4-5/6 6-6 175 25% 22% 26% 18% 19% 30% 27% 6-6-6 189 27% 19% 30% 12% 28% 34% 36% Gender/Generation M 18-39 M 40-64 M 65+ F 18-39 F 40-64 F 65+ Other Other Party/Gender D Men M 10-3/6 4-8/8 59% 45% 70% 53% 35% 37% 4-5/6 175 25% 22% 26% 18% 19% 30% 12% 28% 34% 36% 22% 21% 22% 42% 26% 10% 18% 120 17% 20% 16% 14% 21% 17% 21% 152 22% 17% 23% 28% 27% 16% 15% 158% 13% 19% 9% 13% 24% 21% 158% 13% 19% 9% 13% 24% 21% 159% 22% 37% 6% 2% 4% 5% 2% 2% Party/Gender D Men 201 29% 29% 29% 34% 28% 26% 30%		6	112	16%	11%	18%	16%	13%	17%	18%	14%
4-5/6 6/6 189 27% 19% 30% 12% 28% 34% 36% Gender/Generation M 18-39 M 40-64 M 65+ F 18-39 F 40-64 F 65+ Other Other Party/Gender D Men 4-5/6 6/6 189 27% 19% 30% 12% 26% 18% 19% 30% 12% 22% 42% 26% 10% 18% 19% 30% 12% 22% 42% 26% 10% 18% 11% 21% 17% 21% 17% 21% 18% 13% 19% 9% 13% 28% 27% 16% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15		7	88	13%	11%	13%	17%	14%	10%	7%	18%
Gender/Generation M 18-39 M 40-64 M 40-64 M 65+ M 65+ M 66-64 M	Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	0-3/6	336	48%	59%	45%	70%	53%	35%	37%	59%
Gender/Generation M 18-39		4-5/6	175	25%	22%	26%	18%	19%	30%	27%	23%
M 40-64 M 65+ F 18-39 F 40-64 F 65+ Other D Men M 40-64 D Men 120 17% 20% 16% 14% 21% 17% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21% 21		6/6	189	27%	19%	30%	12%	28%	34%	36%	18%
M 65+ F 18-39 F 40-64 F 65+ Other D Men M 65+ D Men M 65+ D Men 62 9% 13% 88 2% 3% 28% 27% 16% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 16% 15% 15% 15% 16% 15% 15% 16% 15% 15% 16% 15% 15% 16% 15% 15% 16% 13% 19% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 10% 9% 10% 9% 10% 10% 10% 9% 10% 10% 9% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10	Gender/Generation	M 18-39	151	22%	21%	22%	42%	26%	10%	18%	25%
F 18-39 F 40-64 F 65+ Other D Men F 18-39 F 40-64 F 40-64 F 40-64 F 65+ Other D Men 152 22% 17% 23% 28% 27% 16% 15% 15% 18% 13% 19% 9% 13% 9% 13% 5% 16% 13% 24% 21% 21% 21% 22% 38% 6% 2% 4% 5% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2%		M 40-64	120	17%	20%	16%	14%	21%	17%	21%	14%
F 40-64		M 65+	62	9%	13%	8%	2%	3%	15%	11%	7%
F 65+ 67 10% 10% 9% 1% 5% 16% 13% Other 22 3% 6% 2% 4% 5% 2% 2% 2% Party/Gender D Men 201 29% 29% 29% 34% 28% 26% 30%		F 18-39	152	22%	17%	23%	28%	27%	16%	15%	29%
Other 22 3% 6% 2% 4% 5% 2% 2% Party/Gender D Men 201 29% 29% 29% 34% 28% 26% 30%		F 40-64	125	18%	13%	19%	9%	13%	24%	21%	14%
Party/Gender D Men 201 29% 29% 29% 34% 28% 26% 30%		F 65+	67	10%	10%	9%	1%	5%	16%	13%	6%
		Other	22	3%	6%	2%	4%	5%	2%	2%	4%
1 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	Party/Gender	D Men	201	29%	29%	29%	34%	28%	26%	30%	28%
ן women 239 34% 27% 36% 24% 32% 40% 35%		D Women	239	34%	27%	36%	24%	32%	40%	35%	34%
R/I Men 101 14% 19% 13% 13% 17% 14% 16%		R/I Men	101	14%	19%	13%	13%	17%	14%	16%	13%
R/I Women 83 12% 13% 12% 9% 9% 15% 11%		R/I Women	83	12%	13%	12%	9%	9%	15%	11%	13%
Other 76 11% 13% 10% 19% 15% 5% 9%		Other	76	11%	13%	10%	19%	15%	5%	9%	13%

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		151	120	62	152	125	67
Row percent			100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.81	5.20	4.69	5.13	4.75	4.40	5.09
Seattle right direction/wrong	Right direction	346	49%	47%	49%	57%	48%	48%	60%
track	Wrong track	319	46%	45%	50%	40%	43%	48%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	35	5%	8%	1%	3%	9%	4%	3%
	Net Right direction	+27	+4	+2	-1	+17	+5	-0	+23
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	314	45%	48%	39%	36%	48%	49%	38%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	97	14%	18%	15%	11%	11%	14%	8%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	86	12%	6%	19%	19%	7%	14%	20%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	76	11%	6%	8%	7%	16%	11%	20%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	72	10%	7%	12%	13%	12%	8%	8%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	64	9%	7%	11%	13%	3%	15%	11%
	Walkability/Transit	42	6%	9%	3%	4%	7%	5%	5%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	37	5%	8%	7%	3%	3%	5%	4%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	18	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	3%	5%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	10	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	4%
	Other	32	5%	8%	1%	7%	5%	3%	7%
	Nothing/Don't know	12	2%	2%	1%	0%	2%	3%	1%

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		151	120	62	152	125	67
Row percent			100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	258	37%	27%	41%	48%	 29%	45%	47%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	211	30%	21%	43%	37%	24%	33%	31%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	208	30%	41%	22%	11%	34%	32%	23%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	71	10%	10%	10%	13%	10%	9%	7%
	Taxes	35	5%	4%	8%	7%	4%	6%	3%
	Jobs/Economy	34	5%	4%	5%	6%	2%	7%	6%
	Growth/Development/Population	33	5%	5%	3%	3%	6%	4%	9%
	Traffic/Congestion	31	4%	2%	3%	8%	4%	6%	8%
	Public transportation	31	4%	9%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	26	4%	2%	1%	6%	4%	6%	9%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	19	3%	3%	4%	0%	2%	3%	2%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	17	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	14	2%	0%	3%	5%	2%	1%	1%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	11	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	0%
	Climate change/Environment	7	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%
	COVID-19/Coronavirus	1	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	23	3%	4%	1%	3%	5%	3%	3%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	5	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%
Change in quality of life in	Much better	18	3%	5%	4%	1%	_	1%	4%
Seattle	Somewhat better	181	26%	30%	27%	41%	26%	16%	20%
	The same	155	22%	22%	19%	8%	27%	21%	30%
	Somewhat worse	219	31%	32%	28%	26%	23%	46%	30%
	Much worse	118	17%	9%	20%	23%	21%	15%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	2%	-	1%	3%	1%	-
Change in quality of life in	Better	199	28%	35%	32%	42%	26%	17%	24%
Seattle	Same/(DK/Ref)	164	23%	24%	19%	10%	30%	23%	30%
	Worse	337	48%	41%	49%	48%	44%	60%	45%
	Net Better	-138				-6	1	-44	-21

						Gender/G	eneration		
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		151	120	62	152	125	67
Row percent		/00	100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%
now percent			10070	2270	1770	370	2270	1070	1070
Considered moving out of	Yes	385	55%	47%	54%	47%	61%	63%	48%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	315	45%	53%	46%	53%	39%	37%	52%
Still actively considering moving	Yes	304	79%	83%	79%	84%	79%	81%	66%
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	80	21%	17%	21%	16%	21%	19%	34%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	304	43%	38%	43%	40%	48%	51%	32%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	80	11%	8%	12%	8%	13%	12%	16%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	315	45%	53%	46%	53%	39%	37%	52%
U.S. right direction/wrong track	Right direction	91	13%	12%	20%	21%	4%	11%	11%
	Wrong track	604	86%	87%	78%	79%	95%	89%	89%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	0%	2%	-	2%	-	-
	Net Right direction	-512	-73	-75	-58	-59	-91	-77	-78
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	152	22%	37%	17%	22%	22%	13%	12%
future of this region	Somewhat agree	332	47%	40%	48%	44%	49%	52%	60%
	Somewhat disagree	145	21%	15%	20%	26%	22%	26%	17%
	Strongly disagree	68	10%	7%	15%	8%	7%	9%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I'm worried about the	Strongly agree	236	34%	27%	38%	47%	31%	32%	34%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	262	37%	35%	35%	39%	28%	49%	50%
	Somewhat disagree	157	22%	27%	22%	11%	33%	15%	16%
	Strongly disagree	44	6%	11%	5%	2%	9%	3%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	73	10%	17%	13%	12%	5%	6%	8%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	312	45%	54%		41%	49%	44%	48%
	Somewhat disagree	200		20%	37%	26%	27%	34%	26%
	Strongly disagree	111	16%		18%	21%	17%	15%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		151	120	62	152	125	67
Row percent			100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	366	52%	59%	46%	44%		53%	53%
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	229	33%	30%	36%	32%		1 1	32%
day	Somewhat disagree	64	9%	7%	12%	15%		14%	8%
	Strongly disagree	41	6%	4%	7%	9%	7%	3%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	119	17%	23%	20%	7%	16%	16%	12%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	211	30%	38%	29%	37%	27%	27%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	196	28%	23%	22%	30%	30%	34%	33%
	Strongly disagree	173	25%	15%	28%	26%	28%	23%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	118	17%	26%	21%	13%	13%	13%	11%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	302	43%	51%	35%	43%	55%	36%	34%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	179	26%	20%	26%	23%	20%	31%	37%
	Strongly disagree	100	14%	2%	19%	21%	13%	20%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	335	48%	46%	45%	49%	55%	46%	49%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	242	35%	44%	32%	26%	33%	32%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	74	11%	7%	13%	12%	8%	13%	10%
	Strongly disagree	46	7%	2%	10%	11%	4%	9%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	50	7%	6%	9%	4%	5%	10%	12%
meaningful progress in reducing	Somewhat agree	241	34%	30%	30%	52%	30%	34%	50%
the number of homeless	Somewhat disagree	193	28%	32%	29%	22%	23%	30%	21%
encampments	Strongly disagree	211	30%	31%	32%	22%		1	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	-	2%

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		151	120	62	152	125	67
Row percent			100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	41	6%	6%	6%	5%		5%	12%
meaningful progress on public	Somewhat agree	306	44%	37%	44%	53%		43%	53%
safety	Somewhat disagree	196	28%	39%	17%	25%		34%	17%
	Strongly disagree	153	22%	17%	33%	17%	22%	17%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	2%
Agree: Seattle has made	Strongly agree	27	4%	7%	4%	4%	1%	3%	5%
meaningful progress on shutting	Somewhat agree	226	32%	28%	32%	43%	29%	30%	47%
down open air drug markets	Somewhat disagree	223	32%	28%	29%	31%	34%	34%	32%
	Strongly disagree	204	29%	35%	35%	23%	31%	28%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	2%	0%	-	4%	6%	4%
Agree: The City needs to	Strongly agree	308	44%	62%	43%	38%	45%	33%	29%
streamline permitting to make it	Somewhat agree	240	34%	30%	38%	44%	33%	35%	33%
easier and faster to build new	Somewhat disagree	83	12%	6%	11%	11%	9%	20%	22%
housing to address Seattle's	Strongly disagree	58	8%	2%	9%	5%	9%	11%	15%
housing affordability crisis	(Don't know/Refused)	10	1%	-	-	3%	4%	1%	2%
Agree: I am worried that	Strongly agree	445	64%	69%	51%	41%	71%	71%	67%
national economic policies will	Somewhat agree	147	21%	20%	28%	30%	18%	16%	23%
lead to a recession	Somewhat disagree	49	7%	5%	10%	16%	3%	8%	3%
	Strongly disagree	53	8%	5%	9%	13%	6%	5%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	-	2%	-	1%	1%	2%
Agree: I've become more	Strongly agree	352	50%	55%	36%	38%	58%	55%	52%
concerned about my personal	Somewhat agree	159	23%	19%	24%	21%		22%	33%
financial situation since	Somewhat disagree	94	13%	14%	19%	17%	l	12%	9%
President Trump took office	Strongly disagree	92	13%	10%	20%	24%		11%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%		2%	-	-	-	-

				Gender/Generation						
			0,1	N4 4 0 3 0	1446.54	N4 65	F 4 C 2 2	F 40.54	5.65	
N. J. C.		700	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		/00	l	151	120	62	152	125	67	
Row percent			100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%	
Agree: Businesses are closing	Strongly agree	326	47%	37%	47%	50%	56%	46%	38%	
down or leaving Seattle for	Somewhat agree	202	29%	26%	33%	29%	22%	35%	37%	
nearby cities because the cost of	Somewhat disagree	124	18%	27%	15%	12%	12%	15%	23%	
doing business has gotten too	Strongly disagree	44	6%	10%	5%	8%	7%	4%	-	
high here	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	
Agree: Building more housing	Strongly agree	214	31%	57%	34%	23%	26%	14%	15%	
will help slow down Seattle's	Somewhat agree	254	36%	29%	35%	40%	40%	40%	37%	
increasing housing costs	Somewhat disagree	141	20%	10%	17%	22%	20%	29%	33%	
	Strongly disagree	87	12%	3%	14%	15%	15%	16%	12%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	3%	
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	484	69%	77%	65%	66%	71%	65%	72%	
future of this region	Disagree	214	31%	22%	35%	34%	29%		28%	
ratare or this region	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	2%	-	-		-		
	Net Agree	+270	l		+30	+32	+42	+30	+44	
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	498	71%	62%	73%	87%	59%	81%	84%	
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	201	29%		27%	13%	41%		16%	
Tatale of downtown seattle	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	1%	2770	1370	-	1%	-	
	Net Agree	+297	+42	+24	+46	+73	+18		+67	
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	385	55%	71%	460/	53%	54%	50%	57%	
future of downtown Seattle	Agree Disagree	311	44%	29%	46% 54%	53% 47%	44%	50%	42%	
ruture or downtown Seattle	_		1%	29%	54%	4/%	2%	50%		
	(DK/Ref) Net Agree	+74	+11	+43	-9	+5	+10	+1	2% +15	
	Net Agree	T/4	+11	T43	-9	+3	+10	71	+13	
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	595	85%	89%	82%	76%	91%	84%	85%	
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	105	15%	11%	18%	24%	9%	16%	15%	
day	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Net Agree	+489	+70	+79	+63	+51	+81	+67	+70	

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		151	120	62	152	125	67
Row percent			100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	330	47%	61%	49%	43%	43%	43%	42%
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	368	53%	39%	50%	56%	57%	57%	58%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-38	-5	+22	-1	-12	-15	-14	-16
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	420	60%	77%	56%	56%	67%	49%	45%
growth and development has	Disagree	279	40%	22%	44%	44%	33%	51%	55%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+141	+20	+55	+11	+12	+35	-2	-9
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	577	82%	90%	77%	75%	89%	78%	84%
Seattleite	Disagree	120	17%	9%	23%	24%	11%	22%	16%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	-	1%	_	1%	-
	Net Agree	+457	+65	+81	+54	+52	+77	+56	+68
Agree: The City has made	Agree	291	42%	36%	38%	56%	35%	44%	62%
meaningful progress in reducing	Disagree	404	58%		61%	44%		56%	36%
the number of homeless	(DK/Ref)	5	1%		0%	-	1%	-	2%
encampments	Net Agree	-113	-16		-23	+12	-29	-12	+26
Agree: The City has made	Agree	347	50%	43%	50%	58%	49%	48%	65%
meaningful progress on public	Disagree	349	50%		50%	42%		52%	33%
safety	(DK/Ref)	4	1%		-	-	_	1%	2%
,	Net Agree	-2	-0		+0	+16	-1	-4	+32
Agree: Seattle has made	Agree	253	36%	36%	36%	46%	30%	33%	52%
meaningful progress on shutting	_	428	61%		63%			62%	44%
down open air drug markets	(DK/Ref)	20	3%		0%	-	4%	6%	4%
	Net Agree	-175	-25		-27	-7	-35	-29	+9
	iner Agree	-1/5	-25	-27	-2/	-/	-35	-29	

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		700		151	120	62	152	125	67	
Row percent			100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%	
Agree: The City needs to	Agree	548	 78%	92%	81%	82%	 78%	69%	62%	
streamline permitting to make it	Disagree	142	20%	8%	19%	16%	18%	31%	36%	
easier and faster to build new	(DK/Ref)	10	1%	-	-	3%	4%	1%	2%	
housing to address Seattle's housing affordability crisis	Net Agree	+407	+58	+84	+62	+66	+59	+38	+25	
Agree: I am worried that	Agree	592	85%	89%	79%	71%	89%	87%	90%	
national economic policies will	Disagree	102	15%	11%	19%	29%	1	13%	8%	
lead to a recession	(DK/Ref)	6		_	2%	-	1%	1%	2%	
	Net Agree	+490	l	+78		+42	1		+82	
Agree: I've become more	Agree	510	73%	74%	60%	59%	82%	77%	85%	
concerned about my personal	Disagree	186	27%	25%	38%	41%	18%	23%	15%	
financial situation since	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	-	
President Trump took office	Net Agree	+324	+46	+49	+22	+18	+63	+55	+70	
Agree: Businesses are closing	Agree	527	75%	63%	80%	79%	78%	81%	75%	
down or leaving Seattle for	Disagree	168	24%	37%	20%	21%	20%	19%	23%	
nearby cities because the cost of	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	
doing business has gotten too high here	Net Agree	+359	+51	+26	+59	+59	+58	+61	+52	
Agree: Building more housing	Agree	468	67%	85%	69%	63%	66%	54%	52%	
will help slow down Seattle's	Disagree	228	33%	14%	31%	37%	34%	45%	45%	
increasing housing costs	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	3%	
	Net Agree	+240	+34	+72	+38	+26	+31	+10	+7	
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	230	33%	22%	39%	42%	27%	41%	32%	
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	203	29%	28%	30%	29%	29%	29%	34%	
	Too low	72	10%	18%	10%	6%	10%	7%	4%	
	About right	190	27%	31%	21%	22%	33%	21%	30%	
	(Don't know)	5	1%	-	-	1%	1%	2%	-	

				Gender/Generation						
			0.4				5 40 00	- 10 C1	5.65	
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		700	4000/	151	120	62		125	67	
Row percent			100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%	
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	433	62%	51%	68%	71%	56%	69%	66%	
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	194	28%	31%	21%	23%	34%	23%	30%	
	Too low	72	10%	18%	10%	6%	10%	7%	4%	
	Net Too high	+361	+52	+33	+58	+65	+46	+62	+63	
Downtown Seattle recovery	1 – Very pessimistic	75	11%	8%	18%	12%	8%	10%	9%	
progress sentiment	2	147	21%	22%	21%	25%	21%	22%	9%	
	3	293	42%	39%	33%	41%	45%	49%	49%	
	4	156	22%	27%	25%	22%	21%	14%	27%	
	5 – Very optimistic	27	4%	3%	4%	-	5%	5%	4%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	
	Mean	697	2.88	2.97	2.76	2.73	2.95	2.80	3.08	
Downtown Seattle recovery	1-2 Pessimistic	222	32%	29%	38%	37%	29%	33%	18%	
progress sentiment	3/(DK)	296	42%	40%	33%	41%	45%	49%	51%	
	4-5 Optimistic	183	26%	30%	28%	22%	26%	19%	31%	
How much city regulations and	Primary factor	90	13%	9%	21%	19%	3%	15%	15%	
taxes contribute to high cost of	Major factor	254	36%	43%	37%	38%	1	38%	30%	
living	Minor factor	285	41%	38%				41%	46%	
	Not really a factor at all	63	9%	9%	6%	6%		5%	10%	
	(Don't know)	9	1%		-	-	4%	1%	-	
How much city regulations and	Primary/major factor	344	49%	52%	58%	57%	34%	53%	44%	
taxes contribute to high cost of	Minor/not really at all a factor	348	50%	47%	42%	43%		46%		
living	(DK)	9	1%	1%	-	-	4%	1%	-	
0	Net Primary/major factor	-4	-1	+5	+16	+14		+7	-12	

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700	70	151	120	62		125	67
Row percent		700	100%	22%	17%	9%	1	18%	10%
Policy preference: Prioritize	Prioritize basics	495	71%	59%	76%	83%	 67%	74%	77%
basics vs Maintain spending	Maintain spending levels	196	28%	39%	22%	17%		25%	21%
levels	(Both)	1 1	0%	3370	22/0	1770	3370	1%	21/0
levels	(Neither)	6	1%	2%	1%	_	1%		
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	2%
	Delegibles having	405	740/	F00/	7.00/	020/	670/	740/	770/
Policy preference: Prioritize	Prioritize basics	495	71%	59%	76%	83%		74%	77%
basics vs Maintain spending	Maintain spending levels	196	28%	39%	22%	17%		25%	21%
levels	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	. 200	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	2%
	Net Prioritize basics	+299	+43	+20	+54	+66	+34	+50	+56
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	215	31%	34%	19%	16%	52%	25%	20%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	444	63%	62%	73%	71%	46%	68%	74%
local issues	(Both)	3	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	2%
	(Neither)	28	4%	3%	5%	11%	1%	5%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	-	-
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	215	31%	34%	19%	16%	52%	25%	20%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on		444	63%	62%	73%	71%	46%	68%	74%
local issues	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	41	6%	4%	9%	14%	1	6%	6%
	Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda	-229	-33	-28	-54	-55	+6	-43	-53
Agree: Recently passed laws,	Strongly agree	121	17%	16%	20%	33%	11%	16%	20%
such as installing closed circuit	Somewhat agree	235	34%	19%	38%	38%	1	33%	65%
cameras to capture real-time	Somewhat disagree	171	24%	31%	18%	15%		34%	4%
information about crime on our	Strongly disagree	150	21%	29%	22%	12%		14%	9%
streets and keeping people out	(Don't know/Refused)	24	3%	6%	2%	1%	l	3%	3%
of areas where they have		_	3,0	0,0		_,,		, , ,	3,3
Agree: Recently passed laws,	Agree	356	51%	34%	58%	72%	43%	49%	85%
such as installing closed circuit	Disagree	320	46%		40%	27%	1		13%
cameras to capture real-time	(DK/Ref)	24	3%		2%	1%			3%
•	Net Agree	+36	+5			+45	1		+72
streets and keeping people out									

					Gender/G	eneration		
	n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
	700		151	120	62	152	125	67
		100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%
1 – Not at all important	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
2	5			0%	-	1%	1%	
3	7	1%	-	0%	-	-	4%	3%
4	53	8%	8%	6%	5%		6%	11%
5	164	23%	34%	23%	20%	22%	19%	17%
6	160	23%	20%	19%	20%	25%	29%	24%
7 – Extremely important	311	44%	37%	51%	55%	44%	41%	44%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	700	5.99	5.87	6.13	6.26	6.02	5.92	5.91
1 – Not at all important	113	16%	13%	31%	20%	9%	16%	4%
2	31	4%	6%	5%	4%	1%	4%	6%
3	54	8%	13%	7%	7%	3%	8%	9%
4	69	10%	8%	13%	11%	10%	10%	10%
5	102	15%	18%	9%	17%	17%	14%	14%
6	92	13%	8%	15%	18%	12%	16%	17%
7 – Extremely important	238	34%	34%	20%	22%	47%	33%	41%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	700	4.78	4.71	3.88	4.46	5.51	4.79	5.38
1 – Not at all important	8	1%	1%	1%	_	2%	2%	_
2	10	1%		1	3%	l	1%	
3	21	3%	4%	4%	4%	1%	4%	2%
4	72	10%		1	4%		15%	
5	137	20%		1	24%		19%	
6	136			1			15%	
7 – Extremely important				1				
• •	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
Mean	700	5.84	5.99	5.66	5.93	6.00	5.67	5.76
	2 3 4 5 6 7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused) Mean 1 - Not at all important 2 3 4 5 6 7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused) Mean 1 - Not at all important 2 3 4 5 6 7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused) Mean	1 - Not at all important 2 5 3 7 4 53 5 164 6 160 7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused) Mean 700 1 - Not at all important 2 31 3 54 4 69 5 102 6 92 7 - Extremely important 238 (Don't know/Refused) - Mean 700 1 - Not at all important 238 (Don't know/Refused) - Mean 700 1 - Not at all important 238 (Don't know/Refused) - Extremely important 8 2 10 3 21 4 72 5 137 6 136 7 - Extremely important 316 (Don't know/Refused) - Extremely important 316 (Don't know/Refused)	1 - Not at all important 2 5 1% 3 7 1% 4 53 8% 5 164 23% 6 160 23% 7 - Extremely important 311 44% (Don't know/Refused) Mean 700 5.99 1 - Not at all important 113 16% 2 31 4% 3 54 8% 4 69 10% 5 102 15% 6 92 13% 7 - Extremely important 238 34% (Don't know/Refused) Mean 700 4.78 1 - Not at all important 238 34% (Don't know/Refused) Mean 700 4.78 1 - Not at all important 238 34% (Don't know/Refused)	1 – Not at all important 1 0% - 2 5 1% - 3 7 1% - 4 53 8% 8% 5 164 23% 34% 6 160 23% 20% 7 – Extremely important 311 44% 37% (Don't know/Refused) - - - 1 – Not at all important 113 16% 13% 2 31 4% 6% 3 54 8% 13% 4 69 10% 8% 5 102 15% 18% 6 92 13% 8% 7 – Extremely important 238 34% 34% (Don't know/Refused) - - - 1 – Not at all important 8 1% 1% 2 10 1% 2% 3 21 3% 4% 4 7 10 1% 2% 3 21 3% </td <td> Tool 151 120 100% 22% 17% 151 120 100% 22% 17% 151 120 100% 22% 17% 151 120 151 120 152 17% 153 154 155 156 </td> <td> Not at all important 1</td> <td> Tool at all important</td> <td> N</td>	Tool 151 120 100% 22% 17% 151 120 100% 22% 17% 151 120 100% 22% 17% 151 120 151 120 152 17% 153 154 155 156	Not at all important 1	Tool at all important	N

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		700		151	120	62	152	125	67	
Row percent			100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%	
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	16	2%	1%	2%	-	4%	3%	1%	
Continuing to reduce the	2	31	4%	8%	3%	-	6%	3%	3%	
number of homeless	3	38	5%	6%	6%	-	11%	1%	1%	
encampments	4	76	11%	13%	6%	5%	16%	14%	6%	
	5	117	17%	18%	17%	20%	15%	14%	20%	
	6	112	16%	22%	15%	14%	12%	17%	19%	
	7 – Extremely important	307	44%	32%	50%	61%	37%	49%	49%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	
	Mean	698	5.60	5.33	5.80	6.30	5.17	5.78	5.99	
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	14	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	
Maintaining progress on public	2	18	3%	5%	4%	-	1%	1%	-	
safety and reducing street	3	37	5%	8%	5%	-	6%	4%	4%	
disorder by continuing to shut	4	69	10%	15%	6%	4%	13%	10%	7%	
down open-air drug markets	5	103	15%	14%	13%	8%	20%	15%	17%	
'	6	90	13%	13%	1	19%	1	16%	17%	
	7 – Extremely important	366	52%	43%	57%	68%	49%	53%	54%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	_	_	-	2%	1%	-	
	Mean	695	5.82	5.45	5.89	6.46	5.71	5.95	6.06	
City leadership priority: Updating	1 – Not at all important	52	7%	_	13%	6%	6%	12%	9%	
Seattle's zoning laws and	2	25	4%	1%	5%		1	7%	5%	
expediting permitting to allow	3	70	10%	7%	5%	20%	1	6%	12%	
for more housing across the city,	4	106	15%	9%	13%	11%	1	18%	27%	
even if it means there will be	5	132	19%	22%	22%	23%	1	18%	14%	
more types of housing, like	6	108	15%	13%	14%	13%	1	17%	20%	
duplexes and triplexes, in many	7 – Extremely important	207	30%	48%	28%	24%	1	22%	12%	
single-family residential	(Don't know/Refused)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	
neighborhoods	Mean	700	4.99	5.83	4.80	4.73	5.03	4.63	4.42	

						Gender/G	Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+				
Number of cases		700	70	151	120	62	152	125	67				
Row percent			100%	22%	17%	9%		18%	10%				
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	102	15%	13%	27%	25%	 4%	12%	9%				
Aggressively standing up to the	2	31	4%	3%	8%	3%	4%	4%	4%				
Trump Administration, including		35	5%	7%	5%	6%		4%	4%				
joining lawsuits against the	4	51	7%	7%	8%	7%		9%	8%				
administration when the federal	5	78	11%	14%	11%	8%	9%	13%	9%				
government overreaches	6	87	12%	12%	10%	8%	15%	14%	11%				
	7 – Extremely important	314	45%	44%	30%	43%	58%	44%	53%				
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%				
	Mean	699	5.13	5.18	4.16	4.67	5.90	5.24	5.55				
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	18	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%				
Incentivizing businesses to	2	11	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%				
create family wage jobs here in	3	38	5%	6%	9%	9%	4%	4%	3%				
Seattle so that our local	4	93	13%	15%	12%	7%	8%	18%	15%				
economy stays resilient in the	5	161	23%	16%	25%	20%	28%	25%	25%				
face of national economic	6	148	21%	18%	21%	21%	20%	23%	32%				
uncertainty	7 – Extremely important	230	33%	43%	29%	36%	39%	26%	19%				
·	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	_	-	2%				
	Mean	699	5.48	5.68	5.31	5.39	5.74	5.35	5.39				
City leadership priority: Taking	1-3 Not important	13	2%	_	1%	-	1%	5%	4%				
care of the basics like filling	4/(DK/Ref)	53	8%	8%	6%	5%	8%	6%	11%				
potholes and maintaining our	5-7 Important	634	91%	92%	93%	95%	91%	89%	85%				
parks and local transportation	·												
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	198	28%	32%	43%	31%	13%	28%	19%				
Protecting core progressive	4/(DK/Ref)	69	10%	8%	13%	11%	10%	10%	10%				
values like social justice, equity,	5-7 Important	432	62%	60%	44%	58%	77%	62%	72%				
and inclusivity													
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	39	6%	7%	6%	7%	3%	8%	3%				
Protecting local jobs and small	4/(DK/Ref)	72	10%	7%	14%	4%	7%	15%	15%				
businesses in this uncertain	5-7 Important	588	84%	86%	79%	89%	90%	77%	83%				
economic environment by													

						Gender/G	eneration		
			0/	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700	%	151	120	62		125	67
Row percent		/00	100%	22%	120 17%	9%	1	18%	10%
Now percent			100%	22/0	17/0	370	22/0	10/0	10%
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	85	12%	15%	11%	-	20%	6%	5%
Continuing to reduce the	4/(DK/Ref)	78	11%	13%	6%	5%	16%	14%	7%
number of homeless	5-7 Important	537	77%	72%	83%	95%	64%	79%	88%
encampments									
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	68	10%	15%	12%	1%	9%	7%	5%
Maintaining progress on public	4/(DK/Ref)	74	11%	15%	6%	4%	15%	10%	7%
safety and reducing street	5-7 Important	559	80%	70%	83%	95%	76%	83%	88%
disorder by continuing to shut									
City leadership priority: Updating	1-3 Not important	147	21%	8%	23%	30%	21%	25%	26%
Seattle's zoning laws and	4/(DK/Ref)	106	15%	9%	13%	11%	18%	18%	27%
expediting permitting to allow	5-7 Important	447	64%	83%	64%	59%	62%	57%	47%
for more housing across the city,									
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	169	24%	23%	41%	34%	12%	20%	17%
Aggressively standing up to the	4/(DK/Ref)	52	7%	7%	8%	7%	5%	9%	9%
Trump Administration, including	5-7 Important	479	68%	70%	51%	59%	83%	71%	74%
joining lawsuits against the									
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	67	10%	8%	14%	17%	6%	8%	6%
Incentivizing businesses to	4/(DK/Ref)	94	13%	15%	12%	7%	8%	18%	17%
create family wage jobs here in	5-7 Important	539	77%	77%	75%	76%	86%	74%	77%
Seattle so that our local									
Current downtown visit	Once a week or more	145	21%	24%	25%	8%	24%	19%	9%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	231	33%	45%	32%	17%	37%	26%	31%
	A few times a year	202	29%	21%	30%	46%	24%	35%	26%
	Rarely	94	13%	10%	8%	28%	l	15%	25%
	Never	28	4%	1%	5%	2%	5%	4%	8%
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current downtown visit	Weekly	145	21%	24%	25%	8%	24%	19%	9%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	231	33%	45%	32%	17%	37%	26%	31%
	Less often	324	46%	32%	43%	76%	39%	55%	59%

					Gender/G	eneration		
	n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
			151	120	62	152	125	67
		100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%
Much more often	61	9%	12%	2%	2%	16%	4%	10%
Somewhat more often	128	18%	17%	27%	20%	10%	22%	17%
About the same	333	48%	48%	46%	50%	42%	47%	56%
Somewhat less often	79	11%	14%	11%	10%	13%	10%	7%
Much less often	96	14%	10%	14%	18%	17%	16%	10%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
More often	190	27%	29%	29%	22%	26%	26%	27%
Same/(DK/Ref)	335	48%	48%	46%	50%	43%	47%	56%
Less often	176	25%	23%	25%	28%	30%	26%	17%
Net More often	+14	+2	+6	+4	-7	-4	-0	+11
<2 years	11	2%	4%	-	-	2%	1%	-
2-5 years	112	16%	37%	9%	5%	17%	8%	3%
6-10 years	63	9%	11%	12%	-	16%	4%	1%
11-20 years	144	21%	25%	25%	7%	25%	15%	10%
>20 years	359	51%	21%	51%	89%	37%	72%	85%
1-10 years	186	27%	52%	21%	5%	35%	13%	4%
11-20 years	144	21%	25%	25%	7%	25%	15%	10%
>20 years	370	53%	24%	53%	89%	40%	72%	86%
Strong Democrat	272	39%	34%	30%	43%	41%	44%	54%
Not very strong Democrat	117	17%	19%	19%	11%	21%	14%	14%
Independent, closer to Democratic party	57	8%	7%	8%	13%	7%	10%	8%
Independent	56	8%	7%	10%	12%	5%	11%	3%
Independent, closer to Republican party	30	4%	6%	6%	7%	1%	4%	4%
Not very strong Republican	15	2%	2%	1%	4%	1%	2%	3%
Strong Republican	26	4%	5%	7%	5%	1%	2%	4%
Socialist	60	9%	15%	8%	1%	12%	2%	-
(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	66	9%	4%	11%	4%	12%	11%	9%
	Somewhat more often About the same Somewhat less often Much less often (Don't know/Refused) More often Same/(DK/Ref) Less often Net More often <2 years 2-5 years 6-10 years 11-20 years >20 years 1-10 years Strong Democrat Not very strong Democrat Independent, closer to Democratic party Independent Independent, closer to Republican party Not very strong Republican Strong Republican Socialist	Much more often 61 Somewhat more often 128 About the same 333 Somewhat less often 79 Much less often 96 (Don't know/Refused) 2 More often 190 Same/(DK/Ref) 335 Less often 176 Net More often +14 <2 years	Much more often 61 9% Somewhat more often 128 18% About the same 333 48% Somewhat less often 79 11% Much less often 96 14% (Don't know/Refused) 2 0% More often 190 27% Same/(Dk/Ref) 335 48% Less often 176 25% Net More often +14 +2 <2 years	Much more often 61 9% 12% Somewhat more often 128 18% 17% About the same 333 48% 48% Somewhat less often 79 11% 14% Much less often 96 14% 10% (Don't know/Refused) 2 0% - More often 190 27% 29% Same/(DK/Ref) 335 48% 48% Less often 176 25% 23% Net More often +14 +2 +6 <2 years	Much more often	Name Name	Much more often	Note of ten

						Gender/G	eneration		
			0,	N4 4 0 3 2	N 40 C4	MAGE.	F 40 30	F 40.64	F. C.F.
Number of cases		700	%	M 18-39 151	M 40-64 120	M 65+ 62	F 18-39 152	F 40-64	F 65+ 67
Row percent		/00	100%	22%	120 17%	9%	22%	125 18%	10%
Row percent			100%	22%	1/%	9%	22%	18%	10%
Party	Socialist	60	9%	15%	8%	1%	12%	2%	-
	Democrat	447	64%	61%	56%	67%	68%	68%	76%
	Independent	122	17%	11%	21%	16%	17%	22%	12%
	Republican	71	10%	14%	15%	16%	3%	8%	12%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	134	19%	21%	15%	10%	25%	19%	10%
	2	160	23%	30%	14%	14%	28%	22%	24%
	3	174	25%	21%	24%	28%	24%	28%	35%
	4	89	13%	9%	21%	23%	5%	15%	11%
	5	66	9%	10%	15%	8%	7%	11%	4%
	6	25	4%	2%	5%	9%	5%	1%	3%
	7 – Very conservative	18	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	1%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	34	5%	3%	4%	3%	5%	3%	6%
	Mean	666	2.91	2.77	3.28	3.50	2.60	2.81	3.10
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	468	67%	72%	54%	52%	77%	69%	69%
	Moderate	122	17%	12%	25%	26%	10%	18%	17%
	Conservative	110	16%	16%	21%	21%	13%	13%	14%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	165	24%	29%	29%	19%	12%	26%	24%
, , ,	2	104	15%		20%	14%	11%	17%	17%
	3	141	20%	17%	21%	25%	23%	13%	25%
	4	122	17%	21%	8%	27%	17%	23%	14%
	5	77	11%	7%	10%	5%	21%	10%	7%
	6	34	5%	4%	5%	1%	8%	4%	3%
	7 – Very conservative	15	2%	2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	42	6%	8%	4%	6%	7%	5%	5%
	Mean	658	3.01	2.82	2.77	3.01	3.58	2.92	2.89
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	409	58%	58%	70%	58%	45%	56%	67%
	Moderate	164	23%		12%	33%	24%	28%	18%
	Conservative	127	18%						15%

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		151	120	62	152	125	67
Row percent		7.55	100%			9%	22%	18%	10%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	41%	60%	62%	33%	60%	66%
	Renter	350	50%	59%	40%	38%	67%	40%	34%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	63%	74%	83%	71%	77%	83%
	African American or Black	28	4%	7%	4%	8%	3%	3%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	10%	8%	1%	7%	2%	4%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	14%	7%	-	10%	6%	6%
	Something else	49	7%			8%	8%	9%	6%
	(Refused)	14	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	3%	1%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	63%	74%	83%	71%	77%	83%
	African American or Black	28	4%	7%	4%	8%	3%	3%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	10%	8%	1%	7%	2%	4%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	14%	7%	-	10%	6%	6%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	63	9%	6%	7%	8%	9%	12%	7%
Ethnicity	White	511	73%		74%	83%	71%	77%	83%
	POC	175	25%			17%	28%	20%	15%
	(Ref)	14	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	3%	1%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	138	20%	45%	-	-	44%	-	-
	30-39	176	25%			-	56%	-	-
	40-49	119	17%		50%	-	-	44%	-
	50-64	138	20%		50%	-	-	56%	-
	65+	129	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%
Two-Age Split	18-39	314	45%	100%		-	100%	-	-
	40+	386	55%	-	100%	100%	-	100%	100%
Generation	18-39	314	45%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-
	40-64	257	37%		100%	-	-	100%	-
	65+	129	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%

Number of cases 700	39 F 40-64	
Number of cases 700		F 65+
Row percent 100% 22% 17% 9% Education Some grade school - <td< td=""><td>152 125</td><td>-</td></td<>	152 125	-
Some high school 5 1% 2% 1% - Graduated high school 17 2% 1% 1% 7% Technical/vocational school 20 3% 1% 5% 5% Some college/<4-year degree	2% 18%	10%
Graduated high school 17 2% 1% 1% 7% Technical/vocational school 20 3% 1% 5% 5% Some college/<4-year degree		
Technical/vocational school 20 3% 1% 5% 5% Some college/<4-year degree	- 1%	-
Some college/<4-year degree	4% 2%	2%
Graduated college/4-year degree 298 43% 49% 42% 26% Graduate/professional degree 238 34% 28% 31% 39% (Don't know/Refused) 12 2% 1% - 1%	3% 4%	2%
Graduate/professional degree 238 34% 28% 31% 39% (Don't know/Refused) 12 2% 1% - 1%	.1% 9%	20%
(Don't know/Refused) 12 2% 1% - 1%	8% 47%	31%
	4% 36%	44%
	1% 2%	2%
Education 165 24% 23% 27% 34%	.8% 17%	25%
4-year degree+ 535 76% 77% 73% 66%	83%	75%
Education Less than college 165 24% 23% 27% 34%	.8% 17%	25%
Graduated college 298 43% 49% 42% 26%	8% 47%	31%
Graduate/professional degree 238 34% 28% 31% 39%	4% 36%	44%
Gender Men 334 48% 100% 100% 100%		
Women 344 49% :	0% 100%	100%
Non-binary 8 1%		-
Another gender identity		-
(Refused) 14 2%		-
Region South 203 29% 27% 30% 27%	4% 34%	36%
Central 200 29% 34% 27% 23%	1% 23%	26%
North 298 43% 39% 43% 50%	6% 43%	

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		151	120	62	152	125	67
Row percent			100%	22%	17%	9%	22%	18%	10%
City Council District	1	109	16%	9%	17%	14%	11%	20%	27%
	2	95	14%	18%	13%	13%	12%	14%	9%
	3	112	16%	21%	11%	13%	18%	13%	15%
	4	88	13%	11%	10%	17%	15%	12%	8%
	5	98	14%	12%	15%	11%	17%	13%	19%
	6	112	16%	15%	17%	22%	14%	18%	10%
	7	88	13%	13%	16%	10%	13%	10%	12%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	0-3/6	336	48%	73%	40%	24%	64%	32%	15%
	4-5/6	175	25%	18%	22%	25%	22%	39%	30%
	6/6	189	27%	9%	38%	51%	14%	28%	55%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	151	22%	100%	-	_	_	_	-
	M 40-64	120	17%	-	100%	-	-	-	-
	M 65+	62	9%	-	-	100%	-	-	-
	F 18-39	152	22%	-	-	-	100%	-	-
	F 40-64	125	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
	F 65+	67	10%	-	-	-	-	-	100%
	Other	22	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Party/Gender	D Men	201	29%	61%	56%	67%	-	-	-
	D Women	239	34%	-	-	-	68%	68%	76%
	R/I Men	101	14%	25%	36%	32%	-	-	-
	R/I Women	83	12%	_	-	-	20%	30%	24%
	Other	76	11%	15%	8%	1%	12%	2%	-

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
		n	%	D Men	D Women	R/I Men	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		201	239	101	83	76	203	200	298
Row percent			100%	29%	34%	14%	12%	11%	29%	29%	43%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.81	5.46	5.00	3.69	3.69	5.20	4.75	4.81	4.85
Seattle right direction/wrong	Right direction	346	49%	60%	57%	25%	29%	53%	50%	49%	50%
track	Wrong track	319	46%	36%	35%	70%	69%	46%	49%	45%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	35	5%	4%	8%	5%	2%	1%	2%	6%	6%
	Net Right direction	+27	+4	+23	+23	-45	-40	+6	+1	+3	+6
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	314	45%	45%	47%	40%	44%	44%	43%	40%	49%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	97	14%	19%	12%	11%	9%	13%	12%	17%	13%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	86	12%	9%	13%	18%	10%	14%	14%	10%	13%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	76	11%	7%	16%	5%	10%	13%	11%	7%	13%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	72	10%	12%	10%	10%	9%	7%	9%	12%	10%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	64	9%	8%	8%	13%	14%	4%	7%	11%	9%
	Walkability/Transit	42	6%	6%	6%	2%	6%	11%	3%	9%	6%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	37	5%	8%	4%	6%	2%	4%	7%	7%	3%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	18	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	10	1%	1%	0%	4%	5%	0%	2%	2%	1%
	Other	32	5%	5%	3%	1%	7%	10%	5%	5%	4%
	Nothing/Don't know	12	2%	0%	1%	4%	5%	0%	2%	2%	1%

					Р	arty/Gend	der			Region	•
		n	%	D Men	D Women	R/I Men	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		201	239		83	76	203	200	298
Row percent			100%	29%	34%	14%	12%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	258	37%	42%	40%	30%	34%	23%	36%	35%	39%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	211	30%	28%	27%	49%	38%	14%	28%	31%	31%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	208	30%	29%	30%	18%	29%	48%	29%	30%	30%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	71	10%	10%	7%	12%	16%	14%	11%	11%	9%
	Taxes	35	5%	4%	5%	11%	3%	3%	7%	2%	6%
	Jobs/Economy	34	5%	5%	5%	4%	3%	7%	6%	5%	4%
	Growth/Development/Population	33	5%	3%	6%	2%	4%	10%	5%	4%	5%
	Traffic/Congestion	31	4%	4%	7%	3%	4%	1%	5%	3%	5%
	Public transportation	31	4%	5%	3%	5%	3%	6%	5%	4%	4%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	26	4%	3%	6%	1%	7%	0%	4%	7%	2%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	19	3%	1%	1%	5%	5%	4%	1%	5%	2%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	17	2%	2%	2%	4%	4%	0%	3%	2%	2%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	14	2%	1%	1%	5%	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	11	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%	0%	1%	3%
	Climate change/Environment	7	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	COVID-19/Coronavirus	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	23	3%	4%	3%	2%	5%	3%	2%	4%	4%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	5	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Change in quality of life in	Much better	18	3%	5%	2%	3%	-	1%	4%	2%	2%
Seattle	Somewhat better	181	26%	34%	22%	20%	16%	34%	23%	28%	26%
	The same	155	22%	18%	29%	16%	16%	27%	22%	24%	21%
	Somewhat worse	219	31%	35%	33%	23%	35%	24%	31%	29%	33%
	Much worse	118	17%	7%	12%	1	1	14%	20%	15%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	-	1%	2%	2%
Change in quality of life in	Better	199	28%	39%	24%	23%	16%	35%	27%	30%	28%
Seattle	Same/(DK/Ref)	164	23%	19%	31%	18%	17%	27%	23%	26%	22%
	Worse	337	48%	42%	45%	l		38%	51%	44%	l
	Net Better	-138	-20	-3	-21	-36		-3	-24		

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
		n	%	D Men	D.Womon	D/I Mon	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700	70	201	239		83	76			
Row percent		, , ,	100%	29%	34%			11%			
Considered moving out of	Yes	385	55%	45%	55%	70%	70%	45%	52%	56%	56%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	315	45%	55%	45%	30%	30%	55%	48%	44%	44%
Still actively considering moving		304	79%	77%	75%					88%	1
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	80	21%	23%	25%	12%	10%	35%	21%	12%	27%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	304	43%	34%	41%		63%		41%	50%	
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	80	11%	10%	14%			16%	11%		
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	315	45%	55%	45%	30%	30%	55%	48%	44%	44%
U.S. right direction/wrong track	Right direction	91	13%	4%	2%		26%	1		15%	
	Wrong track	604	86%	95%	97%		74%	89%	84%		
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%			-	-	1%	1
	Net Right direction	-512	-73	-91	-94	-4	-49	-78	-69	-70	-78
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	152	22%	30%	17%		10%		20%	20%	
future of this region	Somewhat agree	332	47%	50%	58%			39%	47%	50%	
	Somewhat disagree	145	21%	14%	20%					20%	1
	Strongly disagree	68	10%	5%	5%		22%	10%	13%	9%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	1%	-
Agree: I'm worried about the	Strongly agree	236	34%	26%	31%		41%				
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	262	37%	45%	44%			25%	39%	32%	
	Somewhat disagree	157	22%	24%	24%			35%		21%	
	Strongly disagree	44	6%	5%	2%		13%	17%			
	(Don't know/Refused)		0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	0%	0%	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	73	10%	16%	5%	8%	8%	19%	13%	8%	10%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	312	45%	52%	52%	26%	31%	42%		l	1
	Somewhat disagree	200	29%	25%	30%	36%					
	Strongly disagree	111	16%	8%	12%			1			1
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
		n	%	D Men	D Women	P/I Mon	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		201	239		83			200	
Row percent		700	100%	29%	34%				29%	29%	
								<u> </u>			
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	366	52%	55%	58%		37%		52%	51%	
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	229	33%	35%	34%		32%		34%	33%	
day	Somewhat disagree	64	9%	8%	5%		18%		10%	9%	
	Strongly disagree	41	6%	1%	3%	17%	13%	3%	5%	7%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	119	17%	20%	17%	6%	9%	35%	19%	15%	16%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	211	30%	38%	30%		24%		28%	35%	
	Somewhat disagree	196	28%	28%	33%				28%	25%	
	Strongly disagree	173	25%	13%	20%		44%		24%	25%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	
A All Abiridd	Changelon	440	470/	250/	4.40/	100/	60/	350/	4.00/	400/	470/
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	118	17%	25%	14%		6%		16%	18%	
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	302	43%	47%	48%		28%		40%	46%	
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	179	26%	22%	25%				26%	23%	
	Strongly disagree	100	14%	5%	13%	27%	28%	11%	18%	12%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	335	48%	54%	56%	22%	37%	51%	49%	46%	48%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	242	35%	40%	35%	36%	27%	26%	38%	33%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	74	11%	4%	6%	22%	22%	15%	7%	13%	11%
	Strongly disagree	46	7%	2%	3%	18%	14%	9%	5%	7%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	0%	3%	-	-	0%	1%	0%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	50	7%	7%	9%	5%	6%	 6%	9%	5%	7%
meaningful progress in reducing	Somewhat agree	241	34%	41%	40%				30%	30%	
the number of homeless	Somewhat disagree	193	28%	30%	26%		22%		33%	30%	
encampments	Strongly disagree	211	30%	22%	23%		49%		27%	34%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	0%	1%		-	1%	1%	1%	

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
		n	%	D Men	D Women	P/I Mon	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700	70	201	239		83			200	
Row percent		700	100%	29%	34%				29%	29%	
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	41	6%	6%	5%	4%	9%	 7%	9%	5%	5%
meaningful progress on public	Somewhat agree	306	44%	48%	54%	33%	25%	34%	42%	41%	47%
safety	Somewhat disagree	196	28%	30%	26%	22%	35%	30%	23%	32%	29%
	Strongly disagree	153	22%	16%	14%	40%	32%	28%	25%	23%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	0%	0%
Agree: Seattle has made	Strongly agree	27	4%	5%	2%	3%	3%	9%	7%	3%	3%
meaningful progress on shutting	Somewhat agree	226	32%	38%	40%	24%	18%	19%	30%	31%	35%
down open air drug markets	Somewhat disagree	223	32%	30%	34%	24%	27%	44%	35%	30%	31%
	Strongly disagree	204	29%	26%	19%	49%	49%	24%	26%	33%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	1%	5%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%
Agree: The City needs to	Strongly agree	308	44%	53%	37%	48%	37%	45%	40%	51%	42%
streamline permitting to make it	Somewhat agree	240	34%	37%	39%	30%	20%	34%	36%	31%	35%
easier and faster to build new	Somewhat disagree	83	12%	7%	13%	11%	21%	10%	13%	9%	14%
housing to address Seattle's	Strongly disagree	58	8%	2%	7%	10%	22%	11%	9%	7%	8%
housing affordability crisis	(Don't know/Refused)	10	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%
Agree: I am worried that	Strongly agree	445	64%	67%	75%	31%	55%	71%	63%	62%	65%
national economic policies will	Somewhat agree	147	21%	29%	20%	18%	13%	17%	19%	21%	22%
lead to a recession	Somewhat disagree	49	7%	3%	3%	24%	11%	3%	9%	8%	6%
	Strongly disagree	53	8%	1%	1%	25%	20%	9%	8%	8%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	0%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I've become more	Strongly agree	352	50%	52%	61%	25%	38%	58%	54%	48%	49%
concerned about my personal	Somewhat agree	159	23%	25%	27%	12%	18%	20%	17%	25%	25%
financial situation since	Somewhat disagree	94	13%	19%	9%	14%	15%	9%	13%	13%	14%
President Trump took office	Strongly disagree	92	13%	3%	2%	47%	29%	13%	16%	13%	11%
·	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	0%
		1				1		1	l		1

				Party/Gender Reg							ı
		n	%	D Men	D Women	R/I Men	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		201	239	101	83	76	203	200	298
Row percent			100%	29%	34%	14%	12%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: Businesses are closing	Strongly agree	326	47%	35%		68%	57%	32%	48%	49%	ı
down or leaving Seattle for	Somewhat agree	202	29%	33%		23%	18%	18%	28%	27%	30%
nearby cities because the cost of		124	18%	24%		l			16%	19%	l
doing business has gotten too	Strongly disagree	44	6%	7%	2%	l	11%			4%	
high here	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	-	2%	0%	1%	1%
Agree: Building more housing	Strongly agree	214	31%	41%	20%	39%	12%	47%	29%	35%	29%
will help slow down Seattle's	Somewhat agree	254	36%	39%	45%	20%	25%	33%	41%	32%	36%
increasing housing costs	Somewhat disagree	141	20%	16%	23%	18%	35%	10%	19%	21%	21%
	Strongly disagree	87	12%	3%	11%	23%	28%	10%	11%	12%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	484	69%	80%	75%	45%	47%	76%	67%	69%	71%
future of this region	Disagree	214	31%	20%	25%	53%	53%	24%	33%	29%	29%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	1%	-
	Net Agree	+270	+39	+61	+51	-8	-6	+52	+33	+40	+41
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	498	71%	72%	75%	78%	72%	48%	72%	71%	71%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	201	29%	28%	25%	21%	28%	52%	28%	29%	29%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	0%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+297	+42	+43	+50	+57	+45	-3	+44	+42	+42
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	385	55%	67%	57%	34%	39%	61%	62%	49%	54%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	311	44%	33%	42%	66%	60%	37%	38%	50%	45%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+74	+11	+35	+15	-32	-22	+24	+24	-1	+9
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	595	85%	90%	92%	68%	69%	89%	85%	84%	85%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	105	15%	10%	8%	32%	31%	11%	15%	16%	15%
day	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+489	+70	+81	+84	+36	+37	+77	+71	+68	+70

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
		n	%	D Men	D Women	R/I Men	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		201	239	101	83	76	203	200	298
Row percent			100%	29%	34%	14%	12%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	330	47%	58%	47%					50%	
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	368	53%	42%	53%	66%	67%			50%	1
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%		0%	
	Net Agree	-38	-5	+16	-7	-32	-35	+9	-5	+0	-9
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	420	60%	72%	62%	47%	34%	67%	56%	64%	60%
growth and development has	Disagree	279	40%	27%	38%	53%	66%	33%	44%	35%	40%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%	-
	Net Agree	+141	+20	+45	+24	-6	-32	+34	+12	+29	+20
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	577	82%	94%	91%	58%	64%	77%	87%	80%	81%
Seattleite	Disagree	120	17%	6%	9%	39%	36%	23%	13%	19%	19%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	0%	3%	-	-	0%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+457	+65	+88	+82	+19	+28	+54	+75	+60	+62
Agree: The City has made	Agree	291	42%	48%	50%	25%	29%	36%	39%	36%	47%
meaningful progress in reducing	Disagree	404	58%	52%	49%	75%	71%	62%	60%	64%	52%
the number of homeless	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
encampments	Net Agree	-113	-16	-4	+1	-50	-43	-26	-21	-28	-5
Agree: The City has made	Agree	347	50%	54%	59%	37%	34%	41%	51%	45%	52%
meaningful progress on public	Disagree	349	50%	45%	40%	63%	66%	58%	49%	54%	48%
safety	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	0%	0%
	Net Agree	-2	-0	+9	+19	-25	-33	-17	+2	-9	+4
Agree: Seattle has made	Agree	253	36%	43%	42%	26%	21%	27%	36%	33%	38%
meaningful progress on shutting	Disagree	428	61%	56%	53%	73%	76%	69%	61%	63%	60%
down open air drug markets	(DK/Ref)	20	3%	1%	5%	1%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%
	Net Agree	-175	-25	-13	-10	-47	-55	-41	-24	-30	-22

					Р	arty/Gend	der			Region	
		n	%	D Men	D Women	P/I Man	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700	/0	201	239				203	200	298
Row percent		700	100%	29%						29%	l
Agree: The City needs to	Agree	548	78%	90%					77%	82%	77%
streamline permitting to make it	-	142	20%	9%	21%			1		16%	l
easier and faster to build new	(DK/Ref)	10	1%	0%	3%				1%	2%	l
housing to address Seattle's housing affordability crisis	Net Agree	+407	+58	+81	+55	+56	+14	+57	+55	+66	+5!
Agree: I am worried that	Agree	592	85%	96%	95%	49%	68%	88%	83%	83%	879
national economic policies will	Disagree	102	15%	4%	4%	50%	32%	12%	17%	16%	13%
lead to a recession	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	0%	2%	1%	-	_	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+490	+70	+92	+91	-0	+36	+76	+66	+68	+74
Agree: I've become more	Agree	510	73%	77%	89%			1	71%	I	1
concerned about my personal	Disagree	186	27%	22%				22%	28%	26%	l
financial situation since	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%		2%		-	1%	1%	
President Trump took office	Net Agree	+324	+46	+55	+77	-24	+11	+57	+43	+46	+49
Agree: Businesses are closing	Agree	527	75%	69%					76%	76%	l
down or leaving Seattle for	Disagree	168	24%	31%	16%	9%	25%		24%	23%	25%
nearby cities because the cost of	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%		-	2%	0%	1%	
doing business has gotten too high here	Net Agree	+359	+51	+38	+66	+82	+50	+2	+53	+53	+49
Agree: Building more housing	Agree	468	67%	80%	65%	59%	37%	80%	70%	67%	659
will help slow down Seattle's	Disagree	228	33%	19%	34%	41%	63%	20%	30%	33%	349
increasing housing costs	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	-	_	1%	-	19
	Net Agree	+240	+34	+61	+31	+19	-25	+59	+40	+35	+30
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	230	33%	20%	24%	63%	65%	20%	37%	31%	31%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	203	29%	37%	34%	16%	15%	24%	26%	26%	339
	Too low	72	10%	14%	7%	3%	6%	24%	9%	11%	119
	About right	190	27%	29%	33%	17%	12%	32%	27%	31%	25%
	(Don't know)	5	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	0%

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
			0/	DMan	D.Warran	D/I Mara	D /L \A/ = == = ==	Othor	Cauth	Combinal	Nambh
Number of cases		700	%	D Men 201	239		R/I Women 83		South 203	Central 200	
		/00	100%	201	34%		12%			200	
Row percent			100%	29%	34%	14%	1270	11%	29%	2970	45%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	433	62%	57%	58%	80%	80%	44%	63%	58%	64%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	194	28%	29%	35%	17%	13%	32%	28%	32%	25%
	Too low	72	10%	14%	7%	3%	6%	24%	9%	11%	11%
	Net Too high	+361	+52	+43	+51	+77	+74	+19	+53	+47	+53
Downtown Seattle recovery	1 – Very pessimistic	75	11%	4%	4%	31%	25%	7%	12%	12%	9%
progress sentiment	2	147	21%	21%	19%	27%	18%	23%	21%	23%	20%
	3	293	42%	45%	50%	26%	39%	34%	37%	40%	47%
	4	156	22%	27%	24%	13%	9%	29%	22%	23%	22%
	5 – Very optimistic	27	4%	2%	3%	2%	9%	7%	7%	2%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%
	Mean	697	2.88	3.01	3.05	2.28	2.59	3.06	2.92	2.81	2.89
Downtown Seattle recovery	1-2 Pessimistic	222	32%	26%	22%	57%	43%	30%	33%	35%	29%
progress sentiment	3/(DK)	296	42%	45%	50%	28%	39%	34%	37%	40%	47%
	4-5 Optimistic	183	26%	29%	27%	15%	18%	36%	30%	25%	24%
How much city regulations and	Primary factor	90	13%	8%	5%	34%	25%	8%	13%	12%	13%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Major factor	254	36%	38%	32%	46%	42%	29%	34%	42%	34%
living	Minor factor	285	41%	45%	51%	15%	18%	52%	40%	37%	44%
	Not really a factor at all	63	9%	9%	9%	3%	15%	11%	12%	8%	8%
	(Don't know)	9	1%	-	3%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%
How much city regulations and	Primary/major factor	344	49%	46%	37%	80%	67%	37%	47%	54%	47%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Minor/not really at all a factor	348	50%	54%	60%					45%	
living	(DK)	9	1%	_	3%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	
	Net Primary/major factor	-4	-1	-9	-24	+61	+34	-27	-4	+9	

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
			0/	DMan	D.W.omon	D/I N400	R/I Women	Othor	Caush	Combinal	Nambh
Number of cases		700	%	D Men 201	239		83		South 203	Central 200	North 298
Row percent		700	100%	29%	34%		12%		29%	29%	
Policy preference: Prioritize	Prioritize basics	495	71%	67%	71%	88%	83%	42%	67%	71%	73%
basics vs Maintain spending	Maintain spending levels	196	28%	33%	28%		17%		30%	28%	
levels	(Both)	1	0%	-	0%		-	-	0%	-	-
	(Neither)	6	1%	-	0%		-	-	2%	1%	0%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%
Policy preference: Prioritize	Prioritize basics	495	71%	67%	71%	88%	83%	42%	67%	71%	73%
basics vs Maintain spending	Maintain spending levels	196	28%	33%	28%	7%	17%	58%	30%	28%	27%
levels	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	9	1%	1%	1%	5%	-	-	3%	1%	1%
	Net Prioritize basics	+299	+43	+34	+44	+81	+66	-15	+38	+43	+46
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	215	31%	24%	35%	11%	29%	61%	30%	33%	30%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	444	63%	75%	63%	68%	59%	35%	62%	62%	65%
local issues	(Both)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%
	(Neither)	28	4%	-	1%	16%	9%	2%	7%	4%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	0%	-	6%	3%	-	1%	1%	2%
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	215	31%	24%	35%	11%	29%	61%	30%	33%	30%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	444	63%	75%	63%	68%	59%	35%	62%	62%	65%
local issues	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	41	6%	1%	2%	21%	12%	3%	8%	5%	5%
	Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda	-229	-33	-51	-27	-57	-30	+26	-33	-29	-35
Agree: Recently passed laws,	Strongly agree	121	17%	18%	14%	31%	20%	4%	18%	14%	19%
such as installing closed circuit	Somewhat agree	235	34%	34%	42%	27%	31%	18%	34%	26%	38%
cameras to capture real-time	Somewhat disagree	171	24%	28%	24%		27%	29%	25%	28%	22%
information about crime on our	Strongly disagree	150	21%	18%	15%	21%	22%	48%	22%	26%	18%
streets and keeping people out	(Don't know/Refused)	24	3%	1%	5%	8%	-	1%	2%	5%	3%
of areas where they have											
Agree: Recently passed laws,	Agree	356	51%	52%	56%			1	52%		
such as installing closed circuit	Disagree	320	46%	47%	39%				1		
cameras to capture real-time	(DK/Ref)	24	3%	1%	5%			1%			ı
	Net Agree	+36	+5	+6	+17	+24	+3	-55	+6	-14	+17
streets and keeping people out											İ

					P	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
		n	%	D Men	D Women	R/I Men	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		201	239	101	83	76	203	200	298
Row percent			100%	29%	34%	14%	12%	11%	29%	29%	43%
City leadership priority: Taking	1 – Not at all important	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	 -	1%	-	
care of the basics like filling	2	5	1%	0%	0%	-	3%	2%	1%	0%	1
potholes and maintaining our	3	7	1%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
parks and local transportation	4	53	8%	7%	6%	4%	10%	16%	10%	5%	8%
infrastructure	5	164	23%	27%	22%	23%	10%	35%	21%	22%	26%
	6	160	23%	23%	29%	18%	20%	12%	21%	26%	22%
	7 – Extremely important	311	44%	42%	41%	55%	54%	35%	45%	45%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.99	6.00	6.00	6.25	6.01	5.60	5.93	6.09	5.98
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	113	16%	8%	6%	53%	26%	9%	14%	16%	17%
Protecting core progressive	2	31	4%	4%	3%	8%	5%	5%	4%	4%	5%
values like social justice, equity,	3	54	8%	13%	4%	7%	9%	4%	9%	8%	7%
and inclusivity	4	69	10%	13%	12%	9%	5%	1%	8%	10%	11%
	5	102	15%	19%	14%	5%	21%	13%	17%	13%	14%
	6	92	13%	16%	16%	5%	6%	15%	15%	11%	13%
	7 – Extremely important	238	34%	28%	45%	13%	28%	53%	33%	38%	32%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	. -
	Mean	700	4.78	4.88	5.51	2.70	4.20	5.58	4.84	4.86	4.68
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	8	1%	1%	1%	_	1%	5%	3%	-	1%
Protecting local jobs and small	2	10	1%	3%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	2%	2%
businesses in this uncertain	3	21	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	5%	2%	3%	4%
economic environment by	4	72	10%		14%	5%	8%	13%	10%	9%	12%
lowering the cost to start and	5	137	20%	20%		1	11%	33%	18%	20%	20%
run a business in Seattle	6	136	19%	22%		1	13%	17%	18%	18%	22%
	7 – Extremely important	316	45%	41%	41%	65%	61%	26%	50%	48%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	. -
	Mean	700	5.84	5.75	5.82	6.36	6.07	5.23	5.93	5.91	5.74

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
		n	%	D Men	D Women	R/I Men	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		201	239		83	76	203	200	+
Row percent			100%	29%	34%	14%	12%	11%	29%	29%	43%
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	16	2%	1%	1%	-	7%	9%	2%	3%	2%
Continuing to reduce the	2	31	4%	5%	5%	1%	1%	7%	3%	6%	5%
number of homeless	3	38	5%	3%	3%	5%	4%	21%	7%	7%	49
encampments	4	76	11%	6%	14%	3%	14%	22%	14%	10%	I
	5	117	17%	18%		19%	10%	12%	17%	18%	
	6	112	16%	20%	18%	18%	7%	7%	12%	16%	19%
	7 – Extremely important	307	44%	45%	41%	54%	57%	22%	46%	41%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	0%
	Mean	698	5.60	5.77	5.62	6.10	5.71	4.28	5.62	5.47	5.67
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	14	2%	2%	0%	2%	4%	6%	3%	1%	2%
Maintaining progress on public	2	18	3%	2%	0%	3%	1%	11%	2%	4%	2%
safety and reducing street	3	37	5%	5%	5%	1%	2%	15%	6%	8%	3%
disorder by continuing to shut	4	69	10%	9%	12%	6%	5%	18%	9%	11%	10%
down open-air drug markets	5	103	15%	13%	19%	9%	9%	18%	15%	12%	16%
	6	90	13%	20%	14%	5%	10%	3%	16%	12%	129
	7 – Extremely important	366	52%	49%	47%	75%	68%	29%	49%	50%	55%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	0%	1%	0%
	Mean	695	5.82	5.88	5.85	6.31	6.15	4.58	5.76	5.69	5.95
City leadership priority: Updating	g 1 – Not at all important	52	7%	2%	5%	14%	18%	9%	9%	4%	9%
Seattle's zoning laws and	2	25	4%	3%	5%	4%	1%	2%	3%	6%	3%
expediting permitting to allow	3	70	10%	9%	11%	9%	11%	7%	12%	11%	8%
for more housing across the city,	4	106	15%	9%	24%	15%			10%	10%	22%
even if it means there will be	5	132	19%	26%	19%	17%	12%	11%	18%	19%	19%
more types of housing, like	6	108	15%	14%	16%	12%	17%	1	15%	16%	15%
duplexes and triplexes, in many	7 – Extremely important	207	30%	37%	19%	29%	32%	41%	32%	35%	24%
single-family residential	(Don't know/Refused)	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	
neighborhoods	Mean	700	4.99	5.46	4.69	4.68	4.73	5.37	4.99	5.22	4.84

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
			0/	D 14	D.W.	D // A 4	D /1.14/		6		
Number of cases		700	%	D Men 201	D Women 239	101	R/I Women 83	Other 76	South 203	Central 200	North 298
Row percent		/00	100%	29%	34%	14%	12%		29%	29%	
Now percent			100%	2370	34/0	14/0	12/0	11/0	29/0	2970	4370
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	102	15%	7%	2%	54%	25%	10%	16%	15%	14%
Aggressively standing up to the	2	31	4%	3%	3%	9%	9%	3%	3%	4%	6%
Trump Administration, including	3	35	5%	7%	6%	4%	2%	2%	8%	3%	4%
joining lawsuits against the	4	51	7%	8%	6%	8%	12%	3%	7%	8%	7%
administration when the federal	5	78	11%	18%	11%	3%	9%	9%	6%	13%	13%
government overreaches	6	87	12%	12%	16%	4%	8%	19%	13%	11%	13%
	7 – Extremely important	314	45%	45%	57%	18%	35%	54%	46%	46%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	699	5.13	5.42	5.95	2.81	4.35	5.70	5.10	5.17	5.12
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	18	3%	1%	0%	7%	8%	3%	1%	2%	4%
Incentivizing businesses to	2	11	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%		2%	1%	
create family wage jobs here in	3	38	5%	7%	3%	9%	6%	4%	6%	7%	4%
Seattle so that our local	4	93	13%	12%	12%	7%	16%	26%	15%	10%	14%
economy stays resilient in the	5	161	23%	20%	31%	23%	15%	14%	17%	25%	26%
face of national economic	6	148	21%	20%	26%	18%	14%	20%	22%	21%	21%
uncertainty	7 – Extremely important	230	33%	38%	27%	35%	37%	30%	37%	34%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	699	5.48	5.61	5.59	5.31	5.24	5.23	5.58	5.53	5.37
City leadership priority: Taking	1-3 Not important	13	2%	0%	2%	_	6%	2%	3%	1%	1%
care of the basics like filling	4/(DK/Ref)	53	8%	7%	6%	4%	10%		10%	5%	8%
potholes and maintaining our	5-7 Important	634	91%	93%	92%	96%	84%	82%	87%	93%	
parks and local transportation	•										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	198	28%	25%	13%	68%	40%	19%	28%	27%	29%
Protecting core progressive	4/(DK/Ref)	69	10%	13%	12%	9%	5%	1%	8%	10%	11%
values like social justice, equity,	5-7 Important	432	62%	62%	74%	23%	55%	80%	64%	62%	60%
and inclusivity											
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	39	6%	8%	3%	2%	7%	11%	5%	6%	6%
Protecting local jobs and small	4/(DK/Ref)	72	10%	9%	14%	5%	8%	13%	10%	9%	12%
businesses in this uncertain	5-7 Important	588	84%	83%	83%	93%	85%	76%	86%	86%	82%
economic environment by											

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
		n	%	D Men	D Women	R/I Man	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700	70	201	239		83	76	203	200	
Row percent			100%	29%					29%	29%	ı
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	85	12%	10%	9%	6%	12%	38%	11%	15%	11%
Continuing to reduce the	4/(DK/Ref)	78	11%	7%	14%	3%	14%	22%	14%	10%	
number of homeless encampments	5-7 Important	537	77%	83%	77%	91%	75%	40%	75%	75%	79%
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	68	10%	9%	6%	6%	8%	32%	11%	14%	7%
Maintaining progress on public	4/(DK/Ref)	74	11%	9%	14%	6%	5%	18%	10%	12%	10%
safety and reducing street	5-7 Important	559	80%	83%	80%	89%	87%	51%	80%	74%	83%
disorder by continuing to shut											
City leadership priority: Updating	1-3 Not important	147	21%	14%	22%	27%	30%	18%	24%	20%	19%
Seattle's zoning laws and	4/(DK/Ref)	106	15%	9%	24%	15%	9%	10%	10%	10%	22%
expediting permitting to allow	5-7 Important	447	64%	77%	54%	57%	60%	72%	65%	70%	59%
for more housing across the city,											
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	169	24%	17%	11%	67%	36%	15%	27%	22%	24%
Aggressively standing up to the	4/(DK/Ref)	52	7%	8%	6%	8%	12%	3%	8%	8%	7%
Trump Administration, including	5-7 Important	479	68%	75%	83%	25%	52%	82%	66%	70%	69%
joining lawsuits against the											
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	67	10%	10%	4%	17%	16%	11%	9%	10%	9%
Incentivizing businesses to	4/(DK/Ref)	94	13%	12%	12%	7%	17%	26%	15%	11%	14%
create family wage jobs here in	5-7 Important	539	77%	79%	84%	76%	67%	64%	76%	79%	76%
Seattle so that our local											
Current downtown visit	Once a week or more	145	21%	22%	18%	16%	27%	24%	18%	37%	12%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	231	33%	36%	37%	28%	13%	42%	39%	35%	27%
	A few times a year	202	29%	33%	29%	26%	22%	28%	26%	16%	39%
	Rarely	94	13%	9%	14%	22%	22%	5%	12%	8%	18%
	Never	28	4%	0%	2%	7%	16%	1%	5%	3%	4%
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current downtown visit	Weekly	145	21%	22%	18%				18%	37%	
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	231	33%	36%					39%	35%	
	Less often	324	46%	42%	45%	56%	60%	34%	43%	28%	61%

					Р	arty/Gend	der			Region	
		n	 %	D Men	D Women	R/I Men	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		201	239		83	76		200	
Row percent			100%	29%	34%			1		29%	ı
Current downtown visit	Much more often	61	 9%	4%	13%	5%	5%	 16%	8%	11%	7%
frequency compared to last year	Somewhat more often	128	18%	21%	18%	17%	12%	22%	23%	16%	16%
	About the same	333	48%	57%	48%	32%	36%	54%	50%	45%	47%
	Somewhat less often	79	11%	10%	11%	21%	11%	5%	6%	15%	13%
	Much less often	96	14%	8%	10%	25%	35%	3%	12%	12%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-
Current downtown visit	More often	190	27%	25%	31%	22%	17%	38%	31%	28%	24%
frequency compared to last year	Same/(DK/Ref)	335	48%	57%	49%	32%	36%	54%	51%	45%	47%
	Less often	176	25%	18%	20%	46%	46%	8%	18%	27%	29%
	Net More often	+14	+2	+7	+10	-24	-29	+30	+13	+1	-5
Duration of residency	<2 years	11	2%	2%	1%	1%	_	4%	_	2%	3%
	2-5 years	112	16%	20%	10%	17%	12%	27%	13%	20%	15%
	6-10 years	63	9%	10%	8%	6%	8%	15%	8%	9%	9%
	11-20 years	144	21%	20%	19%	25%	15%	28%	17%	24%	21%
	>20 years	359	51%	45%	60%	51%	65%	26%	59%	44%	51%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	186	27%	32%	19%	25%	20%	46%	22%	31%	27%
	11-20 years	144	21%	20%	19%	25%	15%	28%	17%	24%	21%
	>20 years	370	53%	48%	62%	51%	65%	26%	62%	45%	52%
Party	Strong Democrat	272	39%	57%	64%	_	_	7%	38%	40%	39%
	Not very strong Democrat	117	17%	29%	24%	-	-	2%	15%	18%	17%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	57	8%	14%	12%	-	-	1%	8%	5%	11%
	Independent	56	8%	-	-	29%	28%	5%	8%	7%	8%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	30	4%	-	-	21%	11%	-	2%	7%	4%
	Not very strong Republican	15	2%	-	-	8%	8%	-	2%	2%	3%
	Strong Republican	26	4%	-	-	19%	8%	-	6%	2%	3%
	Socialist	60	9%	-	-	-	-	79%	10%	8%	8%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	66	9%	-	-	22%	45%	7%	11%	10%	8%

Number of cases Row percent Party Socialist Democrat Independent Republican Perceived personal ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean	n 700 60 447 122 71 134 160 174 89	% 100% 9% 64% 17% 10%	D Men 201 29% - 100% -	D Women 239 34% - 100%	101 14%	R/I Women 83 12% -	76	South 203 29%	Central 200 29% 8%	298 43%
Number of cases Row percent Party Socialist Democrat Independent Republican Perceived personal ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean	700 60 447 122 71 134 160 174	100% 9% 64% 17% 10%	201 29% -	239 34% -	101 14% -	83	76 11% 79%	203 29% 10%	200 29%	298 43%
Row percent Party Socialist Democrat Independent Republican Perceived personal ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean	60 447 122 71 134 160 174	9% 64% 17% 10%	29% -	34%	14% - -		11% 79%	29% 10%	29%	43%
Democrat Independent Republican Perceived personal ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	447 122 71 134 160 174	64% 17% 10%	- 100% - -	- 100% -		- -			8%	8%
Democrat Independent Republican Perceived personal ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean	447 122 71 134 160 174	64% 17% 10%	100% - -	100%		-			070	0/0
Independent Republican Perceived personal ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	122 71 134 160 174	17% 10%	-	-				61%	63%	
Republican Perceived personal ideology 1 – Very liberal 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	71 134 160 174	10%	-			73%		19%	18%	l
2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	160 174	19%		-	48%	27%		10%	11%	
2 3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	160 174	_0,0	14%	18%	6%	13%	60%	22%	22%	15%
3 4 5 6 7 – Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	174	23%	30%	31%		11%		18%	26%	ı
4 5 6 7 - Very conservative (Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 - Very liberal 2		25%	34%	34%		15%		26%	22%	26%
Perceived personal ideology Perceived city council ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2		13%	13%	9%		15%		15%	9%	14%
Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative 1 – Very conservative Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	66	9%	6%	4%		20%		9%	9%	
(Don't know/Refused) Mean Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	25	4%	1%	3%	14%	4%	_	3%	3%	4%
Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	18	3%	1%	1%	7%	8%	_	3%	2%	3%
Perceived personal ideology Liberal Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	34	5%	-	1%	12%	13%	12%	4%	7%	4%
Moderate Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	666	2.91	2.76	2.61	4.42	3.71	1.55	2.92	2.71	3.04
Conservative Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	468	67%	78%	83%	18%	39%	82%	66%	70%	65%
Perceived city council ideology 1 – Very liberal 2	122	17%	13%	9%	37%	29%	16%	19%	15%	18%
2	110	16%	9%	8%	45%	32%	2%	15%	14%	17%
	165	24%	22%	16%	46%	33%	10%	24%	24%	23%
	104	15%	14%	13%		19%		15%	12%	ı
	141	20%	22%	23%		5%	33%	17%	21%	
4	122	17%	21%	20%	4%	14%	21%	19%	19%	ı
5	77	11%	8%	13%	4%	18%		12%	11%	
6	34	5%	4%	6%	2%	7%	8%	5%	5%	
7 – Very conservative	15	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%		2%	2%	
(Don't know/Refused)	42	6%	6%	8%		2%		6%	6%	1
Mean 6	658	3.01	2.99	3.22	2.03	2.96	3.69	3.05	3.03	2.97
l , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	409	58%	59%	53%	79%	57%	50%	55%	57%	62%
Moderate 2	164	23%	27%	28%	13%	16%	23%	25%	25%	
Conservative	127	18%	14%	20%	8%	27%	27%	19%	18%	17%

					Р	arty/Gend	der			Region	
			%	D Men	D.Womon	D/I Mon	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		n 700		201	239		 				
Row percent		700	100%	29%		l					1
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	51%	51%	55%	46%	41%	60%	32%	55%
	Renter	350	50%	49%	49%	45%	54%	59%	40%	68%	45%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	72%	78%	66%	65%	76%	75%	73%	72%
	African American or Black	28	4%	6%	3%	6%	1%	2%			2%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	9%	5%	1					5%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	8%	6%	11%	15%	2%	6%	7%	10%
	Something else	49	7%	4%	6%	11%	13%	6%	5%	6%	9%
	(Refused)	14	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%	5%	2%	2%	2%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	72%	78%	66%	65%	76%	75%	73%	72%
	African American or Black	28	4%	6%	3%	6%	1%	2%	7%	4%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	9%	5%	5%	1%	9%	5%	8%	5%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	8%	6%	11%	15%	2%	6%	7%	10%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	63	9%	5%	8%	12%	18%	11%	7%	8%	11%
Ethnicity	White	511	73%	72%	78%	66%	65%	76%	75%	73%	72%
	POC	175	25%	27%	20%	33%	30%	18%	23%	26%	26%
	(Ref)	14	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%	5%	2%	2%	2%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	138	20%	18%	20%	18%	10%	35%	15%	24%	20%
	30-39	176	25%	27%	23%	19%	26%	32%	24%	28%	24%
	40-49	119	17%	15%	14%	22%	21%	18%	19%	15%	17%
	50-64	138	20%	18%	21%	21%	23%	14%	22%	17%	20%
	65+	129	18%	21%	21%	20%	19%	1%	20%	16%	19%
Two-Age Split	18-39	314	45%	46%	43%	37%	36%	66%	39%	52%	44%
	40+	386	55%	54%	57%	63%	64%	34%	61%	48%	56%
Generation	18-39	314	45%	46%	43%	37%	36%	66%	39%	52%	44%
	40-64	257	37%	34%	35%	43%	45%	33%	41%	32%	37%
	65+	129	18%	21%	21%	20%	19%	1%	20%	16%	19%

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
		n	%	D Men	D Women	R/I Men	R/I Women	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		201	239	101	83	76	203	200	298
Row percent			100%	29%	34%	14%	12%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Education	Some grade school	-	-	-	-	-	_	 -	 -	-	 -
	Some high school	5	1%	2%	0%	-	-	1%	-	0%	1%
	Graduated high school	17	2%	3%	1%	2%	7%	-	2%	4%	2%
	Technical/vocational school	20	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%
	Some college/<4-year degree	111	16%	16%	13%	24%	11%	17%	20%	16%	13%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	298	43%	42%	43%	43%	50%	35%	41%	42%	44%
	Graduate/professional degree	238	34%	34%	39%	25%	25%	38%	32%	33%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	1%	1%	0%	4%	6%	2%	3%	1%
Education	<4-year degree	165	24%	24%	18%	31%	25%	27%	27%	25%	20%
	4-year degree+	535	76%	76%	82%	69%	75%	73%	73%	75%	80%
Education	Less than college	165	24%	24%	18%	31%	25%	27%	27%	25%	20%
	Graduated college	298	43%	42%	43%	43%	50%	35%	41%	42%	44%
	Graduate/professional degree	238	34%	34%	39%	25%	25%	38%	32%	33%	36%
Gender	Men	334	48%	100%	-	100%	-	43%	46%	49%	48%
	Women	344	49%	-	100%	-	100%	28%	50%	47%	50%
	Non-binary	8	1%	-	-	-	-	11%	1%	2%	1%
	Another gender identity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(Refused)	14	2%	-	-	-	-	19%	3%	3%	1%
Region	South	203	29%	26%	30%	28%	32%	33%	100%	-	_
	Central	200	29%	29%	27%	31%	30%	27%	-	100%	-
	North	298	43%	45%	43%	1		1	-	-	100%

					Р	arty/Gend	ler			Region	
		n	%	D Men			R/I Women			Central	
Number of cases		700		201	239		83	1	1		1
Row percent			100%	29%	34%	14%	12%	11%	29%	29%	43%
City Council District	1	109	16%	11%	17%	15%	21%	17%	53%	-	-
	2	95	14%	14%	13%	13%	10%	16%	47%	-	-
	3	112	16%	17%	16%	14%	18%	15%	-	56%	-
	4	88	13%	12%	14%	12%	9%	13%	-	-	29%
	5	98	14%	13%	12%	14%	19%	18%	-	-	33%
	6	112	16%	21%	17%	14%	11%	9%	-	-	38%
	7	88	13%	12%	11%	17%	12%	12%	-	44%	-
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	0-3/6	336	48%	47%	43%	62%	38%	62%	48%	52%	45%
	4-5/6	175	25%	20%	30%	23%	36%	13%	25%	23%	26%
	6/6	189	27%	33%	27%	16%	26%	26%	27%	25%	29%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	151	22%	46%	-	37%	-	29%	20%	26%	20%
	M 40-64	120	17%	34%	-	43%	-	13%	18%	16%	17%
	M 65+	62	9%	21%	-	20%	-	1%	8%	7%	11%
	F 18-39	152	22%	-	43%	-	36%	24%	18%	24%	23%
	F 40-64	125	18%	-	35%	-	45%	4%	21%	14%	18%
	F 65+	67	10%	-	21%	-	19%	-	12%	9%	8%
	Other	22	3%	-	-	-	-	29%	3%	4%	2%
Party/Gender	D Men	201	29%	100%	_	-	_	-	26%	29%	31%
	D Women	239	34%	-	100%	-	-	-	35%	33%	34%
	R/I Men	101	14%	-	-	100%	-	-	14%	16%	14%
	R/I Women	83	12%	-	-	-	100%	-	13%	12%	11%
	Other	76	11%	-	-	-	-	100%	12%	10%	10%