				Gen	der		Pa	rty	
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74	450	128	47
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.75	4.82	4.72	4.77	5.20	3.76	3.11
Seattle right direction/wrong	Right direction	344	49%	49%	49%	44%	58%	30%	20%
track	Wrong track	338	48%	48%	48%	53%	40%	65%	77%
	(Don't know/Refused)	18	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	5%	2%
	Net Right direction	+6	+1	+1	+0	-8	+18	-34	-57
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	333	48%	42%	53%	41%	50%	45%	38%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	133	19%	17%	21%	21%	22%	13%	7%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	82	12%	11%	12%	11%	14%	6%	8%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	69	10%	10%	10%	12%	7%	18%	11%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	58	8%	9%	7%	4%	10%	5%	5%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	48	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%	5%	15%
	Walkability/Transit	43	6%	9%	4%	14%	6%	4%	2%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	33	5%	6%	3%	0%	6%	3%	7%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	27	4%	2%	6%	5%	3%	5%	7%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	11	2%	2%	1%	0%	0%	5%	7%
	Other	13	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	5%	0%
	Nothing/Don't know	24	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	4%	11%

				Ger	nder		Pa	rty	
			0/	Mala	Famala	Cocialist	Dom	lod	Don
Number of cases		700	%	Male 342	Female 345	Socialist 74	Dem 450	Ind 128	Rep 47
Row percent		700	100%	l		11%	450 64%	128	7%
Now percent			100%	4570	45/0	11/0	0470	1070	770
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	274	39%	34%	44%	36%	40%	34%	46%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	220	31%	31%	33%	9%	31%	37%	56%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	202	29%	29%	28%	56%	27%	27%	11%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	70	10%	12%	8%	14%	9%	11%	9%
	Taxes	49	7%	8%	6%	3%	5%	7%	32%
	Jobs/Economy	41	6%	6%	5%	13%	5%	7%	2%
	Public transportation	28	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	0%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	28	4%	3%	5%	0%	5%	4%	3%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	27	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%
	Traffic/Congestion	26	4%	4%	3%	2%	5%	3%	2%
	Growth/Development/Population	24	3%	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%	0%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	23	3%	4%	3%	9%	2%	3%	3%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	22	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	0%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	15	2%	1%	3%	0%	3%	2%	2%
	Climate change/Environment	2	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	22	3%	4%	3%	0%	3%	6%	2%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	4	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Change in quality of life in	Much better	10	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-
Seattle	Somewhat better	138	20%	27%	13%	13%	25%	11%	4%
	The same	206	29%	28%	32%	43%	29%	27%	23%
	Somewhat worse	243	35%	30%	39%	33%	37%	30%	32%
	Much worse	99	14%	13%	15%	9%	7%	30%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	2%	-
Change in quality of life in	Better	148	21%	29%	14%	15%	27%	11%	4%
Seattle	Same/(DK/Ref)	210	30%	28%	32%	43%	29%	28%	23%
	Worse	342	49%	43%	54%	42%	44%	60%	74%
	Net Better	-194	-28	-14	-40	-27	-17	-49	-70

				Gen	der	Party			
							_		_
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74	450	128	47
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
Considered moving out of	Yes	367	52%	52%	52%	40%	48%	66%	79%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	333	48%	48%	48%	60%	52%	34%	21%
Still actively considering moving	Yes	278	76%	78%	72%	65%	72%	83%	92%
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	89	24%	22%	28%	35%	28%	17%	8%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	278	40%	41%	37%	26%	34%	54%	72%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	89	13%	11%	15%	14%	13%	11%	6%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	333	48%	48%	48%	60%	52%	34%	21%
U.S. right direction/wrong track	Right direction	73	10%	13%	8%	_	2%	22%	77%
over inguite an economy in oning track	Wrong track	625	89%	86%	92%	100%	98%	77%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	_	0%	1%	
	Net Right direction	-552	-79	-73	-84	-	-96	-55	+53
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	143	20%	23%	18%	19%	24%	13%	7%
future of this region	Somewhat agree	319	46%	43%	49%	48%	50%	35%	24%
Tarana a a anna a agran	Somewhat disagree	149	21%	20%	22%	19%	18%	29%	32%
	Strongly disagree	88	13%	13%	11%	14%	7%	23%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: I'm worried about the	Strongly agree	253	36%	35%	37%	29%	29%	51%	70%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	296	42%	40%	44%	45%	45%	38%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	110	16%	17%	14%	15%	20%	7%	-
	Strongly disagree	40	6%	7%	4%	11%	6%	3%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	2%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	77	11%	11%	10%	14%	13%	4%	3%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	291	42%	43%	42%	42%	48%	27%	16%
	Somewhat disagree	214	31%	26%	35%	35%	28%	38%	26%
	Strongly disagree	116	17%	20%	14%	9%	10%	29%	55%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	0%	1%	-

				Ger	der		Pa	rty	
		l n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74	450	128	47
Row percent		/00	100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
new percent			10070	1370	1370	11,0	0170	1070	,,,
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	349	50%	52%	47%	72%	54%	36%	11%
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	249	36%	34%	38%	26%	38%	36%	31%
day	Somewhat disagree	65	9%	9%	10%	2%	6%	17%	27%
	Strongly disagree	37	5%	6%	5%	-	2%	11%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	125	18%	22%	14%	37%	18%	11%	8%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	187	27%	29%	25%	23%	31%	24%	-
downtown Scattle at hight	Somewhat disagree	202	29%	27%	30%	27%	30%	27%	22%
	Strongly disagree	184	26%	22%	31%	13%	21%	37%	70%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	-
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	142	20%	23%	18%	25%	21%	16%	12%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	288	41%	45%	38%	46%	45%	30%	27%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	157	22%	18%	27%	16%	23%	25%	22%
	Strongly disagree	111	16%	14%	17%	13%	10%	28%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	1%	-	0%	1%	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	328	47%	47%	47%	58%	55%	26%	12%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	234	33%	30%	37%	29%	33%	39%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	86	12%	15%	10%	8%	9%	15%	41%
	Strongly disagree	47	7%	7%	6%	3%	2%	18%	21%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	-
Agree: The City has made	Ctrongly ogran	45	6%	70/	5%	5%	70/	60/	6%
	Strongly agree	269	38%	7% 42%	36%	20%	7% 45%	6% 31%	27%
meaningful progress in reducing the number of homeless	Somewhat agree Somewhat disagree	189	27%	42% 24%		20% 40%	45% 27%	20%	27% 25%
	Strongly disagree	189	26%	24% 25%	30% 27%	40% 36%	27% 20%	38%	42%
encampments	(Don't know/Refused)	14	26%	25%	2/%	30%	20%	58% 5%	42%
	(Don't know/ neruseu)	14	Z 70	270	270	-	۷%	3%	-

				Gen	der		Pa	rty	
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74	450		47
Row percent		700	100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
now percent			10070	4370	7370	11/0	0470	1070	7,0
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	30	4%	5%	3%	6%	4%	3%	3%
meaningful progress on public	Somewhat agree	304	43%	42%	45%	26%	52%	35%	10%
safety	Somewhat disagree	198	28%	27%	29%	42%	28%	18%	33%
	Strongly disagree	156	22%	24%	21%	25%	13%	42%	54%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	-
Agree: Seattle has made	Strongly agree	26	4%	6%	2%	5%	4%	4%	2%
meaningful progress on shutting	Somewhat agree	256	37%	37%	36%	29%	43%		11%
down open air drug markets	Somewhat disagree	215	31%	29%	33%	35%	31%	20%	28%
down open all drug markets	Strongly disagree	183	26%	26%	26%	29%	19%	39%	58%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%
	(Bon e know) neruseu)		3,0	2,0	370	270	370	470	2,0
Agree: The City needs to	Strongly agree	298	43%	49%	35%	58%	41%	42%	38%
streamline permitting to make it	Somewhat agree	220	31%	29%	34%	25%	35%	24%	26%
easier and faster to build new	Somewhat disagree	104	15%	11%	18%	10%	16%	13%	13%
housing to address Seattle's	Strongly disagree	69	10%	9%	11%	7%	7%	18%	23%
housing affordability crisis	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	3%	-
	0		760/	700/	222/	222/	0.53/	540/	50/
_	<i></i>	535	76%	73%	80%	92%	86%		6%
economic policies will lead to a	Somewhat agree	94	13%	14%	13%	8%	12%	18%	27%
recession	Somewhat disagree	39 29	6% 4%	8% 4%	4% 4%	-	2% 0%	11%	34% 31%
	Strongly disagree	29	4% 0%	4% 0%	4% 0%	-	0%	10%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	2%
Agree: I've become more	Strongly agree	456	65%	59%	71%	80%	74%	48%	5%
concerned about my personal	Somewhat agree	132	19%	21%	17%	16%	20%	15%	19%
financial situation since	Somewhat disagree	52	7%	11%	4%	3%	5%	15%	17%
President Trump took office	Strongly disagree	56	8%	9%	7%	1%	1%	19%	59%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	0%	-	0%	3%	-

				Gen	ıder		Pa	rty	
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74	450	128	47
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
Agree: Businesses are closing	Strongly agree	277	40%	38%	41%	32%	32%	55%	85%
down or leaving Seattle for	Somewhat agree	235	34%	33%	33%	27%	39%	26%	9%
nearby cities because the cost of	Somewhat disagree	121	17%	18%	17%	23%	20%	11%	4%
doing business has gotten too	Strongly disagree	56	8%	8%	7%	15%	7%	8%	2%
high here	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	-	-
Agree: Building more housing	Strongly agree	234	33%	39%	27%	59%	33%	28%	12%
will help slow down Seattle's	Somewhat agree	230	33%	31%	35%	22%	37%	26%	32%
increasing housing costs	Somewhat disagree	146	21%	20%	22%	11%	22%	20%	22%
	Strongly disagree	83	12%	9%	14%	8%	7%	23%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	0%	2%	-	1%	2%	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	462	66%	66%	67%	68%	74%	48%	31%
future of this region	Disagree	237	34%	34%		32%	25%	52%	69%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	+225	+32	+32	+35	+35	+49	-4	-38
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	549	78%	76%	81%	74%	74%	89%	95%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	149	21%	24%	19%	26%	26%	10%	2%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	2%
	Net Agree	+399	+57	+51	+63	+47	+49	+79	+93
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	368	53%	54%	51%	56%	62%	32%	19%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	330	l	46%		44%	38%	68%	81%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	-	0%	1%	_
	Net Agree	+38	l	+8	+3	+12	+23	-36	-62
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	598	85%	86%	85%	98%	92%	72%	42%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	102	15%	14%		2%	8%	28%	58%
day	(DK/Ref)				-				-
,	Net Agree	+496	+71	+71	+71	+95	+83	+44	-15

				Gen	ider	Gender Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74	450	128	47
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	312	45%	51%	38%	60%	48%	36%	8%
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	386	55%	49%	61%	40%	51%	64%	92%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-74	-11	+3	-23	+21	-3	-29	-85
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	429	61%	68%	56%	71%	66%	46%	39%
growth and development has	Disagree	268	38%	32%	44%	29%	33%	52%	61%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	0%	1%	-	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+162	+23	+36	+12	+42	+33	-6	-22
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	563	80%	77%	84%	87%	88%	65%	38%
Seattleite	Disagree	133	19%	22%	16%	11%	12%	33%	62%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+430	+61	+55	+68	+75	+77	+32	-25
Agree: The City has made	Agree	314	45%	49%	41%	25%	52%	37%	33%
meaningful progress in reducing	Disagree	372	53%	49%	57%	75%	47%	58%	67%
the number of homeless	(DK/Ref)	14	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	5%	-
encampments	Net Agree	-58	-8	-0	-15	-50	+5	-21	-34
Agree: The City has made	Agree	334	48%	47%	48%	33%	56%	38%	13%
meaningful progress on public	Disagree	354	51%	51%	50%	67%	42%	59%	87%
safety	(DK/Ref)	12	2%	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	-
	Net Agree	-20	-3	-4	-2	-34	+15	-21	-74
Agree: Seattle has made	Agree	282	40%	43%	38%	34%	47%	30%	12%
meaningful progress on shutting	Disagree	398	57%	55%	60%	64%	50%	66%	86%
down open air drug markets	(DK/Ref)	20	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%
	Net Agree	-116	-17	-12	-22	-30	-3	-36	-73

				Gen	ider		Party		
		_	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Pon
Number of cases		n 700	70	342	345	74	450		Rep 47
Row percent		/00	100%	49%	49%	11%	64%		7%
Now percent			10070	1370	1370	11/0	0470	1070	7,0
Agree: The City needs to	Agree	518	74%	78%	70%	83%	76%	66%	64%
streamline permitting to make it	Disagree	173	25%	21%	29%	17%	23%	31%	36%
easier and faster to build new	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	3%	-
housing to address Seattle's	Net Agree	+344	+49	+57	+41	+66	+53	+35	+28
housing affordability crisis									
Agree: I'm worried that national	Agree	630	90%	88%	92%	100%	98%	78%	32%
economic policies will lead to a	Disagree	68	10%	12%	7%	-	2%	22%	65%
recession	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	2%
	Net Agree	+561	+80	+76	+85	-	+95	+57	-33
Agree: I've become more	Agree	588	84%	80%	88%	96%	94%		24%
concerned about my personal	Disagree	107	15%	19%	12%	4%	6%	33%	76%
financial situation since	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	0%	-	0%	3%	-
President Trump took office	Net Agree	+480	+69	+60	+77	+92	+88	+30	-52
Agree: Businesses are closing	Agree	512	73%	71%	75%	59%	71%	81%	94%
down or leaving Seattle for	Disagree	178	25%	27%	24%	38%	27%	19%	6%
nearby cities because the cost of	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	-	-
doing business has gotten too	Net Agree	+334	+48	+45	+51	+21	+44	+62	+88
high here									
Agree: Building more housing	Agree	464	66%	70%	62%	81%	70%	55%	44%
will help slow down Seattle's	Disagree	229	33%	29%	37%	19%	30%	43%	56%
increasing housing costs	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	0%	2%	-	1%	2%	-
	Net Agree	+235	+34	+41	+25	+61	+40	+11	-13
Downtown Seattle recovery	1 – Very pessimistic	72	10%	11%	10%	7%	6%	18%	36%
sentiment	2	147	21%	23%	20%	20%	17%	28%	38%
	3	322	46%	41%	52%	50%	50%	40%	22%
	4	128	18%	22%			22%		5%
	5 – Very optimistic	25	4%	3%	4%	7%	4%	2%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
	Mean	694	2.84	2.84	2.82	2.97	3.00	2.50	1.96

				Ger	ıder		Pa	rty	
			٥,						
Ni walion of accord		700	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700	100%	342 49%	345 49%	74 11%	450 64%	128 18%	47 7%
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	04%	18%	7 %
Downtown Seattle recovery	1-2 Pessimistic	219	31%	34%	29%	26%	23%	46%	73%
sentiment	3/(DK)	328	47%	41%	53%	51%	50%	41%	22%
	4-5 Optimistic	153	22%	25%	18%	22%	26%	13%	5%
How much City regulations and	Primary factor	90	13%	14%	12%	4%	9%	20%	44%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Major factor	245	35%	34%	37%	22%	36%	34%	50%
living	Minor factor	288	41%	41%	41%	48%	45%	35%	7%
	Not really a factor at all	74	11%	11%	9%	26%	9%	10%	-
	(Don't know)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-
How much City regulations and	Primary/major factor	335	48%	47%	49%	26%	45%	54%	93%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Minor/not really at all a factor	361	52%	52%	50%	74%	54%	46%	7%
living	(DK)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	_
	Net Primary/major factor	-26	-4	-5	-1	-48	-9	+8	+87
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	253	36%	31%	40%	72%	35%	32%	2%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus or	Focus on local issues	414	59%	62%	57%	25%	64%	57%	73%
local issues	(Both)	2	0%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	-
	(Neither)	29	4%	6%	3%	2%	1%	9%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	0%	1%	-
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	253	36%	31%	40%	72%	35%	32%	2%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus or	Focus on local issues	414	59%	62%	57%	25%	64%	57%	73%
local issues	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	34	5%	6%	3%	3%	1%	10%	25%
	Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda	-161	-23	-31	-17	+47	-29	-25	-71
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	171	24%	25%	24%	11%	18%	38%	73%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	213	30%	27%	35%		34%	26%	25%
, ,	Too low	80	11%	13%	10%	25%	11%		-
	About right	235	34%	34%	31%	43%	38%	25%	2%
	(Don't know)	2	0%	1%	-	-	0%	1%	-

				Ger	ıder		Pa	rty	
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74	450	128	47
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	383	55%	52%	59%	32%	51%	64%	98%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	237	34%	35%	31%	43%	38%	26%	2%
	Too low	80	11%	13%	10%	25%	11%	10%	-
	Net Too high	+303	+43	+39	+49	+7	+40	+54	-
City leadership priority: Taking	1 – Not at all important	2	0%	1%	-	-	0%	-	2%
care of the basics like filling	2	2	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	2%
potholes and maintaining our	3	25	4%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	11%
parks and local transportation	4	49	7%	7%	7%	11%	8%	3%	2%
infrastructure	5	137	20%	18%	21%	20%	19%	22%	15%
	6	162	23%	20%	26%	25%	24%	20%	21%
	7 – Extremely important	325	46%	51%	43%	41%	45%	53%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	6.00	6.04	5.99	5.90	5.98	6.19	5.80
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	82	12%	17%	7%	2%	4%	28%	55%
Protecting core progressive	2	45	6%	9%	4%	1%	6%	4%	22%
values like social justice, equity,	3	44	6%	7%	6%	-	7%	5%	8%
and inclusivity	4	57	8%	8%	9%	1%	8%	12%	7%
	5	113	16%	18%	15%	15%	20%	10%	-
	6	82	12%	12%	11%	11%	15%	5%	-
	7 – Extremely important	276	39%	29%	48%	71%	39%	34%	9%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	699	5.04	4.54	5.48	6.41	5.35	4.24	2.10

			Gender Party					
	n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
	700		342	345	74	450	128	47
		100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
1 – Not at all important	10	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	-
2	12			0%			1 1	7%
3		4%		2%	11%	3%	3%	2%
4	83	12%	12%	12%	18%	13%	6%	7%
5	159	23%	21%	24%	19%	25%	21%	8%
6	120	17%	16%	19%	11%	20%	12%	14%
7 – Extremely important	289	41%	41%	41%	38%	37%	52%	62%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-
Mean	699	5.70	5.59	5.79	5.36	5.66	5.88	6.07
1 – Not at all important	41	6%	5%	6%	16%	4%	8%	_
2		4%	4%	4%	15%	2%	1 1	2%
3		6%			12%		1 1	_
4							1 1	5%
5							1 1	6%
6							1 1	5%
7 – Extremely important							1 1	82%
· ·							1 1	-
Mean	697	5.45	5.56	5.37	3.73		1	6.57
1 – Not at all important	28	4%	5%	3%	13%	3%	5%	_
·							1	_
							1	2%
							1 1	
							1 1	2%
							1	4%
<u> </u>							1 1	93%
· ·	301	J2 /0 _	J2/0 _	J2/0 _	12/0	J±/0		93/0
Mean (Bon C Know) Netrosed)	700	5.72	5.69	5.80	4.06	5.86	5.80	6.85
	2 3 4 5 6 7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused) Mean 1 - Not at all important 2 3 4 5 6 7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused) Mean 1 - Not at all important 2 3 4 5 6 7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused) Mean	1 - Not at all important 2 12 3 27 4 83 5 159 6 120 7 - Extremely important 289 (Don't know/Refused) 1 Mean 699 1 - Not at all important 41 2 25 3 40 4 73 5 112 6 112 7 - Extremely important 295 (Don't know/Refused) 3 Mean 697 1 - Not at all important 295 (Don't know/Refused) 3 Mean 697 1 - Not at all important 28 2 25 3 30 4 57 5 115 6 86 7 - Extremely important 361 (Don't know/Refused) -	1 - Not at all important 1 10 1% 2 12 2% 3 27 4% 4 83 12% 5 159 23% 6 120 17% 7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused) 1 0% Mean 699 5.70 1 - Not at all important 2 25 4% 3 40 6% 4 73 10% 5 112 16% 6 7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused) 3 0% Mean 697 5.45 1 - Not at all important 2 295 42% (Don't know/Refused) 3 0% Mean 697 5.45 1 - Not at all important 2 2 4% 3 3 0 4% 4 57 8% 5 115 16% 6 86 12% 7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused) 3 0% 4 57 8% 5 115 16% 6 86 12% 7 - Extremely important (Don't know/Refused)	Tool	Tool 100% 49% 41% 5% 2% 483 12% 12% 12% 24% 5% 49% 41%	Tool at all important 10	Tool	Tool 100% 342 345 74 450 128

				Ger	ider		Pa	rty	
			0/	D 4 - 1 -	Famal	Castalia	D	ll	D
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700	4000/	342	345	74	450		47
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
City leadership priority: Updating	1 – Not at all important	80	11%	10%	14%	8%	8%	21%	28%
Seattle's zoning laws and	2	45	6%	6%	7%	3%	8%	3%	8%
expediting permitting to allow	3	45	6%	6%	6%	2%	7%	7%	6%
for more housing across the city,	4	114	16%	13%	19%	8%	19%	13%	17%
even if it means there will be	5	121	17%	16%	19%	11%	18%	15%	24%
more duplexes and triplexes in	6	87	12%	11%	14%	11%	12%	19%	5%
many single-family residential	7 – Extremely important	208	30%	37%	22%	57%	29%	24%	13%
neighborhoods	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.77	5.04	4.51	5.71	4.82	4.49	3.68
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	83	12%	17%	7%	_	3%	28%	69%
Aggressively standing up to the	2	21	3%	4%	3%	_	2%	3%	13%
Trump Administration including	3	32	5%	5%	4%	4%	4%	6%	8%
joining lawsuits against the	4	52	7%	7%	7%	2%	8%	8%	7%
administration when the federal	5	73	10%	10%	11%	8%	13%	7%	1%
government overreaches	6	67	10%	12%	7%	9%	11%	7%	-
So comment of the case is a	7 – Extremely important	366	52%	43%	61%		57%		2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%		0%	4%	-
	Mean	694	5.42	5.04	5.78		5.89		1.66
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	19	3%	5%	1%	1%	2%	4%	14%
Incentivizing businesses to	2	16	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	4%	6%
create family wage jobs here in	3	33	5%	6%	3%	5%	5%	3%	5%
Seattle so that our local	4	98	14%	13%	16%		16%		9%
economy stays resilient in the	5	148	21%	20%	21%		24%	16%	21%
face of national economic	6	137	20%	19%	20%	21%	21%	15%	11%
uncertainty	7 – Extremely important	246	35%	32%	37%	43%	31%	47%	33%
,	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%	-
	Mean	696	5.49	5.30	5.66	5.76	5.47	5.66	4.81

				Gen	der				
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74		128	47
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
City leadership priority: Taking	1-3 Not important	28	4%	5%	3%	3%		2%	14%
care of the basics like filling	4/(DK/Ref)	49	7%	7%	7%	11%		3%	2%
potholes and maintaining our	5-7 Important	623	89%	89%	90%	86%	88%	95%	84%
parks and local transportation									
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	171	24%	33%	17%	3%	18%	38%	85%
Protecting core progressive	4/(DK/Ref)	58	8%	8%	9%	1%	8%	13%	7%
values like social justice, equity,	5-7 Important	471	67%	59%	74%	96%	74%	49%	9%
and inclusivity									
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	48	7%	10%	4%	14%	5%	8%	9%
Protecting local jobs and small	4/(DK/Ref)	84	12%	12%	12%	18%	13%	7%	7%
businesses in this uncertain	5-7 Important	568	81%	78%	84%	68%	82%	85%	84%
economic environment by									
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	105	15%	14%	15%	43%	11%	17%	2%
Continuing to reduce the	4/(DK/Ref)	76	11%	9%	13%	27%	9%	11%	5%
number of homeless	5-7 Important	519	74%	77%	71%	30%	80%	72%	93%
encampments									
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	82	12%	15%	9%	37%	9%	12%	2%
Maintaining progress on public	4/(DK/Ref)	57	8%	5%	10%	18%	7%	9%	-
safety and reducing street	5-7 Important	561	80%	80%	81%	45%	84%	80%	98%
disorder by continuing to shut									
City leadership priority: Updating	g 1-3 Not important	170	24%	22%	27%	13%	23%	30%	41%
Seattle's zoning laws and	4/(DK/Ref)	114	16%	13%	19%	8%	19%	13%	17%
expediting permitting to allow	5-7 Important	415	59%	65%	54%	79%	59%	57%	42%
for more housing across the city,									
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	136	19%	25%	14%	4%	10%	36%	90%
Aggressively standing up to the	4/(DK/Ref)	58	8%	9%	8%	2%	9%	12%	7%
Trump Administration including	5-7 Important	506	72%	66%	78%	94%	82%	52%	3%
joining lawsuits against the									
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	68	10%	14%	5%	8%	8%	10%	26%
Incentivizing businesses to	4/(DK/Ref)	102	15%	14%	16%	15%	16%	12%	9%
create family wage jobs here in	5-7 Important	530	76%	72%	79%	78%	76%	78%	65%
Seattle so that our local									

				Gen	der		Pa	rty	
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74	450	128	47
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
Current downtown visit	Once a week or more	127	18%	22%	15%	24%	16%	24%	12%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	230	33%	33%	33%	37%	36%	26%	11%
	A few times a year	201	29%	28%	30%	28%	29%	26%	34%
	Rarely	113	16%	14%	18%	10%	15%	19%	32%
	Never	28	4%	4%	4%	1%	3%	5%	10%
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Current downtown visit	Weekly	127	18%	22%	15%	24%	16%	24%	12%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	230	33%	33%	33%	37%	36%	26%	11%
	Less often	343	49%	46%	52%	39%	47%	50%	77%
Current downtown visit	Much more often	44	6%	6%	6%	13%	6%	4%	3%
frequency compared to last year		120	17%	15%	19%	24%	18%	14%	5%
mequency compared to last year	About the same	313	45%	47%	43%	38%	48%	45%	25%
	Somewhat less often	115	16%	17%	16%	15%	16%	15%	24%
	Much less often	108	15%	15%	16%	10%	12%	22%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current downtown visit	More often	164	23%	22%	25%	38%	24%	18%	8%
frequency compared to last year	Same/(DK/Ref)	313	45%	47%	43%		48%	45%	25%
	Less often	223	32%	32%	32%	24%	28%	38%	67%
	Net More often	-59	-8	-10	-7	+13	-4	-20	-59
Duration of residency	<2 years	20	3%	4%	2%	8%	2%	2%	3%
,	2-5 years	126	18%	18%	19%	18%	20%	16%	5%
	6-10 years	102	15%	16%	12%	22%	14%	17%	6%
	11-20 years	139	20%	23%	17%	31%	19%	16%	24%
	>20 years	311	44%	39%	50%		46%	47%	62%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	248	35%	38%	33%	48%	36%	35%	14%
	11-20 years	139	20%	23%	17%		19%	16%	24%
	>20 years	313		39%	50%		46%	49%	62%

				Gen	der		Pa	rty	
							_		_
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74	450	128	47
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
Party	Strong Democrat	293	42%	37%	48%	-	65%	-	-
	Not very strong Democrat	88	13%	15%	10%	-	19%	-	-
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	70	10%	12%	8%	-	15%	-	-
	Independent	50	7%	9%	6%	-	-	39%	-
	Independent, closer to Republican party	20	3%	4%	2%	-	-	-	43%
	Not very strong Republican	7	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	16%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	3%	2%	-	-	-	41%
	Socialist	74	11%	10%	11%	100%	-	-	_
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	78	11%	9%	12%	-	-	61%	-
Party	Socialist	74	11%	10%	11%	100%	_	-	-
	Democrat	450	64%	64%	66%	-	100%	-	-
	Independent	128	18%	18%	18%	-	-	100%	-
	Republican	47	7%	8%	5%	-	-	-	100%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	171	24%	19%	29%	70%	21%	20%	-
	2	170	24%	22%	26%	19%	30%	13%	3%
	3	147	21%	23%	20%	5%	29%	8%	2%
	4	109	16%	17%	14%	-	15%	21%	28%
	5	44	6%	8%	5%	-	2%	15%	34%
	6	14	2%	4%	-	-	1%	3%	12%
	7 – Very conservative	17	2%	2%	3%	-	1%	3%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	29	4%	5%	3%	6%	1%	16%	1%
	Mean	671	2.69	2.91	2.50	1.31	2.53	3.24	5.09
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	488	70%	65%	75%	94%	80%	42%	5%
]	Moderate	137	20%	22%	17%	6%	16%	37%	29%
	Conservative	75	11%	13%	8%	-	4%	22%	65%

				Ger	der		Pa	rty	
			0/	Mala	Famal-	Casialist	Dam	l on al	Don
Number of cases		700	%	Male 342	Female 345	Socialist 74	Dem 450	Ind 128	Rep
		/00	100%	342 49%	345 49%	74 11%	450 64%	128	47 7%
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	04%	18%	170
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	123	18%	19%	17%	3%	14%	24%	57%
	2	119	17%	22%	12%	2%	18%	20%	20%
	3	129	18%	16%	20%	16%	22%	12%	7%
	4	137	20%	17%	22%	29%	20%	16%	12%
	5	97	14%	13%	14%	27%	15%	7%	3%
	6	36	5%	5%	6%	10%	4%	7%	-
	7 – Very conservative	17	2%	3%	2%	8%	2%	3%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	41	6%	5%	7%	4%	6%	10%	-
	Mean	659	3.22	3.11	3.30	4.45	3.23	2.96	1.85
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	371	53%	57%	50%	21%	54%	56%	84%
,	Moderate	179	26%	22%	29%	33%	25%	26%	12%
	Conservative	151	22%	21%	21%	45%	21%	17%	3%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	54%	47%	31%	52%	48%	67%
	Renter	350	50%	46%	53%	69%	48%	52%	33%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	520	74%	72%	77%	74%	80%	60%	60%
	African American or Black	28	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	5%	7%	8%	5%	8%	10%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	9%	6%	9%	7%	11%	12%
	Something else	49	7%	8%	6%	2%	5%	15%	13%
	(Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	5%	0%	1%	-
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	520	74%	72%	77%	74%	80%	60%	60%
	African American or Black	28	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	5%	7%	8%	5%	8%	10%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	9%	6%	9%	7%	11%	12%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	54	8%	8%	7%	7%	5%	16%	13%

				Gen	der		Pa	rty	
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74	450	128	47
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
Ethnicity	White	520	74%	72%	77%	74%	80%	60%	60%
	POC	175	25%	27%	23%	21%	20%	39%	40%
	(Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	5%	0%	1%	-
Age (Replaced)	18-29	138	20%	21%	17%	27%	21%	12%	11%
	30-39	176	25%	25%	26%	45%	25%	16%	18%
	40-49	119	17%	19%	15%	16%	15%	23%	17%
	50-64	138	20%	18%	22%	7%	19%	29%	18%
	65+	129	18%	17%	20%	6%	19%	18%	35%
Two-Age Split	18-39	314	45%	46%	43%	71%	47%	29%	30%
	40+	386		54%	57%	29%	53%	71%	70%
Generation	18-39	314	45%	46%	43%	71%	47%	29%	30%
	40-64	257	37%	36%	37%	23%	35%	53%	35%
	65+	129	18%	17%	20%	6%	19%	18%	35%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	0%	0%	_	1%	-	_
	Some high school	6	1%	1%	1%	_	1%	2%	_
	Graduated high school	23	3%	3%	3%	_	3%	6%	1%
	Technical/vocational school	24	3%	2%	5%	8%	3%	3%	4%
	Some college/<4-year degree	104	15%	17%	13%	15%	13%	17%	24%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	265	38%	38%	37%	50%	36%	34%	48%
	Graduate/professional degree	270	39%	37%	40%	26%	43%	36%	23%
	(Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	0%	2%	-
Education	<4-year degree	165	24%	24%	23%	23%	21%	30%	29%
	4-year degree+	535	76%	76%			79%		71%
Education	Less than college	165	24%	24%	23%	23%	21%	30%	29%
	Graduated college	265	38%	38%			36%		48%
	Graduate/professional degree	270					43%		23%

				Gen	der		Pa	rty	
			0,	NA-1-	Famal	Castalia	D	l and	D
Number of coop-		n 700	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345	74	450	128	47
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
Gender	Male	342	49%	100%	-	46%	49%	48%	58%
	Female	345	49%	-	100%	50%	50%	48%	40%
	Non-binary	10	1%	-	-	2%	1%	3%	-
	(Refused)	3	0%	-	-	2%	-	1%	2%
Region	South	203	29%	28%	29%	22%	27%	36%	36%
	Central	200	29%	30%	28%	40%	28%	25%	24%
	North	298	43%	42%	43%	37%	45%	39%	40%
City Council District	1	109	16%	15%	16%	15%	15%	18%	20%
'	2	95	14%	13%	13%	8%	13%	18%	17%
	3	112	16%	16%	17%	28%	16%	11%	11%
	4	88	13%	13%	12%	9%	12%	13%	17%
	5	98	14%	15%	13%	11%	14%	16%	17%
	6	112	16%	14%	18%	17%	19%	10%	7%
	7	88	13%	14%	11%	13%	12%	14%	12%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	0-3/6	336	48%	51%	45%	37%	47%	56%	52%
, ,	4-5/6	175	25%	21%	28%	27%	25%	23%	28%
	6/6	189		28%	27%	35%	28%	21%	20%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	158	23%	46%	_	32%	23%	17%	15%
	M 40-64	124	18%		_	10%	17%	25%	22%
	M 65+	60		17%	_	4%	9%	6%	21%
	F 18-39	149			43%	37%	22%	11%	14%
	F 40-64	127	18%		37%	11%		25%	11%
	F 65+	68			20%	2%	10%	12%	14%
	Other	13	2%		-	3%	1%	4%	2%

				Gen	ıder		Pa	rty	
		n	%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		342	345		450	128	
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	11%	64%	18%	7%
Party/Gender	D Male	219	31%	64%	-	-	49%	-	-
	D Female	227	32%	-	66%	-	50%	-	-
	R/I Male	89	13%	26%	-	-	-	48%	58%
	R/I Female	80	11%	-	23%	-	-	48%	40%
	Other	85	12%	10%	11%	100%	1%	4%	2%

				Age (Replaced)					Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.75	5.34	5.15	4.31	4.02	4.76	4.87	4.43
Seattle right direction/wrong	Right direction	344	49%	60%	53%	43%	37%	51%	51%	44%
track	Wrong track	338	48%	33%	47%	56%	62%	45%	47%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	18	3%	7%	-	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%
	Net Right direction	+6	+1	+27	+7	-13	-26	+7	+4	-9
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	333	48%	56%	47%	47%	44%	44%	49%	44%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	133	19%	19%	23%	13%	19%	19%	19%	19%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	82	12%	15%	12%	9%	13%	8%	12%	10%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	69	10%	5%	10%	9%	8%	17%	10%	9%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	58	8%	5%	13%	10%	4%	8%	8%	10%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	48	7%	9%	6%	4%	9%	7%	7%	7%
	Walkability/Transit	43	6%	8%	8%	6%	4%	4%	5%	8%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	33	5%	6%	4%	7%	4%	2%	4%	6%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	27	4%	3%	1%	3%	6%	7%	4%	3%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	11	2%	1%	0%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%
	Other	13	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%
	Nothing/Don't know	24	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	6%

CI CI G							Ethnicity			
Row percent Top issues facing Seattle H Co		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Top issues facing Seattle H Co		700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Co Co G			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
Co G	Homelessness	274	39%	41%	34%	46%	40%	37%	39%	37%
G	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	220	31%	30%	22%	38%	42%	28%	29%	38%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	202	29%	35%	39%	28%	19%	20%	28%	30%
т.	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	70	10%	9%	14%	9%	9%	8%	9%	12%
10	axes	49	7%	6%	5%	3%	9%	12%	7%	6%
Jo	obs/Economy	41	6%	7%	8%	3%	6%	5%	6%	6%
Pi	Public transportation	28	4%	7%	6%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%
CI	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	28	4%	3%	4%	3%	6%	4%	3%	6%
St	treets/Roads/Infrastructure	27	4%	1%	3%	5%	5%	6%	3%	5%
Tr	raffic/Congestion	26	4%	5%	2%	1%	4%	7%	5%	1%
G	Growth/Development/Population	24	3%	2%	5%	1%	2%	7%	4%	3%
Ra	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	23	3%	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%	2%
So	chools/School district/SPS/Education	22	3%	1%	2%	8%	2%	4%	3%	3%
N	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	15	2%	1%	2%	1%	5%	1%	2%	1%
CI	Climate change/Environment	2	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	22	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%
N	lone/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	4	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Change in quality of life in M	Лuch better	10	1%	3%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%
Seattle So	omewhat better	138	20%	27%	22%	14%	17%	17%	19%	20%
TI	he same	206	29%	31%	35%	32%	21%	25%	31%	24%
So	omewhat worse	243	35%	35%	32%	32%	36%	39%	34%	35%
l N	Auch worse	99	14%	3%	9%	21%	25%	15%	13%	19%
1)	Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	-
Change in quality of life in Bo	Better	148	21%	30%	24%	14%	17%	19%	21%	22%
I	ame/(DK/Ref)	210	30%	32%	35%	32%	22%	27%	32%	24%
	Vorse	342	49%	38%	41%	53%	61%	54%	47%	53%
N	let Better	-194	-28	-8	-17	-39	-45	-35	-26	

Number of cases Row percent Considered moving out of Ye	es o/(Don't know/Refused)	n 700	% 100%	18-29 138 20%	30-39 176 25%	40-49 119	50-64 138	65+ 129	White 520	POC
Row percent Considered moving out of Ye		700 367		138	176	119				
Considered moving out of Ye		I	100%	20%	250/			127	520	175
		I			25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
la	o/(Don't know/Refused)		52%	49%	43%	59%	62%	52%	50%	61%
Seattle No		333	48%	51%	57%	41%	38%	48%	50%	39%
Still actively considering moving Ye		278	76%	65%	68%	78%	89%	78%	72%	85%
out of Seattle No	o/(Don't know/Refused)	89	24%	35%	32%	22%	11%	22%	28%	15%
<u> </u>	es, still actively considering	278	40%	32%	29%	46%	55%	41%	36%	51%
	es, no longer actively considering	89	13%	17%	14%	13%	7%	12%	14%	9%
Seattle No.	o/(Don't know/Refused)	333	48%	51%	57%	41%	38%	48%	50%	39%
, ,	ight direction	73	10%	6%	8%	12%	12%	16%	8%	17%
	/rong track	625	89%	94%	92%	87%	88%	83%	92%	83%
1	Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	-	1%	0%	-
Ne	et Right direction	-552	-79	-89	-84	-76	-76	-68	-83	-65
	trongly agree	143	20%	25%	29%	18%	13%	14%	22%	16%
_	omewhat agree	319	46%	53%	44%	41%	40%	50%	47%	43%
	omewhat disagree	149	21%	15%	18%	23%	28%	24%	21%	20%
	trongly disagree	88	13%	6%	9%	18%	20%	12%	10%	20%
(Di	Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-
_	trongly agree	253	36%	24%	28%	38%	50%	44%	34%	44%
	omewhat agree	296	42%	46%	41%	42%	35%	47%	43%	38%
	omewhat disagree	110	16%	22%	19%	15%	12%	9%	17%	12%
	trongly disagree	40	6%	6%	12%	6%	2%	1%	6%	5%
(Di	Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	0%	1%
	trongly agree	77	11%	18%	13%	12%	4%	7%	12%	9%
	omewhat agree	291	42%	53%	43%	32%	39%	39%	44%	34%
	omewhat disagree	214	31%	21%	30%	35%	32%	36%	29%	33%
	trongly disagree	116	17%	7%	14%	22%	25%	17%	14%	24%
(Di	Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%	-

				Age (Replaced)					Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	349	50%	62%	60%	45%	35%	43%	55%	35%
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	249	36%	32%	28%	34%	44%	42%	34%	
day	Somewhat disagree	65	9%	4%	7%	11%	14%	12%	7%	15%
	Strongly disagree (Don't know/Refused)	37 -	5% -	2% -	5% -	11% -	7% -	3% -	4% -	10%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	125	18%	21%	24%	19%	13%	11%	20%	12%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	187	27%	36%	29%	25%	19%	23%	27%	24%
	Somewhat disagree	202	29%	28%	20%	26%	37%	34%	31%	21%
	Strongly disagree	184	26%	15%	26%	29%	30%	32%	21%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	0%	-
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	142	20%	24%	30%	23%	13%	8%	22%	16%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	288	41%	50%	42%	38%	36%	37%	40%	44%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	157	22%	17%	16%	19%	28%	34%	23%	21%
	Strongly disagree	111	16%	9%	11%	19%	22%	19%	15%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	328	47%	56%		41%	36%	47%	50%	37%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	234	33%	31%	32%	30%	40%	34%	34%	
	Somewhat disagree	86	12%	9%	12%	14%	11%	15%	10%	18%
	Strongly disagree	47	7%	3%	4%	15%	10%	3%	5%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	45	6%	5%	5%	6%	7%	9%	6%	8%
meaningful progress in reducing	Somewhat agree	269	38%	41%	35%	32%	38%	47%	39%	
the number of homeless	Somewhat disagree	189	27%	24%	35%	25%	25%	25%	28%	25%
encampments	Strongly disagree	183	26%	26%	23%	37%	29%	17%	25%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	14	2%	5%	2%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%

Number of cases Row percent Agree: The City has made Strongly agree meaningful progress on public Somewhat agree safety Somewhat disagree	n 700 30 304 198 156	100% 4% 43%	18-29 138 20% 5% 48%	30-39 176 25%	40-49 119 17% 2%	50-64 138 20%	65+ 129 18%	White 520 74%	POC 175 25%
Row percent Agree: The City has made Strongly agree meaningful progress on public Somewhat agree safety Somewhat disagree	30 304 198	100% 4% 43%	20% 5%	25%	17%	20%	18%		
Agree: The City has made Strongly agree meaningful progress on public Somewhat agree safety Somewhat disagree	304 198	4% 43%	5%					74%	25%
meaningful progress on public Somewhat agree safety Somewhat disagree	304 198	43%	1	7%	2%				
safety Somewhat disagree	198		400/			2%	5%	4%	5%
,	l l		I	43%	37%	41%	48%	45%	38%
	156	28%	28%	25%	31%	27%	32%	27%	31%
Strongly disagree		22%	14%	24%	30%	28%	15%	21%	24%
(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	5%	2%	-	2%	-	2%	1%
Agree: Seattle has made Strongly agree	26	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	5%	3%	5%
meaningful progress on shutting Somewhat agree	256	37%	31%	40%	29%	34%	48%	39%	30%
down open air drug markets Somewhat disagree	215	31%	37%	25%	35%	32%	28%	30%	32%
Strongly disagree	183	26%	22%	29%	33%	30%	17%	24%	32%
(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	7%	4%	-	1%	2%	3%	1%
Agree: The City needs to Strongly agree	298	43%	53%	45%	45%	31%	38%	43%	40%
streamline permitting to make it Somewhat agree	220	31%	30%	33%	33%	34%	27%	32%	31%
easier and faster to build new Somewhat disagree	104	15%	9%	14%	15%	21%	17%	15%	14%
housing to address Seattle's Strongly disagree	69	10%	6%	7%	7%	13%	17%	9%	14%
housing affordability crisis (Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I'm worried that national Strongly agree	535	76%	84%	83%	73%	70%	71%	79%	69%
economic policies will lead to a Somewhat agree	94	13%	11%	11%	13%	16%	17%	13%	15%
recession Somewhat disagree	39	6%	3%	6%	6%	8%	5%	4%	9%
Strongly disagree	29	4%	1%	1%	8%	6%	7%	4%	6%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	-
Agree: I've become more Strongly agree	456	65%	68%	68%	55%	65%	67%	68%	57%
concerned about my personal Somewhat agree	132	19%	21%	21%	24%	16%	12%	19%	17%
financial situation since Somewhat disagree	52	7%	6%	7%	7%	8%	9%	6%	12%
President Trump took office Strongly disagree	56	8%	4%	3%	13%	11%	11%	6%	14%
(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	2%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%

					A	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700	70	138	176	119	138	129	520	
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
Agree: Businesses are closing	Strongly agree	277	40%	27%	33%	41%	57%	42%	38%	46%
down or leaving Seattle for	Somewhat agree	235	34%	38%	32%	36%	24%	39%	34%	32%
nearby cities because the cost of	Somewhat disagree	121	17%	23%	21%	17%	11%	13%	20%	11%
doing business has gotten too	Strongly disagree	56	8%	10%	12%	6%	7%	3%	8%	9%
high here	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	2%	2%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%
Agree: Building more housing	Strongly agree	234	33%	48%	47%	37%	18%	13%	34%	30%
will help slow down Seattle's	Somewhat agree	230	33%	30%	30%	32%	34%	39%	34%	29%
increasing housing costs	Somewhat disagree	146	21%	12%	14%	19%	31%	30%	20%	25%
	Strongly disagree	83	12%	10%	8%	11%	16%	16%	11%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	462	66%	79%	73%	59%	52%	64%	69%	59%
future of this region	Disagree	237	34%	21%	27%	41%	48%	36%	31%	41%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+225	+32	+58	+46	+19	+5	+28	+38	+19
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	549	78%	70%	69%	80%	86%	90%	77%	82%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	149	21%	28%	31%	20%	14%	10%	23%	17%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+399	+57	+42	+39	+60	+71	+81	+54	+64
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	368	53%	71%	56%	44%	43%	47%	56%	43%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	330	47%	28%	44%	56%	56%	53%	44%	57%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%	-
	Net Agree	+38	+5	+43	+12	-12	-13	-7	+13	-14
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	598	85%	94%	88%	79%	79%	85%	89%	75%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	102	15%	6%	12%	21%	21%	15%	11%	25%
day	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+496	+71	+88	+77	+58	+59	+70	+78	+50

Number of cases 700 138 176 119 138 129 520 129 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 74% 18% 74% 18% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 74% 18% 74% 18% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 74% 18% 20% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 74% 18% 20% 20% 25% 17% 20% 20% 25% 17% 20%						Αį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
Row percent 100% 20% 25% 17% 20% 18% 74%			n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Agree: I would feel safe visiting Agree downtown Seattle at night Disagree (DK/Ref) 2 0% - 11% - 11% - 0% Net Agree 4.29 61% 74% 74% 72% 61% 49% 45% 61% 68% 38% 50% 53% 38% 50% 53% 38% 50% 63% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61% 61	Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Disagree (DK/Ref)	Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
CDK/Ref 2 0% 1% - 1% - 1% 0% Net Agree -74 -11 +14 +7 -11 -36 -33 -5	Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	1	l						47%	36%
Net Agree 1-74	downtown Seattle at night	•	386	l	43%		55%		66%		64%
Agree: All things considered, Agree		• • •	1	l	-		-		-	0%	-
growth and development has Disagree (DK/Ref) 3 0% 1 1% 1% 1% 0% Net Agree (DK/Ref) 3 0% 1 1% 1% 1% 1% 0% Net Agree 1'm proud to call myself a Agree Disagree 133 19% 12% 16% 29% 22% 18% 15% (DK/Ref) 1 1% Net Agree 1'm Pound to call myself a Agree Disagree 133 19% 12% 16% 29% 22% 18% 15% Net Agree 1'm Net Agree 1'm Pound to call myself a 1'm Pound to call myself a 1'm Pound to call mysel		Net Agree	-74	-11	+14	+7	-11	-36	-33	-5	-28
been a positive for my area (DK/Ref) Net Agree	Agree: All things considered,	Agree	429	61%	74%	72%	61%	49%	45%	61%	60%
Net Agree	growth and development has	Disagree	268	38%	26%	28%	38%	50%	53%	38%	40%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Agree	been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
Seattleite Disagree (DK/Ref) (DK/Ref) (DK/Ref) 133 19% 12% 16% 29% 22% 18% 15% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%		Net Agree	+162	+23	+49	+45	+24	-1	-8	+23	+20
CDK/Ref)	Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	563	80%	87%	84%	71%	77%	81%	84%	70%
Net Agree	Seattleite	Disagree	133	19%	12%	16%	29%	22%	18%	15%	30%
Agree: The City has made		(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
meaningful progress in reducing Disagree 372 53% 50% 58% 61% 54% 42% 53% the number of homeless (DK/Ref) 14 2% 5% 2% - 1% 2% 2% encampments Net Agree -58 -8 -4 -18 -22 -8 +14 -7 Agree: The City has made Agree 334 48% 53% 49% 39% 42% 53% 49% meaningful progress on public Disagree 354 51% 42% 49% 61% 56% 47% 49% safety (DK/Ref) 12 2% 5% 2% - 2% - 2% Net Agree 20 -3 +11 +0 -22 -13 +6 +0 Agree: Seattle has made Agree 282 40% 34% 43% 33% 37% 53% 42% meaningful progress on shutting Disagree 398 57% 59% 53% 67% 61% 45% 54% do		Net Agree	+430	+61	+75	+68	+42	+55	+63	+69	+40
the number of homeless (DK/Ref)	Agree: The City has made	Agree	314	45%	45%	40%	39%	46%	56%	45%	44%
encampments Net Agree -58 -8 -4 -18 -22 -8 +14 -7 Agree: The City has made meaningful progress on public meaningful progress on public progress	meaningful progress in reducing	Disagree	372	53%	50%	58%	61%	54%	42%	53%	54%
Agree: The City has made	the number of homeless	(DK/Ref)	14	2%	5%	2%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%
meaningful progress on public safety Disagree 354 51% 42% 49% 61% 56% 47% 49% safety (DK/Ref) 12 2% 5% 2% - 2% - 2% Net Agree -20 -3 +11 +0 -22 -13 +6 +0 Agree: Seattle has made Agree 282 40% 34% 43% 33% 37% 53% 42% meaningful progress on shutting Disagree 398 57% 59% 53% 67% 61% 45% 54% down open air drug markets (DK/Ref) 20 3% 7% 4% - 1% 2% 3%	encampments	Net Agree	-58	-8	-4	-18	-22	-8	+14	-7	-10
safety (DK/Ref) 12 2% 5% 2% - 2% - 2% Net Agree -20 -3 +11 +0 -22 -13 +6 +0 Agree: Seattle has made Agree 282 40% 34% 43% 33% 37% 53% 42% meaningful progress on shutting Disagree 398 57% 59% 53% 67% 61% 45% 54% down open air drug markets (DK/Ref) 20 3% 7% 4% - 1% 2% 3%	Agree: The City has made	Agree	334	48%	53%	49%	39%	42%	53%	49%	43%
Net Agree Net Agree -20 -3 +11 +0 -22 -13 +6 +0 Agree: Seattle has made	meaningful progress on public	Disagree	354	51%	42%	49%	61%	56%	47%	49%	55%
Agree: Seattle has made Agree 282 40% 34% 43% 33% 37% 53% 42% meaningful progress on shutting Disagree down open air drug markets (DK/Ref) 282 40% 34% 43% 33% 37% 53% 42% 398 57% 59% 53% 67% 61% 45% 54% 45% 54% 45% 34% 45% 54% 45% 34% 45% 54% 45% 34% 45% 54% 45% 34% 45% 54% 45% 34% 45% 54% 45% 35% 54% 45% 54%	safety	(DK/Ref)	12	2%	5%	2%	-	2%	-	2%	1%
meaningful progress on shutting Disagree 398 57% 59% 53% 67% 61% 45% 54% down open air drug markets (DK/Ref) 20 3% 7% 4% - 1% 2% 3%		Net Agree	-20	-3	+11	+0	-22	-13	+6	+0	-12
down open air drug markets (DK/Ref) 20 3% 7% 4% - 1% 2% 3%	Agree: Seattle has made	Agree	282	40%	34%	43%	33%	37%	53%	42%	35%
down open air drug markets (DK/Ref) 20 3% 7% 4% - 1% 2% 3%	meaningful progress on shutting	Disagree	398	57%	59%	53%	67%	61%	45%	54%	64%
			20	3%	7%	4%	-	1%	2%	3%	1%
Net Agree -116 -17 -25 -10 -35 -24 +8 -12		Net Agree	-116	-17	-25	-10	-35	-24	+8	-12	-30

					Αį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700	70	138	176	119	138	129	520	
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	
Agree: The City needs to	Agree	518	74%	82%	78%	77%	65%	65%	75%	71%
streamline permitting to make it	Disagree	173	25%	15%	21%	22%	34%	34%	24%	28%
easier and faster to build new	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
housing to address Seattle's housing affordability crisis	Net Agree	+344	+49	+67	+57	+56	+31	+32	+51	+42
Agree: I'm worried that national	Agree	630	90%	95%	94%	86%	86%	87%	92%	85%
economic policies will lead to a	Disagree	68	10%	4%	6%	14%	14%	12%	8%	15%
recession	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+561	+80	+91	+88	+72	+72	+76	+84	+69
Agree: I've become more	Agree	588	84%	88%	89%	79%	81%	79%	87%	74%
concerned about my personal	Disagree	107	15%	10%	11%	20%	19%	20%	12%	25%
financial situation since	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	2%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%
President Trump took office	Net Agree	+480	+69	+78	+79	+59	+63	+59	+75	+49
Agree: Businesses are closing	Agree	512	73%	65%	65%	77%	81%	81%	71%	
down or leaving Seattle for	Disagree	178	25%	33%	34%	23%	18%	16%		
nearby cities because the cost of	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	2%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%
doing business has gotten too high here	Net Agree	+334	+48	+32	+31	+54	+63	+65	+44	+58
Agree: Building more housing	Agree	464	66%	78%	77%	69%	53%	52%	68%	59%
will help slow down Seattle's	Disagree	229	33%	22%	23%	30%	47%	45%	30%	41%
increasing housing costs	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+235	+34	+56	+54	+38	+6	+6	+38	+18
Downtown Seattle recovery	1 – Very pessimistic	72	10%	3%	8%	16%	16%	9%	8%	16%
sentiment	2	147	21%	15%	18%	19%	26%	28%	21%	
	3	322	46%	53%	48%	47%	37%	45%	47%	42%
	4	128	18%	26%	17%	16%	18%	14%	20%	14%
	5 – Very optimistic	25	4%	2%	8%	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%
	Mean	694	2.84	3.09	2.97	2.70	2.65	2.71	2.89	2.67

	l n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
	700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
		100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
1-2 Pessimistic	219	31%	18%	27%	35%	42%	37%	29%	39%
3/(DK)	328	47%	55%	49%	47%	37%	46%	48%	43%
4-5 Optimistic	153	22%	27%	25%	19%	21%	16%	23%	18%
Primary factor	90	13%	7%	7%	13%	20%	20%	10%	21%
Major factor	245	35%	38%	36%	30%	40%	30%	34%	37%
Minor factor	288	41%	41%	45%	42%	33%	44%	44%	33%
Not really a factor at all	74	11%	13%	12%	15%	7%	5%	11%	9%
(Don't know)	4	1%	2%	-	-	0%	1%	1%	1%
Primary/major factor	335	48%	45%	42%	43%	60%	50%	45%	58%
Minor/not really at all a factor	361	52%	54%	58%	57%	39%	49%	55%	42%
(DK)	4	1%	2%	-	-	0%	1%	1%	1%
Net Primary/major factor	-26	-4	-9	-15	-14	+21	+1	-10	+16
Resist Trump/Musk agenda	253	36%	37%	48%	37%	30%	26%	38%	32%
Focus on local issues	414	59%	58%	51%	59%	61%	69%	59%	60%
(Both)	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%
(Neither)	29	4%	3%	1%	4%	9%	5%	3%	7%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	1%
Resist Trump/Musk agenda	253	36%	37%	48%	37%	30%	26%	38%	32%
Focus on local issues	414	59%	58%	51%	59%	61%	69%	59%	60%
(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	34	5%	5%	1%	4%	9%	5%	3%	8%
Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda	-161	-23	-21	-3	-22	-31	-44	-21	-28
Much too high	171	24%	10%	22%	26%	33%	32%	20%	37%
Somewhat too high	213	30%	30%	23%	25%	41%	34%	31%	27%
Too low	80	11%	13%	20%	13%	4%	6%	13%	8%
About right	235	34%	46%	36%	36%	21%	27%	35%	28%
(Don't know)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	1%
34 FULLO	3/(DK) 4-5 Optimistic Primary factor Major factor Minor factor Not really a factor at all (Don't know) Primary/major factor Minor/not really at all a factor (DK) Net Primary/major factor Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both) (Neither) (Don't know/Refused) Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Much too high Somewhat too high Too low About right	3/(DK) 328 4-5 Optimistic 153 Primary factor 90 Major factor 245 Minor factor 288 Not really a factor at all 74 (Don't know) 4 Primary/major factor 361 Minor/not really at all a factor 361 (DK) 4 Net Primary/major factor -26 Resist Trump/Musk agenda 253 Focus on local issues 414 (Both) 2 (Neither) 29 (Don't know/Refused) 2 Resist Trump/Musk agenda 253 Focus on local issues 414 (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) 34 Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda -161 Much too high 171 Somewhat too high 171 Somewhat too high 213 Too low 80 About right 235	1-2 Pessimistic 3/(DK) 328 47% 4-5 Optimistic 153 22% Primary factor Major factor Major factor Minor factor Not really a factor at all (Don't know) Primary/major factor Minor/not really at all a factor (DK) Net Primary/major factor Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both) (Neither) (Don't know/Refused) Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both) (Neither) (Don't know/Refused) Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both) (Neither) (Don't know/Refused) Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both) (Neither) (Don't know/Refused) Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda 171 24% Somewhat too high Somewhat too high Somewhat too high Somewhat too high Too low About right	1-2 Pessimistic 3/(DK) 3/(DK) 328 47% 55% 4-5 Optimistic 153 22% 27% Primary factor Major factor Major factor Minor factor Mor really a factor at all (Don't know) 4 1% 2% Primary/major factor Minor/not really at all a factor (DK) Net Primary/major factor Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both) (Don't know/Refused) Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) 34 55% Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) 34 55% Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) 34 55% Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) 34 55% Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) 34 55% Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) 34 55% Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda Focus on local issues (Both/Neither/DK/Ref) 34 55% 36% 37% 58% 36% 37% 37% 37% 37% 38% 38% 38% 48% 45% 38% 45% 38% 45% 38% 45% 38% 45% 38% 45% 58% 46% 45% 45% 45% 45% 45% 45% 45% 45% 45% 45	1-2 Pessimistic 3/(DK) 3/(DK) 328 47% 55% 49% 4-5 Optimistic 153 22% 27% 25% Primary factor Major factor Major factor Minor factor Not really a factor at all (Don't know) 4 1% 2% - Primary/major factor 335 48% 45% 42% Minor/not really at all a factor (DK) A 1% 2% - Net Primary/major factor A 1% 2% - Net Primary/major factor Not peally at all a factor (DK) A 1% 2% - Net Primary/major factor A 1% 2% - A 1% 2% - B 2% 54% 58% A 48% A 5% 58% A 51% A 5% 5% 1% A 5% 5%	1-2 Pessimistic 3/(DK) 328	1-2 Pessimistic 3/(DK) 328	1-2 Pessimistic 37(bK) 328 47% 55% 49% 47% 37% 46% 4-5 Optimistic 153 22% 27% 25% 19% 21% 16% Primary factor 90 13% 7% 7% 13% 20% 20% Major factor Major factor 245 35% 38% 36% 30% 40% 30% Moinor factor Not really a factor at all (Don't know) 4 11% 27% - 00% 11% Primary/major factor 335 48% 45% 42% 43% 60% 50% Minor/not really at all a factor (DK) Net Primary/major factor 361 52% 54% 58% 57% 39% 49% (DK) Net Primary/major factor 361 52% 54% 58% 57% 39% 49% (DK) Net Primary/major factor 361 52% 54% 58% 57% 39% 49% (DK) Net Primary/major factor 26 -4 -9 -15 -14 +21 +1 Resist Trump/Musk agenda 253 36% 37% 48% 37% 30% 26% Focus on local issues 414 59% 58% 51% 59% 61% 69% (Both) 2 0% 11% - 11% (Neither) 29 4% 33% 11% 4% 9% 5% (Don't know/Refused) 20% 13%	1-2 Pessimistic 219 31% 18% 27% 35% 42% 37% 29% 36/(DK) 328 47% 55% 49% 47% 37% 46% 48% 45 Optimistic 153 22% 27% 25% 19% 21% 16% 23% 49% 47% 37% 46% 48% 45 Optimistic 153 22% 27% 25% 19% 21% 16% 23% 49% 47% 37% 46% 48% 45 Optimistic 153 22% 27% 25% 19% 21% 16% 23% 41% 41% 45% 42% 33% 40% 30% 34% 40% 30% 34% 40% 30% 34% 40% 30% 34% 40% 30% 34% 40% 30% 34% 40% 30% 34% 40% 30% 34% 44% 44% 45% 42% 33% 44% 44% 45% 42% 33% 44% 44% 45% 42% 33% 44% 44% 45% 42% 33% 44% 44% 45% 42% 33% 44% 44% 45% 42% 33% 44% 44% 45% 42% 43% 60% 50% 11% 17% 17% 17% 18% 12% 15% 7% 5% 11% 19% 12% 15% 7% 5% 11% 19% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15

					Aį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	383	55%	40%	45%	51%	74%	66%	52%	63%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	237	34%	47%	36%	36%	21%	28%	36%	29%
	Too low	80	11%	13%	20%	13%	4%	6%	13%	8%
	Net Too high	+303	+43	+28	+25	+38	+70	+61	+39	+56
City leadership priority: Taking	1 – Not at all important	2	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%
care of the basics like filling	2	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	0%	-
potholes and maintaining our	3	25	4%	6%	3%	6%	1%	3%	3%	4%
parks and local transportation	4	49	7%	10%	9%	5%	6%	4%	8%	5%
infrastructure	5	137	20%	17%	18%	25%	18%	21%	20%	19%
	6	162	23%	22%	26%	16%	25%	24%	25%	18%
	7 – Extremely important	325	46%	43%	44%	48%	49%	49%	45%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	6.00	5.84	5.96	5.95	6.13	6.13	5.98	6.06
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	82	12%	3%	12%	16%	17%	11%	10%	18%
Protecting core progressive	2	45	6%	8%	4%	6%	8%	8%	6%	8%
values like social justice, equity,	3	44	6%	6%	5%	9%	7%	5%	7%	5%
and inclusivity	4	57	8%	4%	3%	12%	14%	11%	7%	11%
	5	113	16%	24%	14%	14%	16%	12%	16%	16%
	6	82	12%	13%	10%	13%	10%	13%	14%	7%
	7 – Extremely important	276	39%	43%	52%	31%	27%	40%	41%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-
	Mean	699	5.04	5.48	5.42	4.61	4.45	5.08	5.18	4.60

					A	ge (Replace	d)		Ethr	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	10	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Protecting local jobs and small	2	12	2%	5%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	
businesses in this uncertain	3	27	4%	5%	5%	6%	1%	2%	4%	3%
economic environment by	4	83	12%	11%	16%	17%	8%	7%	13%	
lowering the cost to start and	5	159	23%	21%	27%	19%	22%	23%	25%	17%
run a business in Seattle	6	120	17%	20%	15%	15%	17%	21%	17%	17%
	7 – Extremely important	289	41%	36%	34%	43%	49%	46%	40%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	699	5.70	5.54	5.41	5.68	5.96	5.98	5.68	5.74
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	41	6%	8%	8%	9%	3%	1%	5%	8%
Continuing to reduce the	2	25	4%	5%	6%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%
number of homeless	3	40	6%	9%	9%	5%	2%	1%	5%	6%
encampments	4	73	10%	12%	17%	7%	4%	10%	11%	9%
	5	112	16%	16%	17%	17%	10%	19%	17%	14%
	6	112	16%	14%	14%	14%	18%	20%	18%	9%
	7 – Extremely important	295	42%	36%	28%	44%	59%	48%	39%	54%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-
	Mean	697	5.45	5.11	4.86	5.44	6.08	5.95	5.39	5.61
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	28	4%	7%	6%	6%	1%	-	4%	5%
Maintaining progress on public	2	25	4%	5%	4%	6%	2%	-	4%	2%
safety and reducing street	3	30	4%	5%	7%	6%	1%	1%	4%	4%
disorder by continuing to shut	4	57	8%	10%	15%	8%	2%	4%	9%	5%
down open-air drug markets	5	115	16%	21%	25%	10%	12%	11%	18%	13%
	6	86	12%	10%	10%	13%	12%	17%	13%	11%
	7 – Extremely important	361	52%	43%	33%	52%	69%	67%	49%	60%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.72	5.35	5.11	5.57	6.33	6.44	5.68	5.90

					Αį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
City leadership priority: Updating	g 1 – Not at all important	80	11%	6%	10%	10%	14%	17%	11%	15%
Seattle's zoning laws and	2	45	6%	5%	3%	3%	10%	11%	7%	6%
expediting permitting to allow	3	45	6%	6%	2%	6%	10%	11%	7%	5%
for more housing across the city,	, 4	114	16%	14%	17%	18%	19%	13%	17%	15%
even if it means there will be	5	121	17%	19%	13%	17%	17%	20%	16%	20%
more duplexes and triplexes in	6	87	12%	12%	13%	15%	9%	13%	14%	9%
many single-family residential	7 – Extremely important	208	30%	37%	41%	31%	20%	15%	29%	30%
neighborhoods	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.77	5.20	5.24	5.00	4.23	4.05	4.79	4.67
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	83	12%	5%	10%	14%	16%	15%	10%	18%
Aggressively standing up to the	2	21	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	5%
Trump Administration including	3	32	5%	5%	5%	7%	4%	2%	4%	7%
joining lawsuits against the	4	52	7%	5%	5%	15%	7%	6%	7%	8%
administration when the federal	5	73	10%	12%	12%	12%	11%	6%	11%	8%
government overreaches	6	67	10%	13%	11%	6%	7%	10%	10%	7%
_	7 – Extremely important	366	52%	57%	53%	44%	50%	57%	54%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Mean	694	5.42	5.85	5.53	5.05	5.16	5.43	5.58	4.90
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	19	3%	1%	1%	8%	2%	3%	3%	3%
Incentivizing businesses to	2	16	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%
create family wage jobs here in	3	33	5%	4%	4%	7%	5%	4%	5%	3%
Seattle so that our local	4	98	14%	11%	17%	17%	13%	12%	14%	13%
economy stays resilient in the	5	148	21%	15%	24%	24%	20%	21%	22%	19%
face of national economic	6	137	20%	32%	17%	12%	19%	17%	19%	21%
uncertainty	7 – Extremely important	246	35%	34%	33%	30%	39%	41%	34%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4		2%	1%	-	-	_	0%	1%
	Mean	696		5.74	5.42	5.05	5.60	5.61	5.43	5.64

					Ag	e (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700	70	138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
City leadership priority: Taking	1-3 Not important	28	4%	7%	3%	6%	1%	3%	4%	5%
care of the basics like filling	4/(DK/Ref)	49	7%	10%	9%	5%	6%	4%	8%	5%
potholes and maintaining our	5-7 Important	623	89%	83%	88%	89%	92%	94%	89%	90%
parks and local transportation										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	171	24%	17%	21%	31%	32%	23%	22%	31%
Protecting core progressive	4/(DK/Ref)	58	8%	4%	3%	12%	15%	11%	7%	11%
values like social justice, equity,	5-7 Important	471	67%	80%	76%	57%	54%	66%	70%	58%
and inclusivity										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	48	7%	11%	9%	7%	4%	3%	6%	9%
Protecting local jobs and small	4/(DK/Ref)	84	12%	12%	16%	17%	8%	7%	13%	10%
businesses in this uncertain	5-7 Important	568	81%	77%	75%	76%	88%	90%	81%	81%
economic environment by										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	105	15%	22%	23%	16%	8%	4%	15%	15%
Continuing to reduce the	4/(DK/Ref)	76	11%	12%	17%	8%	5%	10%	12%	9%
number of homeless	5-7 Important	519	74%	66%	60%	76%	87%	87%	73%	76%
encampments										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	82	12%	17%	17%	18%	5%	1%	12%	11%
Maintaining progress on public	4/(DK/Ref)	57	8%	10%	15%	8%	2%	4%	9%	5%
safety and reducing street	5-7 Important	561	80%	74%	68%	75%	93%	95%	80%	83%
disorder by continuing to shut										
City leadership priority: Updating	1-3 Not important	170	24%	17%	15%	18%	34%	39%	24%	26%
Seattle's zoning laws and	4/(DK/Ref)	114	16%	14%	17%	18%	19%	13%	17%	15%
expediting permitting to allow	5-7 Important	415	59%	69%	68%	63%	47%	48%	59%	59%
for more housing across the city,										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	136	19%	13%	18%	22%	24%	21%	16%	30%
Aggressively standing up to the	4/(DK/Ref)	58	8%	6%	5%	16%	9%	7%	8%	8%
Trump Administration including	5-7 Important	506	72%	81%	77%	61%	67%	73%	76%	61%
joining lawsuits against the										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	68	10%	6%	9%	16%	9%	9%	11%	7%
Incentivizing businesses to	4/(DK/Ref)	102	15%	13%	17%	17%	13%	12%	15%	14%
create family wage jobs here in	5-7 Important	530	76%	81%	74%	66%	78%	79%	74%	79%
Seattle so that our local										

frequency (non-work) A few A few Rarel Neve (Refu Current downtown visit Week frequency (non-work) Few t	ver fused)	n 700 127 230 201 113 28	% 100% 18% 33% 29% 16% 4%	18-29 138 20% 20% 45% 27% 8%	30-39 176 25% 24% 35% 26%	40-49 119 17% 12% 37% 29%	50-64 138 20% 18% 22%	65+ 129 18% 15% 24%	White 520 74% 17% 34%	
Row percent Current downtown visit Once frequency (non-work) A few Rarely Nevel (Refu	ew times a month ew times a year ely ver fused)	700 127 230 201 113	100% 18% 33% 29% 16%	138 20% 20% 45% 27%	176 25% 24% 35%	119 17% 12% 37%	138 20% 18% 22%	129 18% 15%	520 74% 17%	175 25% 22%
Current downtown visit Once frequency (non-work) A few A few Rarel Neve (Refu Current downtown visit Week frequency (non-work) Few t	ew times a month ew times a year ely ver fused)	230 201 113	18% 33% 29% 16%	20% 20% 45% 27%	25% 24% 35%	17% 12% 37%	20% 18% 22%	18% 15%	74% 17%	25% 22%
frequency (non-work) A few A few Rarel Nevel (Refu Current downtown visit Week frequency (non-work) Few t	ew times a month ew times a year ely ver fused)	230 201 113	33% 29% 16%	45% 27%	35%	37%	22%			
A few Rarel Neve (Refu Current downtown visit Week frequency (non-work) Few t	ew times a year ely ver fused)	201 113	29% 16%	27%		I	I	24%	34%	28%
Rarel Neve (Refu Current downtown visit frequency (non-work) Few t	ely ver fused)	113	16%	1	26%	20%	I			20/0
Nevel (Refu Current downtown visit frequency (non-work) Few t	ver fused)			8%		23/0	35%	28%	30%	24%
(Refu Current downtown visit Week frequency (non-work) Few t	fused)	28 -	4%		12%	16%	20%	27%	15%	19%
Current downtown visit Week frequency (non-work)	•	-	_	-	4%	6%	5%	6%	3%	7%
frequency (non-work) Few t	ekly			-	-	-	-	-	-	-
, , , ,		127	18%	20%	24%	12%	18%	15%	17%	22%
Lace	times a month	230	33%	45%	35%	37%	22%	24%	34%	28%
1	s often	343	49%	35%	41%	51%	60%	61%	49%	50%
Current downtown visit Much	ch more often	44	6%	8%	13%	5%	2%	1%	6%	7%
frequency compared to last year Some	newhat more often	120	17%	24%	21%	16%	13%	10%	18%	15%
Abou	out the same	313	45%	42%	43%	46%	44%	49%	49%	32%
Some	newhat less often	115	16%	14%	13%	16%	17%	23%	16%	19%
Much	ch less often	108	15%	11%	10%	17%	24%	17%	12%	27%
(Don'	n't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current downtown visit More	re often	164	23%	32%	34%	21%	15%	11%	24%	22%
frequency compared to last year Same	ne/(DK/Ref)	313	45%	42%	43%	46%	44%	49%	49%	32%
Less o	s often	223	32%	26%	23%	33%	41%	40%	28%	45%
Net N	More often	-59	-8	+7	+11	-12	-26	-29	-4	-23
Duration of residency <2 ye	years	20	3%	4%	4%	1%	3%	2%	3%	2%
2-5 ye	years	126	18%	39%	23%	8%	9%	7%	20%	13%
6-10	0 years	102	15%	17%	27%	14%	6%	4%	13%	17%
11-20	20 years	139	20%	10%	34%	33%	14%	5%	20%	19%
>20 y	years	311	44%	30%	12%	42%	68%	81%	44%	48%
Duration of residency 1-10 v	0 years	248	35%	60%	54%	24%	18%	13%	36%	32%
11-20	20 years	139	20%	10%	34%	33%	14%	5%	20%	
>20 y	years	313	45%	30%	12%	43%	68%	82%	44%	

					A	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
Party	Strong Democrat	293	42%	50%	44%	30%	38%	44%	46%	29%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	13%	10%	13%	15%	15%	10%	13%	12%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	70	10%	10%	7%	12%	10%	12%	10%	10%
	Independent	50	7%	4%	4%	7%	13%	8%	6%	12%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	20	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	5%	2%	5%
	Not very strong Republican	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	1%	1%	3%	2%	7%	2%	5%
	Socialist	74	11%	14%	19%	10%	4%	3%	11%	9%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	78	11%	8%	8%	18%	14%	10%	9%	17%
Party	Socialist	74	11%	14%	19%	10%	4%	3%	11%	9%
	Democrat	450	64%	70%	64%	58%	63%	66%	69%	52%
	Independent	128	18%	12%	12%	25%	27%	18%	15%	29%
	Republican	47	7%	4%	5%	7%	6%	13%	5%	11%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	171	24%	30%	33%	21%	18%	16%	26%	18%
	2	170	24%	30%	30%	22%	13%	24%	26%	19%
	3	147	21%	22%	18%	25%	22%	18%	22%	18%
	4	109	16%	9%	7%	20%	26%	18%	13%	22%
	5	44	6%	2%	6%	3%	11%	11%	6%	7%
	6	14	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%	4%	1%	4%
	7 – Very conservative	17	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	5%	2%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	29	4%	2%	5%	5%	5%	4%	3%	8%
	Mean	671	2.69	2.38	2.25	2.71	3.15	3.14	2.57	3.09
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	488	70%	83%	81%	68%	54%	58%	74%	55%
]	Moderate	137	20%	11%	12%	25%	30%	22%	16%	30%
	Conservative	75	11%	7%	7%	6%	15%	19%	9%	15%

					Αį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	123	18%	17%	12%	19%	28%	14%	16%	24%
	2	119	17%	20%	10%	17%	21%	18%	16%	21%
	3	129	18%	15%	23%	17%	18%	17%	22%	10%
	4	137	20%	23%	22%	9%	14%	28%	20%	19%
	5	97	14%	12%	16%	18%	10%	13%	14%	13%
	6	36	5%	8%	5%	8%	2%	3%	6%	2%
	7 – Very conservative	17	2%	1%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	41	6%	4%	9%	6%	5%	5%	6%	6%
	Mean	659	3.22	3.22	3.52	3.37	2.71	3.23	3.27	3.03
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	371	53%	52%	45%	53%	67%	50%	53%	54%
	Moderate	179	26%	28%	31%	15%	19%	32%	25%	26%
	Conservative	151	22%	21%	24%	31%	14%	18%	22%	20%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	30%	40%	56%	58%	71%	50%	50%
	Renter	350	50%	70%	60%	44%	42%	29%	50%	50%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	520	74%	72%	75%	72%	70%	82%	100%	-
	African American or Black	28	4%	7%	3%	3%	3%	5%	-	16%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%	3%	-	24%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	9%	7%	12%	10%	2%	-	32%
	Something else	49	7%	3%	7%	7%	9%	8%	-	28%
	(Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	520	74%	72%	75%	72%	70%	82%	100%	-
	African American or Black	28	4%	7%	3%	3%	3%	5%	-	16%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%	3%	-	24%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	9%	7%	12%	10%	2%	-	32%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	54	8%	4%	8%	8%	10%	8%	-	28%

				1	A	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138		119	138	129	520	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
Ethnicity	White	520	74%	72%	75%	72%	70%	82%	100%	-
	POC	175	25%	27%	24%	27%	29%	18%	-	100%
	(Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-
Age (Replaced)	18-29	138	20%	100%	-	-	-	-	19%	21%
	30-39	176	25%	-	100%	-	-	-	25%	
	40-49	119	17%	-	-	100%	-	-	16%	
	50-64	138	20%	-	-	-	100%	-	19%	23%
	65+	129	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	20%	13%
Two-Age Split	18-39	314	45%	100%	100%	-	-	-	45%	46%
	40+	386	55%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	55%	
Generation	18-39	314	45%	100%	100%	_	_	_	45%	46%
	40-64	257	37%	-	-	100%	100%	_	35%	
	65+	129	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	20%	
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	0%	0%
	Some high school	6	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
	Graduated high school	23	3%	6%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%
	Technical/vocational school	24	3%	2%	2%	4%	6%	3%	3%	5%
	Some college/<4-year degree	104	15%	18%	10%	15%	16%	16%	14%	19%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	265	38%	46%	44%	30%	36%	30%	40%	30%
	Graduate/professional degree	270	39%	27%	41%	47%	35%	45%	38%	39%
	(Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	0%	1%
Education	<4-year degree	165	24%	27%	15%	24%	29%	25%	21%	30%
	4-year degree+	535	76%	73%	85%	76%	71%	75%	79%	70%
Education	Less than college	165	24%	27%	15%	24%	29%	25%	21%	30%
	Graduated college	265	38%	46%	44%	30%	36%	30%	40%	30%
	Graduate/professional degree	270	39%	27%	41%	47%	35%	45%	38%	39%

					Aį	ge (Replace	d)		Ethr	nicity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
Gender	Male	342	49%	53%	48%	53%	44%	46%	47%	 53%
	Female	345	49%	43%	51%	43%	55%	53%	51%	45%
	Non-binary	10	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	(Refused)	3	0%	-	-	3%	-	-	0%	1%
Region	South	203	29%	22%	28%	32%	32%	31%	28%	34%
	Central	200	29%	35%	32%	25%	25%	25%	29%	26%
	North	298	43%	43%	41%	42%	43%	44%	43%	40%
City Council District	1	109	16%	13%	17%	16%	16%	16%	17%	12%
	2	95	14%	9%	10%	17%	17%	16%	11%	22%
	3	112	16%	18%	19%	15%	14%	12%	16%	16%
	4	88	13%	14%	8%	12%	13%	17%	13%	11%
	5	98	14%	17%	12%	12%	13%	17%	14%	15%
	6	112	16%	12%	21%	19%	18%	10%	17%	14%
	7	88	13%	17%	13%	10%	10%	13%	13%	11%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	0-3/6	336	48%	79%	47%	43%	44%	26%	46%	53%
	4-5/6	175	25%	15%	22%	36%	33%	22%	26%	23%
	6/6	189	27%	7%	31%	21%	23%	53%	28%	
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	158	23%	53%	48%	-	-	-	22%	25%
	M 40-64	124	18%	-	_	53%	44%	-	16%	
	M 65+	60	9%	-	_	-	_	46%	9%	
	F 18-39	149	21%	43%	51%	-	-	-	21%	20%
	F 40-64	127	18%	-	_	43%	55%	-	18%	18%
	F 65+	68	10%	-	_	-	-	53%	11%	
	Other	13	2%	4%	1%	4%	1%		2%	

					Αg	ge (Replace	d)		Ethn	icity
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC
Number of cases		700		138	176	119	138	129	520	175
Row percent			100%	20%	25%	17%	20%	18%	74%	25%
Party/Gender	D Male	219	31%	37%	31%	35%	24%	30%	32%	28%
	D Female	227	32%	31%	33%	23%	39%	35%	36%	23%
	R/I Male	89	13%	9%	9%	15%	18%	14%	10%	21%
	R/I Female	80	11%	5%	8%	14%	15%	17%	10%	17%
	Other	85	12%	17%	20%	13%	4%	4%	12%	11%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.75	4.28	4.89	5.46	4.41	4.34	4.70	4.80
Seattle right direction/wrong	Right direction	344	49%	36%	53%	57%	43%	45%	50%	49%
track	Wrong track	338	48%	59%	45%	39%	55%	53%	49%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	18	3%	5%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%
	Net Right direction	+6	+1	-23	+8	+19	-12	-7	+1	+1
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	333	48%	32%	52%	49%	48%	46%	51%	45%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	133	19%	18%	19%	20%	14%	20%	20%	18%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	82	12%	15%	11%	12%	8%	13%	8%	15%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	69	10%	10%	10%	10%	11%	9%	12%	8%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	58	8%	6%	9%	11%	9%	5%	8%	9%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	48	7%	10%	6%	4%	7%	9%	6%	8%
	Walkability/Transit	43	6%	5%	7%	8%	9%	4%	6%	7%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	33	5%	9%	3%	6%	2%	4%	5%	4%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	27	4%	6%	3%	1%	1%	8%	5%	2%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	11	2%	3%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%
	Other	13	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	Nothing/Don't know	24	3%	6%	3%	2%	5%	4%	4%	3%

Î				Educ	ation	Durat	tion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
1		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	274	39%	36%	40%	36%	43%	39%	41%	37%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	220	31%	41%		29%	36%	31%	34%	
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	202	29%	28%	29%	34%	31%	24%	21%	37%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	70	10%	8%	10%	9%	12%	10%	10%	10%
	Taxes	49	7%	7%	7%	3%	7%	10%	10%	4%
ı	Jobs/Economy	41	6%	3%	7%	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%
ı	Public transportation	28	4%	2%	5%	8%	2%	2%	4%	4%
ı	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	28	4%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	5%	3%
ı	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	27	4%	3%	4%	2%	2%	6%	4%	3%
ı	Traffic/Congestion	26	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%
ı	Growth/Development/Population	24	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%
ı	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	23	3%	6%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%
ı	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	22	3%	0%	4%	2%	4%	4%	4%	2%
ı	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	15	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%
I	Climate change/Environment	2	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	22	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	4	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Change in quality of life in	Much better	10	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%	2%
Seattle	Somewhat better	138	20%	16%	21%	26%	16%	16%	20%	19%
	The same	206	29%	26%	31%	39%	26%	24%	28%	31%
ı	Somewhat worse	243	35%	34%	35%	25%	43%	39%	37%	32%
I	Much worse	99	14%	22%	12%	6%	14%	21%	14%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	0%	0%	1%
Change in quality of life in	Better	148	21%	17%	22%	29%	17%	17%	21%	21%
Seattle	Same/(DK/Ref)	210	30%	26%	31%	40%	26%	24%	28%	32%
	Worse	342	49%	56%	46%	31%	57%	60%	51%	47%
	Net Better	-194	-28	-39	-24	-1	-41	-43	-30	-25

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Considered moving out of	Yes	367	52%	57%	51%	43%	56%	58%	53%	52%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	333	48%	43%	49%	57%	44%	42%	47%	48%
Still actively considering moving	Yes	278	76%	80%	74%	75%	71%	78%	75%	
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	89	24%	20%	26%	25%	29%	22%	25%	23%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	278	40%	46%	38%	32%	40%	46%	39%	40%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	89	13%	11%	13%	11%	16%	13%	13%	12%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	333	48%	43%	49%	57%	44%	42%	47%	48%
U.S. right direction/wrong track	Right direction	73	10%	12%	10%	6%	13%	13%	14%	6%
	Wrong track	625	89%	88%	90%	94%	86%	87%	85%	94%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	-	1%	-
	Net Right direction	-552	-79	-76	-80	-88	-74	-73	-70	-87
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	143	20%	18%	21%	30%	18%	14%	19%	21%
future of this region	Somewhat agree	319	46%	41%	47%	51%	44%	42%	42%	49%
	Somewhat disagree	149	21%	18%		12%	24%	27%	26%	
	Strongly disagree	88	13%	23%	9%	7%	14%	16%	12%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	0%	-
Agree: I'm worried about the	Strongly agree	253	36%	48%	32%	27%	38%	43%	39%	33%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	296	42%	36%	44%	41%	41%	44%	43%	42%
	Somewhat disagree	110	16%	11%	17%	24%	13%	10%	13%	18%
	Strongly disagree	40	6%	6%	6%	8%	7%	3%	5%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	1%	0%	0%	0%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	77	11%	14%	10%	16%	10%	8%	8%	14%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	291	42%	35%	44%	50%	40%	1	41%	42%
	Somewhat disagree	214	31%	28%	32%	24%	33%	35%	34%	27%
	Strongly disagree	116		24%		10%	16%	22%	17%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	0%	-	0%	-	1%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	349	50%	35%		62%	47%	1	47%	
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	249	36%	41%	34%	29%	36%	1	36%	
day	Somewhat disagree	65	9%	16%	7%	7%	11%	1	9%	
	Strongly disagree	37	5%	8%	4%	2%	7%	7%	7%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	125	18%	15%	19%	22%	20%	13%	17%	19%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	187	27%	23%	28%	32%	28%	22%	26%	28%
	Somewhat disagree	202	29%	23%	31%	24%	26%	34%	30%	28%
	Strongly disagree	184	26%	39%	22%	22%	26%	30%	27%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	1%	0%	0%	0%
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	142	20%	16%	21%	30%	21%	12%	19%	21%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	288	41%	32%	44%	46%	45%	36%	40%	42%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	157	22%	26%	21%	17%	18%	28%	25%	20%
	Strongly disagree	111	16%	25%	13%	6%	15%	23%	16%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	0%	1%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	328	47%	43%	48%	51%	46%	44%	44%	50%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	234	33%	28%	35%	33%	33%	34%	34%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	86	12%	14%	12%	9%	16%	13%	14%	10%
	Strongly disagree	47	7%	14%	4%	5%	5%	9%	7%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	45	6%	6%	7%	5%	5%	8%	6%	7%
meaningful progress in reducing	Somewhat agree	269	38%	30%	41%	38%	36%	40%	41%	36%
the number of homeless	Somewhat disagree	189	27%	26%	27%	34%	27%	22%	25%	30%
encampments	Strongly disagree	183	26%	35%	23%	20%	31%	29%	27%	25%
·	(Don't know/Refused)	14	2%	4%	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	l

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	30	4%	4%	4%	6%	3%		4%	
meaningful progress on public	Somewhat agree	304	43%	39%	45%	48%	36%		44%	
safety	Somewhat disagree	198	28%	25%	29%	27%	32%	28%	29%	28%
	Strongly disagree	156	22%	28%	20%	17%	27%	25%	22%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%	3%
Agree: Seattle has made	Strongly agree	26	4%	4%	4%	3%	6%	3%	3%	5%
meaningful progress on shutting	Somewhat agree	256	37%	28%	39%	40%	26%	38%	40%	33%
down open air drug markets	Somewhat disagree	215	31%	34%	30%	30%	33%	31%	29%	33%
	Strongly disagree	183	26%	32%	24%	23%	31%	26%	27%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	4%
Agree: The City needs to	Strongly agree	298	43%	43%	43%	47%	51%	35%	40%	45%
streamline permitting to make it	Somewhat agree	220	31%	30%	32%	33%	29%	31%	31%	32%
easier and faster to build new	Somewhat disagree	104	15%	15%	15%	11%	13%	19%	18%	12%
housing to address Seattle's	Strongly disagree	69	10%	12%	9%	8%	5%	13%	11%	9%
housing affordability crisis	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Agree: I'm worried that national	Strongly agree	535	76%	73%	78%	80%	76%	74%	73%	80%
economic policies will lead to a	Somewhat agree	94	13%	14%	13%	12%	15%	14%	14%	13%
recession	Somewhat disagree	39	6%	7%	5%	5%	6%	6%	6%	5%
	Strongly disagree	29	4%	6%	4%	2%	4%	6%	6%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	-	0%	1%	-
Agree: I've become more	Strongly agree	456	65%	68%	64%	70%	60%	63%	59%	72%
concerned about my personal	Somewhat agree	132	19%	16%	20%	17%	20%	19%	20%	18%
financial situation since	Somewhat disagree	52	7%	5%	8%	6%	9%	8%	10%	4%
President Trump took office	Strongly disagree	56	8%	10%	7%	5%	9%	10%	11%	
, '	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%		0%	l

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Agree: Businesses are closing	Strongly agree	277	40%	58%	34%	31%	46%	44%	39%	40%
down or leaving Seattle for	Somewhat agree	235	34%	26%	36%	34%	30%	1	34%	l
nearby cities because the cost of	_	121	17%	8%	20%	26%	11%	1	17%	
doing business has gotten too	Strongly disagree	56		5%	9%	8%	12%	1	9%	
high here	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Agree: Building more housing	Strongly agree	234	33%	21%		47%	36%	21%	29%	
will help slow down Seattle's	Somewhat agree	230	33%	33%	33%	30%	35%	35%	35%	
increasing housing costs	Somewhat disagree	146	21%	28%	19%	14%	21%	26%	22%	19%
	Strongly disagree	83	12%	17%	10%	8%	8%	16%	13%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	462	66%	59%	68%	81%	62%	56%	62%	70%
future of this region	Disagree	237	34%	41%	32%	19%	38%	43%	38%	30%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+225	+32	+18	+37	+61	+23	+13	+24	+41
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	549	78%	84%	77%	68%	79%	86%	82%	75%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	149	21%	16%	23%	32%	20%	13%	18%	25%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	-	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Net Agree	+399	+57	+67	+54	+35	+59	+73	+64	+50
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	368	53%	48%	54%	65%	50%	43%	49%	56%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	330	47%	52%	46%	34%	50%	56%	51%	43%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	0%	-	0%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+38	+5	-4	+8	+31	+0	-13	-2	+13
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	598	85%	76%	88%	91%	83%	82%	84%	87%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	102	15%	24%	12%	9%	17%	18%	16%	13%
day	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+496	+71	+52	+77	+81	+66	+65	+67	+75

				Educ	ation	Durat	tion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	312	45%	38%		54%	48%	36%	43%	47%
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	386	55%	62%		46%	52%		57%	
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	-	1%	0%	0%	
	Net Agree	-74	-11	-25	-6	+8	-4	-28	-14	-7
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	429	61%	48%		75%	66%		59%	
growth and development has	Disagree	268	38%	51%	34%	24%	34%	52%	40%	36%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+162	+23	-3	+31	+52	+33	-4	+19	+27
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	563	80%	71%	83%	84%	79%	78%	78%	83%
Seattleite	Disagree	133	19%	28%	16%	14%	21%	22%	22%	16%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+430	+61	+42	+67	+70	+58	+56	+56	+67
Agree: The City has made	Agree	314	45%	35%	48%	43%	41%	48%	47%	43%
meaningful progress in reducing	Disagree	372	53%	61%	51%	53%	58%	51%	52%	54%
the number of homeless	(DK/Ref)	14	2%	4%	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	2%
encampments	Net Agree	-58	-8	-25	-3	-10	-17	-3	-5	-11
Agree: The City has made	Agree	334	48%	43%	49%	54%	39%	47%	48%	47%
meaningful progress on public	Disagree	354	51%	54%	50%	44%	59%	52%	51%	50%
safety	(DK/Ref)	12	2%	4%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%	3%
	Net Agree	-20	-3	-11	-0	+10	-20	-6	-3	-3
Agree: Seattle has made	Agree	282	40%	32%	43%	43%	32%	42%	42%	38%
meaningful progress on shutting	Disagree	398	57%	65%	54%	53%	64%	57%	56%	58%
down open air drug markets	(DK/Ref)	20	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	4%
	Net Agree	-116	-17	-33	-11	-9	-32	-15	-13	-20

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Agree: The City needs to	Agree	518	74%	73%	74%	80%	80%	66%	71%	77%
streamline permitting to make it	Disagree	173	25%	27%	24%	18%	18%	33%	28%	21%
easier and faster to build new	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
housing to address Seattle's housing affordability crisis	Net Agree	+344	+49	+46	+50	+62	+62	+34	+43	+56
Agree: I'm worried that national	Agree	630	90%	87%	91%	93%	91%	88%	87%	93%
economic policies will lead to a	Disagree	68	10%	13%	9%	7%	9%	12%	12%	7%
recession	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	-	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+561	+80	+74	+82	+86	+81	+76	+75	+85
Agree: I've become more	Agree	588	84%	85%	84%	88%	81%	82%	78%	90%
concerned about my personal	Disagree	107	15%	14%	16%	11%	18%	18%	22%	9%
financial situation since	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	0%	1%
President Trump took office	Net Agree	+480	+69	+70	+68	+77	+63	+65	+57	+81
Agree: Businesses are closing	Agree	512	73%	85%	70%	64%	76%	79%	73%	73%
down or leaving Seattle for	Disagree	178	25%	13%	29%	33%	23%	20%	26%	25%
nearby cities because the cost of	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
doing business has gotten too high here	Net Agree	+334	+48	+72	+40	+31	+53	+58	+47	+49
Agree: Building more housing	Agree	464	66%	54%	70%	76%	71%	56%	64%	69%
will help slow down Seattle's	Disagree	229	33%	45%	29%	22%	28%	43%	35%	30%
increasing housing costs	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+235	+34	+8	+41	+54	+43	+13	+29	+38
Downtown Seattle recovery	1 – Very pessimistic	72	10%	15%	9%	7%	11%	13%	12%	9%
sentiment	2	147	21%	20%	21%	13%	29%	24%	25%	17%
	3	322	46%	49%	45%	50%	42%	44%	45%	47%
	4	128	18%	11%	21%	25%	13%	15%	15%	21%
	5 – Very optimistic	25	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	3%	2%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Mean	694	2.84	2.66	2.89	3.08	2.68	2.71	2.72	2.95

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Downtown Seattle recovery	1-2 Pessimistic	219	31%	36%	30%	20%	40%	37%	37%	26%
sentiment	3/(DK)	328	47%	50%	46%	50%	45%	45%	46%	48%
	4-5 Optimistic	153	22%	14%	24%	30%	16%	18%	18%	26%
How much City regulations and	Primary factor	90	13%	19%	11%	9%	12%	16%	17%	9%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Major factor	245	35%	45%	32%	30%	36%	39%	34%	36%
living	Minor factor	288	41%	28%	45%	47%	42%	36%	39%	43%
	Not really a factor at all	74	11%	8%	11%	14%	9%	8%	9%	12%
	(Don't know)	4	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
How much City regulations and	Primary/major factor	335	48%	64%	43%	39%	48%	55%	51%	45%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Minor/not really at all a factor	361	52%	35%	57%	61%	51%	45%	49%	55%
living	(DK)	4	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
	Net Primary/major factor	-26	-4	+28	-14	-22	-3	+10	+2	-10
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	253	36%	40%	35%	44%	36%	30%	28%	44%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	414	59%	50%	62%	54%	62%	62%	67%	52%
local issues	(Both)	2	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	0%	0%
	(Neither)	29	4%	9%	3%	1%	2%	8%	5%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	0%	1%	-	0%	0%
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	253	36%	40%	35%	44%	36%	30%	28%	44%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	414	59%	50%	62%	54%	62%	62%	67%	52%
local issues	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	34	5%	10%	3%	2%	2%	8%	5%	4%
	Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda	-161	-23	-11	-27	-10	-26	-32	-38	-8
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	171	24%	33%	22%	14%	26%	31%	29%	20%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	213	30%	38%	28%	25%	27%	36%	32%	29%
	Too low	80	11%	6%	13%	14%	15%	8%	11%	12%
	About right	235	34%	24%	37%	46%	32%	24%	28%	39%
	(Don't know)	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	-	0%	0%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	383	55%	70%	50%	40%	53%	67%	61%	48%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	237	34%	24%	37%	47%	32%	24%	28%	39%
	Too low	80	11%	6%	13%	14%	15%	8%	11%	12%
	Net Too high	+303	+43	+64	+37	+26	+38	+59	+50	+36
City leadership priority: Taking	1 – Not at all important	2	0%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	-	1%
care of the basics like filling	2	2	0%	-	0%	0%	1%	-	0%	0%
potholes and maintaining our	3	25	4%	7%	3%	3%	9%	2%	2%	5%
parks and local transportation	4	49	7%	2%	9%	8%	7%	6%	7%	7%
infrastructure	5	137	20%	16%	21%	22%	18%	19%	21%	18%
	6	162	23%	21%	24%	25%	18%	24%	25%	21%
	7 – Extremely important	325	46%	53%	44%	43%	48%	48%	45%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	6.00	6.10	5.97	5.97	5.86	6.09	6.02	5.98
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	82	12%	15%	11%	9%	11%	14%	16%	7%
Protecting core progressive	2	45	6%	3%	7%	4%	10%	7%	9%	4%
values like social justice, equity,	3	44	6%	3%	7%	5%	7%	7%	8%	5%
and inclusivity	4	57	8%	8%	8%	6%	6%	10%	9%	8%
	5	113	16%	16%	16%	16%	14%	17%	17%	15%
	6	82	12%	13%	11%	14%	11%	11%	12%	12%
	7 – Extremely important	276	39%	42%	39%	45%	41%	34%	29%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%
	Mean	699	5.04	5.12	5.02	5.43	4.98	4.76	4.56	5.52

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Homeowner	
ı				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
1		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	10	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Protecting local jobs and small	2	12	2%	3%	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	
businesses in this uncertain	3	27	4%	3%	4%	7%	3%	2%	3%	5%
economic environment by	4	83	12%	5%	14%	11%	15%	11%	12%	11%
lowering the cost to start and	5	159	23%	19%	24%	21%	26%	23%	24%	21%
run a business in Seattle	6	120	17%	11%	19%	18%	17%	17%	23%	12%
I	7 – Extremely important	289	41%	57%	36%	39%	38%	44%	35%	47%
I	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	1%	-		0%
	Mean	699	5.70	5.98	5.61	5.55	5.68	5.82	5.66	5.73
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	41	6%	10%	5%	7%	6%	5%	4%	8%
Continuing to reduce the	2	25	4%	3%	4%	6%	3%	2%	1%	6%
number of homeless	3	40	6%	4%	6%	7%	7%	4%	5%	6%
encampments	4	73	10%	11%	10%	13%	12%	8%	7%	14%
ı	5	112	16%	9%	18%	17%	15%	15%	16%	16%
I	6	112	16%	15%	16%	15%	16%	17%	18%	14%
I	7 – Extremely important	295	42%	47%	41%	34%	40%	50%	50%	35%
I	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	- '	1%
	Mean	697	5.45	5.41	5.46	5.11	5.36	5.76	5.80	5.09
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	28	4%	5%	4%	5%	6%	3%	4%	4%
Maintaining progress on public	2	25	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%
safety and reducing street	3	30	4%	2%	5%	6%	4%	4%	4%	5%
disorder by continuing to shut	4	57	8%	6%	9%	10%	8%	7%	5%	11%
down open-air drug markets	5	115	16%	15%	17%	24%	16%	10%	13%	20%
1	6	86	12%	7%	14%	12%	14%	11%	13%	12%
ı	7 – Extremely important	361	52%	62%	48%	39%	48%	63%	58%	45%
ı	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
I	Mean	700	5.72	5.94	5.65	5.37	5.59	6.06	5.93	5.52

% 0	<4-year degree	4-year	1-10		Duration of residency		
0				11-20			
		degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
	165	535	248	139	313	350	350
100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
0 11%	13%	11%	8%	7%	17%	15%	8%
5 6%	4%	7%	4%	4%	9%	8%	4%
5 6%	7%	6%	3%	5%	9%	8%	5%
4 16%	18%	16%	16%	14%	18%	17%	16%
1 17%	19%	17%	20%	11%	18%	15%	19%
7 12%	12%	13%	14%	17%	9%	11%	14%
8 30%	28%	30%	35%	43%	20%	26%	34%
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
0 4.77	4.75	4.78	5.18	5.38	4.19	4.46	5.09
3 12%	14%	11%	7%	15%	14%	16%	7%
1 3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%	2%
2 5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	4%	5%	4%
2 7%	3%	9%	7%	9%	7%	8%	7%
3 10%	9%	11%	12%	13%	8%	11%	9%
7 10%	10%	9%	9%	10%	10%	11%	8%
6 52%	57%	51%	57%	43%	52%	43%	61%
6 1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
5.42	5.54	5.38	5.74	5.07	5.32	5.01	5.83
9 3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	1%
6 2%	1%	3%	2%	4%	1%	3%	1%
3 5%	2%	6%	3%	9%	4%	6%	4%
8 14%	11%	15%	10%	13%	18%	14%	14%
8 21%	16%	23%	22%	24%	20%	25%	17%
7 20%	21%	19%	23%	18%	18%	19%	20%
6 35%	46%	32%	37%	28%	37%	29%	42%
4 19	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%
5.49	5.81	5.39	5.65	5.19	5.50	5.24	5.75
50 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	566 52% 66 1% 64 5.42 1.9 3% 1.6 2% 63 5% 68 14% 68 21% 68 21% 68 35% 4 1%	56 52% 57% 6 1% 1% 64 5.42 5.54 19 3% 4% 16 2% 1% 33 5% 2% 98 14% 11% 48 21% 16% 37 20% 21% 46 35% 46% 4 1% -	56 52% 57% 51% 6 1% 1% 1% 64 5.42 5.54 5.38 19 3% 4% 3% 16 2% 1% 3% 33 5% 2% 6% 98 14% 11% 15% 48 21% 16% 23% 37 20% 21% 19% 46 35% 46% 32% 4 1% - 1%	56 52% 57% 51% 57% 6 1% 1% 1% 1% 64 5.42 5.54 5.38 5.74 19 3% 4% 3% 2% 16 2% 1% 3% 2% 33 5% 2% 6% 3% 98 14% 11% 15% 10% 18 21% 16% 23% 22% 37 20% 21% 19% 23% 46 35% 46% 32% 37% 4 1% - 1% 1%	56 52% 57% 51% 57% 43% 6 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 64 5.42 5.54 5.38 5.74 5.07 19 3% 4% 3% 2% 3% 16 2% 1% 3% 2% 4% 33 5% 2% 6% 3% 9% 98 14% 11% 15% 10% 13% 18 21% 16% 23% 22% 24% 37 20% 21% 19% 23% 18% 46 35% 46% 32% 37% 28% 4 1% - 1% 1% 1% 1%	56 52% 57% 51% 57% 43% 52% 6 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 1% 64 5.42 5.54 5.38 5.74 5.07 5.32 19 3% 4% 3% 2% 3% 3% 16 2% 1% 3% 2% 4% 1% 33 5% 2% 6% 3% 9% 4% 98 14% 11% 15% 10% 13% 18% 98 14% 11% 15% 10% 13% 18% 98 14% 11% 15% 10% 13% 18% 98 21% 16% 23% 22% 24% 20% 97 20% 21% 19% 23% 18% 18% 96 35% 46% 32% 37% 28% 37% 4 1% - 1% </td <td>56 52% 57% 51% 57% 43% 52% 43% 66 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 1% 1% 64 5.42 5.54 5.38 5.74 5.07 5.32 5.01 69 3% 4% 3% 2% 3% 3% 4% 66 2% 1% 3% 2% 4% 1% 3% 33 5% 2% 6% 3% 9% 4% 6% 38 14% 11% 15% 10% 13% 18% 14% 48 21% 16% 23% 22% 24% 20% 25% 37 20% 21% 19% 23% 18% 18% 19% 46 35% 46% 32% 37% 28% 37% 29% 4 1% - 1% 1% - - -</td>	56 52% 57% 51% 57% 43% 52% 43% 66 1% 1% 1% 1% 2% 1% 1% 64 5.42 5.54 5.38 5.74 5.07 5.32 5.01 69 3% 4% 3% 2% 3% 3% 4% 66 2% 1% 3% 2% 4% 1% 3% 33 5% 2% 6% 3% 9% 4% 6% 38 14% 11% 15% 10% 13% 18% 14% 48 21% 16% 23% 22% 24% 20% 25% 37 20% 21% 19% 23% 18% 18% 19% 46 35% 46% 32% 37% 28% 37% 29% 4 1% - 1% 1% - - -

				Educ	ation	Duration of residency		dency	Homeowner	
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
City leadership priority: Taking	1-3 Not important	28	4%	7%	3%	3%	9%	3%	3%	5%
care of the basics like filling	4/(DK/Ref)	49	7%	2%	9%	8%	7%	6%	7%	7%
potholes and maintaining our	5-7 Important	623	89%	91%	88%	89%	83%	91%	90%	88%
parks and local transportation	·									
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	171	24%	21%	25%	17%	28%	28%	33%	16%
Protecting core progressive	4/(DK/Ref)	58	8%	8%	8%	7%	6%	10%	9%	8%
values like social justice, equity,	5-7 Important	471	67%	70%	66%	76%	66%	61%	59%	76%
and inclusivity										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	48	7%	8%	7%	11%	4%	5%	6%	8%
Protecting local jobs and small	4/(DK/Ref)	84	12%	5%	14%	11%	15%	11%	12%	12%
businesses in this uncertain	5-7 Important	568	81%	87%	79%	78%	80%	84%	82%	80%
economic environment by										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	105	15%	17%	14%	20%	17%	10%	10%	20%
Continuing to reduce the	4/(DK/Ref)	76	11%	11%	11%	14%	12%	8%	7%	15%
number of homeless	5-7 Important	519	74%	72%	75%	66%	71%	82%	83%	65%
encampments										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	82	12%	9%	12%	15%	13%	9%	10%	13%
Maintaining progress on public	4/(DK/Ref)	57	8%	6%	9%	10%	8%	7%	5%	11%
safety and reducing street	5-7 Important	561	80%	85%	79%	76%	78%	85%	84%	76%
disorder by continuing to shut										
City leadership priority: Updating	1-3 Not important	170	24%	23%	25%	15%	16%	35%	31%	18%
Seattle's zoning laws and	4/(DK/Ref)	114	16%	18%	16%	16%	14%	18%	17%	16%
expediting permitting to allow	5-7 Important	415	59%	59%	59%	69%	70%	47%	52%	67%
for more housing across the city,										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	136	19%	20%	19%	13%	24%		26%	13%
Aggressively standing up to the	4/(DK/Ref)	58	8%	4%	10%	8%	11%	7%	9%	8%
Trump Administration including	5-7 Important	506	72%	76%	71%	78%	66%	71%	66%	79%
joining lawsuits against the										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	68	10%	6%	11%	8%	17%	8%	13%	6%
Incentivizing businesses to	4/(DK/Ref)	102	15%	11%	16%	11%	14%	18%	14%	15%
create family wage jobs here in	5-7 Important	530	76%	83%	74%	81%	70%	74%	72%	79%
Seattle so that our local										

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Current downtown visit	Once a week or more	127	18%	23%	17%	23%	17%	15%	15%	22%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	230	33%	28%	34%	36%	35%	30%	30%	36%
	A few times a year	201	29%	20%	31%	26%	32%	30%	33%	25%
	Rarely	113	16%	22%	14%	14%	10%	21%	18%	14%
	Never	28	4%	7%	3%	1%	6%	5%	5%	3%
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current downtown visit	Weekly	127	18%	23%	17%	23%	17%	15%	15%	22%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	230	33%	28%	34%	36%	35%	30%	30%	36%
	Less often	343	49%	50%	49%	41%	48%	56%	55%	43%
Current downtown visit	Much more often	44	6%	7%	6%	10%	5%	4%	4%	9%
frequency compared to last year	Somewhat more often	120	17%	12%	19%	20%	19%	14%	18%	16%
	About the same	313	45%	33%	48%	45%	48%	43%	47%	43%
	Somewhat less often	115	16%	20%	15%	16%	14%	18%	17%	16%
	Much less often	108	15%	28%	11%	9%	14%	21%	14%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current downtown visit	More often	164	23%	19%	25%	30%	24%	18%	22%	25%
frequency compared to last year	Same/(DK/Ref)	313	45%	33%	48%	45%	48%	43%	47%	43%
	Less often	223	32%	48%	27%	25%	28%	39%	31%	33%
	Net More often	-59	-8	-30	-2	+5	-5	-21	-9	-8
Duration of residency	<2 years	20	3%	1%	3%	8%	-	-	1%	4%
	2-5 years	126	18%	17%	18%	51%	-	-	9%	27%
	6-10 years	102	15%	12%	16%	41%	-	-	11%	18%
	11-20 years	139	20%	17%	21%	-	100%	-	20%	19%
	>20 years	311	44%	52%	42%	-	-	99%	58%	31%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	248	35%	30%	37%	100%	-	-	21%	50%
	11-20 years	139	20%	17%	21%	-	100%	-	20%	19%
	>20 years	313	45%	52%	42%	-	-	100%	59%	

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Party	Strong Democrat	293	42%	35%	44%	44%	38%	42%	42%	41%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	13%	15%	12%	11%	13%	14%	14%	11%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	70	10%	8%	11%	11%	9%	10%	11%	9%
	Independent	50	7%	8%	7%	7%	9%	7%	8%	7%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	20	3%	4%	2%	1%	5%	4%	4%	2%
	Not very strong Republican	7	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	4%	4%	2%
	Socialist	74	11%	10%	11%	14%	16%	5%	7%	15%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	78	11%	15%	10%	11%	6%	13%	10%	12%
Party	Socialist	74	11%	10%	11%	14%	16%	5%	7%	15%
	Democrat	450	64%	58%	66%	65%	61%	66%	67%	62%
	Independent	128	18%	23%	17%	18%	15%	20%	18%	19%
	Republican	47	7%	8%	6%	3%	8%	9%	9%	4%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	171	24%	24%	25%	31%	27%	18%	16%	33%
	2	170	24%	21%	25%	29%	23%	21%	22%	27%
	3	147	21%	15%	23%	20%	18%	23%	26%	16%
	4	109	16%	20%	14%	11%	18%	18%	16%	15%
	5	44	6%	5%	7%	3%	3%	10%	10%	3%
	6	14	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	7 – Very conservative	17	2%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	29	4%	7%	3%	1%	7%	5%	5%	3%
	Mean	671	2.69	2.89	2.64	2.42	2.55	2.98	3.00	2.40
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	488	70%	60%	73%	80%	69%	62%	63%	76%
	Moderate	137	20%	27%	17%	12%	25%		22%	
	Conservative	75	11%	13%	10%	7%	7%		15%	

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139		350	
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	123	18%	20%	17%	16%	14%	21%	22%	13%
	2	119	17%	19%	16%	17%	16%		19%	
	3	129	18%	15%	19%	20%	20%	17%	17%	20%
	4	137	20%	22%	19%	20%	16%		17%	
	5	97	14%	14%	14%	13%	19%		13%	
	6	36	5%	4%	6%	4%	10%		5%	
	7 – Very conservative	17	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	41	6%	5%	6%	9%	3%		5%	
	Mean	659	3.22	3.09	3.26	3.22	3.54	3.07	3.03	3.42
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	371	53%	54%	53%	52%	49%	56%	58%	47%
	Moderate	179	26%	27%	25%	28%	19%	26%	22%	29%
	Conservative	151	22%	19%	22%	20%	32%	18%	20%	23%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	32%	55%	30%	51%	66%	100%	-
	Renter	350	50%	68%	45%	70%	49%	34%	-	100%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	520	74%	67%	77%	76%	75%	73%	74%	74%
	African American or Black	28	4%	11%	2%	3%	2%	5%	3%	5%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	7%	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	7%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	8%	8%	7%	10%	7%	9%	7%
	Something else	49	7%	6%	7%	6%	6%	8%	8%	6%
	(Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	520	74%	67%	77%	76%	75%	73%	74%	74%
	African American or Black	28	4%	11%	2%	3%	2%	5%	3%	5%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	7%	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	7%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	8%	8%	7%	10%	7%	9%	7%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	54	8%	6%	8%	7%	8%	8%	9%	6%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Homeowner	
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Ethnicity	White	520	74%	67%	77%	76%	75%	73%	74%	74%
	POC	175	25%	32%	23%	23%	24%	27%	25%	25%
	(Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	138	20%	23%	19%	33%	10%	13%	12%	28%
	30-39	176	25%	16%	28%	38%	43%	7%	20%	30%
	40-49	119	17%	17%	17%	11%	29%	16%	19%	15%
	50-64	138	20%	24%	18%	10%	14%	30%	23%	17%
	65+	129	18%	20%	18%	7%	5%	34%	26%	11%
Two-Age Split	18-39	314	45%	39%	47%	72%	53%	20%	32%	58%
	40+	386	55%	61%	53%	28%	47%	80%	68%	42%
Generation	18-39	314	45%	39%	47%	72%	53%	20%	32%	58%
	40-64	257	37%	41%	35%	21%	42%	46%	42%	
	65+	129	18%	20%	18%	7%	5%		26%	
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	1%	-	-	2%	_	0%	0%
	Some high school	6	1%	4%	-	0%	1%	1%	-	2%
	Graduated high school	23	3%	14%	-	4%	3%	3%	1%	6%
	Technical/vocational school	24	3%	15%	-	3%	2%	5%	2%	5%
	Some college/<4-year degree	104	15%	63%	-	13%	12%	18%	12%	18%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	265	38%	-	50%	37%	43%	36%	38%	37%
	Graduate/professional degree	270	39%	-	50%	43%	36%	36%	46%	31%
	(Refused)	4	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Education	<4-year degree	165	24%	100%	_	20%	20%	28%	15%	32%
	4-year degree+	535	76%		100%	80%	80%		85%	l
Education	Less than college	165	24%	100%	-	20%	20%	28%	15%	32%
	Graduated college	265	38%	-	50%	37%	43%	36%	38%	
	Graduate/professional degree	270	39%	-	50%		36%		46%	l

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resid	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165		248	139	I	350	
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Gender	Male	342	49%	51%	48%	52%	57%	43%	52%	45%
	Female	345	49%	48%	50%	46%	42%	55%	46%	52%
	Non-binary	10	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%
	(Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	0%	1%
Region	South	203	29%	40%	25%	26%	28%	32%	34%	24%
	Central	200	29%	32%	28%	37%	24%	24%	23%	34%
	North	298	43%	28%	47%	37%	47%	45%	44%	41%
City Council District	1	109	16%	21%	14%	18%	14%	14%	16%	15%
•	2	95	14%	19%	12%	8%	14%	17%	18%	9%
	3	112	16%	20%	15%	19%	15%	14%	11%	21%
	4	88	13%	6%	15%	10%	13%	14%	15%	10%
	5	98	14%	14%	14%	12%	11%	17%	15%	13%
	6	112	16%	8%	18%	15%	23%	13%	14%	18%
	7	88	13%	11%	13%	18%	9%	10%	11%	14%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	0-3/6	336	48%	58%	45%	67%	39%	37%	39%	57%
	4-5/6	175	25%	24%	25%	21%	22%	29%	26%	24%
	6/6	189	27%	18%	30%	13%	39%	33%	34%	20%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	158	23%	19%	24%	34%	30%	10%	20%	25%
	M 40-64	124	18%	21%	17%	14%	25%	18%	20%	16%
	M 65+	60	9%	11%		4%	2%	I	13%	5%
	F 18-39	149	21%	20%	22%	36%	23%	9%	11%	31%
	F 40-64	127	18%	19%	18%	7%	17%	28%	21%	15%
	F 65+	68	10%	9%	10%	3%	3%	18%	14%	6%
	Other	13	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%

			Education			Durat	ion of resi	dency	Homeowner	
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20			
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		165	535	248	139	313	350	350
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	20%	45%	50%	50%
Party/Gender	D Male	219	31%	31%	31%	35%	37%	26%	33%	30%
	D Female	227	32%	27%	34%	29%	24%	39%	33%	31%
	R/I Male	89	13%	17%	11%	10%	12%	15%	16%	10%
	R/I Female	80	11%	14%	11%	9%	11%	14%	10%	13%
	Other	85	12%	12%	12%	16%	16%	7%	8%	16%

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		158	124	60	149	127	68
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.75	5.45	4.00	4.88	5.03	4.38	4.66
Seattle right direction/wrong	Right direction	344	49%	57%	37%	53%	55%	42%	49%
track	Wrong track	338	48%	40%	60%	45%	42%	58%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	18	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	6%
	Net Right direction	+6	+1	+16	-23	+7	+13	-16	+5
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	333	48%	45%	41%	36%	57%	49%	53%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	133	19%	19%	12%	21%	24%	20%	17%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	82	12%	13%	10%	8%	13%	13%	8%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	69	10%	6%	11%	18%	9%	7%	16%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	58	8%	11%	9%	6%	8%	5%	10%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	48	7%	8%	7%	7%	7%	7%	6%
	Walkability/Transit	43	6%	11%	8%	3%	5%	2%	4%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	33	5%	5%	7%	5%	4%	4%	0%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	27	4%	1%	2%	4%	2%	8%	10%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	11	2%	1%	2%	3%	0%	3%	2%
	Other	13	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	5%
	Nothing/Don't know	24	3%	5%	5%	4%	2%	3%	1%

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		158		60	149	127	68
Row percent			100%	23%		9%	21%		10%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	274	 39%	34%	34%	34%	40%	51%	40%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	220	31%	26%	40%	24%	26%	41%	32%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	202	29%	39%	23%	13%	35%	22%	25%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	70	10%	13%	12%	9%	10%	6%	8%
	Taxes	49	7%	7%	9%	9%	4%	5%	14%
	Jobs/Economy	41	6%	9%	4%	4%	6%	4%	6%
	Public transportation	28	4%	7%	2%	1%	6%	3%	2%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	28	4%	2%	4%	1%	5%	5%	6%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	27	4%	1%	4%	6%	3%	5%	6%
	Traffic/Congestion	26	4%	3%	2%	13%	3%	4%	1%
	Growth/Development/Population	24	3%	3%	1%	7%	5%	2%	7%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	23	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	4%	1%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	22	3%	1%	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	15	2%	0%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%
	Climate change/Environment	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
	Other	22	3%	1%	5%	9%	4%	2%	0%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	4	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%
Change in quality of life in	Much better	10	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%
Seattle	Somewhat better	138	20%	34%	19%	24%	14%	12%	12%
	The same	206	29%	32%	23%	26%	36%	30%	24%
	Somewhat worse	243	35%	26%	34%	34%	39%	36%	43%
	Much worse	99	14%	5%	23%	12%	8%	22%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	-	-	2%	1%	-	2%
Change in quality of life in	Better	148	21%	37%	20%	25%	16%	12%	13%
Seattle	Same/(DK/Ref)	210	30%	32%	23%	28%	37%	30%	27%
	Worse	342	49%	31%	57%	47%	47%	58%	60%
	Net Better	-194	-28	+6	-37	-22	-31	-46	-47

				Gender/Generation					
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		158	124	60	149	127	68
Row percent		/00	100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%
Now percent			10070	2370	1070	370	21/0	10/0	1070
Considered moving out of	Yes	367	52%	40%	67%	52%	51%	53%	52%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	333	48%	60%	33%	48%	49%	47%	48%
Still actively considering moving	Yes	278	76%	66%	87%	80%	64%	79%	76%
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	89	24%	34%	13%	20%	36%	21%	24%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	278	40%	27%	58%	42%	33%	42%	39%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	89	13%	13%	9%	11%	18%	11%	13%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	333	48%	60%	33%	48%	49%	47%	48%
U.S. right direction/wrong track	Right direction	73	10%	9%	16%	18%	6%	7%	14%
	Wrong track	625	89%	91%	84%	80%	94%	93%	86%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	2%	-	-	-
	Net Right direction	-552	-79	-82	-68	-62	-89	-85	-73
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	143	20%	31%	14%	18%	23%	17%	11%
future of this region	Somewhat agree	319	46%	44%	39%	50%	54%	43%	49%
	Somewhat disagree	149	21%	16%	27%	18%	16%	24%	30%
	Strongly disagree	88	13%	8%	20%	14%	7%	16%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-
Agree: I'm worried about the	Strongly agree	253	36%	22%	47%	48%	32%	42%	41%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	296	42%	44%	34%	43%	43%	42%	49%
	Somewhat disagree	110	16%	22%	16%	7%	18%	12%	10%
	Strongly disagree	40	6%	12%	3%	2%	6%	4%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	77	11%	15%	9%	8%	14%	7%	7%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	291	42%	47%		47%	49%	38%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	214	31%	27%		28%	27%	39%	44%
	Strongly disagree	116		12%	31%	17%	10%	15%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-

				-		Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		158	124	60	149	127	68
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	349	50%	66%	38%	44%	54%	42%	42%
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	249	36%	25%	41%	43%		39%	41%
day	Somewhat disagree	65	9%	4%	12%	13%	7%	12%	11%
	Strongly disagree	37	5%	5%	9%	-	3%	6%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	125	18%	28%	18%	13%	16%	14%	8%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	187	27%	35%	24%	24%	30%	21%	21%
	Somewhat disagree	202	29%	23%	29%	32%	23%	35%	37%
	Strongly disagree	184	26%	13%	28%	31%	31%	31%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	142	20%	31%	19%	10%	24%	17%	7%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	288	41%	49%	43%	37%	42%	33%	37%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	157	22%	11%	18%	33%	22%	28%	35%
	Strongly disagree	111	16%	9%	19%	20%	11%	22%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	2%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	328	47%	57%	32%	51%	49%	45%	42%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	234	33%	27%	33%	32%	37%	38%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	86	12%	13%	17%	17%	9%	9%	14%
	Strongly disagree	47	7%	3%	16%	-	4%	8%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-	2%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	45	6%	7%	7%	9%	3%	6%	8%
,	Somewhat agree	269	38%	43%	37%	49%		35%	46%
the number of homeless	Somewhat disagree	189	27%	25%	23%	24%		27%	26%
encampments	Strongly disagree	183	26%	22%	33%	16%		32%	18%
·	(Don't know/Refused)	14	2%	3%	-	2%	3%	-	2%

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		158	124	60	149	127	68
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	30	4%	8%	3%	4%		1%	5%
meaningful progress on public	Somewhat agree	304	43%	43%	39%	45%		40%	51%
safety	Somewhat disagree	198	28%	23%	27%	39%	l	31%	26%
	Strongly disagree	156	22%	23%	30%	12%		28%	18%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	3%	1%	-	3%	-	-
Agree: Seattle has made	Strongly agree	26	4%	5%	6%	6%	1%	1%	4%
meaningful progress on shutting	Somewhat agree	256	37%	38%	28%	52%	32%	35%	44%
down open air drug markets	Somewhat disagree	215	31%	29%	33%	20%	32%	34%	35%
	Strongly disagree	183	26%	25%	32%	18%	28%	30%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	3%	1%	3%	6%	-	-
Agree: The City needs to	Strongly agree	298	43%	57%	45%	38%	39%	30%	39%
streamline permitting to make it	Somewhat agree	220	31%	27%	31%	28%	37%	35%	25%
easier and faster to build new	Somewhat disagree	104	15%	9%	12%	16%	14%	24%	17%
housing to address Seattle's	Strongly disagree	69	10%	6%	11%	17%	9%	10%	16%
housing affordability crisis	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%
Agree: I'm worried that national	Strongly agree	535	76%	84%	63%	67%	82%	79%	75%
economic policies will lead to a	Somewhat agree	94	13%	10%	19%	17%	l	12%	15%
recession	Somewhat disagree	39	6%	5%	11%	8%	4%	4%	3%
	Strongly disagree	29	4%	1%	8%	6%	1%	5%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	2%	1%	-	-
Agree: I've become more	Strongly agree	456	65%	63%	51%	63%	73%	69%	70%
concerned about my personal	Somewhat agree	132	19%	22%	23%	15%		18%	9%
financial situation since	Somewhat disagree	52	7%	11%	10%	11%	l	5%	8%
President Trump took office	Strongly disagree	56	8%	3%	15%	11%		8%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%		1%	-	-	-	2%

				Gender/Generation							
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+		
Number of cases		700		158	124	60		127	68		
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%		
Agree: Businesses are closing	Strongly agree	277	40%	25%	52%	43%	36%	47%	42%		
down or leaving Seattle for	Somewhat agree	235	34%	33%	29%	43%	36%	29%	37%		
nearby cities because the cost of	Somewhat disagree	121	17%	26%	12%	13%	19%	16%	13%		
doing business has gotten too	Strongly disagree	56	8%	13%	6%	-	8%	7%	6%		
high here	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	3%		
Agree: Building more housing	Strongly agree	234	33%	56%	31%	13%	36%	23%	13%		
will help slow down Seattle's	Somewhat agree	230	33%	26%	34%	38%	35%	32%	38%		
increasing housing costs	Somewhat disagree	146	21%	15%	22%	29%	12%	30%	31%		
	Strongly disagree	83	12%	4%	13%	18%	15%	14%	14%		
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	4%		
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	462	66%	75%	53%	67%	77%	60%	60%		
future of this region	Disagree	237	34%	25%		31%	23%	40%	40%		
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%		_	1%	_	-	-		
	Net Agree	+225	+32	+50	+7	+36	+53	+20	+20		
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	549	78%	66%	81%	91%	75%	84%	90%		
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	149	21%	34%	19%	9%	25%	16%	10%		
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-		
	Net Agree	+399	+57	+32	+61	+82	+50	+68	+80		
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	368	53%	62%	43%	55%	62%	45%	39%		
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	330	l			45%		55%	61%		
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%		-	-	1%	-	-		
	Net Agree	+38	+5	+24	-13	+10	+26	-10	-23		
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	598	85%	91%	78%	87%	90%	81%	83%		
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	102	15%			13%		19%	17%		
day	(DK/Ref)	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-		
,	Net Agree	+496	+71	+82	+56	+74	+81	+62	+66		

n 700	%					l	
700	70	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
/00		158	124	60	149	127	68
	100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%
312	45%	64%	42%	37%	46%	35%	30%
386	55%	36%	57%	63%	53%	65%	70%
2	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-
-74	-11	+27	-15	-26	-8	-31	-41
429	61%	80%	62%	47%	67%	50%	44%
268	38%	20%	37%	53%	33%	50%	54%
3	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	2%
+162	+23	+60	+26	-6	+33	-0	-10
563	80%	84%	66%	83%	86%	83%	78%
133	19%	16%	33%	17%	13%	17%	19%
5	1%	_	2%	-	1%	-	2%
+430	+61	+69	+33	+66	+73	+66	+59
314	45%	50%	44%	58%	36%	41%	54%
372	53%	47%	56%	40%	62%	59%	43%
14	2%	3%	-	2%	3%	-	2%
-58	-8	+3	-12	+18	-26	-17	+11
334	48%	51%	41%	49%	51%	41%	56%
354	51%	46%	57%	51%	46%	59%	44%
12	2%	3%	1%	-	3%	-	_
-20	-3	+5	-16	-2	+5	-18	+12
282	40%	44%	34%	58%	34%	36%	48%
398	57%	53%	65%	39%	60%	64%	52%
I	1		1%	3%		-	-
	1			+20		-28	-3
	386 2 -74 429 268 3 +162 563 133 5 +430 314 372 14 -58 334 354 12 -20 282 398 20	312 45% 386 55% 2 0% -74 -11 429 61% 268 38% 3 0% +162 +23 563 80% 133 19% 5 1% +430 +61 314 45% 372 53% 14 2% -58 -8 334 48% 354 51% 12 2% -20 -3 282 40% 398 57% 20 3%	312 45% 64% 386 55% 36% 2 0%74 -11 +27 429 61% 80% 268 38% 20% 3 0% - +162 +23 +60 563 80% 84% 133 19% 16% 5 1% - +430 +61 +69 314 45% 50% 372 53% 47% 14 2% 3% -58 -8 +3 334 48% 51% 354 51% 46% 12 2% 3% -20 -3 +5 282 40% 44% 398 57% 53% 20 3% 3%	312 45% 64% 42% 386 55% 36% 57% 2 0% - 1% -74 -11 +27 -15 429 61% 80% 62% 268 38% 20% 37% 3 0% - 1% +162 +23 +60 +26 563 80% 84% 66% 133 19% 16% 33% 5 1% - 2% +430 +61 +69 +33 314 45% 50% 44% 372 53% 47% 56% 14 2% 3% - -58 -8 +3 -12 334 48% 51% 41% 354 51% 46% 57% 12 2% 3% 1% -20 -3 +5 -16 282 40% 44% 34% 398 57% 53%	312 45% 64% 42% 37% 386 55% 36% 57% 63% 2 0% - 1% - -74 -11 +27 -15 -26 429 61% 80% 62% 47% 268 38% 20% 37% 53% 3 0% - 1% - +162 +23 +60 +26 -6 563 80% 84% 66% 83% 133 19% 16% 33% 17% 5 1% - 2% - +430 +61 +69 +33 +66 314 45% 50% 44% 58% 372 53% 47% 56% 40% 14 2% 3% - 2% -58 -8 +3 -12 +18 334 48% 51% 41% 49% 354 51% 46% 57% 51%	312 45% 64% 42% 37% 46% 386 55% 36% 57% 63% 53% 2 0% - 1% - 1% -74 -11 +27 -15 -26 -8 429 61% 80% 62% 47% 67% 268 38% 20% 37% 53% 33% 3 0% - 1% - - +162 +23 +60 +26 -6 +33 563 80% 84% 66% 83% 86% 133 19% 16% 33% 17% 13% 5 1% - 2% - 1% +430 +61 +69 +33 +66 +73 314 45% 50% 44% 58% 36% 372 53% 47% 56% 40% 62% 14 2% 3% - 2% 3% -58 -8 +3	312 45% 64% 42% 37% 46% 35% 386 55% 36% 57% 63% 53% 65% 2 0% - 1% - 1% - -74 -11 +27 -15 -26 -8 -31 429 61% 80% 62% 47% 67% 50% 268 38% 20% 37% 53% 33% 50% 3 0% - 1% - - 1% +162 +23 +60 +26 -6 +33 -0 563 80% 84% 66% 83% 86% 83% 133 19% 16% 33% 17% 13% 17% 5 1% - 2% - 1% - +430 +61 +69 +33 +66 +73 +66 314 45% 50% 44% 58% 36% 41% 372 53% 47% 56% <

Number of cases 700					Gender/Generation							
Number of cases 700				%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-30	E 40-64	F 65+		
Row percent 100% 23% 18% 9% 21% 18%	Number of cases			70	-					68		
Agree: The City needs to Agree			, , , ,	100%						10%		
Streamline permitting to make it Disagree 173 25% 14% 23% 34% 23% 34% 23% 34% 23% 34% 23% 34% 23% 34% 23% 34% 23% 34% 23% 34% 23% 34% 23% 23% 34% 23%	non persons			20075	20,0	20,0	3,5		20,0	20/0		
easier and faster to build new (DK/Ref) 9 1% 2% 1% - 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 10 1% 1%	Agree: The City needs to	Agree	518	74%	84%	76%	66%	76%	65%	64%		
housing to address Seattle's Net Agree +344 +49 +69 +53 +32 +54 +31 housing affordability crisis Agree: I'm worried that national Agree 630 90% 94% 81% 84% 94% 92% recession (DK/Ref) 2 0% - - 2% 1% - Net Agree +561 +80 +88 +62 +71 +89 +83 Agree: I've become more Agree 588 84% 85% 74% 78% 93% 87% Concerned about my personal Disagree 107 15% 14% 25% 22% 7% 13% financial situation since (DK/Ref) 5 1% 1% - - - President Trump took office Net Agree +480 +69 +71 +49 +56 +86 +74 Agree: Businesses are closing Agree 512 73% 59% 81% 86% 72% 76% down or leaving Seattle for Disagree 178 25% 39% 18% 13% 27% 24% nearby cities because the cost of (DK/Ref) 11 2% 2% 1% 2% 1% - doing business has gotten too Net Agree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% will help slow down Seattle's Disagree 229 33% 18% 35% 47% 27% 43% increasing housing costs (DK/Ref) 7 1% - - 2% 1% 2% Net Agree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% Net Agree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% Net Agree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% will help slow down Seattle's Disagree 229 33% 18% 35% 47% 27% 43% increasing housing costs (DK/Ref) 7 1% - - 2% 1% 2% Net Agree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% Downtown Seattle recovery 1 - Very pessimistic 72 10% 5% 19% 11% 8% 13%	streamline permitting to make it	Disagree	173	25%	14%	23%	34%	23%	34%	34%		
Nousing affordability crisis Agree 630 90% 94% 81% 84% 94% 92% 9	easier and faster to build new	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%		
Agree: I'm worried that national Agree 630 90% 94% 81% 84% 94% 92% economic policies will lead to a recession (DK/Ref) 2 0% 2% 11% - Net Agree +561 +80 +88 +62 +771 +89 +83 - 2	housing to address Seattle's	Net Agree	+344	+49	+69	+53	+32	+54	+31	+30		
Economic policies will lead to a Disagree CDK/Ref) CDK/Ref	housing affordability crisis											
recession (DK/Ref) 2 0% 2% 1% - Net Agree +561 +80 +88 +62 +71 +89 +83 Agree: I've become more Agree 588 84% 85% 74% 78% 93% 87% concerned about my personal Disagree 107 15% 14% 25% 22% 7% 13% financial situation since (DK/Ref) 5 1% 1% 1% President Trump took office Net Agree +480 +69 +71 +49 +56 +86 +74 Agree: Businesses are closing Agree 512 73% 59% 81% 86% 72% 76% down or leaving Seattle for Disagree 178 25% 39% 18% 13% 27% 24% nearby cities because the cost of (DK/Ref) 11 2% 2% 11% doing business has gotten too Net Agree +334 +48 +19 +63 +73 +45 +53 high here Agree: Building more housing Agree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% will help slow down Seattle's Disagree 229 33% 18% 35% 47% 27% 43% increasing housing costs (DK/Ref) 7 1% 2% 1% 2% Net Agree +235 +34 +63 +31 +5 +45 +12 Downtown Seattle recovery 1 – Very pessimistic 72 10% 5% 19% 11% 8% 13%	Agree: I'm worried that national	Agree	630	90%	94%	81%	84%	94%	92%	90%		
Agree: I've become more Agree Agree 588 84% 85% 74% 78% 93% 87%	economic policies will lead to a	Disagree	68	10%	6%	19%	14%	5%	8%	10%		
Agree: I've become more	recession	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	-	2%	1%	-	-		
Concerned about my personal Disagree 107 15% 14% 25% 22% 7% 13% 13% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 15% 14% 15% 15% 14% 15% 15% 14% 15%		Net Agree	+561	+80	+88	+62	+71	+89	+83	+80		
Concerned about my personal Disagree 107 15% 14% 25% 22% 7% 13% 13% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 14% 15% 15% 14% 15% 15% 14% 15% 15% 14% 15%	Agrae: I've hecome more	Agrae	500	Q /1 0/ ₋	25%	7/1%	78%	03%	97%	80%		
financial situation since (DK/Ref)		_	l I							18%		
President Trump took office Net Agree +480 +69 +71 +49 +56 +86 +74 Agree: Businesses are closing down or leaving Seattle for Disagree 512 73% 59% 81% 86% 72% 76% down or leaving Seattle for Disagree 178 25% 39% 18% 13% 27% 24% nearby cities because the cost of (DK/Ref) 11 2% 2% 1% 2% 1% - doing business has gotten too Net Agree +334 +48 +19 +63 +73 +45 +53 high here Agree: Building more housing Agree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% will help slow down Seattle's Disagree 229 33% 18% 35% 47% 27% 43% increasing housing costs (DK/Ref) 7 1% - - 2% 1% 2% Net Agree +235 +34 +63 +31 +5 +45		-					22/0	7 70	13/0	2%		
Agree: Businesses are closing Agree down or leaving Seattle for Disagree nearby cities because the cost of (DK/Ref) doing business has gotten too Net Agree Agree: Building more housing Costs Increasing housing Costs			l I				- -56	786 -	- ⊥7/I	+62		
down or leaving Seattle for Disagree Disagree 178 25% 39% 18% 13% 27% 24% nearby cities because the cost of (DK/Ref) 11 2% 2% 1% 2% 1% - doing business has gotten too Net Agree +334 +48 +19 +63 +73 +45 +53 high here Agree: Building more housing Mill help slow down Seattle's Disagree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% will help slow down Seattle's Disagree 229 33% 18% 35% 47% 27% 43% increasing housing costs (DK/Ref) 7 1% - - 2% 1% 2% Net Agree +235 +34 +63 +31 +5 +45 +12 Downtown Seattle recovery 1 – Very pessimistic 72 10% 5% 19% 11% 8% 13%	Fresident Trump took office	Net Agree	1460	+03	+/1	143	+30	+80	T/4	+02		
nearby cities because the cost of (DK/Ref) 11 2% 2% 1% 2% 1% - doing business has gotten too Net Agree +334 +48 +19 +63 +73 +45 +53 high here Agree: Building more housing will help slow down Seattle's Disagree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% will help slow down Seattle's increasing housing costs (DK/Ref) 7 1% - - 2% 1% 2% Net Agree +235 +34 +63 +31 +5 +45 +12 Downtown Seattle recovery 1 – Very pessimistic 72 10% 5% 19% 11% 8% 13%	Agree: Businesses are closing	Agree	512	73%	59%	81%	86%	72%	76%	78%		
doing business has gotten too Net Agree +334 +48 +19 +63 +73 +45 +53 high here Agree: Building more housing Agree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% will help slow down Seattle's Disagree 229 33% 18% 35% 47% 27% 43% increasing housing costs (DK/Ref) 7 1% - - 2% 1% 2% Net Agree +235 +34 +63 +31 +5 +45 +12 Downtown Seattle recovery 1 - Very pessimistic 72 10% 5% 19% 11% 8% 13%	down or leaving Seattle for	Disagree	178	25%	39%	18%	13%	27%	24%	19%		
high here Agree: Building more housing Agree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% will help slow down Seattle's Disagree 229 33% 18% 35% 47% 27% 43% increasing housing costs 47% 27% 43% 27% 43% increasing housing costs Net Agree +235 +34 +63 +31 +5 +45 +12 Downtown Seattle recovery 1 - Very pessimistic 72 10% 5% 19% 11% 8% 13%	nearby cities because the cost of	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	3%		
Agree: Building more housing Magree 464 66% 82% 65% 51% 72% 55% will help slow down Seattle's Disagree increasing housing costs (DK/Ref) 7 1% - - 2% 1% 2% Net Agree +235 +34 +63 +31 +5 +45 +12 Downtown Seattle recovery 1 - Very pessimistic 72 10% 5% 19% 11% 8% 13%	doing business has gotten too	Net Agree	+334	+48	+19	+63	+73	+45	+53	+60		
will help slow down Seattle's increasing housing costs Disagree (DK/Ref) 7 1% - - 2% 1% 2% Net Agree +235 +34 +63 +31 +5 +45 +12 Downtown Seattle recovery 1 - Very pessimistic 72 10% 5% 19% 11% 8% 13%	high here											
increasing housing costs (DK/Ref) 7 1% - 2% 1% 2% Net Agree +235 +34 +63 +31 +5 +45 +12 Downtown Seattle recovery 1 – Very pessimistic 72 10% 5% 19% 11% 8% 13%	Agree: Building more housing	Agree	464	66%	82%	65%	51%	72%	55%	51%		
Net Agree +235 +34 +63 +31 +5 +45 +12 Downtown Seattle recovery 1 – Very pessimistic 72 10% 5% 19% 11% 8% 13%	will help slow down Seattle's	Disagree	229	33%	18%	35%	47%	27%	43%	45%		
Downtown Seattle recovery 1 – Very pessimistic 72 10% 5% 19% 11% 8% 13%	increasing housing costs	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	4%		
		Net Agree	+235	+34	+63	+31	+5	+45	+12	+6		
	Downtown Seattle recovery	1 – Very pessimistic	72	10%	5%	19%	11%	8%	13%	8%		
		, .	l I							29%		
	oentiment									49%		
										10%		
5 – Very optimistic 25 4% 5% 1% 2% 5% 3%		•	l I							2%		
(Don't know/Refused) 6 1% 1% - 2% -							_,		_	1%		
			l I				2.73		2.74	2.68		

					Gender/Generation							
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+			
Number of cases		700		158		60	149	127	68			
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%			
Downtown Seattle recovery	1-2 Pessimistic	219	31%	24%	43%	38%	22%	33%	37%			
sentiment	3/(DK)	328	47%	47%	34%	42%	56%	49%	51%			
	4-5 Optimistic	153	22%	29%	23%	20%	22%	17%	12%			
How much City regulations and	Primary factor	90	13%	7%	17%	23%	6%	16%	17%			
taxes contribute to high cost of	Major factor	245	35%	32%	37%	31%	42%	35%	30%			
living	Minor factor	288	41%	46%	36%	40%	41%	38%	46%			
_	Not really a factor at all	74	11%	14%	9%	6%	10%	11%	5%			
	(Don't know)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%			
How much City regulations and	Primary/major factor	335	48%	39%	54%	54%	49%	51%	47%			
taxes contribute to high cost of	Minor/not really at all a factor	361	52%	60%	45%	46%	51%	49%	52%			
living	(DK)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%			
	Net Primary/major factor	-26	-4	-21	+9	+9	-2	+3	-5			
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	253	36%	36%	30%	21%	48%	36%	30%			
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	414	59%	60%	60%	71%	49%	60%	67%			
local issues	(Both)	2	0%	_	-	-	1%	1%	-			
	(Neither)	29	4%	2%	10%	7%	2%	4%	3%			
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-			
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	253	36%	36%	30%	21%	48%	36%	30%			
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	414	59%	60%	60%	71%	49%	60%	67%			
local issues	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	34	5%	4%	10%	7%	3%	4%	3%			
	Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda	-161	-23	-24	-30	-50	-1	-25	-37			
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	171	24%	15%	34%	36%	19%	26%	29%			
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	213	30%	23%	30%	30%	31%	38%	38%			
	Too low	80	11%	20%	9%	5%	13%	8%	6%			
	About right	235	34%	42%	28%	28%	36%	28%	26%			
	(Don't know)	2	0%	1%	-	2%	-	-	-			

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		700		158	124	60	149	127	68	
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%	
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	383	55%	38%	64%	65%	50%	64%	68%	
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	237	34%	42%	28%	30%	36%	28%	26%	
	Too low	80	11%	20%	9%	5%	13%	8%	6%	
	Net Too high	+303	+43	+18	+55	+60	+37	+56	+62	
City leadership priority: Taking	1 – Not at all important	2	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	
care of the basics like filling	2	2	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	
potholes and maintaining our	3	25	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	1%	
parks and local transportation	4	49	7%	8%	6%	2%	10%	5%	5%	
infrastructure	5	137	20%	18%	22%	11%	17%	20%	29%	
	6	162	23%	20%	17%	25%	29%	25%	24%	
	7 – Extremely important	325	46%	49%	49%	57%	40%	48%	40%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Mean	700	6.00	5.98	5.98	6.29	5.90	6.10	5.97	
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	82	12%	12%	24%	16%	4%	10%	6%	
Protecting core progressive	2	45	6%	8%	11%	7%	3%	3%	9%	
values like social justice, equity,	3	44	6%	9%	6%	4%	3%	10%	5%	
and inclusivity	4	57	8%	4%	11%	11%	2%	15%	12%	
	5	113	16%	22%	13%	17%	15%	17%	8%	
	6	82	12%	13%	12%	10%	10%	10%	16%	
	7 – Extremely important	276	39%	32%	22%	35%	62%	35%	44%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	
	Mean	699	5.04	4.85	4.03	4.80	6.01	4.98	5.29	

		Gender/Generation						
n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
700		158	124	60	149	127	68	
	100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%	
10	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%	-	
12	2%	5%	2%	1%	-	1%	-	
27	4%	6%	5%	3%	4%	1%	1%	
83	12%	14%	9%	10%	14%	15%	4%	
159	23%	24%	16%	23%	25%	24%	24%	
120	17%	15%	19%	13%	20%	13%	27%	
289	41%	32%	49%	48%	37%	44%	44%	
1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	
699	5.70	5.26	5.89	5.84	5.67	5.76	6.09	
41	6%	7%	6%	-	8%	5%	1%	
25	4%	6%	3%	1%	6%	2%	2%	
40	6%	9%	3%	-	10%	4%	2%	
73	10%	10%	6%	10%	20%	6%	10%	
112	16%	17%	11%	16%	15%	15%	22%	
112	16%	16%	17%	20%	12%	15%	19%	
295	42%	35%	55%	52%	29%	51%	43%	
3		1%	-	-	_	2%	-	
697	5.45	5.14	5.83	6.11	4.83	5.77	5.79	
28	4%	8%	3%	-	4%	3%	-	
25			5%	-	4%	I	_	
30	4%	9%	4%	1%	4%	3%	1%	
57	8%	8%	4%	-	15%	6%	7%	
115	16%	21%	9%	12%	26%	12%	10%	
86			l			12%	17%	
361	52%	39%	62%	69%	38%	1	64%	
_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
700	5.72	5.15	5.97	6.53	5.36	6.02	6.36	
	10 12 27 83 159 120 289 1 699 41 25 40 73 112 112 295 3 697 28 25 30 57 115 86 361	700 100% 10 1% 12 2% 27 4% 83 12% 159 23% 120 17% 289 41% 1 0% 699 5.70 41 6% 25 4% 40 6% 73 10% 112 16% 295 42% 3 0% 697 5.45 28 4% 25 4% 30 4% 57 8% 115 16% 86 12% 361 52%	700 158 10 1% 2% 12 2% 5% 27 4% 6% 83 12% 14% 159 23% 24% 120 17% 15% 289 41% 32% 1 0% 1% 699 5.70 5.26 41 6% 7% 25 4% 6% 40 6% 9% 73 10% 10% 112 16% 17% 112 16% 16% 295 42% 35% 3 0% 1% 697 5.45 5.14 28 4% 8% 25 4% 5% 30 4% 9% 57 8% 8% 15 16% 21% 86 12% 10% 361 52% 39%	700 158 124 10 1% 2% 0% 12 2% 5% 2% 27 4% 6% 5% 83 12% 14% 9% 159 23% 24% 16% 120 17% 15% 19% 289 41% 32% 49% 1 0% 1% - 699 5.70 5.26 5.89 41 6% 7% 6% 25 4% 6% 3% 40 6% 9% 3% 73 10% 10% 6% 112 16% 17% 11% 112 16% 16% 17% 112 16% 16% 17% 295 42% 35% 55% 3 0% 1% - 697 5.45 5.14 5.83 28 4% 8% 3% 25 4% 5% 5% <	700 158 124 60 100% 23% 18% 9% 10 1% 2% 0% 2% 12 2% 5% 2% 1% 27 4% 6% 5% 3% 83 12% 14% 9% 10% 159 23% 24% 16% 23% 120 17% 15% 19% 13% 289 41% 32% 49% 48% 1 0% 1% - - 699 5.70 5.26 5.89 5.84 41 6% 7% 6% - 25 4% 6% 3% 1% 40 6% 9% 3% - 73 10% 10% 6% 10% 112 16% 17% 11% 16% 112 16% 17% 20% 2% <td< td=""><td>700 158 124 60 149 100% 23% 18% 9% 21% 10 1% 2% 0% 2% 1% 12 2% 5% 2% 1% - 27 4% 6% 5% 3% 4% 83 12% 14% 9% 10% 14% 159 23% 24% 16% 23% 25% 120 17% 15% 19% 13% 20% 289 41% 32% 49% 48% 37% 1 0% 1% - - - 699 5.70 5.26 5.89 5.84 5.67 41 6% 7% 6% - 8% 25 4% 6% 3% 1% 6% 40 6% 9% 3% - 10% 73 10% 10% 6% <td< td=""><td>700 158 124 60 149 127 100% 23% 18% 9% 21% 18% 10 1% 2% 0% 2% 1% - 12 2% 5% 2% 1% - 1% 27 4% 6% 5% 3% 4% 1% 83 12% 14% 9% 10% 14% 15% 159 23% 24% 16% 23% 25% 24% 120 17% 15% 19% 13% 20% 13% 289 41% 32% 49% 48% 37% 44% 1 0% 1% - - - - - - 699 5.70 5.26 5.89 5.84 5.67 5.76 41 6% 7% 6% - 8% 5% 25 4% 6% 3%</td></td<></td></td<>	700 158 124 60 149 100% 23% 18% 9% 21% 10 1% 2% 0% 2% 1% 12 2% 5% 2% 1% - 27 4% 6% 5% 3% 4% 83 12% 14% 9% 10% 14% 159 23% 24% 16% 23% 25% 120 17% 15% 19% 13% 20% 289 41% 32% 49% 48% 37% 1 0% 1% - - - 699 5.70 5.26 5.89 5.84 5.67 41 6% 7% 6% - 8% 25 4% 6% 3% 1% 6% 40 6% 9% 3% - 10% 73 10% 10% 6% <td< td=""><td>700 158 124 60 149 127 100% 23% 18% 9% 21% 18% 10 1% 2% 0% 2% 1% - 12 2% 5% 2% 1% - 1% 27 4% 6% 5% 3% 4% 1% 83 12% 14% 9% 10% 14% 15% 159 23% 24% 16% 23% 25% 24% 120 17% 15% 19% 13% 20% 13% 289 41% 32% 49% 48% 37% 44% 1 0% 1% - - - - - - 699 5.70 5.26 5.89 5.84 5.67 5.76 41 6% 7% 6% - 8% 5% 25 4% 6% 3%</td></td<>	700 158 124 60 149 127 100% 23% 18% 9% 21% 18% 10 1% 2% 0% 2% 1% - 12 2% 5% 2% 1% - 1% 27 4% 6% 5% 3% 4% 1% 83 12% 14% 9% 10% 14% 15% 159 23% 24% 16% 23% 25% 24% 120 17% 15% 19% 13% 20% 13% 289 41% 32% 49% 48% 37% 44% 1 0% 1% - - - - - - 699 5.70 5.26 5.89 5.84 5.67 5.76 41 6% 7% 6% - 8% 5% 25 4% 6% 3%	

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		158	124	60	149	127	68
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%
City leadership priority: Updating	1 – Not at all important	80	11%	7%	9%	18%	10%	16%	17%
Seattle's zoning laws and	2	45	6%	3%	4%	14%	5%	9%	8%
expediting permitting to allow	3	45	6%	3%	5%	17%	4%	10%	6%
for more housing across the city,	4	114	16%	10%	18%	14%	21%	19%	13%
even if it means there will be	5	121	17%	13%	22%	13%	19%	14%	26%
more duplexes and triplexes in	6	87	12%	13%	12%	8%	13%	12%	18%
many single-family residential	7 – Extremely important	208	30%	51%	30%	17%	28%	21%	12%
neighborhoods	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.77	5.59	4.93	3.81	4.86	4.25	4.23
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	83	12%	11%	22%	21%	5%	8%	9%
Aggressively standing up to the	2	21	3%	5%	3%	1%	1%	3%	5%
Trump Administration including	3	32	5%	5%	8%	2%	6%	3%	3%
joining lawsuits against the	4	52	7%	7%	9%	7%	3%	14%	5%
administration when the federal	5	73	10%	13%	10%	4%	11%	11%	8%
government overreaches	6	67	10%	16%	6%	16%	8%	7%	5%
	7 – Extremely important	366	52%	43%	41%	48%	65%	54%	63%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	1%
	Mean	694	5.42	5.30	4.65	5.13	6.00	5.58	5.67
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	19	3%	1%	8%	7%	-	2%	-
Incentivizing businesses to	2	16	2%	4%	2%	5%	2%	1%	-
create family wage jobs here in	3	33	5%	7%	7%	4%	2%	5%	4%
Seattle so that our local	4	98	14%	13%	14%	10%	16%	16%	13%
economy stays resilient in the	5	148	21%	22%	20%	16%	18%	23%	25%
face of national economic	6	137	20%	22%	1	21%	25%	18%	14%
uncertainty	7 – Extremely important	246	35%	28%	35%	37%	38%	33%	44%
<u>'</u>	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	2%	_	_	_	_	-
	Mean	696	5.49		5.22	5.36	5.77	5.44	5.81

				Gender/Generation						
			0/		14 40 64	14.6E.	F 40 20	F 40 C4	5.65	
Number of cases		n 700	%	M 18-39 158	M 40-64 124	M 65+	F 18-39 149	F 40-64 127	F 65+	
Row percent		700	100%	23%	18%	9%		18%	68 10%	
Row percent			100%	25%	16%	970	21%	10%	10%	
City leadership priority: Taking	1-3 Not important	28	4%	5%	5%	4%	4%	3%	1%	
care of the basics like filling	4/(DK/Ref)	49	7%	8%	6%	2%	10%	5%	5%	
potholes and maintaining our	5-7 Important	623	89%	87%	89%	94%	86%	92%	94%	
parks and local transportation										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	171	24%	29%	41%	26%	10%	23%	21%	
Protecting core progressive	4/(DK/Ref)	58	8%	4%	11%	11%	2%	15%	12%	
values like social justice, equity,	5-7 Important	471	67%	68%	47%	63%	88%	63%	68%	
and inclusivity										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	48	7%	14%	8%	6%	5%	3%	1%	
Protecting local jobs and small	4/(DK/Ref)	84	12%	15%	9%	10%	14%	15%	4%	
businesses in this uncertain	5-7 Important	568	81%	71%	83%	84%	81%	81%	95%	
economic environment by										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	105	15%	21%	12%	1%	24%	11%	5%	
Continuing to reduce the	4/(DK/Ref)	76	11%	10%	6%	10%	20%	7%	10%	
number of homeless	5-7 Important	519	74%	68%	83%	89%	57%	81%	84%	
encampments										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	82	12%	22%	12%	1%	12%	9%	1%	
Maintaining progress on public	4/(DK/Ref)	57	8%	8%	4%	-	15%	6%	7%	
safety and reducing street	5-7 Important	561	80%	70%	84%	99%	73%	85%	91%	
disorder by continuing to shut										
City leadership priority: Updating	1-3 Not important	170	24%	14%	19%	48%	19%	35%	31%	
Seattle's zoning laws and	4/(DK/Ref)	114	16%	10%	18%	14%	21%	19%	13%	
expediting permitting to allow	5-7 Important	415	59%	76%	63%	37%	60%	47%	56%	
for more housing across the city,										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	136	19%	20%	33%	24%		13%	18%	
Aggressively standing up to the	4/(DK/Ref)	58	8%	8%	10%	7%	3%	14%	6%	
Trump Administration including	5-7 Important	506	72%	72%	57%	69%	85%	73%	76%	
joining lawsuits against the										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	68	10%	12%	16%	15%	3%	9%	4%	
Incentivizing businesses to	4/(DK/Ref)	102	15%	16%	14%	10%	16%	16%	13%	
create family wage jobs here in	5-7 Important	530	76%	72%	70%	74%	81%	74%	83%	
Seattle so that our local										

				Gender/Generation							
			0/	N4 40 20	NA 40 C4	NA CE .	F 40 20	F 40 C4	F.C.F.		
Number of cases		n 700	%	M 18-39 158	M 40-64 124	M 65+ 60	F 18-39 149	F 40-64 127	F 65+ 68		
Row percent		700	100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%		
Now percent			100%	23%	10%	970	2170	10%	10%		
Current downtown visit	Once a week or more	127	18%	25%	21%	14%	19%	10%	16%		
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	230	33%	37%	28%	29%	42%	29%	21%		
	A few times a year	201	29%	29%	29%	23%	23%	36%	32%		
	Rarely	113	16%	8%	17%	28%	13%	20%	26%		
	Never	28	4%	1%	6%	6%	3%	4%	6%		
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Current downtown visit	Weekly	127	18%	25%	21%	14%	19%	10%	16%		
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	230	33%	37%	28%	29%	42%	29%	21%		
	Less often	343	49%	38%	51%	57%	39%	60%	64%		
			50/	100/	40/	40/	100/	40/	40/		
Current downtown visit	Much more often	44	6%	10%	4%	1%	10%	4%	1%		
frequency compared to last year		120	17%	21%	11%	9%	24%	18%	10%		
	About the same	313	45%	50%	44%	43%	36%	47%	53%		
	Somewhat less often	115	16%	11%	19%	27%	18%	14%	19%		
	Much less often	108	15%	8%	22%	19%	12%	19%	16%		
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Current downtown visit	More often	164	23%	31%	15%	11%	34%	21%	11%		
frequency compared to last year	Same/(DK/Ref)	313	45%	50%	44%	43%	36%	47%	53%		
	Less often	223	32%	19%	41%	46%	30%	32%	35%		
	Net More often	-59	-8	+13	-26	-35	+4	-11	-24		
Duration of residency	<2 years	20	3%	6%	2%	-	1%	2%	3%		
	2-5 years	126	18%	26%	12%	9%	35%	5%	6%		
	6-10 years	102	15%	22%	14%	7%	23%	5%	2%		
	11-20 years	139	20%	27%	28%	4%	21%	18%	6%		
	>20 years	311	44%	19%	43%	81%	19%	69%	81%		
Duration of residency	1-10 years	248	35%	54%	28%	16%	60%	13%	12%		
	11-20 years	139				4%	21%		6%		
	>20 years	313					19%		82%		

				Gender/Generation						
			٥,				5 40 00	F 40 64		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		700	l	158		60		127	68	
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%	
Party	Strong Democrat	293	42%	45%	27%	39%	50%	44%	49%	
	Not very strong Democrat	88	13%	14%	19%	9%	10%	11%	9%	
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	70	10%	8%	14%	17%	8%	9%	7%	
	Independent	50	7%	6%	11%	11%	2%	10%	6%	
	Independent, closer to Republican party	20	3%	3%	1%	9%	2%	3%	1%	
	Not very strong Republican	7	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	-	1%	
	Strong Republican	19	3%	0%	4%	7%	2%	1%	7%	
	Socialist	74	11%	15%	6%	5%	19%	6%	2%	
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	78	11%	8%	15%	3%	7%	15%	16%	
Party	Socialist	74	11%	15%	6%	5%	19%	6%	2%	
	Democrat	450	64%	67%	60%	65%	67%	64%	66%	
	Independent	128	18%	14%	26%	14%	9%	25%	22%	
	Republican	47	7%	4%	8%	17%	5%	4%	10%	
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	171	24%	23%	16%	13%	41%	21%	19%	
	2	170	24%	31%	15%	15%	28%	20%	31%	
	3	147	21%	22%	27%	20%	19%	22%	17%	
	4	109	16%	10%	24%	23%	7%	23%	14%	
	5	44	6%	6%	7%	13%	2%	7%	9%	
	6	14	2%	2%	5%	8%	-	-	-	
	7 – Very conservative	17	2%	1%	2%	4%	2%	2%	6%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	29	4%	6%	4%	5%	1%	5%	4%	
	Mean	671	2.69	2.53	3.10	3.49	2.08	2.81	2.86	
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	488	70%	76%	59%	48%	88%	63%	67%	
	Moderate	137	20%	15%	28%	28%	8%	28%	18%	
	Conservative	75	11%		13%	25%		9%	15%	

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		158		60		127	68
Row percent			100%	23%		9%	21%	18%	10%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	123	 18%	16%	26%	12%	13%	22%	17%
,	2	119	17%	20%	25%	24%	10%	15%	13%
	3	129	18%	18%	13%	21%	21%	23%	15%
	4	137	20%	18%	11%	26%	27%	13%	28%
	5	97	14%	14%	14%	9%	14%	13%	16%
	6	36	5%	7%	3%	4%	6%	7%	3%
	7 – Very conservative	17	2%	3%	4%	2%	1%	3%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	41	6%	6%	4%	3%	8%	5%	6%
	Mean	659	3.22	3.28	2.88	3.14	3.46	3.13	3.30
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	371	53%	53%	63%	57%	43%	59%	45%
	Moderate	179	l			29%		18%	34%
	Conservative	151	22%	23%		14%	21%	22%	21%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	45%	56%	73%	26%	58%	70%
	Renter	350	50%	55%		27%	74%	42%	30%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	520	74%	72%	68%	82%	75%	75%	84%
	African American or Black	28	4%	3%	5%	8%	6%	1%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	7%	5%	2%	8%	7%	3%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	9%	13%	4%	7%	8%	1%
	Something else	49	7%	9%	8%	4%	2%	9%	10%
	(Refused)	5	1%	-	2%	-	2%	-	-
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	520	74%	72%	68%	82%	75%	75%	84%
,	African American or Black	28	4%	3%	5%	8%	6%	1%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	7%	5%	2%	8%	7%	3%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	9%	13%	4%	7%	8%	1%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	54	8%	9%	10%	4%	4%	9%	10%

						Gender/G	eneration		
			0/	M 10 20	N 40 C4	NA CE :	F 10 20	F 40 C4	r.c
Number of cases		700	%	M 18-39 158	M 40-64 124	M 65+	F 18-39 149	F 40-64 127	F 65+ 68
Row percent		700	100%	23%			21%		10%
Now percent			100%	23/0	1070	370	21/0	1070	1076
Ethnicity	White	520	74%	72%	68%	82%	75%	75%	84%
	POC	175	25%	28%	30%	18%	23%	25%	16%
	(Ref)	5	1%	-	2%	-	2%	-	-
Age (Replaced)	18-29	138	20%	46%	_	-	40%	-	-
	30-39	176	25%	54%	-	-	60%	-	-
	40-49	119	17%	_	51%	-	_	40%	_
	50-64	138	20%	_	49%		_	60%	_
	65+	129	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%
Two-Age Split	18-39	314	45%	100%	_	_	100%	_	_
	40+	386	l		100%	100%	-	100%	100%
Generation	18-39	314	45%	100%	_	_	100%	_	_
Ceneration	40-64	257	37%		100%	_	_	100%	_
	65+	129	18%		-	100%	-	-	100%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	_	1%	_	1%	_	_
	Some high school	6	1%		1%			1%	2%
	Graduated high school	23	3%	4%	3%		3%	4%	3%
	Technical/vocational school	24	3%	1%			3%	7%	4%
	Some college/<4-year degree	104	15%				14%		12%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	265	38%	47%	31%	30%	42%	36%	30%
	Graduate/professional degree	270	39%	33%	42%	39%	36%	39%	49%
	(Refused)	4	1%	-	0%	3%	1%	1%	-
Education	<4-year degree	165	24%	20%	28%	30%	22%	25%	21%
	4-year degree+	535	76%				78%		79%
Education	Less than college	165	24%	20%	28%	30%	22%	25%	21%
	Graduated college	265	38%				42%		30%
	Graduate/professional degree	270	l						49%

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		158	124	60	149	127	68
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%
Gender	Male	342	49%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	-
	Female	345	49%	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%
	Non-binary	10	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(Refused)	3	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Region	South	203	29%	23%	31%	36%	26%	34%	28%
	Central	200	29%	32%	29%	24%	36%	20%	25%
	North	298	43%	45%	40%	40%	38%	47%	47%
City Council District	1	109	16%	13%	16%	17%	17%	15%	15%
,	2	95	14%	10%	14%	19%	9%	18%	13%
	3	112	16%			11%	22%	I	13%
	4	88	13%			21%	11%	I	14%
	5	98	14%			15%	9%		19%
	6	112	16%	16%	17%	4%	18%	20%	14%
	7	88	13%			13%	14%	I	12%
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	0-3/6	336	48%	60%	53%	24%	63%	34%	27%
, ,	4-5/6	175	25%	16%		19%	20%	I	24%
	6/6	189	l			57%	16%	1	48%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	158	23%	100%	_	_	_	_	_
Sandary Series action	M 40-64	124	18%		100%	_	_	_	_
	M 65+	60	ı			100%	_	_	_
	F 18-39	149	l		_	-	100%	_	_
	F 40-64	127	18%		_	_	_	100%	_
	F 65+	68	10%		_	_	_		100%
	Other	13	2%		-	-	-	-	-

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		158			-		68
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	21%	18%	10%
Party/Gender	D Male	219	31%	67%	60%	65%	 -	-	-
	D Female	227	32%	-	-	-	67%	64%	66%
	R/I Male	89	13%	18%	34%	30%	-	-	-
	R/I Female	80	11%	-	-	-	14%	30%	32%
	Other	85	12%	15%	6%	5%	19%	6%	2%

					P	arty/Gende	er			Region	
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700	70	219		89		85	1		
Row percent		700	100%	31%		13%	11%			29%	l
·											
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.75	5.30	5.12	3.71	3.46	4.66	4.55	5.13	4.63
Seattle right direction/wrong	Right direction	344	49%	59%	57%	28%	26%	47%	42%	55%	50%
track	Wrong track	338	48%	38%	42%	68%	69%	51%	57%	43%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	18	3%	2%	1%	4%	5%	3%	2%	3%	3%
	Net Right direction	+6	+1	+21	+15	-40	-44	-4	-15	+12	+4
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	333	48%	45%	55%	35%	52%	43%	43%	50%	49%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	133	19%	17%	27%	12%	10%	19%	20%	18%	18%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	82	12%	11%	16%	7%	6%	12%	13%	11%	11%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	69	10%	8%	6%	17%	17%	10%	12%	12%	7%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	58	8%	11%	9%	7%	4%	3%	6%	12%	7%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	48	7%	8%	5%	7%	9%	6%	6%	5%	9%
	Walkability/Transit	43	6%	9%	3%	5%	2%	13%	4%	8%	6%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	33	5%	7%	4%	4%	3%	1%	5%	4%	5%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	27	4%	2%	4%	2%	10%	5%	6%	2%	4%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	11	2%	0%	0%	6%	6%	0%	2%	1%	2%
	Other	13	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	4%	3%	2%	2%
	Nothing/Don't know	24	3%	4%	1%	7%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%

					Р	arty/Gend	er			Region	
		n	%	D Male	D Female	P/LMala	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700	/0	219		89		+			
Row percent		700	100%	31%				1	1	l	1
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	274	39%	36%	44%	32%	 41%	38%	32%	42%	41%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	220	31%	33%	30%	36%	50%	10%	30%	36%	29%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	202	29%	27%	26%	19%	26%	54%	29%	22%	33%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	70	10%	9%	9%	14%	6%	14%	11%	l	1
	Taxes	49	7%	7%	3%	13%	16%	3%	9%	5%	1
	Jobs/Economy	41	6%	5%	5%	6%	5%	11%	3%	9%	6%
	Public transportation	28	4%	5%	5%	2%	3%	3%	7%	4%	2%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	28	4%	3%	6%	4%	4%	0%	3%	4%	5%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	27	4%	3%	5%	5%	2%	4%	3%	4%	4%
	Traffic/Congestion	26	4%	5%	4%	3%	2%	3%	5%	2%	4%
	Growth/Development/Population	24	3%	4%	4%	3%	1%	4%	2%	6%	3%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	23	3%	3%	2%	5%	2%	8%	4%	5%	2%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	22	3%	3%	5%	3%	1%	1%	2%	5%	3%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	15	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%	4%	0%	2%
	Climate change/Environment	2	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%
	Other	22	3%	4%	2%	6%	4%	0%	4%	4%	2%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	4	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	1%	1%
Change in quality of life in	Much better	10	1%	2%	1%	1%	_	1%	_	4%	1%
Seattle	Somewhat better	138	20%	35%	16%	11%	6%	13%	18%	26%	17%
	The same	206	29%	26%	32%	25%	26%	39%	27%	34%	28%
	Somewhat worse	243	35%	30%	42%	31%	31%	35%	36%	24%	41%
	Much worse	99	14%	6%	9%	31%	35%	11%	18%	11%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	0%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Change in quality of life in	Better	148	21%	37%	17%	12%	6%	14%	18%	30%	17%
Seattle	Same/(DK/Ref)	210	30%	26%	33%	25%	28%	40%	28%	35%	29%
	Worse	342	49%	36%	50%	62%	66%	46%	54%	36%	54%
	Net Better	-194	-28	+1	-33	-50	-61	-32	-36	-6	-37

					P	Party/Gender					
			0/	D Mala	D.Famala	D/I N4-I-	D/I 5	041	C	Caratural	N
Number of cases		700	%	D Male 219	D Female 227	R/I Male 89			South 203		North 298
Row percent		700	100%	31%	32%		1	1			
Considered moving out of	Yes	367	52%	48%	47%	66%	71%	45%	51%	45%	58%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	333	48%	52%	53%	34%	29%	55%	49%	55%	42%
Still actively considering moving	Yes	278	76%	75%	68%	87%	82%	72%	81%	84%	68%
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	89	24%	25%	32%	13%	18%	28%	19%	16%	32%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	278	40%	37%	32%	58%	58%	32%	41%	38%	40%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	89	13%	12%	15%	9%	12%	12%	10%	7%	18%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	333	48%	52%	53%	34%	29%	55%	49%	55%	42%
U.S. right direction/wrong track	Right direction	73	10%	2%	2%	46%	28%	2%	10%	12%	10%
	Wrong track	625	89%	98%	98%	53%	72%	98%	90%	88%	90%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%
	Net Right direction	-552	-79	-96	-96	-8	-45	-96	-80	-77	-80
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	143	20%	25%	24%	16%	5%	18%	21%	24%	18%
future of this region	Somewhat agree	319	46%	50%	50%	29%	38%	45%	45%	45%	46%
	Somewhat disagree	149	21%	15%	20%	30%	30%	21%	16%	20%	25%
	Strongly disagree	88	13%	9%	5%	25%	27%	16%	18%	11%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Agree: I'm worried about the	Strongly agree	253	36%	28%	32%	58%	55%	28%	40%	38%	33%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	296	42%	43%	46%	32%	38%	1			1
	Somewhat disagree	110	16%	22%	18%	6%	l		1		
	Strongly disagree	40	6%	7%	5%	3%	1	1	6%	4%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	77	11%	14%	12%	3%	l	1			
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	291	42%	51%		28%		1	1		1
	Somewhat disagree	214	31%	23%	34%	30%	1	1	1		1
	Strongly disagree	116	17%	13%			33%	1			1
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-

					P	arty/Gende	er			Region	
		_	%	D Male	D Female	D/I Mala	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		n 700	70	219	227	89			203		298
Row percent		700	100%	31%	32%	13%	l	1	29%		43%
now percent			10070	3170	3270	1370	1170	1270	2370	2370	1370
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	349	50%	56%	52%	32%	l	1	49%		46%
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	249	36%	36%	39%	33%	l	1			38%
day	Somewhat disagree	65	9%	5%	8%	21%	l	1	1		11%
	Strongly disagree	37	5%	3%	1%	14%	18%	2%	7%	4%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	125	18%	20%	16%	15%	5%	34%	16%	23%	16%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	187	27%	37%	25%	17%	l	1	27%		24%
	Somewhat disagree	202	29%	28%	32%	25%	27%	1	25%		35%
	Strongly disagree	184	26%	15%	26%	44%	49%	1	1		25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	142	20%	22%	21%	19%	12%	22%	20%	22%	19%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	288	41%	49%	40%	35%	24%	1			39%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	157	22%	18%	28%	18%	l	1	1		24%
Seen a positive for my area	Strongly disagree	111	16%	10%	11%	27%		1			17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	0%	-	2%	1	1%		1%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	328	47%	54%	54%	23%	22%	57%	46%	51%	45%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	234	33%	31%	36%		l	1	30%		37%
Scattlette	Somewhat disagree	86	12%	10%	8%	29%	l		13%		14%
	Strongly disagree	47	7%	3%	2%	18%	18%	1			4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	-	2%	1	1		0%
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	45	6%	8%	6%	6%	5%	6%	5%	8%	7%
meaningful progress in reducing	Somewhat agree	269	38%	47%	43%	37%	1	1	33%		37%
the number of homeless	Somewhat disagree	189	27%	24%	30%	18%	27%	1	24%		29%
encampments	Strongly disagree	183	26%	18%	20%	36%	43%	1			25%
Cheampments	(Don't know/Refused)	14	2%	2%	1%	3%	1	1	3%		2%

					Р	arty/Gend	er			Region	
			%	D Male	D Female	P/I Mala	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		n 700	70	219		89			203	200	298
Row percent		700	100%	31%					29%	29%	43%
											,
Agree: The City has made	Strongly agree	30	4%	6%	3%	3%	3%	7%	3%	5%	5%
meaningful progress on public	Somewhat agree	304	43%	51%	53%	29%	27%	28%	40%	46%	44%
safety	Somewhat disagree	198	28%	27%	29%	23%	22%	40%	32%	28%	26%
	Strongly disagree	156	22%	14%	13%	43%	48%	25%	22%	20%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	2%	2%	3%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
Agree: Seattle has made	Strongly agree	26	4%	6%	2%	3%	2%	5%	2%	5%	4%
meaningful progress on shutting	Somewhat agree	256	37%	44%				31%	38%	36%	35%
down open air drug markets	Somewhat disagree	215	31%	29%			31%	31%	28%	32%	32%
	Strongly disagree	183	26%	19%	19%	43%	45%	28%	29%		24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	5%		1%	5%
Agree: The City needs to	Strongly agree	298	43%	47%	34%	50%	29%	58%	43%	47%	39%
streamline permitting to make it		220	31%	32%				25%	30%	35%	30%
easier and faster to build new	Somewhat disagree	104	15%	14%				11%			18%
housing to address Seattle's	Strongly disagree	69	10%	6%						6%	11%
housing affordability crisis	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	2%
Agree: I'm worried that national	Strongly agree	535	76%	84%	88%	38%	53%	89%	78%	78%	74%
economic policies will lead to a	Somewhat agree	94	13%	13%					10%		16%
recession	Somewhat disagree	39	6%	2%	1%	24%	11%	-	5%	6%	5%
	Strongly disagree	29	4%	-	1%	17%	14%	2%	6%	3%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
Agree: I've become more	Strongly agree	456	65%	67%	80%	29%	42%	81%	70%	65%	62%
concerned about my personal	Somewhat agree	132	19%	24%				14%	16%	16%	22%
financial situation since	Somewhat disagree	52	7%	8%	3%	20%	12%		5%	11%	7%
President Trump took office	Strongly disagree	56	8%	1%	0%	31%	28%	3%	9%	7%	8%
·	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%

					P	arty/Gende	er			Region	
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		219					203		298
Row percent		, , , ,	100%	31%					29%		43%
Agree: Businesses are closing	Strongly agree	277	40%	31%	33%	59%	70%	30%	46%	35%	38%
down or leaving Seattle for	Somewhat agree	235	34%	41%	38%	23%	16%	30%	26%	38%	36%
nearby cities because the cost of	Somewhat disagree	121	17%	19%	20%	11%	7%	22%	18%	20%	15%
doing business has gotten too	Strongly disagree	56	8%	7%	8%	7%	7%	15%	10%	7%	8%
high here	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	2%	2%	-	-	3%	-	1%	3%
Agree: Building more housing	Strongly agree	234	33%						34%		29%
will help slow down Seattle's	Somewhat agree	230	33%					24%	29%		35%
increasing housing costs	Somewhat disagree	146	l						20%		24%
	Strongly disagree	83	12%					9%	1		10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	1%	0%	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	462	66%	75%	74%	46%	43%	63%	66%	69%	64%
future of this region	Disagree	237	34%	24%	26%	54%	57%	37%	34%	31%	35%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
	Net Agree	+225	+32	+51	+48	-9	-13	+27	+31	+38	+29
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	549	78%	71%			93%	74%	79%		79%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	149	21%	29%	23%	l .		26%	21%	23%	20%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	-	1%		-	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	+399	+57	+42	+55	+82	+87	+47	+58	+54	+58
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	368	53%			l					50%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	330	47%			l .	74%		1		50%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%		0%	l	-	1%			-
	Net Agree	+38	+5	+29	+17	-38	-49	+10	+2	+17	+0
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	598	85%	92%	92%	65%	63%	95%	83%	90%	84%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	102	15%	8%	8%	35%	37%	5%	17%	10%	16%
day	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+496	+71	+83	+83	+30	+27	+90	+66	+79	+69

					Р	arty/Gend	er			Region	
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		219	227	89	80	85	203	200	298
Row percent			100%	31%	32%	13%	11%	12%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	312	1			I		1			
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	386				I	76%	43%	57%	46%	1
	(DK/Ref)	2				l .	-	-	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	-74	-11	+13	-17	-37	-51	+13	-14	+8	-21
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	429	61%	72%	61%	54%	36%	66%	58%	70%	1 1
growth and development has	Disagree	268	38%	28%	38%	46%	62%	34%	41%	30%	41%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	3	1	0%		-	2%	1	1%		1%
	Net Agree	+162	+23	+44	+23	+8	-27	+33	+16	+39	+17
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	563	80%	86%	90%	53%	63%	86%	75%	84%	82%
Seattleite	Disagree	133	19%	14%	10%	47%	35%	13%	23%	16%	18%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+430	+61	+72	+80	+6	+29	+73	+52	+68	+63
Agree: The City has made	Agree	314	45%	55%	49%	44%	27%	26%	38%	54%	44%
meaningful progress in reducing	Disagree	372	53%	43%	50%	54%	70%	72%	60%	46%	54%
the number of homeless	(DK/Ref)	14	2%	2%	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%	1%	2%
encampments	Net Agree	-58	-8	+12	-1	-10	-43	-45	-22	+8	-10
Agree: The City has made	Agree	334	48%	57%	57%	31%	30%	35%	43%	51%	48%
meaningful progress on public	Disagree	354	51%	41%	42%	66%	70%	65%	54%	48%	50%
safety	(DK/Ref)	12	2%	2%	2%	3%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	-20	-3	+15	+15	-35	-40	-30	-11	+3	-2
Agree: Seattle has made	Agree	282	40%	50%	44%	29%	21%	36%	40%	41%	40%
meaningful progress on shutting	Disagree	398	57%	48%	53%	69%	77%	59%	57%	57%	56%
down open air drug markets	(DK/Ref)	20	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	5%	2%	1%	5%
	Net Agree	-116	-17	+2	-9	-40	-56	-23	-17	-16	-16

					P	arty/Gend	er			Region	
							_ ,				
		n	%	D Male	D Female		R/I Female		South	Central	
Number of cases		700		219	227	89		85	203	200	298
Row percent			100%	31%	32%	13%	11%	12%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: The City needs to	Agree	518	74%	79%	72%	73%	57%	83%	74%	82%	69%
streamline permitting to make it	Disagree	173	25%	20%	27%	26%	39%	17%	26%	17%	29%
easier and faster to build new	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	2%
housing to address Seattle's	Net Agree	+344	+49	+59	+45	+46	+18	+66	+48	+64	+40
housing affordability crisis											
Agree: I'm worried that national	Agree	630	90%	97%	98%	59%	73%	98%	89%	90%	90%
economic policies will lead to a	Disagree	68	10%	2%	2%	41%	25%	2%	11%	10%	9%
recession	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	+561	+80	+95	+96	+19	+48	+95	+78	+81	+82
Agree: I've become more	Agree	588	84%	91%	97%	47%	58%	94%	86%	81%	85%
concerned about my personal	Disagree	107	15%	9%	3%	50%		6%	13%	18%	15%
financial situation since	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	-	2%		-	1%	1%	1%
President Trump took office	Net Agree	+480		+82	+94	-3	+18	+89	+72	+63	
Agree: Businesses are closing	Agree	512	73%	72%	71%	82%	86%	60%	73%	72%	74%
down or leaving Seattle for	Disagree	178	25%	26%	28%	18%	14%	37%	27%	27%	23%
nearby cities because the cost of	_	11	2%	2%	2%		-	3%	_	1%	3%
doing business has gotten too	Net Agree	+334	+48	+47	+43		+73	+23	+45	+46	
high here											
Agree: Building more housing	Agree	464	66%	74%	65%	57%	45%	81%	63%	72%	65%
will help slow down Seattle's	Disagree	229	33%	26%	34%	43%	52%	19%	36%	27%	34%
increasing housing costs	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+235	+34	+48	+32	+13	-7	+62	+27	+45	+30
Downtown Seattle recovery	1 – Very pessimistic	72	10%	6%	6%	22%	23%	8%	13%	8%	10%
sentiment	2	147	21%	20%	16%	30%	35%	17%	22%	17%	23%
	3	322	46%	45%	55%	33%	36%	49%	47%	48%	43%
	4	128	18%	26%	18%	12%		l	13%		
	5 – Very optimistic	25	4%	3%	5%	2%	-	7%	4%	3%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	0%	1%
	Mean	694							2.72		

			Party/Gender							Region	
						_ ,, , , ,	_ ,, _ ,				
Number of cases		n 700	%	D Male 219	D Female 227	R/I Male 89		Other 85	South 203	Central 200	North
Row percent		/00	100%	31%				12%	203		298 43%
Now percent			100%	31%	32%	15%	11%	1270	29%	29%	45%
Downtown Seattle recovery	1-2 Pessimistic	219	31%	26%	22%	51%	58%	25%	35%	26%	33%
sentiment	3/(DK)	328	47%	45%	56%	34%	36%	51%	49%	49%	44%
	4-5 Optimistic	153	22%	29%	23%	14%	6%	24%	17%	25%	23%
How much City regulations and	Primary factor	90	13%	10%	8%	27%	25%	6%	19%	10%	10%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Major factor	245	35%	35%	37%	39%	39%	21%			35%
living	Minor factor	288	41%	46%	45%	26%	27%	47%	39%	40%	44%
	Not really a factor at all	74	11%	9%	8%	6%	8%	26%	8%	13%	11%
	(Don't know)	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	-	0%	1%	1%
How much City regulations and	Primary/major factor	335	48%	45%	46%	67%	64%	27%	53%	47%	45%
taxes contribute to high cost of	Minor/not really at all a factor	361	52%	55%		32%	36%	73%			54%
living	(DK)	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	_	0%	1%	1%
	Net Primary/major factor	-26	-4	-11	-8	+34	+29	-46	+6	-6	-9
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	253	36%	30%	39%	18%	30%	70%	41%	36%	33%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	414	59%	68%	61%	63%	61%	27%	54%	59%	62%
local issues	(Both)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	2%	0%	1%	-
	(Neither)	29	4%	2%	1%	18%	9%	1%	5%	4%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	0%
Policy preference: Resist	Resist Trump/Musk agenda	253	36%	30%	39%	18%	30%	70%	41%	36%	33%
Trump/Musk agenda vs Focus on	Focus on local issues	414	59%	68%	61%	63%	61%	27%	54%	59%	62%
local issues	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	34	5%	2%	1%	20%	10%	3%	5%	5%	4%
	Net Resist Trump/Musk agenda	-161	-23	-38	-22	-45	-31	+44	-13	-23	-29
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	171	24%	17%	19%	53%	43%	11%	30%	19%	24%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	213	30%	31%	37%	22%	32%	20%	30%		32%
• •	Too low	80	11%	11%	11%	8%	6%	23%	11%	12%	12%
	About right	235	34%	41%	34%	16%	20%	46%	29%	41%	31%
	(Don't know)	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%

			Party/Gender Reg								
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		219	227	89			203	200	298
Row percent			100%	31%	32%	13%	11%	12%	29%	29%	43%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	383	55%	48%	56%	75%	74%	31%	60%	48%	56%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	237	34%	41%	34%	17%	20%	46%	29%	41%	32%
	Too low	80	11%	11%	11%	8%	6%	23%	11%	12%	12%
	Net Too high	+303	+43	+36	+45	+66	+68	+9	+49	+36	+44
City leadership priority: Taking	1 – Not at all important	2	0%	0%	-	1%	_	-	0%	-	0%
care of the basics like filling	2	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%
potholes and maintaining our	3	25	4%	5%	1%	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%	3%
parks and local transportation	4	49	7%	6%	9%	3%	2%	11%	4%	7%	9%
infrastructure	5	137	20%	19%	19%	18%	22%	21%	18%	21%	19%
	6	162	23%	19%	29%	19%	20%	25%	23%	27%	21%
	7 – Extremely important	325	46%	50%	41%	54%	51%	39%	49%	42%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	6.00	6.03	5.97	6.10	6.09	5.83	6.06	5.95	5.99
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	82	12%	7%	1%	46%	24%	3%	11%	13%	12%
Protecting core progressive	2	45	6%	9%	3%	9%	10%	1%	6%	5%	8%
values like social justice, equity,	3	44	6%	9%	6%	4%	9%	-	3%	7%	8%
and inclusivity	4	57	8%	8%	8%	8%	14%	2%	8%	6%	9%
	5	113	16%	23%	17%	7%	7%	14%	13%	19%	16%
	6	82	12%	14%	16%	5%	3%	9%	10%	12%	13%
	7 – Extremely important	276	39%	28%	49%	20%	33%	71%	48%	38%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	699	5.04	4.86	5.78	3.13	4.11	6.37	5.32	5.01	4.87

					Р			Region			
		n	%	D Male	D Female	P/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700	/0	219		89			203	200	298
Row percent		700	100%	31%							43%
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	10	1%	2%	0%	_	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%
Protecting local jobs and small	2	12	2%	2%	-	6%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%
businesses in this uncertain	3	27	4%	4%	2%	4%	1%	9%	2%	5%	4%
economic environment by	4	83	12%	12%	14%	5%	8%	17%	11%	16%	10%
lowering the cost to start and	5	159	23%	26%	25%	12%	24%	19%	22%	21%	24%
run a business in Seattle	6	120	17%	19%	21%	12%	14%	10%	15%	17%	19%
	7 – Extremely important	289	41%	35%	37%	60%	50%	41%	45%	39%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	_	-	-	0%
	Mean	699	5.70	5.55	5.75	6.00	5.87	5.44	5.75	5.60	5.72
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	41	6%	4%	4%	4%	8%	16%	4%	6%	7%
Continuing to reduce the	2	25	4%	2%	3%	4%	-	13%	5%	5%	2%
number of homeless	3	40	6%	4%	6%	6%	5%	10%	5%	5%	6%
encampments	4	73	10%	6%	11%	6%	12%	24%	10%	9%	11%
	5	112	16%	19%	20%	6%	10%	13%	18%	12%	17%
	6	112	16%	22%	17%	11%	9%	9%	14%	17%	17%
	7 – Extremely important	295	42%	43%	39%	63%	55%	14%	43%	44%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	0%
	Mean	697	5.45	5.71	5.50	5.94	5.67	3.88	5.48	5.46	5.42
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	28	4%	3%	2%	4%	2%	13%	5%	3%	4%
Maintaining progress on public	2	25	4%	3%	1%	4%	4%	10%	3%	5%	3%
safety and reducing street	3	30	4%	5%	3%	3%	-	11%	6%	4%	3%
disorder by continuing to shut	4	57	8%	5%	9%	4%	8%	20%	9%	6%	9%
down open-air drug markets	5	115	16%	19%	17%	6%	12%	23%	15%	18%	16%
	6	86	12%	15%	15%	10%	4%	8%	8%	13%	15%
	7 – Extremely important	361	52%	51%	52%	69%	69%	15%	54%	51%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.72	5.85	5.91	6.08	6.14	4.13	5.66	5.72	5.77

			Party/Gender Region								
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		219	227	89			203	200	
Row percent			100%	31%	32%	13%	11%	12%	29%	29%	43%
City leadership priority: Updating	1 – Not at all important	80	11%	6%	 10%	20%	27%	7%	13%	9%	12%
Seattle's zoning laws and	2	45	l	7%	9%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	9%
expediting permitting to allow	3	45	6%	7%	7%	7%	5%	3%	6%	5%	8%
for more housing across the city,	4	114	16%	16%	21%	11%	15%	12%	18%	19%	14%
even if it means there will be	5	121	17%	17%	20%	16%	19%	12%	14%	21%	17%
more duplexes and triplexes in	6	87	12%	11%	13%	16%	14%	10%	12%	9%	15%
many single-family residential	7 – Extremely important	208	30%	37%	21%	26%	16%	51%	33%	32%	26%
neighborhoods	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.77	5.11	4.54	4.50	4.01	5.54	4.88	4.93	4.60
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	83	12%	6%	0%	48%	29%	2%	13%	10%	12%
Aggressively standing up to the	2	21	3%	3%	2%	6%	6%	-	3%	1%	4%
Trump Administration including	3	32	5%	5%	3%	7%	6%	4%	3%	6%	5%
joining lawsuits against the	4	52	7%	9%	8%	6%	9%	2%	5%	7%	9%
administration when the federal	5	73	10%	13%	13%	6%	5%	7%	9%	13%	10%
government overreaches	6	67	10%	16%	7%	4%	6%	9%	8%	14%	8%
	7 – Extremely important	366	52%	47%	66%	21%	37%	76%	59%	48%	51%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	_	4%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Mean	694	5.42	5.57	6.17	3.10	4.23	6.43	5.56	5.45	5.30
City leadership priority:	1 – Not at all important	19	3%	3%	_	9%	4%	2%	3%	-	4%
Incentivizing businesses to	2	16	2%	2%	0%	6%	4%	1%	2%	4%	1%
create family wage jobs here in	3	33	5%	6%	5%	6%	1%	5%	4%	6%	5%
Seattle so that our local	4	98	14%	16%	16%	7%	15%	12%	11%	13%	16%
economy stays resilient in the	5	148	21%	23%	23%	13%	21%	17%	20%	21%	22%
face of national economic	6	137	20%	22%		I		1	22%	19%	1
uncertainty	7 – Extremely important	246	35%	26%	35%	47%	39%	43%	38%	37%	32%
·	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%
	Mean	696		5.27		l		5.76	5.61	5.57	5.35

			Party/Gender							Region	
			- 4			- 6	- 4				
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male		Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700	1000/	219	227	89	80	85	203	200	298
Row percent			100%	31%	32%	13%	11%	12%	29%	29%	43%
City leadership priority: Taking	1-3 Not important	28	4%	5%	2%	6%	5%	l 4%	5%	4%	3%
care of the basics like filling	4/(DK/Ref)	49	7%	6%	9%	3%	2%	11%	4%	7%	9%
potholes and maintaining our	5-7 Important	623	89%	89%	89%	91%	93%	85%	91%	90%	87%
parks and local transportation	•										
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	171	24%	26%	10%	59%	43%	4%	20%	25%	28%
Protecting core progressive	4/(DK/Ref)	58	8%	8%	8%	9%	14%	2%	9%	6%	9%
values like social justice, equity,	5-7 Important	471	67%	66%	81%	31%	43%	95%	72%	69%	63%
and inclusivity											
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	48	7%	8%	3%	10%	6%	13%	7%	8%	7%
Protecting local jobs and small	4/(DK/Ref)	84	12%	12%	14%	6%	8%	17%	11%	16%	10%
businesses in this uncertain	5-7 Important	568	81%	80%	83%	83%	87%	70%	83%	77%	83%
economic environment by											
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	105	15%	10%	12%	13%	13%	39%	14%	17%	15%
Continuing to reduce the	4/(DK/Ref)	76	11%	6%	11%	6%	13%	25%	10%	10%	12%
number of homeless	5-7 Important	519	74%	84%	77%	80%	74%	36%	75%	73%	74%
encampments											
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	82	12%	10%	7%	12%	6%	34%	14%	12%	10%
Maintaining progress on public	4/(DK/Ref)	57	8%	5%	9%	4%	8%	20%	9%	6%	9%
safety and reducing street	5-7 Important	561	80%	85%	85%	85%	85%	46%	77%	82%	81%
disorder by continuing to shut											
City leadership priority: Updating	1-3 Not important	170	24%	20%	26%	31%	36%	14%	22%	19%	29%
Seattle's zoning laws and	4/(DK/Ref)	114	16%	16%	21%	11%	15%	12%	18%	19%	14%
expediting permitting to allow	5-7 Important	415	59%	64%	53%	58%	49%	74%	60%	62%	57%
for more housing across the city,											
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	136	19%	14%	6%	61%	41%	6%	19%	18%	21%
Aggressively standing up to the	4/(DK/Ref)	58	8%	9%	8%	9%	12%	2%	6%	8%	10%
Trump Administration including	5-7 Important	506	72%	76%	86%	30%	48%	92%	76%	75%	69%
joining lawsuits against the											
City leadership priority:	1-3 Not important	68	10%	12%	5%	20%	9%	7%	9%	9%	10%
Incentivizing businesses to	4/(DK/Ref)	102	15%	16%	16%	8%	15%	13%	11%	14%	17%
create family wage jobs here in	5-7 Important	530	76%	72%	79%	72%	76%	80%	80%	77%	72%
Seattle so that our local											

			Party/Gender Region								
							_ ,				
Number of coops		n 700	%	D Male	D Female			Other 85	South	Central	
Number of cases		/00	100%	219 31%	227 32%	89 13%	1	12%	203 29%	200 29%	298 43%
Row percent			100%	31%	3270	15%	1170	1270	2970	2970	45%
Current downtown visit	Once a week or more	127	18%	19%	14%	28%	14%	23%	16%	32%	10%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	230	33%	36%	37%	20%	23%	37%	29%	39%	31%
	A few times a year	201	29%	30%	29%	25%	31%	27%	29%	14%	39%
	Rarely	113	16%	13%	17%	21%	26%	10%	19%	14%	16%
	Never	28	4%	3%	4%	6%	7%	3%	7%	1%	4%
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current downtown visit	Weekly	127	18%	19%	14%	28%	14%	23%	16%	32%	10%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	230	33%	36%	37%		l	37%	29%	39%	
	Less often	343	49%	46%	49%	52%	1	40%		29%	
Current downtown visit	Much more often	44	6%	7%	4%	4%	4%	15%	3%	9%	7%
frequency compared to last year		120	17%	15%	21%		1	22%	18%	17%	
mequency compared to last year	About the same	313	45%	51%	45%		40%	39%	45%	44%	
	Somewhat less often	115	16%	17%	16%	17%	20%	13%	15%	17%	17%
	Much less often	108	15%	9%	14%		1	11%	19%	12%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current downtown visit	More often	164	23%	23%	25%	15%	15%	37%	21%	26%	23%
frequency compared to last year		313	45%	51%	45%		40%	39%	45%	44%	
	Less often	223	32%	26%	30%	46%	45%	24%	34%	30%	
	Net More often	-59	-8	-3	-5	-31	-30	+14	-13	-4	-9
Duration of residency	<2 years	20	3%	3%	1%	2%	4%	7%	3%	4%	2%
,	2-5 years	126	18%	22%	18%		1	17%	16%	24%	
	6-10 years	102	15%	15%	13%			23%	13%	18%	
	11-20 years	139	20%	23%	14%		1	27%	19%	17%	
	>20 years	311			54%		l				
Duration of residency	1-10 years	248	35%	40%	32%	28%	29%	47%	32%	46%	31%
,	11-20 years	139			14%		1				I I
	>20 years	313			54%		l				

				Party/Gender						Region	
			0/	D Mala	D Famala	D/I Mala	D/I Famala	Othor	Caudh	Combinal	Namble
Number of cases		700	%	D Male 219			R/I Female 80		South 203	Central 200	North 298
Row percent		/00	100%	31%			l		203		43%
Now percent			100%	31/6	32/0	13/0	11/0	12/0	2370	2970	45/0
Party	Strong Democrat	293	42%	58%	72%	-	-	2%	38%	43%	44%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	13%	23%	16%	-	-	1%			15%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	70	10%	19%	12%	-	-	2%	12%	9%	9%
	Independent	50	7%	-	-	33%	26%	-	7%	8%	7%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	20	3%	-	-	14%	10%	-	2%	3%	3%
	Not very strong Republican	7	1%	-	-	6%	3%	-	1%	2%	1%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	-	-	11%	11%	1%	5%	1%	2%
	Socialist	74	11%	-	-	-	-	87%	8%	15%	9%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	78	11%	-	-	36%	51%	6%	16%	8%	10%
Party	Socialist	74	11%	_	-	_	-	87%	8%	15%	9%
	Democrat	450	64%	100%	100%	-	-	6%	61%	63%	68%
	Independent	128	18%	-	-	69%	77%	6%	23%	16%	17%
	Republican	47	7%	-	-	31%	23%	1%	8%	6%	6%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	171	24%	16%	26%	12%	17%	63%	27%	30%	19%
	2	170	24%	28%	32%	7%	13%	22%	21%	24%	27%
	3	147	21%	33%	27%	8%	6%	4%	17%	24%	22%
	4	109	16%	18%	13%	22%	25%	-	15%	12%	18%
	5	44	6%	2%	2%	23%	18%	-	6%	5%	8%
	6	14	2%	2%	-	11%	-	_	3%	1%	2%
	7 – Very conservative	17	2%	1%	1%	5%	10%	2%	4%	2%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	29	4%	1%	1%	12%	11%	8%	7%	3%	3%
	Mean	671	2.69	2.70	2.35	4.04	3.58	1.49	2.77	2.44	2.81
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	488	70%	77%	84%	27%	36%	89%	65%	78%	67%
	Moderate	137	20%	19%		34%	l	1	22%		21%
	Conservative	75	11%	5%			28%	1	13%		11%

				Party/Gender							
						_ ,,					
		n	%	D Male			R/I Female		South	Central	
Number of cases		700		219		89		1	203		298
Row percent			100%	31%	32%	13%	11%	12%	29%	29%	43%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	123	18%	15%	14%	34%	33%	3%	16%	18%	19%
	2	119	17%	25%	12%	23%	18%	2%	17%	15%	18%
	3	129	18%	19%	25%	11%	10%	15%	14%	21%	20%
	4	137	20%	17%	21%	12%	19%	29%	24%	19%	17%
	5	97	14%	14%	15%	6%	5%	27%	13%	16%	13%
	6	36	5%	4%	4%	4%	7%	9%	7%	3%	5%
	7 – Very conservative	17	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	8%	4%	3%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	41	6%	4%	8%	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%
	Mean	659	3.22	3.10	3.34	2.56	2.67	4.42	3.41	3.19	3.11
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	371	53%	59%	50%	68%	61%	21%	47%	54%	56%
	Moderate	179	26%	21%	29%	18%	26%	35%	29%	25%	24%
	Conservative	151	22%	20%	21%	14%	13%	44%	24%	21%	20%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	52%	52%	62%	44%	33%	58%	40%	51%
	Renter	350	50%	48%	48%	38%	56%	67%	42%	60%	49%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	520	74%	77%	82%	58%	63%	73%	71%	76%	76%
	African American or Black	28	4%	4%	4%	8%	1%	2%	6%	5%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	5%	4%	7%	11%	7%	8%	5%	5%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	8%	6%	11%	10%	9%	9%	7%	8%
	Something else	49	7%	6%	4%	14%	15%	4%	6%	6%	9%
	(Refused)	5	1%	0%	-	1%	-	4%	-	2%	1%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	520	74%	77%	82%	58%	63%	73%	71%	76%	76%
	African American or Black	28	4%	4%	4%	8%	1%	2%	6%	5%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	5%	4%	7%	11%	7%	8%	5%	5%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	8%	6%	11%	10%	9%	9%	7%	8%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	54	8%	6%	4%	15%	15%	8%	6%	7%	10%

			Party/Gender Region								
			0/	D M-L	D.Famad	D/I NA=1	D/I 5	044-	الدينة	C	N
Number of cases		700	%	D Male	D Female 227				South	Central 200	North 298
Row percent		700	100%	219 31%	32%				203 29%		
Now percent			100%	31/0	32/0	15/0	11/0	12/0	25/0	29/0	45/0
Ethnicity	White	520	74%	77%	82%	58%	63%	73%	71%	76%	76%
	POC	175	25%	23%	18%	41%	37%	23%	29%	23%	23%
	(Ref)	5	1%	0%	-	1%	-	4%	-	2%	1%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	138	20%	23%	19%	14%	9%	28%	15%	24%	20%
	30-39	176	25%	25%	26%				24%		24%
	40-49	119	17%	19%	12%	20%			19%		1
	50-64	138	20%	15%	24%	28%			22%		
	65+	129	18%	18%	20%		27%	6%	20%	16%	19%
Two-Age Split	18-39	314	45%	48%	44%	32%	26%	69%	39%	52%	44%
	40+	386	55%	52%	56%						
Generation	18-39	314	45%	48%	44%	32%	26%	69%	39%	52%	44%
	40-64	257	37%	34%	36%	48%					37%
	65+	129	18%	18%	20%	21%			20%		19%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	0%	1%	_	-	_	_	1%	0%
	Some high school	6	1%	2%	0%		3%	-	2%	1%	1%
	Graduated high school	23	3%	3%	3%	5%	5%			2%	
	Technical/vocational school	24	3%	3%	3%	1%	6%	7%	6%	2%	3%
	Some college/<4-year degree	104	15%	15%	12%	25%	14%	14%	21%	20%	8%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	265	38%	37%	35%	36%	39%	48%	31%	38%	43%
	Graduate/professional degree	270	39%	40%	46%	32%	32%	29%	36%	36%	42%
	(Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	-	0%	0%	1%
Education	<4-year degree	165	24%	23%	19%	31%	29%	23%	33%	26%	16%
	4-year degree+	535	ı		81%			1			1
Education	Less than college	165	24%	23%	19%	31%	29%	23%	33%	26%	16%
	Graduated college	265			35%						
	Graduate/professional degree	270	l		46%						

					P	er			Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	P/I Mala	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		219		89			203		298
Row percent		700	100%	31%	32%	13%	l	1			43%
		242	400/	4.000/		1000/		100/	470/	E40/	400
Gender	Male	342	49%	100%	-	100%	l	40%	47%		48%
	Female	345	l	-	100%	-	100%	1			50%
	Non-binary	10	1%	-	-	-	-	12%			1%
	(Refused)	3	0%	-	-	-	-	4%	-	1%	0%
Region	South	203	29%	26%	28%	36%	35%	26%	100%	-	-
	Central	200	29%	29%	27%	28%	23%	36%	-	100%	-
	North	298	43%	45%	44%	37%	42%	37%	-	-	100%
City Council District	1	109	16%	13%	15%	20%	18%	16%	53%	_	_
City Courier District	2	95	14%	12%	13%		l	1	1		_
	3	112	16%	16%	16%		l	1		56%	_
	4	88	13%	12%	13%		l	1		-	29%
	5	98	14%		13%	15%		1		_	33%
	6	112	16%	18%	18%	5%		1		_	38%
	7	88	13%	14%	11%	16%	l	1		44%	-
Vote History (PG20 PG22 PG24)	0-3/6	336	48%	50%	45%	61%	49%	37%	54%	48%	44%
Pote history (PG20 PG22 PG24)	4-5/6	175	25%		26%	21%	l	1	22%		27%
	6/6	189	25%		28%			1			29%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	158	l		-	32%	l	28%			24%
	M 40-64	124	l	34%	-	48%		9%	19%		17%
	M 65+	60	9%	18%	-	21%	-	3%			8%
	F 18-39	149	21%	-	44%	-	26%	1			19%
	F 40-64	127	18%	-	36%	-	47%	1			20%
	F 65+	68	10%	-	20%	-	27%	2%	9%		11%
	Other	13	2%	-	-	-	-	16%	3%	1%	2%

				Party/Gender						Region			
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North		
Number of cases		700		219	227	89	80	85	203	200	298		
Row percent			100%	31%	32%	13%	11%	12%	29%	29%	43%		
Party/Gender	D Male	219	 31%	100%	-	-	-	-	28%	32%	33%		
	D Female	227	32%	-	100%	-	-	-	32%	31%	34%		
	R/I Male	89	13%	-	-	100%	-	-	16%	12%	11%		
	R/I Female	80	11%	-	-	-	100%	-	14%	9%	11%		
	Other	85	12%	-	-	-	-	100%	11%	15%	11%		