

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.66	4.79	4.50	6.35	4.86	5.06	3.63	3.66
Seattle right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	333	48%	51%	43%	81%	57%	55%	26%	25%
	Wrong track	365	52%	49%	56%	19%	43%	44%	74%	75%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Right direction	-33	-5	+1	-13	+63	+13	+11	-48	-50
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	348	50%	45%	53%	70%	54%	54%	37%	41%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	137	20%	21%	18%	21%	21%	20%	19%	16%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	97	14%	15%	13%	8%	13%	12%	17%	22%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	88	13%	12%	14%	0%	12%	16%	6%	3%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	77	11%	9%	13%	5%	13%	11%	8%	11%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	68	10%	8%	11%	14%	20%	9%	10%	3%
	Walkability/Transit	42	6%	6%	6%	6%	8%	7%	4%	0%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	34	5%	5%	4%	11%	6%	4%	6%	8%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	23	3%	4%	3%	0%	3%	2%	7%	2%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	17	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	1%	6%	6%
	Other	22	3%	3%	3%	0%	1%	2%	6%	9%
Nothing/Don't know	15	2%	3%	1%	0%	3%	1%	5%	3%	

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Top issues facing Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	284	41%	38%	43%	20%	12%	39%	53%	57%
	Homelessness	262	37%	38%	39%	11%	29%	39%	37%	35%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	195	28%	26%	29%	51%	47%	30%	17%	14%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	103	15%	16%	13%	18%	18%	12%	21%	20%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	43	6%	4%	8%	0%	9%	6%	5%	4%
	Jobs/Economy	36	5%	4%	6%	0%	12%	4%	5%	7%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	30	4%	6%	3%	0%	2%	4%	6%	2%
	Taxes	28	4%	5%	3%	0%	0%	4%	8%	1%
	Growth/Development/Population	27	4%	5%	3%	0%	2%	5%	3%	0%
	Public transportation	24	3%	4%	3%	14%	10%	4%	2%	0%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	24	3%	3%	4%	0%	2%	4%	3%	3%
	Traffic/Congestion	22	3%	3%	3%	0%	2%	4%	3%	2%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	20	3%	3%	2%	12%	12%	2%	0%	4%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	12	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%
	Climate change/Environment	5	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%
	Other	6	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Change in quality of life in Seattle	Much better	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Somewhat better	149	21%	23%	20%	31%	20%	22%	21%	17%
	The same	188	27%	28%	25%	58%	30%	31%	14%	17%
	Somewhat worse	244	35%	33%	37%	11%	35%	37%	28%	31%
	Much worse	116	17%	16%	18%	-	13%	9%	37%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Change in quality of life in Seattle	Better	151	22%	23%	20%	31%	22%	22%	21%	17%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	188	27%	28%	25%	58%	30%	31%	14%	17%
	Worse	361	52%	48%	55%	11%	48%	46%	64%	66%
	Net Better	-209	-30	-25	-35	+21	-26	-24	-43	-50
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	388	55%	55%	56%	38%	56%	50%	70%	69%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	312	45%	45%	44%	62%	44%	50%	30%	31%

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	118	30%	29%	31%	58%	10%	29%	39%	36%
	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	112	29%	22%	35%	15%	51%	31%	22%	16%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	42	11%	15%	7%	-	3%	9%	15%	17%
	Homelessness	17	4%	6%	4%	-	3%	5%	6%	-
	Declining quality of life	15	4%	5%	3%	-	-	4%	3%	8%
	Taxes	12	3%	4%	2%	-	4%	4%	-	7%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	12	3%	4%	2%	-	8%	2%	1%	8%
	Traffic/Congestion	9	2%	2%	3%	-	3%	2%	4%	-
	Growth/Development/Space	7	2%	1%	3%	-	4%	2%	-	-
	Closer to family	7	2%	3%	0%	27%	-	2%	2%	-
	Cleanliness/Garbage	7	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	1%	4%	-
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	6	2%	2%	1%	-	-	2%	1%	2%
	Weather	3	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	-	2%
	Other	18	5%	5%	4%	-	9%	6%	1%	2%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	3	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	2%
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	323	83%	82%	85%	56%	84%	80%	90%	89%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	65	17%	18%	15%	44%	16%	20%	10%	11%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	323	46%	45%	48%	21%	47%	39%	63%	61%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	65	9%	10%	8%	17%	9%	10%	7%	8%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	312	45%	45%	44%	62%	44%	50%	30%	31%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	106	15%	19%	11%	28%	19%	17%	9%	12%
	Somewhat agree	318	45%	44%	47%	56%	52%	50%	35%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	170	24%	24%	24%	16%	20%	23%	26%	35%
	Strongly disagree	104	15%	12%	18%	-	9%	10%	31%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	391	56%	56%	56%	41%	24%	54%	67%	78%
	Somewhat agree	227	32%	34%	31%	37%	43%	35%	26%	16%
	Somewhat disagree	54	8%	7%	9%	-	22%	8%	3%	2%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	3%	4%	22%	12%	3%	4%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	334	48%	45%	51%	11%	23%	45%	59%	67%
	Somewhat agree	233	33%	37%	30%	28%	31%	37%	25%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	97	14%	12%	14%	29%	30%	15%	8%	5%
	Strongly disagree	34	5%	5%	4%	22%	16%	4%	5%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	-	10%	-	-	2%	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	268	38%	40%	36%	57%	65%	42%	27%	12%
	Somewhat agree	254	36%	35%	38%	37%	26%	37%	32%	46%
	Somewhat disagree	113	16%	17%	15%	6%	9%	16%	18%	22%
	Strongly disagree	65	9%	8%	10%	-	-	5%	23%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	99	14%	16%	12%	32%	29%	13%	12%	9%
	Somewhat agree	148	21%	25%	18%	12%	41%	24%	10%	3%
	Somewhat disagree	190	27%	26%	27%	51%	12%	30%	22%	33%
	Strongly disagree	263	38%	33%	43%	6%	17%	33%	55%	56%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	15	2%	3%	1%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%
	Somewhat agree	201	29%	30%	28%	35%	37%	33%	18%	15%
	Somewhat disagree	235	34%	30%	38%	38%	40%	38%	21%	22%
	Strongly disagree	247	35%	37%	33%	26%	21%	27%	60%	62%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	109	16%	21%	11%	6%	16%	18%	10%	11%
	Somewhat agree	284	41%	39%	41%	80%	41%	42%	38%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	170	24%	24%	25%	6%	21%	25%	21%	28%
	Strongly disagree	137	20%	16%	23%	8%	22%	15%	31%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	299	43%	46%	39%	47%	45%	50%	24%	22%
	Somewhat agree	238	34%	30%	38%	28%	39%	37%	26%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	102	15%	16%	13%	14%	14%	7%	33%	30%
	Strongly disagree	61	9%	8%	9%	11%	2%	5%	17%	21%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	3	0%	1%	0%	-	1%	0%	1%	-
	Somewhat agree	110	16%	17%	15%	6%	18%	17%	11%	11%
	Somewhat disagree	279	40%	37%	44%	40%	29%	46%	30%	25%
	Strongly disagree	306	44%	45%	41%	54%	52%	36%	58%	64%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
Agree: The City of Seattle has enough money to address important priorities; they just need to spend it more effectively	Strongly agree	305	44%	42%	45%	37%	34%	38%	62%	60%
	Somewhat agree	230	33%	31%	37%	16%	32%	38%	18%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	114	16%	19%	13%	38%	24%	17%	14%	11%
	Strongly disagree	40	6%	7%	5%	8%	10%	5%	6%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	2%	1%	-	-	2%	-	-
Agree: The city has made meaningful progress in reducing the number of homeless encampments in Seattle	Strongly agree	34	5%	6%	4%	-	4%	6%	3%	2%
	Somewhat agree	237	34%	36%	32%	27%	22%	37%	31%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	215	31%	29%	32%	41%	34%	32%	25%	27%
	Strongly disagree	210	30%	29%	31%	33%	37%	24%	40%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	4%	-	1%	-

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Agree: City policies that have increased business costs and the failure to adequately address public safety make it hard to start or grow a business in Seattle	Strongly agree	303	43%	46%	41%	35%	11%	37%	65%	74%
	Somewhat agree	181	26%	23%	29%	12%	23%	31%	13%	14%
	Somewhat disagree	142	20%	19%	20%	45%	37%	22%	12%	10%
	Strongly disagree	63	9%	10%	9%	8%	25%	8%	9%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	2%	1%	-	4%	2%	-	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	424	61%	63%	57%	84%	71%	67%	44%	40%
	Disagree	274	39%	36%	42%	16%	29%	33%	56%	60%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	+150	+21	+27	+15	+68	+42	+34	-13	-19
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	618	88%	90%	87%	78%	67%	89%	93%	94%
	Disagree	81	12%	10%	13%	22%	33%	11%	7%	6%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Net Agree	+536	+77	+79	+74	+55	+34	+78	+86	+88	
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	567	81%	82%	82%	38%	54%	82%	85%	93%
	Disagree	131	19%	17%	18%	52%	46%	18%	14%	7%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	-	10%	-	-	2%	-
	Net Agree	+436	+62	+65	+63	-13	+7	+64	+71	+86
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	522	75%	75%	74%	94%	91%	79%	59%	58%
	Disagree	178	25%	25%	26%	6%	9%	21%	41%	42%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+344	+49	+49	+49	+89	+81	+58	+18	+16
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	246	35%	40%	30%	44%	70%	37%	22%	12%
	Disagree	453	65%	60%	70%	56%	30%	62%	78%	88%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-207	-30	-19	-40	-12	+41	-25	-55	-77

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	216	31%	33%	29%	35%	38%	35%	19%	17%
	Disagree	483	69%	67%	71%	65%	62%	65%	81%	83%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-267	-38	-34	-42	-30	-24	-29	-63	-66
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	394	56%	60%	52%	86%	57%	60%	48%	43%
	Disagree	306	44%	40%	48%	14%	43%	40%	52%	57%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+87	+12	+19	+5	+73	+14	+20	-3	-14
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	537	77%	76%	77%	75%	84%	87%	50%	49%
	Disagree	163	23%	24%	23%	25%	16%	13%	50%	51%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+375	+54	+53	+55	+50	+68	+74	-1	-2
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	113	16%	18%	15%	6%	19%	18%	11%	11%
	Disagree	586	84%	82%	85%	94%	81%	82%	88%	89%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Net Agree	-473	-68	-64	-70	-88	-62	-64	-77	-77
Agree: The City of Seattle has enough money to address important priorities; they just need to spend it more effectively	Agree	535	76%	72%	81%	53%	66%	76%	80%	86%
	Disagree	154	22%	26%	18%	47%	34%	22%	20%	14%
	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	1%	-	-	2%	-	-
	Net Agree	+381	+54	+47	+64	+7	+31	+54	+59	+72
Agree: The city has made meaningful progress in reducing the number of homeless encampments in Seattle	Agree	272	39%	43%	36%	27%	26%	43%	34%	27%
	Disagree	425	61%	57%	63%	73%	70%	57%	65%	73%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	-	4%	-	1%	-
	Net Agree	-153	-22	-15	-27	-47	-45	-13	-31	-45

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Agree: City policies that have increased business costs and the failure to adequately address public safety make it hard to start or grow a business in	Agree	484	69%	69%	70%	47%	34%	68%	79%	88%
	Disagree	205	29%	29%	29%	53%	62%	30%	21%	12%
	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	1%	-	4%	2%	-	-
	Net Agree	+279	+40	+40	+41	-6	-28	+39	+57	+75
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	61	9%	6%	11%	8%	42%	4%	11%	4%
	2	44	6%	5%	7%	25%	21%	6%	3%	-
	3	54	8%	8%	6%	34%	14%	9%	2%	8%
	4	47	7%	6%	8%	13%	10%	7%	3%	4%
	5	83	12%	13%	11%	15%	8%	13%	12%	6%
	6	66	9%	9%	10%	-	-	12%	9%	3%
	7 – Very significant impact	345	49%	53%	47%	5%	5%	49%	60%	74%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.32	5.56	5.17	3.21	2.41	5.49	5.69	6.12
	Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	25	4%	2%	4%	8%	15%	2%	8%
2		22	3%	3%	4%	-	16%	2%	1%	-
3		45	6%	5%	7%	25%	15%	6%	5%	2%
4		65	9%	10%	9%	-	21%	9%	7%	8%
5		95	14%	14%	13%	24%	16%	15%	10%	8%
6		115	16%	16%	17%	24%	12%	20%	9%	10%
7 – Very significant impact		333	48%	50%	45%	20%	5%	46%	60%	71%
(Don't know/Refused)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean		700	5.66	5.79	5.56	4.82	3.61	5.78	5.79	6.40

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	23	3%	3%	4%	-	5%	3%	2%	5%
	2	16	2%	3%	2%	-	2%	2%	2%	4%
	3	17	2%	3%	1%	-	1%	2%	5%	2%
	4	90	13%	11%	15%	8%	11%	15%	9%	11%
	5	125	18%	17%	19%	12%	11%	17%	19%	27%
	6	136	19%	21%	18%	7%	13%	22%	17%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	292	42%	41%	41%	73%	58%	39%	46%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.65	5.63	5.62	6.46	5.91	5.61	5.78	5.43
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	80	11%	18%	5%	5%	2%	7%	22%	33%
	2	37	5%	7%	4%	7%	-	5%	5%	10%
	3	47	7%	8%	6%	6%	1%	7%	7%	10%
	4	100	14%	13%	15%	11%	6%	14%	18%	19%
	5	123	18%	12%	23%	12%	8%	20%	13%	14%
	6	86	12%	14%	11%	21%	14%	14%	11%	2%
	7 – Very significant impact	227	32%	28%	36%	38%	69%	33%	24%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.88	4.47	5.25	5.33	6.38	5.08	4.23	3.28
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	29	4%	4%	4%	22%	11%	3%	5%	2%
	2	19	3%	3%	2%	6%	12%	2%	3%	-
	3	45	6%	7%	6%	28%	13%	6%	4%	5%
	4	92	13%	10%	17%	12%	26%	12%	13%	6%
	5	164	23%	23%	25%	12%	23%	27%	13%	19%
	6	97	14%	15%	13%	14%	2%	15%	15%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	253	36%	40%	34%	6%	13%	34%	47%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.35	5.49	5.29	3.49	3.94	5.39	5.59	5.96

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	23	3%	4%	2%	-	14%	3%	2%	-
	2	26	4%	2%	5%	25%	9%	4%	4%	-
	3	21	3%	3%	3%	12%	6%	3%	4%	-
	4	52	7%	8%	6%	7%	16%	6%	6%	12%
	5	88	13%	13%	11%	42%	15%	13%	8%	12%
	6	104	15%	17%	14%	-	11%	16%	16%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	385	55%	52%	59%	15%	28%	56%	61%	64%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.87	5.83	5.96	4.24	4.57	5.93	6.06	6.29
Impact: Addressing climate change	1 – Very little impact	111	16%	21%	11%	24%	-	9%	29%	54%
	2	42	6%	8%	4%	-	-	6%	7%	8%
	3	57	8%	8%	8%	-	4%	8%	8%	12%
	4	91	13%	11%	15%	-	9%	15%	9%	11%
	5	133	19%	19%	19%	25%	17%	20%	20%	10%
	6	83	12%	11%	13%	12%	17%	13%	9%	2%
	7 – Very significant impact	184	26%	23%	29%	39%	54%	28%	18%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.54	4.23	4.84	4.94	6.10	4.84	3.83	2.33
Impact: Shutting down open air drug markets	1 – Very little impact	63	9%	7%	11%	8%	40%	5%	9%	7%
	2	30	4%	4%	4%	14%	10%	5%	1%	-
	3	52	7%	8%	6%	28%	20%	7%	6%	2%
	4	46	7%	7%	7%	-	13%	7%	4%	2%
	5	68	10%	9%	10%	18%	9%	12%	6%	3%
	6	77	11%	10%	12%	21%	2%	14%	7%	7%
	7 – Very significant impact	365	52%	55%	51%	11%	6%	50%	67%	79%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.46	5.58	5.39	4.10	2.73	5.58	5.86	6.30

	n	%	Gender			Party				
			Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep	
Number of cases	700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63	
Row percent		100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%	
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little impact	159	23%	19%	25%	67%	77%	19%	16%	13%
	4/(DK)	47	7%	6%	8%	13%	10%	7%	3%	4%
	5-7 Significant impact	494	71%	75%	68%	20%	13%	74%	81%	83%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little impact	92	13%	10%	15%	33%	46%	10%	13%	2%
	4/(DK)	65	9%	10%	9%	-	21%	9%	7%	8%
	5-7 Significant impact	543	78%	80%	76%	67%	33%	81%	79%	90%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little impact	56	8%	10%	7%	-	8%	8%	9%	11%
	4/(DK)	90	13%	11%	15%	8%	11%	15%	9%	11%
	5-7 Significant impact	553	79%	79%	78%	92%	81%	78%	83%	79%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little impact	165	24%	33%	15%	18%	3%	19%	34%	52%
	4/(DK)	100	14%	13%	15%	11%	6%	14%	18%	19%
	5-7 Significant impact	436	62%	54%	70%	71%	91%	67%	48%	28%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little impact	93	13%	13%	12%	57%	36%	12%	12%	7%
	4/(DK)	92	13%	10%	17%	12%	26%	12%	13%	6%
	5-7 Significant impact	515	74%	78%	71%	31%	37%	76%	75%	87%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little impact	70	10%	10%	10%	37%	29%	9%	9%	-
	4/(DK)	52	7%	8%	6%	7%	16%	6%	6%	12%
	5-7 Significant impact	577	82%	82%	84%	56%	55%	85%	85%	88%
Impact: Addressing climate change	1-3 Little impact	209	30%	37%	24%	24%	4%	23%	44%	74%
	4/(DK)	91	13%	11%	15%	-	9%	15%	9%	11%
	5-7 Significant impact	400	57%	52%	61%	76%	88%	62%	47%	15%
Impact: Shutting down open air drug markets	1-3 Little impact	144	21%	19%	21%	51%	70%	17%	16%	9%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	7%	7%	-	13%	7%	4%	2%
	5-7 Significant impact	510	73%	74%	73%	49%	17%	76%	80%	89%

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Homelessness/housing preference: Prioritize housing for homelessness vs. Address broader housing affordability crisis	Prioritize housing for homelessness	373	53%	54%	53%	41%	32%	54%	57%	62%
	Address broader housing affordability crisis	316	45%	45%	45%	59%	68%	46%	38%	31%
	(Both)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	(Neither)	10	1%	1%	1%	-	-	0%	4%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City budget deficit preference: Focus on the basics vs. Maintain spending and raise new taxes	Focus on the basics	473	68%	64%	72%	30%	40%	66%	77%	85%
	Maintain spending and raise new taxes	216	31%	35%	25%	70%	60%	33%	19%	14%
	(Both)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
	(Neither)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	3%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	1%	-	-	0%	1%	-
Public safety preference: Need action on public safety vs. Address root causes	Need action on public safety	373	53%	57%	50%	32%	3%	51%	70%	81%
	Address root causes	322	46%	43%	49%	68%	94%	48%	29%	17%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	5	1%	0%	1%	-	2%	0%	2%	1%
	Net Need action on public safety	+51	+7	+15	+1	-36	-91	+3	+41	+64
Homelessness/housing preference: Prioritize housing for homelessness vs. Address broader housing affordability crisis	Prioritize housing for homelessness	373	53%	54%	53%	41%	32%	54%	57%	62%
	Address broader housing affordability crisis	316	45%	45%	45%	59%	68%	46%	38%	31%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	10	1%	2%	1%	-	-	0%	5%	7%
	Net Prioritize housing for homelessness	+57	+8	+9	+8	-19	-36	+8	+19	+31
City budget deficit preference: Focus on the basics vs. Maintain spending and raise new taxes	Focus on the basics	473	68%	64%	72%	30%	40%	66%	77%	85%
	Maintain spending and raise new taxes	216	31%	35%	25%	70%	60%	33%	19%	14%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	4%	2%
	Net Focus on the basics	+256	+37	+28	+47	-40	-20	+33	+59	+71

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Support: Investing in the continued revitalization of Seattle Center by bringing back the Sonics, redoing Memorial Stadium which is used by high school sport teams across the state, and making it easier to get from Seattle Center to the new Waterfront	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	64 48 67 127 163 74 156 - 700	9% 7% 10% 18% 23% 11% 22% - 4.60	8% 7% 8% 16% 24% 13% 25% - 4.78	10% 6% 12% 21% 23% 9% 20% - 4.46	15% 11% - 19% 40% - 15% - 4.16	20% 10% 15% 18% 27% 1% 9% - 3.60	7% 7% 10% 18% 23% 11% 25% - 4.76	12% 7% 6% 22% 22% 10% 21% - 4.50	10% 6% 10% 13% 25% 15% 20% - 4.63
Support: Providing support and funding for small- and medium-sized Seattle businesses to help them benefit from the economic opportunities that big events like Major League Baseball's All-Star Game, the National Hockey League's Winter Classic, and the upcoming 2026 FIFA World Cup present	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	36 52 63 157 156 96 137 1 699	5% 7% 9% 22% 22% 14% 20% 0% 4.69	5% 8% 9% 18% 23% 13% 23% - 4.77	5% 6% 8% 27% 22% 15% 16% 0% 4.65	8% 14% 19% 17% 10% 21% 11% - 4.13	6% 14% 8% 23% 29% 6% 14% - 4.27	5% 5% 10% 24% 22% 13% 21% 0% 4.78	8% 11% 9% 20% 20% 15% 18% - 4.49	3% 12% 7% 14% 22% 22% 19% - 4.82
Support: Keeping construction for light rail to West Seattle and Ballard on track to open in 2032 even if it costs more	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	82 34 52 74 99 85 274 - 700	12% 5% 7% 11% 14% 12% 39% - 5.04	11% 4% 7% 9% 14% 12% 42% - 5.18	12% 6% 8% 12% 14% 12% 35% - 4.88	25% - - - 6% - 69% - 5.38	3% - 4% 2% 9% 14% 68% - 6.28	9% 4% 6% 10% 18% 13% 41% - 5.23	23% 9% 10% 10% 8% 11% 30% - 4.22	16% 8% 14% 25% 9% 8% 21% - 4.12

		n	Gender			Party				
			%	Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Support: Working with the state to fund a "lid" over I-5 where it cuts through the downtown to free up new real estate for housing, parks, and public spaces and reconnect our neighborhoods	1 – Strongly oppose	97	14%	14%	14%	13%	6%	11%	24%	23%
	2	56	8%	8%	8%	-	-	7%	10%	17%
	3	56	8%	7%	9%	-	2%	9%	8%	6%
	4	130	19%	15%	22%	20%	18%	19%	19%	18%
	5	107	15%	16%	15%	6%	3%	17%	14%	16%
	6	76	11%	12%	9%	12%	22%	10%	12%	5%
	7 – Strongly support	176	25%	28%	22%	49%	49%	27%	13%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
Mean		698	4.47	4.60	4.32	5.38	5.74	4.62	3.77	3.60
Support: Investing in the continued revitalization of Seattle Center by bringing back the Sonics, redoing Memorial	1-3 Oppose	179	26%	23%	28%	26%	45%	23%	25%	27%
	4/(DK)	127	18%	16%	21%	19%	18%	18%	22%	13%
	5-7 Support	393	56%	61%	51%	55%	37%	59%	53%	61%
Support: Providing support and funding for small- and medium-sized Seattle businesses to help them benefit from the economic	1-3 Oppose	152	22%	23%	19%	41%	29%	19%	27%	22%
	4/(DK)	158	23%	18%	28%	17%	23%	24%	20%	14%
	5-7 Support	390	56%	59%	53%	42%	48%	56%	53%	63%
Support: Keeping construction for light rail to West Seattle and Ballard on track to open in 2032 even if it costs more	1-3 Oppose	167	24%	22%	26%	25%	7%	19%	42%	37%
	4/(DK)	74	11%	9%	12%	-	2%	10%	10%	25%
	5-7 Support	459	66%	69%	62%	75%	91%	71%	48%	38%
Support: Working with the state to fund a "lid" over I-5 where it cuts through the downtown to free up new real estate for	1-3 Oppose	209	30%	29%	31%	13%	8%	27%	42%	46%
	4/(DK)	132	19%	15%	23%	20%	18%	19%	19%	18%
	5-7 Support	359	51%	56%	46%	67%	74%	54%	39%	36%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	142	20%	22%	19%	5%	15%	20%	21%	25%
	A few times a month	238	34%	32%	36%	20%	45%	33%	33%	30%
	A few times a year	209	30%	30%	30%	46%	28%	32%	28%	22%
	Rarely	70	10%	10%	11%	-	7%	9%	11%	16%
	Never	39	6%	6%	4%	29%	2%	6%	7%	6%
	(Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	4%	-	-	-

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	142	20%	22%	19%	5%	15%	20%	21%	25%
	Few times a month	238	34%	32%	36%	20%	45%	33%	33%	30%
	Less often	320	46%	46%	45%	75%	40%	46%	46%	45%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	55	8%	9%	6%	6%	5%	8%	9%	8%
	Somewhat more often	40	6%	7%	5%	-	10%	6%	5%	2%
	About the same	173	25%	27%	21%	63%	44%	27%	15%	11%
	Somewhat less often	139	20%	18%	23%	-	20%	22%	15%	14%
	Much less often	292	42%	39%	45%	31%	19%	37%	57%	65%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	2%	-	-	-
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	More often	95	14%	16%	11%	6%	15%	14%	14%	10%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	174	25%	27%	21%	63%	46%	27%	15%	11%
	Less often	431	62%	57%	67%	31%	39%	59%	71%	79%
	Net More often	-337	-48	-41	-56	-25	-24	-46	-57	-70
Duration of residency	<2 years	15	2%	2%	2%	12%	2%	2%	2%	-
	2-5 years	126	18%	17%	18%	41%	31%	18%	13%	14%
	6-10 years	130	19%	20%	17%	17%	27%	19%	15%	16%
	11-20 years	139	20%	22%	19%	11%	20%	19%	21%	25%
	>20 years	282	40%	39%	42%	8%	16%	41%	49%	44%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	270	39%	39%	38%	69%	60%	40%	29%	31%
	11-20 years	139	20%	22%	19%	11%	20%	19%	21%	25%
	>20 years	290	41%	40%	43%	20%	20%	42%	50%	44%

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Party	Strong Democrat	260	37%	35%	42%	-	-	57%	-	-
	Not very strong Democrat	117	17%	18%	16%	7%	-	26%	-	-
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	76	11%	10%	11%	23%	-	17%	-	-
	Independent	44	6%	7%	5%	8%	-	-	36%	-
	Independent, closer to Republican party	25	4%	5%	3%	-	-	-	-	40%
	Not very strong Republican	23	3%	4%	3%	-	-	-	-	37%
	Strong Republican	15	2%	2%	2%	5%	-	-	-	23%
	Socialist	59	8%	7%	9%	38%	100%	-	-	-
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	80	11%	12%	10%	19%	-	-	64%	-
Party	Socialist	59	8%	7%	9%	38%	100%	-	-	-
	Democrat	454	65%	63%	69%	30%	-	100%	-	-
	Independent	124	18%	19%	15%	27%	-	-	100%	-
	Republican	63	9%	11%	7%	5%	-	-	-	100%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	150	21%	18%	24%	43%	80%	19%	12%	4%
	2	133	19%	18%	19%	44%	10%	25%	9%	2%
	3	167	24%	28%	21%	-	9%	31%	10%	12%
	4	120	17%	15%	19%	8%	-	16%	27%	20%
	5	61	9%	9%	9%	5%	-	5%	16%	29%
	6	18	3%	3%	2%	-	-	1%	3%	14%
	7 – Very conservative	12	2%	2%	2%	-	-	1%	2%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	38	5%	7%	4%	-	1%	1%	21%	8%
	Mean	662	2.87	2.96	2.82	1.88	1.28	2.69	3.53	4.66
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	451	64%	64%	64%	87%	99%	75%	32%	18%
	Moderate	158	23%	22%	23%	8%	1%	18%	48%	28%
	Conservative	91	13%	14%	13%	5%	-	7%	20%	54%

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	160	23%	26%	21%	16%	3%	17%	40%	48%
	2	119	17%	17%	18%	6%	1%	19%	16%	16%
	3	101	14%	15%	14%	-	11%	16%	9%	15%
	4	119	17%	16%	17%	48%	30%	19%	6%	10%
	5	90	13%	13%	14%	6%	31%	14%	6%	5%
	6	39	6%	5%	6%	-	17%	5%	3%	4%
	7 – Very conservative	28	4%	4%	4%	15%	6%	4%	4%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	43	6%	5%	7%	9%	2%	5%	14%	2%
	Mean	657	3.13	3.00	3.21	3.90	4.58	3.26	2.40	2.16
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	381	54%	58%	52%	22%	16%	53%	66%	79%
	Moderate	163	23%	21%	24%	57%	32%	24%	20%	12%
	Conservative	157	22%	21%	24%	21%	53%	23%	14%	8%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	52%	49%	38%	29%	50%	54%	63%
	Renter	350	50%	48%	51%	62%	71%	50%	46%	37%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	74%	72%	80%	75%	75%	64%	73%
	African American or Black	28	4%	3%	4%	6%	6%	4%	4%	6%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	5%	7%	-	7%	6%	8%	1%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	8%	8%	-	4%	8%	6%	16%
	Something else	49	7%	7%	6%	14%	7%	6%	12%	2%
	(Refused)	14	2%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	7%	2%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	74%	72%	80%	75%	75%	64%	73%
	African American or Black	28	4%	3%	4%	6%	6%	4%	4%	6%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	5%	7%	-	7%	6%	8%	1%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	8%	8%	-	4%	8%	6%	16%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	63	9%	9%	8%	14%	8%	7%	19%	4%

		n	Gender				Party			
			%	Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Ethnicity	White	511	73%	74%	72%	80%	75%	75%	64%	73%
	POC	175	25%	24%	27%	20%	24%	24%	30%	24%
	(Ref)	14	2%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	7%	2%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	132	19%	18%	17%	72%	31%	19%	14%	18%
	30-39	176	25%	25%	25%	23%	39%	27%	18%	15%
	40-49	123	18%	18%	18%	-	18%	16%	21%	21%
	50-64	140	20%	18%	22%	5%	3%	20%	27%	18%
	65+	129	18%	20%	18%	-	8%	18%	20%	28%
Two-Age Split	18-39	308	44%	44%	43%	95%	71%	45%	32%	33%
	40+	392	56%	56%	57%	5%	29%	55%	68%	67%
Generation	18-39	308	44%	44%	43%	95%	71%	45%	32%	33%
	40-64	263	38%	36%	40%	5%	22%	37%	48%	39%
	65+	129	18%	20%	18%	-	8%	18%	20%	28%
Education	Some grade school	3	0%	-	0%	8%	-	0%	1%	-
	Some high school	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Graduated high school	16	2%	2%	3%	-	-	2%	2%	7%
	Technical/vocational school	25	4%	3%	4%	-	5%	3%	6%	4%
	Some college/<4-year degree	132	19%	22%	17%	11%	26%	17%	20%	24%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	291	42%	41%	42%	51%	39%	45%	33%	38%
	Graduate/professional degree (Refused)	221	32%	31%	32%	30%	31%	33%	31%	27%
Education	<4-year degree	188	27%	28%	26%	19%	31%	23%	36%	35%
	4-year degree+	512	73%	72%	74%	81%	69%	77%	64%	65%
Education	Less than college	188	27%	28%	26%	19%	31%	23%	36%	35%
	Graduated college	291	42%	41%	42%	51%	39%	45%	33%	38%
	Graduate/professional degree	221	32%	31%	32%	30%	31%	33%	31%	27%

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Gender	Male	339	48%	100%	-	-	41%	47%	51%	61%
	Female	340	49%	-	100%	-	50%	52%	42%	38%
	Non-binary	13	2%	-	-	100%	8%	1%	3%	1%
	(Refused)	8	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	4%	-
Region	South	200	29%	28%	29%	13%	36%	30%	26%	13%
	Central	200	29%	30%	26%	35%	36%	26%	31%	33%
	North	301	43%	42%	44%	52%	29%	43%	43%	54%
City Council District	1	109	16%	17%	15%	7%	12%	17%	17%	8%
	2	91	13%	12%	15%	6%	24%	14%	9%	5%
	3	109	16%	17%	13%	25%	30%	13%	20%	11%
	4	88	13%	14%	12%	12%	6%	12%	12%	23%
	5	102	15%	13%	16%	20%	14%	12%	21%	21%
	6	112	16%	15%	17%	20%	9%	20%	9%	10%
	7	91	13%	13%	13%	11%	6%	13%	10%	22%
Vote History (PG18 PG20 PG22)	0-3/6	378	54%	56%	51%	61%	52%	52%	53%	71%
	4-5/6	175	25%	22%	27%	33%	32%	23%	30%	21%
	6/6	147	21%	21%	22%	6%	16%	24%	17%	8%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	148	21%	44%	-	-	26%	22%	15%	23%
	M 40-64	123	18%	36%	-	-	8%	17%	24%	20%
	M 65+	69	10%	20%	-	-	8%	8%	12%	18%
	F 18-39	145	21%	-	43%	-	37%	22%	13%	10%
	F 40-64	135	19%	-	40%	-	14%	19%	22%	18%
	F 65+	61	9%	-	18%	-	-	10%	7%	10%
	Other	21	3%	-	-	100%	8%	2%	7%	1%

	n	%	Gender			Party			
			Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases	700		339	340	13	59	454	124	63
Row percent		100%	48%	49%	2%	8%	65%	18%	9%
Party/Gender									
D Male	213	30%	63%	-	-	-	47%	-	-
D Female	234	33%	-	69%	-	-	52%	-	-
R/I Male	102	15%	30%	-	-	-	-	51%	61%
R/I Female	76	11%	-	22%	-	-	-	42%	38%
Other	75	11%	7%	9%	100%	100%	2%	7%	1%

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.66	5.17	4.99	4.00	4.00	5.04	4.79	4.35	3.72
Seattle right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	333	48%	57%	55%	34%	39%	50%	51%	37%	35%
	Wrong track	365	52%	42%	45%	65%	61%	50%	48%	63%	65%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	0%	1%	-
	Net Right direction	-33	-5	+15	+9	-31	-23	+1	+3	-26	-30
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	348	50%	54%	52%	46%	46%	51%	51%	48%	36%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	137	20%	18%	22%	13%	24%	19%	20%	17%	20%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	97	14%	6%	12%	20%	10%	22%	13%	16%	7%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	88	13%	17%	15%	11%	11%	9%	12%	13%	25%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	77	11%	11%	15%	10%	13%	5%	13%	7%	7%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	68	10%	10%	13%	6%	9%	10%	9%	13%	0%
	Walkability/Transit	42	6%	8%	6%	3%	7%	6%	7%	3%	0%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	34	5%	4%	6%	9%	4%	2%	4%	6%	6%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	23	3%	3%	2%	1%	6%	5%	3%	4%	12%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	17	2%	1%	1%	2%	5%	4%	2%	2%	8%
	Other	22	3%	4%	1%	7%	1%	4%	3%	3%	0%
Nothing/Don't know	15	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	2%	2%	7%	

		n	%	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Top issues facing Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	284	41%	27%	38%	40%	54%	43%	41%	40%	42%
	Homelessness	262	37%	29%	35%	38%	45%	41%	38%	37%	20%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	195	28%	42%	32%	31%	18%	15%	28%	28%	21%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	103	15%	11%	16%	18%	13%	16%	14%	17%	0%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	43	6%	3%	8%	7%	6%	6%	7%	4%	15%
	Jobs/Economy	36	5%	7%	7%	2%	3%	6%	4%	9%	0%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	30	4%	7%	4%	6%	3%	2%	4%	4%	22%
	Taxes	28	4%	4%	2%	6%	3%	6%	4%	5%	0%
	Growth/Development/Population	27	4%	8%	6%	1%	1%	3%	3%	6%	0%
	Public transportation	24	3%	6%	6%	1%	1%	3%	4%	3%	8%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	24	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	9%
	Traffic/Congestion	22	3%	2%	1%	5%	3%	5%	4%	2%	0%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	20	3%	2%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	0%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	12	2%	0%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	1%	0%
	Climate change/Environment	5	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%
	Other	6	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
Change in quality of life in Seattle	Much better	2	0%	-	0%	1%	0%	-	0%	-	-
	Somewhat better	149	21%	25%	25%	14%	13%	29%	20%	24%	15%
	The same	188	27%	38%	29%	25%	21%	22%	31%	17%	9%
	Somewhat worse	244	35%	29%	40%	38%	37%	29%	34%	37%	34%
	Much worse	116	17%	8%	6%	23%	29%	19%	14%	22%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Change in quality of life in Seattle	Better	151	22%	25%	25%	14%	13%	29%	21%	24%	15%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	188	27%	38%	29%	25%	21%	22%	31%	17%	9%
	Worse	361	52%	37%	46%	61%	66%	48%	48%	59%	76%
	Net Better	-209	-30	-12	-21	-47	-53	-19	-27	-34	-61
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	388	55%	45%	55%	63%	66%	48%	54%	59%	73%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	312	45%	55%	45%	37%	34%	52%	46%	41%	27%

		n	%	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	118	30%	16%	31%	28%	40%	32%	30%	31%	47%
	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	112	29%	35%	34%	37%	18%	20%	30%	26%	21%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	42	11%	7%	14%	6%	12%	15%	9%	16%	10%
	Homelessness	17	4%	6%	4%	8%	3%	1%	4%	4%	13%
	Declining quality of life	15	4%	2%	1%	3%	6%	7%	3%	4%	10%
	Taxes	12	3%	3%	1%	1%	6%	3%	3%	5%	-
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	12	3%	8%	2%	5%	1%	-	3%	3%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	9	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	5%	3%	1%	-
	Growth/Development/Space	7	2%	6%	2%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-
	Closer to family	7	2%	6%	1%	-	1%	3%	2%	2%	-
	Cleanliness/Garbage	7	2%	-	2%	-	3%	3%	2%	2%	-
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	6	2%	-	4%	1%	2%	-	2%	-	-
	Weather	3	1%	2%	-	1%	-	2%	0%	2%	-
	Other	18	5%	6%	2%	6%	2%	9%	6%	2%	-
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	3	1%	-	-	-	3%	-	0%	2%	-
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	323	83%	71%	78%	94%	88%	84%	81%	90%	84%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	65	17%	29%	22%	6%	12%	16%	19%	10%	16%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	323	46%	32%	42%	60%	57%	41%	43%	53%	61%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	65	9%	13%	12%	4%	8%	8%	10%	6%	12%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	312	45%	55%	45%	37%	34%	52%	46%	41%	27%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	106	15%	19%	14%	12%	14%	17%	13%	21%	7%
	Somewhat agree	318	45%	58%	57%	35%	30%	44%	49%	34%	38%
	Somewhat disagree	170	24%	16%	17%	37%	31%	24%	23%	27%	34%
	Strongly disagree	104	15%	8%	12%	16%	25%	13%	14%	18%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	-

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	391	56%	41%	49%	51%	73%	66%	54%	60%	55%
	Somewhat agree	227	32%	47%	36%	36%	18%	24%	33%	29%	45%
	Somewhat disagree	54	8%	7%	9%	10%	5%	7%	8%	6%	-
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	5%	6%	3%	4%	2%	4%	4%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	-
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	334	48%	32%	42%	58%	61%	48%	44%	59%	50%
	Somewhat agree	233	33%	49%	31%	22%	29%	36%	36%	25%	43%
	Somewhat disagree	97	14%	12%	22%	15%	7%	11%	15%	11%	7%
	Strongly disagree	34	5%	5%	6%	5%	4%	4%	5%	5%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	268	38%	62%	46%	32%	21%	28%	41%	31%	33%
	Somewhat agree	254	36%	27%	34%	42%	38%	42%	37%	35%	31%
	Somewhat disagree	113	16%	9%	15%	15%	28%	15%	14%	22%	16%
	Strongly disagree	65	9%	3%	5%	12%	13%	15%	8%	13%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	99	14%	31%	15%	11%	8%	5%	16%	10%	-
	Somewhat agree	148	21%	22%	25%	24%	14%	20%	22%	19%	21%
	Somewhat disagree	190	27%	27%	26%	24%	29%	30%	28%	26%	14%
	Strongly disagree	263	38%	20%	33%	41%	49%	46%	34%	45%	65%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	15	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	4%	-
	Somewhat agree	201	29%	34%	35%	21%	17%	35%	30%	25%	15%
	Somewhat disagree	235	34%	42%	34%	34%	30%	29%	35%	31%	17%
	Strongly disagree	247	35%	23%	29%	43%	50%	34%	33%	39%	68%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	-

		n	%	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	109	16%	25%	19%	11%	10%	12%	16%	16%	7%
	Somewhat agree	284	41%	51%	49%	31%	34%	35%	42%	37%	34%
	Somewhat disagree	170	24%	15%	21%	29%	28%	29%	24%	26%	24%
	Strongly disagree	137	20%	9%	11%	29%	28%	24%	19%	21%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	299	43%	52%	44%	35%	36%	46%	46%	32%	39%
	Somewhat agree	238	34%	33%	42%	33%	28%	34%	33%	39%	22%
	Somewhat disagree	102	15%	9%	9%	24%	20%	13%	12%	21%	22%
	Strongly disagree	61	9%	6%	5%	9%	16%	8%	9%	8%	18%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	3	0%	-	0%	-	0%	1%	1%	-	-
	Somewhat agree	110	16%	13%	15%	12%	15%	24%	17%	13%	15%
	Somewhat disagree	279	40%	52%	44%	37%	30%	36%	40%	41%	23%
	Strongly disagree	306	44%	36%	41%	50%	54%	38%	43%	46%	62%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: The City of Seattle has enough money to address important priorities; they just need to spend it more effectively	Strongly agree	305	44%	41%	43%	40%	54%	39%	40%	52%	50%
	Somewhat agree	230	33%	33%	30%	30%	27%	45%	36%	27%	-
	Somewhat disagree	114	16%	21%	16%	22%	12%	10%	16%	16%	35%
	Strongly disagree	40	6%	3%	8%	5%	6%	5%	6%	3%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	9%
Agree: The city has made meaningful progress in reducing the number of homeless encampments in Seattle	Strongly agree	34	5%	3%	4%	8%	6%	3%	4%	6%	9%
	Somewhat agree	237	34%	34%	38%	32%	26%	38%	37%	27%	18%
	Somewhat disagree	215	31%	36%	33%	25%	27%	32%	29%	36%	22%
	Strongly disagree	210	30%	26%	25%	32%	42%	27%	29%	32%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	1%	-	-

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Agree: City policies that have increased business costs and the failure to adequately address public safety make it hard to start or grow a business in Seattle	Strongly agree	303	43%	30%	36%	48%	56%	48%	40%	53%	47%
	Somewhat agree	181	26%	22%	25%	25%	26%	31%	27%	24%	13%
	Somewhat disagree	142	20%	35%	27%	13%	9%	16%	23%	13%	25%
	Strongly disagree	63	9%	12%	10%	11%	7%	4%	9%	9%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	424	61%	76%	71%	47%	44%	62%	63%	55%	45%
	Disagree	274	39%	24%	29%	53%	56%	37%	37%	45%	55%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	+150	+21	+53	+41	-6	-12	+25	+26	+10	-9
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	618	88%	88%	85%	87%	91%	90%	87%	90%	100%
	Disagree	81	12%	12%	15%	13%	9%	9%	12%	10%	-
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	+536	+77	+76	+71	+74	+82	+81	+75	+79	-
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	567	81%	81%	72%	80%	90%	85%	80%	84%	93%
	Disagree	131	19%	18%	28%	19%	10%	15%	20%	16%	7%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	+436	+62	+63	+44	+61	+79	+69	+60	+68	+86
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	522	75%	89%	80%	73%	59%	71%	78%	66%	64%
	Disagree	178	25%	11%	20%	27%	41%	29%	22%	34%	36%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+344	+49	+78	+60	+47	+17	+41	+56	+31	+28
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	246	35%	53%	41%	35%	22%	25%	38%	29%	21%
	Disagree	453	65%	47%	59%	65%	78%	75%	62%	71%	79%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-207	-30	+6	-18	-30	-57	-51	-24	-42	-58

		n	%	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	216	31%	35%	37%	23%	20%	37%	32%	30%	15%
	Disagree	483	69%	65%	63%	77%	80%	63%	68%	70%	85%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-267	-38	-30	-26	-53	-59	-26	-36	-40	-70
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	394	56%	76%	68%	42%	44%	47%	58%	53%	41%
	Disagree	306	44%	24%	32%	58%	56%	53%	42%	47%	59%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+87	+12	+51	+37	-16	-13	-6	+15	+6	-19
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	537	77%	85%	86%	67%	64%	79%	79%	71%	60%
	Disagree	163	23%	15%	14%	33%	36%	21%	21%	29%	40%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+375	+54	+69	+71	+35	+28	+59	+58	+42	+20
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	113	16%	13%	15%	12%	16%	25%	17%	13%	15%
	Disagree	586	84%	87%	85%	87%	84%	75%	83%	87%	85%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-473	-68	-75	-69	-75	-69	-50	-65	-74	-69
Agree: The City of Seattle has enough money to address important priorities; they just need to spend it more effectively	Agree	535	76%	74%	73%	70%	81%	84%	76%	79%	50%
	Disagree	154	22%	24%	25%	28%	18%	15%	22%	20%	41%
	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%	9%
	Net Agree	+381	+54	+50	+49	+42	+63	+69	+54	+60	+8
Agree: The city has made meaningful progress in reducing the number of homeless encampments in Seattle	Agree	272	39%	37%	42%	40%	32%	42%	41%	33%	28%
	Disagree	425	61%	63%	58%	57%	68%	58%	58%	67%	72%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	-153	-22	-25	-15	-17	-36	-17	-17	-34	-45

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Agree: City policies that have increased business costs and the failure to adequately address public safety make it hard to start or grow a business in	Agree	484	69%	52%	61%	73%	82%	80%	67%	77%	60%
	Disagree	205	29%	46%	38%	24%	16%	20%	32%	22%	40%
	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+279	+40	+6	+24	+48	+67	+60	+35	+55	+19
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	61	9%	8%	13%	7%	4%	10%	9%	7%	22%
	2	44	6%	7%	7%	5%	6%	5%	6%	7%	-
	3	54	8%	22%	9%	4%	1%	3%	9%	5%	-
	4	47	7%	9%	8%	5%	4%	6%	7%	6%	-
	5	83	12%	22%	14%	7%	8%	8%	13%	9%	17%
	6	66	9%	10%	8%	11%	7%	12%	9%	11%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	345	49%	22%	40%	61%	69%	57%	48%	54%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.32	4.48	4.86	5.76	6.03	5.63	5.26	5.51	5.23
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	25	4%	5%	7%	2%	1%	3%	3%	5%	15%
	2	22	3%	5%	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	7%
	3	45	6%	11%	11%	3%	3%	3%	7%	6%	-
	4	65	9%	7%	16%	13%	5%	5%	10%	8%	9%
	5	95	14%	18%	15%	14%	9%	12%	15%	9%	-
	6	115	16%	22%	13%	13%	14%	21%	18%	14%	6%
	7 – Very significant impact	333	48%	33%	36%	51%	66%	55%	45%	55%	62%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.66	5.28	5.11	5.76	6.28	6.02	5.62	5.77	5.39

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	23	3%	6%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	2%	9%
	2	16	2%	4%	1%	4%	4%	-	2%	3%	15%
	3	17	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	-
	4	90	13%	13%	14%	19%	10%	9%	14%	11%	13%
	5	125	18%	13%	20%	18%	20%	17%	19%	14%	11%
	6	136	19%	20%	18%	15%	21%	24%	20%	18%	6%
	7 – Very significant impact	292	42%	41%	42%	38%	42%	46%	39%	50%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.65	5.46	5.68	5.41	5.72	5.95	5.59	5.86	5.02
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	80	11%	6%	5%	19%	18%	11%	10%	15%	5%
	2	37	5%	5%	7%	5%	4%	4%	5%	6%	10%
	3	47	7%	6%	8%	8%	7%	5%	7%	7%	-
	4	100	14%	19%	12%	14%	14%	14%	17%	7%	24%
	5	123	18%	14%	16%	15%	20%	23%	17%	20%	-
	6	86	12%	18%	15%	8%	9%	9%	14%	10%	-
	7 – Very significant impact	227	32%	31%	36%	31%	28%	34%	30%	36%	60%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.88	5.09	5.19	4.50	4.54	4.96	4.87	4.86	5.43
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	29	4%	7%	6%	2%	4%	2%	4%	5%	15%
	2	19	3%	7%	0%	4%	2%	1%	3%	2%	-
	3	45	6%	9%	11%	6%	3%	2%	7%	5%	-
	4	92	13%	10%	16%	15%	11%	13%	13%	13%	31%
	5	164	23%	38%	25%	18%	14%	23%	24%	21%	26%
	6	97	14%	8%	16%	18%	13%	14%	15%	11%	-
	7 – Very significant impact	253	36%	21%	27%	38%	53%	45%	34%	43%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.35	4.73	5.08	5.45	5.82	5.76	5.34	5.46	4.64

	n	%	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)	
Number of cases	700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14	
Row percent		100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%	
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	23	3%	8%	4%	2%	1%	1%	4%	2%	-
	2	26	4%	7%	5%	4%	2%	-	3%	5%	-
	3	21	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	-
	4	52	7%	12%	8%	8%	7%	4%	7%	8%	21%
	5	88	13%	16%	17%	7%	8%	13%	13%	11%	7%
	6	104	15%	14%	13%	17%	15%	16%	16%	14%	-
	7 – Very significant impact	385	55%	39%	51%	59%	65%	62%	54%	57%	72%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.87	5.21	5.70	6.02	6.24	6.24	5.86	5.89	6.23
Impact: Addressing climate change	1 – Very little impact	111	16%	7%	9%	24%	25%	16%	15%	18%	33%
	2	42	6%	4%	7%	7%	5%	7%	6%	5%	-
	3	57	8%	9%	8%	6%	7%	11%	8%	8%	18%
	4	91	13%	11%	10%	17%	18%	10%	13%	13%	15%
	5	133	19%	24%	20%	16%	15%	20%	20%	17%	7%
	6	83	12%	15%	12%	7%	12%	13%	12%	11%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	184	26%	31%	35%	22%	17%	23%	26%	28%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.54	5.11	4.98	4.04	4.01	4.41	4.58	4.50	3.60
Impact: Shutting down open air drug markets	1 – Very little impact	63	9%	14%	14%	7%	3%	5%	8%	12%	22%
	2	30	4%	4%	7%	3%	3%	4%	4%	5%	-
	3	52	7%	20%	5%	6%	4%	2%	8%	6%	6%
	4	46	7%	2%	13%	8%	4%	3%	8%	2%	-
	5	68	10%	12%	10%	7%	5%	14%	10%	9%	9%
	6	77	11%	20%	9%	9%	9%	10%	12%	9%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	365	52%	28%	42%	60%	72%	63%	51%	57%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.46	4.63	4.93	5.70	6.19	6.00	5.46	5.46	5.13

	n	%	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)	
Number of cases	700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14	
Row percent		100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%	
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little impact	159	23%	37%	30%	16%	12%	17%	24%	20%	22%
	4/(DK)	47	7%	9%	8%	5%	4%	6%	7%	6%	-
	5-7 Significant impact	494	71%	54%	62%	79%	84%	77%	69%	74%	78%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little impact	92	13%	20%	21%	9%	5%	8%	13%	13%	22%
	4/(DK)	65	9%	7%	16%	13%	5%	5%	10%	8%	9%
	5-7 Significant impact	543	78%	73%	64%	78%	90%	87%	78%	78%	68%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little impact	56	8%	14%	6%	10%	7%	4%	8%	7%	24%
	4/(DK)	90	13%	13%	14%	19%	10%	9%	14%	11%	13%
	5-7 Significant impact	553	79%	74%	80%	71%	83%	87%	78%	82%	63%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little impact	165	24%	18%	20%	32%	29%	20%	23%	27%	16%
	4/(DK)	100	14%	19%	12%	14%	14%	14%	17%	7%	24%
	5-7 Significant impact	436	62%	63%	68%	55%	57%	66%	61%	66%	60%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little impact	93	13%	23%	17%	12%	9%	5%	14%	13%	15%
	4/(DK)	92	13%	10%	16%	15%	11%	13%	13%	13%	31%
	5-7 Significant impact	515	74%	67%	67%	73%	80%	82%	74%	74%	54%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little impact	70	10%	19%	12%	9%	5%	5%	10%	11%	-
	4/(DK)	52	7%	12%	8%	8%	7%	4%	7%	8%	21%
	5-7 Significant impact	577	82%	70%	81%	83%	88%	91%	83%	82%	79%
Impact: Addressing climate change	1-3 Little impact	209	30%	19%	24%	37%	37%	34%	29%	31%	51%
	4/(DK)	91	13%	11%	10%	17%	18%	10%	13%	13%	15%
	5-7 Significant impact	400	57%	70%	66%	46%	45%	56%	58%	56%	35%
Impact: Shutting down open air drug markets	1-3 Little impact	144	21%	39%	26%	16%	10%	10%	20%	23%	28%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	2%	13%	8%	4%	3%	8%	2%	-
	5-7 Significant impact	510	73%	59%	61%	76%	86%	87%	72%	75%	72%

		n	%	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Homelessness/housing preference: Prioritize housing for homelessness vs. Address broader housing affordability crisis	Prioritize housing for homelessness	373	53%	43%	47%	49%	65%	64%	52%	60%	29%
	Address broader housing affordability crisis	316	45%	57%	53%	50%	31%	34%	47%	38%	71%
	(Both)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	-
	(Neither)	10	1%	-	-	1%	4%	2%	1%	2%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City budget deficit preference: Focus on the basics vs. Maintain spending and raise new taxes	Focus on the basics	473	68%	54%	61%	73%	78%	74%	66%	73%	54%
	Maintain spending and raise new taxes	216	31%	46%	39%	26%	18%	23%	33%	25%	39%
	(Both)	1	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	0%	-	-
	(Neither)	7	1%	-	-	-	4%	2%	1%	2%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	-
Public safety preference: Need action on public safety vs. Address root causes	Need action on public safety	373	53%	35%	43%	63%	71%	58%	52%	55%	61%
	Address root causes	322	46%	65%	57%	35%	28%	41%	47%	45%	39%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	5	1%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	-
	Net Need action on public safety	+51	+7	-30	-14	+28	+43	+17	+6	+11	+22
Homelessness/housing preference: Prioritize housing for homelessness vs. Address broader housing affordability crisis	Prioritize housing for homelessness	373	53%	43%	47%	49%	65%	64%	52%	60%	29%
	Address broader housing affordability crisis	316	45%	57%	53%	50%	31%	34%	47%	38%	71%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	10	1%	-	-	1%	5%	2%	1%	2%	-
	Net Prioritize housing for homelessness	+57	+8	-14	-6	-1	+34	+30	+5	+22	-42
City budget deficit preference: Focus on the basics vs. Maintain spending and raise new taxes	Focus on the basics	473	68%	54%	61%	73%	78%	74%	66%	73%	54%
	Maintain spending and raise new taxes	216	31%	46%	39%	26%	18%	23%	33%	25%	39%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	-	-	1%	4%	3%	1%	2%	7%
	Net Focus on the basics	+256	+37	+7	+22	+47	+60	+51	+33	+48	+16

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Support: Investing in the continued revitalization of Seattle Center by bringing back the Sonics, redoing Memorial Stadium which is used by high school sport teams across the state, and making it easier to get from Seattle Center to the new Waterfront	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	64 48 67 127 163 74 156 - 700	9% 7% 10% 18% 23% 11% 22% - 4.60	6% 5% 8% 15% 38% 11% 15% - 4.67	6% 11% 13% 18% 19% 7% 26% - 4.56	12% 8% 8% 17% 19% 9% 25% - 4.53	11% 5% 8% 17% 19% 15% 26% - 4.75	11% 4% 9% 24% 23% 11% 18% - 4.52	8% 7% 10% 18% 23% 11% 23% - 4.63	12% 4% 8% 19% 24% 10% 22% - 4.59	18% 22% - 23% 22% - 15% - 3.69
Support: Providing support and funding for small- and medium-sized Seattle businesses to help them benefit from the economic opportunities that big events like Major League Baseball's All-Star Game, the National Hockey League's Winter Classic, and the upcoming 2026 FIFA World Cup present	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	36 52 63 157 156 96 137 1 699	5% 7% 9% 22% 22% 14% 20% 0% 4.69	4% 11% 12% 32% 21% 11% 10% - 4.27	5% 5% 9% 18% 21% 18% 23% 1% 4.93	5% 11% 8% 20% 20% 13% 23% - 4.71	6% 5% 7% 17% 25% 15% 26% - 4.97	7% 7% 9% 26% 24% 12% 14% - 4.47	4% 8% 10% 24% 22% 14% 17% 0% 4.64	8% 4% 6% 19% 25% 12% 26% - 4.88	- 18% 28% - 24% 9% 20% - 4.40
Support: Keeping construction for light rail to West Seattle and Ballard on track to open in 2032 even if it costs more	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	82 34 52 74 99 85 274 - 700	12% 5% 7% 11% 14% 12% 39% - 5.04	4% 1% 9% 6% 14% 10% 55% - 5.77	8% 2% 2% 8% 14% 13% 53% - 5.67	12% 12% 10% 11% 12% 14% 29% - 4.57	18% 7% 11% 13% 15% 9% 26% - 4.33	17% 3% 7% 15% 15% 15% 29% - 4.66	9% 4% 8% 11% 13% 14% 41% - 5.18	19% 5% 7% 9% 19% 8% 34% - 4.65	5% 24% - 10% 21% - 39% - 4.74

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Support: Working with the state to fund a "lid" over I-5 where it cuts through the downtown to free up new real estate for housing, parks, and public spaces and reconnect our neighborhoods	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	97 56 56 130 107 76 176 2 698	14% 8% 8% 19% 15% 11% 25% 0% 4.47	1% 6% 6% 12% 18% 9% 47% - 5.57	12% 4% 6% 14% 15% 20% 27% 0% 4.87	15% 9% 9% 20% 13% 9% 24% - 4.30	20% 14% 10% 23% 14% 6% 13% 1% 3.69	22% 7% 9% 25% 16% 8% 13% - 3.80	13% 7% 9% 18% 15% 12% 25% 0% 4.54	18% 9% 7% 19% 15% 7% 26% - 4.28	5% 25% - 21% 20% 7% 21% - 4.31
Support: Investing in the continued revitalization of Seattle Center by bringing back the Sonics, redoing Memorial	1-3 Oppose 4/(DK) 5-7 Support	179 127 393	26% 18% 56%	20% 15% 64%	31% 18% 52%	28% 17% 54%	24% 17% 59%	24% 24% 53%	26% 18% 57%	24% 19% 57%	40% 23% 37%
Support: Providing support and funding for small- and medium-sized Seattle businesses to help them benefit from the economic	1-3 Oppose 4/(DK) 5-7 Support	152 158 390	22% 23% 56%	26% 32% 42%	19% 19% 62%	24% 20% 56%	18% 17% 65%	23% 26% 51%	22% 24% 53%	18% 19% 63%	46% - 54%
Support: Keeping construction for light rail to West Seattle and Ballard on track to open in 2032 even if it costs more	1-3 Oppose 4/(DK) 5-7 Support	167 74 459	24% 11% 66%	14% 6% 80%	13% 8% 79%	34% 11% 55%	36% 13% 51%	27% 15% 58%	22% 11% 67%	30% 9% 61%	30% 10% 60%
Support: Working with the state to fund a "lid" over I-5 where it cuts through the downtown to free up new real estate for	1-3 Oppose 4/(DK) 5-7 Support	209 132 359	30% 19% 51%	14% 12% 74%	22% 15% 63%	34% 20% 46%	43% 24% 33%	39% 25% 36%	29% 19% 53%	34% 19% 48%	30% 21% 48%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more A few times a month A few times a year Rarely Never (Refused)	142 238 209 70 39 2	20% 34% 30% 10% 6% 0%	11% 27% 38% 12% 12% -	21% 39% 29% 8% 4% -	27% 34% 27% 4% 7% 2%	25% 40% 21% 10% 4% -	18% 29% 35% 16% 2% -	20% 34% 31% 9% 6% 0%	22% 34% 28% 13% 4% -	28% 51% 9% 12% - -

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	142	20%	11%	21%	27%	25%	18%	20%	22%	28%
	Few times a month	238	34%	27%	39%	34%	40%	29%	34%	34%	51%
	Less often	320	46%	62%	41%	39%	35%	53%	47%	44%	21%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	55	8%	20%	8%	6%	3%	2%	8%	8%	-
	Somewhat more often	40	6%	10%	6%	6%	4%	3%	6%	7%	-
	About the same	173	25%	33%	30%	18%	20%	20%	27%	17%	28%
	Somewhat less often	139	20%	16%	19%	23%	19%	24%	20%	21%	11%
	Much less often	292	42%	21%	38%	46%	55%	50%	39%	47%	61%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	More often	95	14%	30%	14%	11%	7%	6%	13%	15%	-
	Same/(DK/Ref)	174	25%	33%	30%	19%	20%	20%	27%	17%	28%
	Less often	431	62%	37%	57%	69%	73%	74%	59%	68%	72%
	Net More often	-337	-48	-7	-43	-58	-67	-68	-46	-53	-
Duration of residency	<2 years	15	2%	8%	1%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	-
	2-5 years	126	18%	39%	27%	16%	1%	4%	19%	16%	12%
	6-10 years	130	19%	23%	30%	18%	9%	10%	18%	21%	17%
	11-20 years	139	20%	10%	25%	36%	19%	9%	20%	20%	7%
	>20 years	282	40%	18%	16%	28%	70%	76%	40%	41%	63%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	270	39%	70%	58%	35%	11%	14%	39%	39%	29%
	11-20 years	139	20%	10%	25%	36%	19%	9%	20%	20%	7%
	>20 years	290	41%	20%	17%	29%	71%	77%	41%	41%	63%

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Party	Strong Democrat	260	37%	40%	35%	33%	40%	39%	38%	37%	9%
	Not very strong Democrat	117	17%	16%	23%	14%	14%	15%	17%	18%	-
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	76	11%	9%	11%	12%	12%	10%	12%	7%	15%
	Independent	44	6%	1%	2%	7%	14%	8%	6%	8%	5%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	25	4%	4%	2%	4%	2%	7%	3%	3%	10%
	Not very strong Republican	23	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%	-
	Strong Republican	15	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	-
	Socialist (Something else/Don't know/Refused)	59 80	8% 11%	14% 12%	13% 11%	9% 14%	1% 10%	3% 10%	9% 10%	8% 13%	6% 54%
Party	Socialist	59	8%	14%	13%	9%	1%	3%	9%	8%	6%
	Democrat	454	65%	64%	69%	60%	66%	64%	67%	62%	24%
	Independent	124	18%	13%	13%	21%	24%	19%	15%	21%	60%
	Republican	63	9%	9%	5%	11%	8%	14%	9%	9%	10%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	150	21%	32%	30%	12%	13%	16%	23%	17%	30%
	2	133	19%	24%	25%	18%	11%	16%	19%	21%	11%
	3	167	24%	21%	24%	24%	24%	26%	25%	22%	15%
	4	120	17%	10%	9%	19%	30%	19%	17%	18%	8%
	5	61	9%	6%	6%	14%	10%	10%	9%	9%	5%
	6	18	3%	3%	1%	4%	4%	2%	2%	4%	7%
	7 – Very conservative	12	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	3%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	38	5%	3%	4%	6%	6%	8%	5%	6%	24%
Mean	662	2.87	2.40	2.43	3.22	3.37	3.08	2.81	3.05	2.59	
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	451	64%	78%	79%	55%	48%	58%	66%	60%	56%
	Moderate	158	23%	13%	13%	26%	36%	27%	22%	25%	31%
	Conservative	91	13%	9%	9%	19%	16%	15%	12%	16%	13%

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	160	23%	12%	17%	35%	31%	22%	21%	28%	13%
	2	119	17%	12%	17%	13%	27%	16%	17%	18%	6%
	3	101	14%	15%	12%	11%	14%	20%	15%	12%	20%
	4	119	17%	30%	19%	9%	7%	19%	18%	14%	7%
	5	90	13%	15%	16%	16%	9%	7%	13%	11%	17%
	6	39	6%	7%	7%	6%	3%	3%	5%	7%	-
	7 – Very conservative	28	4%	5%	5%	2%	3%	5%	4%	2%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	43	6%	3%	6%	7%	7%	8%	5%	8%	22%
	Mean	657	3.13	3.69	3.46	2.84	2.53	3.02	3.20	2.89	3.87
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	381	54%	39%	46%	59%	72%	58%	54%	58%	39%
	Moderate	163	23%	33%	25%	16%	13%	28%	23%	23%	29%
	Conservative	157	22%	27%	29%	24%	15%	15%	23%	20%	32%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	32%	45%	43%	62%	69%	50%	51%	44%
	Renter	350	50%	68%	55%	57%	38%	31%	50%	49%	56%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	77%	77%	73%	63%	74%	100%	-	-
	African American or Black	28	4%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	-	16%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	5%	5%	7%	9%	5%	-	24%	-
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	6%	8%	12%	8%	7%	-	32%	-
	Something else	49	7%	7%	5%	2%	12%	9%	-	28%	-
	(Refused)	14	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	-	-	100%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	77%	77%	73%	63%	74%	100%	-	-
	African American or Black	28	4%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	-	16%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	5%	5%	7%	9%	5%	-	24%	-
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	6%	8%	12%	8%	7%	-	32%	-
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	63	9%	9%	7%	4%	14%	10%	-	28%	100%

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Ethnicity	White	511	73%	77%	77%	73%	63%	74%	100%	-	-
	POC	175	25%	21%	21%	24%	35%	24%	-	100%	-
	(Ref)	14	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	-	-	100%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	132	19%	100%	-	-	-	-	20%	16%	21%
	30-39	176	25%	-	100%	-	-	-	27%	21%	22%
	40-49	123	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	18%	17%	23%
	50-64	140	20%	-	-	-	100%	-	17%	28%	22%
	65+	129	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	19%	18%	12%
Two-Age Split	18-39	308	44%	100%	100%	-	-	-	46%	37%	43%
	40+	392	56%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	54%	63%	57%
Generation	18-39	308	44%	100%	100%	-	-	-	46%	37%	43%
	40-64	263	38%	-	-	100%	100%	-	35%	45%	45%
	65+	129	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	19%	18%	12%
Education	Some grade school	3	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Some high school	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	-
	Graduated high school	16	2%	1%	1%	4%	5%	1%	3%	1%	-
	Technical/vocational school	25	4%	7%	2%	5%	4%	1%	4%	3%	10%
	Some college/<4-year degree	132	19%	18%	15%	11%	27%	23%	18%	21%	15%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	291	42%	58%	47%	38%	32%	32%	41%	46%	13%
	Graduate/professional degree (Refused)	221 12	32% 2%	15% -	35% 1%	41% 1%	27% 4%	40% 3%	33% 1%	26% 2%	39% 23%
Education	<4-year degree	188	27%	27%	19%	21%	41%	29%	26%	27%	48%
	4-year degree+	512	73%	73%	81%	79%	59%	71%	74%	73%	52%
Education	Less than college	188	27%	27%	19%	21%	41%	29%	26%	27%	48%
	Graduated college	291	42%	58%	47%	38%	32%	32%	41%	46%	13%
	Graduate/professional degree	221	32%	15%	35%	41%	27%	40%	33%	26%	39%

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Gender	Male	339	48%	47%	49%	51%	43%	53%	49%	47%	49%
	Female	340	49%	45%	49%	49%	53%	47%	48%	52%	28%
	Non-binary	13	2%	7%	2%	-	0%	-	2%	1%	-
	(Refused)	8	1%	1%	1%	-	4%	-	1%	0%	23%
Region	South	200	29%	24%	27%	31%	31%	29%	27%	33%	19%
	Central	200	29%	30%	32%	28%	26%	26%	30%	25%	15%
	North	301	43%	46%	41%	42%	43%	44%	43%	42%	66%
City Council District	1	109	16%	13%	14%	17%	22%	12%	15%	16%	11%
	2	91	13%	11%	13%	14%	9%	17%	12%	16%	8%
	3	109	16%	14%	22%	14%	13%	13%	16%	14%	15%
	4	88	13%	20%	6%	13%	10%	15%	14%	9%	17%
	5	102	15%	11%	14%	19%	16%	12%	14%	14%	34%
	6	112	16%	14%	21%	10%	16%	16%	15%	19%	15%
	7	91	13%	16%	10%	14%	13%	14%	14%	12%	-
Vote History (PG18 PG20 PG22)	0-3/6	378	54%	77%	62%	56%	43%	29%	54%	51%	80%
	4-5/6	175	25%	18%	22%	27%	34%	24%	24%	28%	14%
	6/6	147	21%	5%	16%	17%	23%	46%	21%	21%	5%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	148	21%	47%	49%	-	-	-	23%	15%	28%
	M 40-64	123	18%	-	-	51%	43%	-	17%	20%	15%
	M 65+	69	10%	-	-	-	-	53%	9%	11%	5%
	F 18-39	145	21%	45%	49%	-	-	-	21%	21%	7%
	F 40-64	135	19%	-	-	49%	53%	-	18%	24%	15%
	F 65+	61	9%	-	-	-	-	47%	9%	7%	6%
	Other	21	3%	8%	3%	-	4%	-	3%	2%	23%

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		700		132	176	123	140	129	511	175	14
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	73%	25%	2%
Party/Gender	D Male	213	30%	31%	34%	26%	31%	29%	32%	26%	9%
	D Female	234	33%	31%	34%	33%	33%	35%	33%	36%	7%
	R/I Male	102	15%	13%	9%	21%	11%	21%	13%	18%	34%
	R/I Female	76	11%	7%	8%	10%	19%	12%	10%	12%	21%
	Other	75	11%	19%	16%	9%	5%	3%	11%	8%	29%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.66	4.22	4.82	4.96	4.34	4.54	4.61	4.71
Seattle right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	333	48%	42%	50%	54%	44%	43%	45%	50%
	Wrong track	365	52%	58%	50%	46%	55%	57%	55%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Net Right direction	-33	-5	-16	-0	+8	-11	-14	-10	+1
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	348	50%	41%	53%	50%	51%	49%	50%	49%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	137	20%	20%	19%	22%	18%	18%	21%	18%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	97	14%	15%	13%	13%	16%	14%	14%	14%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	88	13%	10%	14%	15%	11%	12%	13%	12%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	77	11%	12%	11%	12%	12%	10%	9%	13%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	68	10%	8%	10%	10%	12%	8%	9%	11%
	Walkability/Transit	42	6%	5%	6%	8%	5%	4%	4%	8%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	34	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%	6%	4%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	23	3%	5%	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	17	2%	6%	1%	0%	3%	4%	4%	1%
	Other	22	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	3%
Nothing/Don't know	15	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%	1%	

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Top issues facing Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	284	41%	45%	39%	31%	47%	46%	47%	34%
	Homelessness	262	37%	41%	36%	34%	38%	40%	39%	35%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	195	28%	22%	30%	34%	31%	21%	19%	37%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	103	15%	15%	14%	14%	19%	14%	17%	12%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	43	6%	6%	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%	6%
	Jobs/Economy	36	5%	4%	5%	7%	2%	5%	4%	6%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	30	4%	5%	4%	6%	3%	3%	3%	6%
	Taxes	28	4%	3%	4%	2%	4%	5%	5%	3%
	Growth/Development/Population	27	4%	5%	3%	6%	3%	2%	3%	4%
	Public transportation	24	3%	3%	4%	5%	2%	2%	3%	4%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	24	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	5%	4%	3%
	Traffic/Congestion	22	3%	5%	2%	4%	1%	4%	3%	4%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	20	3%	2%	3%	2%	6%	2%	2%	4%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	12	2%	0%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%
	Climate change/Environment	5	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%
	Other	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	1	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Change in quality of life in Seattle	Much better	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Somewhat better	149	21%	17%	23%	25%	12%	22%	21%	22%
	The same	188	27%	19%	30%	35%	25%	20%	25%	29%
	Somewhat worse	244	35%	40%	33%	30%	44%	35%	35%	34%
	Much worse	116	17%	23%	14%	10%	18%	22%	19%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Change in quality of life in Seattle	Better	151	22%	18%	23%	25%	13%	23%	21%	22%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	188	27%	19%	30%	35%	25%	20%	25%	29%
	Worse	361	52%	63%	47%	40%	62%	57%	54%	49%
	Net Better	-209	-30	-46	-24	-15	-49	-35	-34	-26
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	388	55%	64%	52%	53%	62%	55%	55%	56%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	312	45%	36%	48%	47%	38%	45%	45%	44%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	118	30%	32%	30%	28%	30%	34%	37%	24%
	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	112	29%	25%	30%	36%	28%	23%	20%	37%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	42	11%	11%	11%	9%	9%	14%	10%	12%
	Homelessness	17	4%	7%	3%	4%	6%	4%	5%	4%
	Declining quality of life	15	4%	5%	3%	1%	2%	7%	6%	2%
	Taxes	12	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	4%	4%	2%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	12	3%	1%	4%	5%	4%	0%	2%	4%
	Traffic/Congestion	9	2%	4%	2%	4%	1%	2%	3%	2%
	Growth/Development/Space	7	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%
	Closer to family	7	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	0%	1%	2%
	Cleanliness/Garbage	7	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	6	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%
	Weather	3	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	1%
	Other	18	5%	4%	5%	3%	6%	5%	4%	5%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	3	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	323	83%	86%	82%	82%	86%	83%	81%	86%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	65	17%	14%	18%	18%	14%	17%	19%	14%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	323	46%	56%	43%	43%	53%	45%	44%	48%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	65	9%	9%	9%	10%	9%	9%	10%	8%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	312	45%	36%	48%	47%	38%	45%	45%	44%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	106	15%	11%	17%	17%	14%	14%	16%	15%
	Somewhat agree	318	45%	43%	46%	52%	38%	43%	42%	49%
	Somewhat disagree	170	24%	25%	24%	17%	33%	27%	28%	20%
	Strongly disagree	104	15%	21%	13%	13%	14%	17%	14%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	-	-	0%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	391	56%	62%	53%	48%	57%	63%	63%	49%
	Somewhat agree	227	32%	28%	34%	41%	30%	26%	28%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	54	8%	5%	9%	7%	9%	8%	6%	10%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	334	48%	58%	44%	38%	52%	54%	50%	45%
	Somewhat agree	233	33%	31%	34%	40%	28%	29%	31%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	97	14%	8%	16%	17%	15%	10%	14%	14%
	Strongly disagree	34	5%	3%	5%	4%	4%	6%	5%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	0%	0%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	268	38%	26%	43%	47%	33%	32%	33%	44%
	Somewhat agree	254	36%	41%	35%	34%	39%	37%	40%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	113	16%	20%	15%	14%	15%	19%	17%	15%
	Strongly disagree	65	9%	14%	8%	5%	12%	12%	10%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	99	14%	13%	15%	17%	15%	11%	12%	16%
	Somewhat agree	148	21%	13%	24%	25%	19%	19%	20%	22%
	Somewhat disagree	190	27%	26%	28%	29%	22%	28%	27%	28%
	Strongly disagree	263	38%	49%	33%	30%	43%	42%	41%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	1%	-	0%	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	15	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%
	Somewhat agree	201	29%	22%	31%	30%	32%	26%	26%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	235	34%	33%	34%	41%	21%	33%	33%	35%
	Strongly disagree	247	35%	42%	33%	28%	43%	38%	39%	32%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	109	16%	14%	16%	21%	13%	12%	13%	18%
	Somewhat agree	284	41%	30%	45%	48%	42%	33%	39%	42%
	Somewhat disagree	170	24%	28%	23%	18%	28%	28%	27%	21%
	Strongly disagree	137	20%	29%	16%	14%	17%	26%	20%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	299	43%	33%	46%	45%	38%	43%	41%	44%
	Somewhat agree	238	34%	34%	34%	35%	36%	32%	32%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	102	15%	21%	12%	13%	15%	15%	17%	12%
	Strongly disagree	61	9%	12%	8%	7%	11%	10%	9%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	3	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
	Somewhat agree	110	16%	11%	17%	14%	13%	18%	15%	17%
	Somewhat disagree	279	40%	39%	40%	45%	35%	37%	36%	43%
	Strongly disagree	306	44%	48%	42%	40%	51%	44%	48%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-
Agree: The City of Seattle has enough money to address important priorities; they just need to spend it more effectively	Strongly agree	305	44%	50%	41%	38%	47%	47%	45%	42%
	Somewhat agree	230	33%	30%	34%	37%	27%	32%	33%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	114	16%	13%	17%	19%	18%	14%	15%	17%
	Strongly disagree	40	6%	5%	6%	5%	6%	6%	6%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Agree: The city has made meaningful progress in reducing the number of homeless encampments in Seattle	Strongly agree	34	5%	5%	5%	6%	2%	6%	4%	6%
	Somewhat agree	237	34%	29%	36%	33%	39%	32%	37%	31%
	Somewhat disagree	215	31%	27%	32%	34%	25%	31%	29%	33%
	Strongly disagree	210	30%	39%	27%	27%	33%	31%	30%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Agree: City policies that have increased business costs and the failure to adequately address public safety make it hard to start or grow a business in Seattle	Strongly agree	303	43%	50%	41%	35%	46%	50%	49%	38%
	Somewhat agree	181	26%	29%	25%	28%	22%	26%	24%	28%
	Somewhat disagree	142	20%	11%	24%	27%	18%	15%	18%	23%
	Strongly disagree	63	9%	8%	9%	8%	11%	9%	8%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	424	61%	54%	63%	69%	53%	57%	57%	64%
	Disagree	274	39%	46%	37%	31%	47%	43%	43%	36%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	-	-	0%
	Net Agree	+150	+21	+8	+26	+38	+6	+13	+14	+28
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	618	88%	91%	87%	88%	87%	89%	91%	86%
	Disagree	81	12%	9%	13%	12%	12%	11%	9%	14%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%
	Net Agree	+536	+77	+82	+75	+77	+75	+77	+82	+71
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	567	81%	89%	78%	79%	80%	84%	82%	80%
	Disagree	131	19%	11%	21%	21%	20%	16%	18%	19%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	0%	0%
	Net Agree	+436	+62	+77	+57	+58	+61	+67	+63	+61
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	522	75%	66%	78%	81%	72%	69%	73%	76%
	Disagree	178	25%	34%	22%	19%	28%	31%	27%	24%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+344	+49	+33	+55	+63	+45	+38	+46	+53
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	246	35%	25%	39%	41%	34%	30%	32%	38%
	Disagree	453	65%	75%	61%	59%	66%	70%	68%	62%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	-	1%	-	0%	-
	Net Agree	-207	-30	-49	-22	-17	-32	-40	-36	-23

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	216	31%	25%	33%	31%	35%	29%	28%	34%
	Disagree	483	69%	75%	67%	69%	65%	71%	72%	66%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%
	Net Agree	-267	-38	-50	-34	-38	-30	-42	-43	-33
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	394	56%	43%	61%	68%	55%	46%	52%	60%
	Disagree	306	44%	57%	39%	32%	45%	54%	48%	40%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+87	+12	-14	+22	+37	+10	-9	+4	+21
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	537	77%	67%	80%	80%	74%	75%	74%	80%
	Disagree	163	23%	33%	20%	20%	26%	25%	26%	20%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+375	+54	+34	+61	+60	+48	+50	+48	+60
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	113	16%	13%	17%	15%	14%	19%	15%	17%
	Disagree	586	84%	87%	82%	85%	86%	81%	84%	83%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-
	Net Agree	-473	-68	-75	-65	-70	-73	-63	-69	-66
Agree: The City of Seattle has enough money to address important priorities; they just need to spend it more effectively	Agree	535	76%	80%	75%	75%	73%	80%	78%	75%
	Disagree	154	22%	18%	23%	24%	24%	20%	21%	23%
	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	+381	+54	+62	+52	+51	+50	+60	+57	+52
Agree: The city has made meaningful progress in reducing the number of homeless encampments in Seattle	Agree	272	39%	34%	41%	38%	41%	38%	41%	37%
	Disagree	425	61%	66%	59%	61%	58%	62%	59%	62%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	-153	-22	-33	-18	-22	-17	-24	-18	-25

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Agree: City policies that have increased business costs and the failure to adequately address public safety make it hard to start or grow a business in	Agree	484	69%	79%	65%	62%	69%	76%	73%	66%
	Disagree	205	29%	20%	33%	35%	29%	24%	26%	33%
	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	+279	+40	+60	+33	+27	+39	+52	+47	+33
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	61	9%	9%	9%	8%	8%	10%	8%	10%
	2	44	6%	8%	6%	6%	9%	4%	7%	5%
	3	54	8%	9%	7%	14%	5%	3%	5%	10%
	4	47	7%	5%	7%	9%	5%	6%	6%	8%
	5	83	12%	8%	13%	16%	10%	9%	10%	14%
	6	66	9%	9%	10%	8%	10%	10%	10%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	345	49%	52%	48%	39%	52%	58%	54%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.32	5.33	5.32	4.97	5.39	5.61	5.51	5.13
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	25	4%	2%	4%	4%	1%	5%	2%	5%
	2	22	3%	6%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
	3	45	6%	3%	7%	7%	9%	4%	7%	6%
	4	65	9%	9%	9%	12%	14%	5%	9%	9%
	5	95	14%	9%	15%	17%	11%	12%	13%	14%
	6	115	16%	16%	17%	20%	8%	17%	17%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	333	48%	55%	45%	38%	53%	54%	49%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.66	5.84	5.59	5.47	5.67	5.83	5.75	5.57

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	23	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	4%
	2	16	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
	3	17	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%
	4	90	13%	15%	12%	12%	14%	13%	11%	15%
	5	125	18%	13%	20%	17%	23%	16%	20%	16%
	6	136	19%	14%	21%	22%	11%	21%	19%	20%
	7 – Very significant impact	292	42%	46%	40%	42%	45%	40%	43%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.65	5.57	5.68	5.73	5.64	5.58	5.75	5.55
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	80	11%	11%	12%	7%	15%	14%	15%	8%
	2	37	5%	8%	4%	8%	5%	3%	4%	6%
	3	47	7%	4%	8%	7%	8%	6%	7%	7%
	4	100	14%	14%	14%	17%	12%	13%	14%	14%
	5	123	18%	14%	19%	16%	13%	21%	17%	18%
	6	86	12%	9%	14%	15%	12%	9%	12%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	227	32%	40%	29%	29%	35%	34%	31%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.88	4.99	4.83	4.89	4.81	4.89	4.73	5.02
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	29	4%	3%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%
	2	19	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%
	3	45	6%	4%	7%	9%	6%	5%	5%	8%
	4	92	13%	12%	13%	13%	15%	13%	10%	16%
	5	164	23%	25%	23%	30%	15%	21%	21%	26%
	6	97	14%	13%	14%	14%	15%	13%	14%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	253	36%	41%	34%	28%	41%	42%	42%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.35	5.58	5.27	5.17	5.40	5.50	5.51	5.19

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	23	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%	4%
	2	26	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	2%	3%	5%
	3	21	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%
	4	52	7%	7%	8%	8%	10%	6%	7%	8%
	5	88	13%	12%	13%	16%	6%	12%	14%	11%
	6	104	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	15%	16%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	385	55%	57%	54%	48%	59%	60%	55%	55%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.87	5.93	5.85	5.66	5.90	6.05	5.94	5.80
Impact: Addressing climate change	1 – Very little impact	111	16%	21%	14%	11%	16%	20%	20%	12%
	2	42	6%	7%	5%	6%	9%	5%	7%	5%
	3	57	8%	8%	8%	9%	8%	8%	8%	8%
	4	91	13%	14%	13%	10%	15%	15%	16%	10%
	5	133	19%	16%	20%	24%	12%	18%	17%	21%
	6	83	12%	12%	12%	12%	7%	14%	12%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	184	26%	21%	28%	29%	32%	21%	20%	33%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.54	4.18	4.67	4.80	4.47	4.34	4.18	4.90
Impact: Shutting down open air drug markets	1 – Very little impact	63	9%	8%	9%	10%	7%	8%	7%	11%
	2	30	4%	3%	5%	6%	6%	2%	5%	4%
	3	52	7%	9%	7%	12%	6%	4%	5%	10%
	4	46	7%	4%	7%	9%	7%	5%	7%	6%
	5	68	10%	7%	11%	9%	13%	9%	9%	10%
	6	77	11%	10%	12%	12%	8%	11%	10%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	365	52%	60%	49%	43%	53%	61%	57%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.46	5.68	5.37	5.07	5.49	5.80	5.64	5.28

	n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter	
Number of cases	700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350	
Row percent		100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%	
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little impact	159	23%	25%	22%	29%	23%	17%	20%	26%
	4/(DK)	47	7%	5%	7%	9%	5%	6%	6%	8%
	5-7 Significant impact	494	71%	70%	71%	63%	72%	77%	75%	67%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little impact	92	13%	11%	14%	14%	14%	12%	12%	14%
	4/(DK)	65	9%	9%	9%	12%	14%	5%	9%	9%
	5-7 Significant impact	543	78%	80%	77%	75%	72%	83%	79%	77%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little impact	56	8%	12%	7%	7%	7%	10%	7%	10%
	4/(DK)	90	13%	15%	12%	12%	14%	13%	11%	15%
	5-7 Significant impact	553	79%	73%	81%	81%	79%	77%	83%	76%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little impact	165	24%	23%	24%	23%	28%	22%	26%	21%
	4/(DK)	100	14%	14%	14%	17%	12%	13%	14%	14%
	5-7 Significant impact	436	62%	63%	62%	61%	61%	64%	60%	65%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little impact	93	13%	9%	15%	15%	14%	11%	12%	14%
	4/(DK)	92	13%	12%	13%	13%	15%	13%	10%	16%
	5-7 Significant impact	515	74%	79%	72%	72%	71%	76%	77%	70%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little impact	70	10%	9%	10%	12%	11%	8%	8%	12%
	4/(DK)	52	7%	7%	8%	8%	10%	6%	7%	8%
	5-7 Significant impact	577	82%	84%	82%	80%	80%	86%	84%	80%
Impact: Addressing climate change	1-3 Little impact	209	30%	37%	27%	26%	34%	32%	35%	24%
	4/(DK)	91	13%	14%	13%	10%	15%	15%	16%	10%
	5-7 Significant impact	400	57%	49%	60%	64%	51%	53%	49%	65%
Impact: Shutting down open air drug markets	1-3 Little impact	144	21%	19%	21%	28%	19%	15%	17%	24%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	4%	7%	9%	7%	5%	7%	6%
	5-7 Significant impact	510	73%	77%	72%	64%	74%	81%	76%	70%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Homelessness/housing preference: Prioritize housing for homelessness vs. Address broader housing affordability crisis	Prioritize housing for homelessness	373	53%	53%	53%	52%	47%	58%	64%	43%
	Address broader housing affordability crisis	316	45%	45%	45%	47%	52%	40%	34%	56%
	(Both)	1	0%	-	0%	-	1%	-	-	0%
	(Neither)	10	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City budget deficit preference: Focus on the basics vs. Maintain spending and raise new taxes	Focus on the basics	473	68%	72%	66%	61%	68%	73%	70%	65%
	Maintain spending and raise new taxes	216	31%	25%	33%	38%	31%	24%	27%	35%
	(Both)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%
	(Neither)	7	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	0%	1%	-	0%	1%	-
Public safety preference: Need action on public safety vs. Address root causes	Need action on public safety	373	53%	59%	51%	44%	56%	60%	59%	48%
	Address root causes	322	46%	40%	48%	55%	43%	39%	41%	51%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	5	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%
	Net Need action on public safety	+51	+7	+19	+3	-11	+13	+22	+18	-3
Homelessness/housing preference: Prioritize housing for homelessness vs. Address broader housing affordability crisis	Prioritize housing for homelessness	373	53%	53%	53%	52%	47%	58%	64%	43%
	Address broader housing affordability crisis	316	45%	45%	45%	47%	52%	40%	34%	56%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	10	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	Net Prioritize housing for homelessness	+57	+8	+8	+8	+5	-5	+18	+29	-13
City budget deficit preference: Focus on the basics vs. Maintain spending and raise new taxes	Focus on the basics	473	68%	72%	66%	61%	68%	73%	70%	65%
	Maintain spending and raise new taxes	216	31%	25%	33%	38%	31%	24%	27%	35%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%
	Net Focus on the basics	+256	+37	+47	+33	+24	+36	+49	+43	+30

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Support: Investing in the continued revitalization of Seattle Center by bringing back the Sonics, redoing Memorial Stadium which is used by high school sport teams across the state, and making it easier to get from Seattle Center to the new Waterfront	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	64 48 67 127 163 74 156 - 700	9% 7% 10% 18% 23% 11% 22% - 4.60	15% 6% 7% 20% 18% 14% 19% - 4.40	7% 7% 10% 17% 25% 9% 23% - 4.68	10% 8% 9% 18% 27% 8% 22% - 4.55	10% 9% 6% 20% 17% 12% 26% - 4.62	8% 5% 12% 18% 23% 12% 21% - 4.65	8% 8% 9% 21% 23% 11% 20% - 4.60	11% 6% 10% 16% 24% 10% 24% - 4.61
Support: Providing support and funding for small- and medium-sized Seattle businesses to help them benefit from the economic opportunities that big events like Major League Baseball's All-Star Game, the National Hockey League's Winter Classic, and the upcoming 2026 FIFA World Cup present	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	36 52 63 157 156 96 137 1 699	5% 7% 9% 22% 22% 14% 20% 0% 4.69	6% 9% 6% 18% 26% 16% 18% 1% 4.69	5% 7% 10% 24% 21% 13% 20% - 4.69	5% 7% 10% 27% 21% 11% 18% 0% 4.58	3% 11% 5% 19% 19% 17% 27% - 5.00	7% 6% 10% 20% 25% 14% 18% - 4.64	5% 7% 10% 25% 23% 12% 19% - 4.66	6% 8% 9% 20% 22% 16% 20% 0% 4.72
Support: Keeping construction for light rail to West Seattle and Ballard on track to open in 2032 even if it costs more	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	82 34 52 74 99 85 274 - 700	12% 5% 7% 11% 14% 12% 39% - 5.04	18% 8% 8% 10% 13% 11% 32% - 4.54	9% 4% 7% 11% 15% 13% 42% - 5.22	9% 3% 6% 8% 14% 10% 50% - 5.44	10% 4% 13% 11% 10% 18% 33% - 4.94	15% 6% 6% 13% 16% 12% 32% - 4.71	13% 5% 8% 13% 13% 15% 34% - 4.88	11% 5% 7% 8% 15% 9% 45% - 5.20

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Support: Working with the state to fund a "lid" over I-5 where it cuts through the downtown to free up new real estate for housing, parks, and public spaces and reconnect our neighborhoods	1 – Strongly oppose	97	14%	16%	13%	8%	15%	19%	14%	14%
	2	56	8%	7%	8%	6%	8%	10%	11%	5%
	3	56	8%	9%	8%	5%	8%	11%	11%	5%
	4	130	19%	21%	18%	14%	13%	26%	19%	19%
	5	107	15%	13%	16%	15%	21%	13%	14%	17%
	6	76	11%	10%	11%	14%	10%	9%	11%	11%
	7 – Strongly support	176	25%	23%	26%	39%	25%	13%	20%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	0%	0%
	Mean	698	4.47	4.30	4.53	5.19	4.43	3.82	4.20	4.74
Support: Investing in the continued revitalization of Seattle Center by bringing back the Sonics, redoing Memorial	1-3 Oppose	179	26%	28%	25%	26%	26%	25%	24%	27%
	4/(DK)	127	18%	20%	17%	18%	20%	18%	21%	16%
	5-7 Support	393	56%	51%	58%	56%	55%	57%	55%	57%
Support: Providing support and funding for small- and medium-sized Seattle businesses to help them benefit from the economic	1-3 Oppose	152	22%	21%	22%	22%	18%	23%	21%	22%
	4/(DK)	158	23%	19%	24%	27%	19%	20%	25%	20%
	5-7 Support	390	56%	60%	54%	51%	63%	57%	54%	58%
Support: Keeping construction for light rail to West Seattle and Ballard on track to open in 2032 even if it costs more	1-3 Oppose	167	24%	34%	20%	18%	27%	28%	25%	22%
	4/(DK)	74	11%	10%	11%	8%	11%	13%	13%	8%
	5-7 Support	459	66%	56%	69%	74%	61%	60%	62%	69%
Support: Working with the state to fund a "lid" over I-5 where it cuts through the downtown to free up new real estate for	1-3 Oppose	209	30%	33%	29%	19%	32%	39%	36%	23%
	4/(DK)	132	19%	21%	18%	14%	13%	26%	19%	19%
	5-7 Support	359	51%	46%	53%	68%	55%	35%	45%	58%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	142	20%	17%	21%	16%	26%	21%	20%	20%
	A few times a month	238	34%	36%	33%	32%	35%	36%	37%	31%
	A few times a year	209	30%	29%	30%	31%	28%	30%	30%	30%
	Rarely	70	10%	13%	9%	10%	7%	11%	10%	10%
	Never	39	6%	4%	6%	11%	3%	2%	3%	8%
	(Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	0%	1%	-	-	1%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	142	20%	17%	21%	16%	26%	21%	20%	20%
	Few times a month	238	34%	36%	33%	32%	35%	36%	37%	31%
	Less often	320	46%	47%	45%	52%	39%	43%	42%	49%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	55	8%	6%	9%	11%	6%	6%	4%	11%
	Somewhat more often	40	6%	5%	6%	9%	5%	3%	4%	8%
	About the same	173	25%	18%	27%	30%	19%	23%	24%	25%
	Somewhat less often	139	20%	16%	21%	19%	20%	21%	21%	19%
	Much less often	292	42%	55%	37%	31%	50%	48%	47%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	More often	95	14%	11%	15%	20%	11%	9%	8%	19%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	174	25%	18%	27%	30%	19%	23%	24%	25%
	Less often	431	62%	71%	58%	50%	70%	69%	67%	56%
	Net More often	-337	-48	-61	-43	-30	-59	-60	-59	-37
Duration of residency	<2 years	15	2%	1%	2%	5%	-	-	1%	3%
	2-5 years	126	18%	13%	20%	47%	-	-	14%	22%
	6-10 years	130	19%	17%	19%	48%	-	-	14%	23%
	11-20 years	139	20%	16%	21%	-	100%	-	23%	17%
	>20 years	282	40%	51%	36%	-	-	97%	49%	32%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	270	39%	31%	41%	100%	-	-	28%	49%
	11-20 years	139	20%	16%	21%	-	100%	-	23%	17%
	>20 years	290	41%	53%	37%	-	-	100%	49%	34%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Party	Strong Democrat	260	37%	30%	40%	35%	39%	39%	38%	37%
	Not very strong Democrat	117	17%	20%	16%	19%	14%	16%	15%	18%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	76	11%	5%	13%	13%	8%	10%	12%	10%
	Independent	44	6%	7%	6%	3%	7%	9%	9%	3%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	25	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%
	Not very strong Republican	23	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%	2%	5%	2%
	Strong Republican	15	2%	4%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	1%
	Socialist (Something else/Don't know/Refused)	59 80	8% 11%	10% 16%	8% 10%	13% 10%	9% 12%	4% 12%	5% 10%	12% 13%
Party	Socialist	59	8%	10%	8%	13%	9%	4%	5%	12%
	Democrat	454	65%	55%	68%	66%	61%	65%	65%	65%
	Independent	124	18%	23%	16%	13%	19%	21%	19%	16%
	Republican	63	9%	12%	8%	7%	11%	10%	11%	7%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	150	21%	19%	22%	29%	19%	16%	16%	27%
	2	133	19%	13%	21%	21%	21%	16%	19%	19%
	3	167	24%	17%	26%	25%	26%	22%	24%	24%
	4	120	17%	25%	14%	12%	16%	22%	19%	15%
	5	61	9%	9%	9%	5%	10%	12%	9%	8%
	6	18	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	3%	4%	1%
	7 – Very conservative	12	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	38	5%	7%	5%	4%	3%	8%	6%	5%
Mean	662	2.87	3.23	2.73	2.54	2.89	3.16	3.08	2.65	
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	451	64%	50%	70%	75%	67%	53%	60%	69%
	Moderate	158	23%	33%	19%	16%	19%	30%	25%	20%
	Conservative	91	13%	18%	11%	9%	14%	17%	16%	10%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	160	23%	32%	20%	16%	28%	27%	27%	19%
	2	119	17%	14%	18%	16%	21%	15%	20%	14%
	3	101	14%	15%	14%	15%	10%	16%	14%	15%
	4	119	17%	14%	18%	22%	10%	15%	14%	20%
	5	90	13%	10%	14%	14%	12%	12%	11%	15%
	6	39	6%	5%	6%	6%	8%	4%	5%	6%
	7 – Very conservative	28	4%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	3%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	43	6%	6%	6%	7%	4%	7%	6%	7%
	Mean	657	3.13	2.87	3.23	3.36	3.06	2.96	2.87	3.39
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	381	54%	61%	52%	47%	60%	59%	61%	47%
	Moderate	163	23%	20%	24%	29%	14%	22%	20%	26%
	Conservative	157	22%	19%	24%	23%	27%	20%	19%	26%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	42%	53%	36%	57%	59%	100%	-
	Renter	350	50%	58%	47%	64%	43%	41%	-	100%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	71%	74%	73%	74%	72%	73%	73%
	African American or Black	28	4%	5%	4%	2%	4%	6%	4%	4%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	5%	4%	8%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	5%	9%	9%	9%	6%	9%	7%
	Something else	49	7%	7%	7%	7%	5%	8%	8%	6%
	(Refused)	14	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	71%	74%	73%	74%	72%	73%	73%
	African American or Black	28	4%	5%	4%	2%	4%	6%	4%	4%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	7%	6%	7%	7%	5%	4%	8%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	5%	9%	9%	9%	6%	9%	7%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	63	9%	11%	8%	8%	6%	11%	9%	9%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Ethnicity	White	511	73%	71%	74%	73%	74%	72%	73%	73%
	POC	175	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	25%	26%	24%
	(Ref)	14	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	132	19%	19%	19%	34%	9%	9%	12%	26%
	30-39	176	25%	17%	28%	38%	32%	10%	23%	27%
	40-49	123	18%	14%	19%	16%	32%	12%	15%	20%
	50-64	140	20%	30%	16%	5%	19%	34%	25%	15%
	65+	129	18%	20%	18%	7%	8%	34%	25%	11%
Two-Age Split	18-39	308	44%	36%	47%	72%	41%	19%	35%	53%
	40+	392	56%	64%	53%	28%	59%	81%	65%	47%
Generation	18-39	308	44%	36%	47%	72%	41%	19%	35%	53%
	40-64	263	38%	44%	35%	21%	51%	46%	40%	36%
	65+	129	18%	20%	18%	7%	8%	34%	25%	11%
Education	Some grade school	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	0%
	Some high school	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	0%	-
	Graduated high school	16	2%	8%	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	Technical/vocational school	25	4%	13%	-	3%	5%	3%	2%	5%
	Some college/<4-year degree	132	19%	70%	-	15%	14%	25%	16%	22%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	291	42%	-	57%	46%	40%	38%	41%	42%
	Graduate/professional degree	221	32%	-	43%	32%	38%	28%	37%	27%
	(Refused)	12	2%	6%	-	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%
Education	<4-year degree	188	27%	100%	-	22%	22%	34%	23%	31%
	4-year degree+	512	73%	-	100%	78%	78%	66%	77%	69%
Education	Less than college	188	27%	100%	-	22%	22%	34%	23%	31%
	Graduated college	291	42%	-	57%	46%	40%	38%	41%	42%
	Graduate/professional degree	221	32%	-	43%	32%	38%	28%	37%	27%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Gender	Male	339	48%	51%	48%	49%	52%	46%	50%	46%
	Female	340	49%	47%	49%	47%	47%	51%	47%	50%
	Non-binary	13	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	(Refused)	8	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%
Region	South	200	29%	28%	29%	28%	25%	30%	32%	25%
	Central	200	29%	30%	28%	31%	32%	24%	22%	35%
	North	301	43%	42%	43%	41%	43%	45%	46%	40%
City Council District	1	109	16%	16%	15%	15%	16%	16%	16%	15%
	2	91	13%	11%	14%	13%	8%	15%	16%	10%
	3	109	16%	18%	15%	14%	19%	15%	10%	21%
	4	88	13%	11%	13%	10%	13%	15%	16%	9%
	5	102	15%	15%	14%	14%	15%	14%	15%	14%
	6	112	16%	16%	16%	16%	15%	16%	16%	16%
	7	91	13%	12%	13%	17%	13%	9%	11%	15%
Vote History (PG18 PG20 PG22)	0-3/6	378	54%	59%	52%	77%	39%	40%	44%	64%
	4-5/6	175	25%	25%	25%	16%	37%	28%	29%	21%
	6/6	147	21%	17%	23%	7%	24%	32%	27%	15%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	148	21%	18%	22%	35%	19%	9%	18%	24%
	M 40-64	123	18%	22%	16%	9%	27%	21%	20%	15%
	M 65+	69	10%	10%	10%	4%	7%	16%	12%	7%
	F 18-39	145	21%	17%	22%	33%	21%	9%	16%	26%
	F 40-64	135	19%	21%	19%	12%	24%	24%	19%	20%
	F 65+	61	9%	10%	8%	2%	1%	18%	13%	4%
	Other	21	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%	2%	4%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		700		188	512	270	139	290	350	350
Row percent			100%	27%	73%	39%	20%	41%	50%	50%
Party/Gender	D Male	213	30%	28%	31%	32%	29%	29%	30%	31%
	D Female	234	33%	26%	36%	32%	32%	35%	34%	33%
	R/I Male	102	15%	17%	14%	11%	18%	16%	18%	11%
	R/I Female	76	11%	17%	9%	8%	11%	13%	11%	11%
	Other	75	11%	12%	10%	16%	9%	7%	7%	15%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.66	5.37	4.08	4.81	4.63	3.99	5.31
Seattle right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	333	48%	65%	36%	46%	44%	38%	55%
	Wrong track	365	52%	35%	64%	54%	56%	61%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Net Right direction	-33	-5	+30	-28	-8	-12	-24	+11
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	348	50%	52%	37%	44%	50%	54%	58%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	137	20%	23%	16%	26%	16%	23%	11%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	97	14%	10%	17%	21%	9%	13%	22%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	88	13%	16%	10%	8%	16%	12%	11%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	77	11%	11%	10%	4%	16%	13%	7%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	68	10%	9%	8%	9%	14%	8%	11%
	Walkability/Transit	42	6%	9%	5%	5%	6%	5%	7%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	34	5%	4%	10%	1%	5%	3%	3%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	23	3%	2%	4%	8%	3%	3%	1%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	17	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	3%	4%
	Other	22	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	4%
Nothing/Don't know	15	2%	1%	3%	6%	2%	1%	2%	

		n	%	Gender/Generation					
				M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Top issues facing Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	284	41%	33%	46%	37%	36%	48%	50%
	Homelessness	262	37%	31%	44%	40%	35%	41%	43%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	195	28%	34%	21%	16%	38%	27%	14%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	103	15%	16%	15%	20%	10%	17%	12%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	43	6%	3%	4%	6%	9%	8%	6%
	Jobs/Economy	36	5%	6%	3%	1%	9%	2%	10%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	30	4%	7%	6%	4%	3%	3%	0%
	Taxes	28	4%	3%	7%	6%	3%	2%	5%
	Growth/Development/Population	27	4%	9%	1%	3%	5%	1%	3%
	Public transportation	24	3%	6%	2%	2%	5%	0%	3%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	24	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	7%
	Traffic/Congestion	22	3%	2%	4%	7%	2%	4%	3%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	20	3%	4%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	12	2%	1%	3%	1%	0%	3%	1%
	Climate change/Environment	5	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%
	Other	6	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	1	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Change in quality of life in Seattle	Much better	2	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
	Somewhat better	149	21%	29%	13%	26%	20%	14%	33%
	The same	188	27%	32%	24%	26%	31%	22%	17%
	Somewhat worse	244	35%	32%	38%	25%	40%	36%	34%
	Much worse	116	17%	6%	24%	23%	9%	28%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Change in quality of life in Seattle	Better	151	22%	30%	14%	26%	20%	14%	33%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	188	27%	32%	24%	26%	31%	22%	17%
	Worse	361	52%	38%	61%	48%	49%	64%	49%
	Net Better	-209	-30	-8	-47	-22	-29	-51	-16
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	388	55%	48%	64%	54%	55%	63%	42%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	312	45%	52%	36%	46%	45%	37%	58%

		n	%	Gender/Generation					
				M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	118	30%	26%	34%	25%	24%	34%	42%
	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	112	29%	24%	21%	19%	44%	32%	20%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	42	11%	18%	12%	15%	7%	6%	14%
	Homelessness	17	4%	6%	7%	2%	5%	4%	-
	Declining quality of life	15	4%	3%	4%	9%	-	6%	4%
	Taxes	12	3%	3%	5%	6%	1%	4%	-
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	12	3%	4%	6%	-	4%	1%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	9	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	8%
	Growth/Development/Space	7	2%	1%	-	-	5%	1%	3%
	Closer to family	7	2%	5%	1%	3%	-	-	3%
	Cleanliness/Garbage	7	2%	1%	1%	6%	1%	2%	-
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	6	2%	4%	2%	-	1%	1%	-
	Weather	3	1%	-	-	3%	2%	1%	-
	Other	18	5%	3%	5%	11%	4%	3%	5%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	3	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	-
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	323	83%	75%	89%	82%	76%	92%	88%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	65	17%	25%	11%	18%	24%	8%	12%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	323	46%	35%	57%	44%	42%	58%	37%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	65	9%	12%	7%	10%	13%	5%	5%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	312	45%	52%	36%	46%	45%	37%	58%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	106	15%	20%	17%	22%	11%	10%	12%
	Somewhat agree	318	45%	54%	35%	39%	59%	31%	51%
	Somewhat disagree	170	24%	19%	31%	24%	14%	35%	23%
	Strongly disagree	104	15%	7%	17%	14%	15%	24%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%

		n	%	Gender/Generation					
				M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	391	56%	44%	65%	65%	49%	60%	68%
	Somewhat agree	227	32%	44%	26%	24%	37%	27%	24%
	Somewhat disagree	54	8%	8%	6%	6%	9%	9%	9%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	4%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	334	48%	34%	59%	45%	44%	59%	52%
	Somewhat agree	233	33%	43%	30%	35%	34%	23%	38%
	Somewhat disagree	97	14%	16%	7%	14%	18%	13%	8%
	Strongly disagree	34	5%	6%	3%	6%	4%	5%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	268	38%	56%	26%	29%	48%	27%	28%
	Somewhat agree	254	36%	29%	39%	39%	33%	40%	46%
	Somewhat disagree	113	16%	12%	23%	17%	13%	19%	12%
	Strongly disagree	65	9%	3%	11%	15%	6%	14%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	99	14%	24%	11%	6%	19%	8%	3%
	Somewhat agree	148	21%	28%	20%	25%	20%	18%	14%
	Somewhat disagree	190	27%	24%	29%	26%	28%	24%	33%
	Strongly disagree	263	38%	24%	39%	43%	33%	50%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	15	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%	-
	Somewhat agree	201	29%	34%	17%	42%	35%	21%	27%
	Somewhat disagree	235	34%	37%	29%	15%	38%	35%	44%
	Strongly disagree	247	35%	26%	51%	38%	26%	42%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-

		n	%	Gender/Generation					
				M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	109	16%	33%	10%	15%	12%	11%	8%
	Somewhat agree	284	41%	42%	35%	37%	54%	31%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	170	24%	21%	28%	24%	17%	29%	35%
	Strongly disagree	137	20%	4%	27%	24%	16%	29%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	299	43%	53%	40%	43%	42%	33%	48%
	Somewhat agree	238	34%	33%	25%	35%	44%	35%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	102	15%	9%	25%	14%	9%	18%	12%
	Strongly disagree	61	9%	6%	10%	8%	5%	15%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	3	0%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	2%
	Somewhat agree	110	16%	15%	15%	27%	14%	12%	20%
	Somewhat disagree	279	40%	44%	28%	36%	51%	39%	37%
	Strongly disagree	306	44%	41%	56%	36%	35%	48%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-
Agree: The City of Seattle has enough money to address important priorities; they just need to spend it more effectively	Strongly agree	305	44%	35%	49%	44%	49%	45%	34%
	Somewhat agree	230	33%	31%	26%	38%	34%	32%	53%
	Somewhat disagree	114	16%	24%	16%	13%	12%	18%	6%
	Strongly disagree	40	6%	8%	8%	4%	4%	4%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-
Agree: The city has made meaningful progress in reducing the number of homeless encampments in Seattle	Strongly agree	34	5%	7%	7%	3%	1%	7%	4%
	Somewhat agree	237	34%	40%	29%	43%	34%	30%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	215	31%	30%	27%	30%	39%	23%	34%
	Strongly disagree	210	30%	23%	37%	25%	26%	37%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	-

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Agree: City policies that have increased business costs and the failure to adequately address public safety make it hard to start or grow a business in Seattle	Strongly agree	303	43%	36%	55%	50%	31%	49%	47%
	Somewhat agree	181	26%	21%	24%	28%	28%	27%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	142	20%	28%	12%	15%	31%	11%	17%
	Strongly disagree	63	9%	13%	7%	7%	9%	11%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	3%	2%	1%	-	2%	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	424	61%	75%	52%	61%	71%	41%	63%
	Disagree	274	39%	25%	48%	38%	29%	59%	36%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	+150	+21	+49	+3	+23	+41	-18	+27
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	618	88%	88%	91%	89%	85%	87%	91%
	Disagree	81	12%	12%	9%	9%	15%	13%	9%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-
Net Agree	+536	+77	+76	+83	+80	+71	+74	+83	
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	567	81%	78%	89%	80%	78%	82%	90%
	Disagree	131	19%	22%	10%	20%	22%	18%	10%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+436	+62	+55	+79	+60	+56	+63	+80
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	522	75%	85%	65%	68%	81%	67%	73%
	Disagree	178	25%	15%	35%	32%	19%	33%	27%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+344	+49	+71	+30	+36	+62	+34	+47
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	246	35%	52%	31%	31%	39%	26%	17%
	Disagree	453	65%	48%	69%	69%	61%	74%	83%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Net Agree	-207	-30	+4	-37	-38	-22	-48	-66

		n	%	Gender/Generation					
				M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	216	31%	37%	20%	46%	36%	23%	27%
	Disagree	483	69%	63%	80%	53%	64%	77%	73%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-267	-38	-27	-59	-7	-29	-53	-47
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	394	56%	75%	45%	52%	67%	42%	41%
	Disagree	306	44%	25%	55%	48%	33%	58%	59%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+87	+12	+50	-10	+5	+33	-16	-19
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	537	77%	85%	65%	78%	85%	67%	81%
	Disagree	163	23%	15%	35%	22%	15%	33%	19%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+375	+54	+71	+29	+56	+71	+35	+61
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	113	16%	15%	16%	28%	14%	12%	22%
	Disagree	586	84%	85%	83%	72%	86%	88%	78%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-473	-68	-70	-67	-44	-72	-75	-56
Agree: The City of Seattle has enough money to address important priorities; they just need to spend it more effectively	Agree	535	76%	66%	74%	82%	83%	78%	87%
	Disagree	154	22%	32%	23%	17%	16%	21%	13%
	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+381	+54	+34	+51	+65	+67	+56	+73
Agree: The city has made meaningful progress in reducing the number of homeless encampments in Seattle	Agree	272	39%	47%	36%	45%	35%	37%	38%
	Disagree	425	61%	53%	64%	55%	65%	61%	62%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	-
	Net Agree	-153	-22	-6	-28	-10	-31	-24	-25

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Agree: City policies that have increased business costs and the failure to adequately address public safety make it hard to start or grow a business in	Agree	484	69%	57%	79%	77%	60%	76%	82%
	Disagree (DK/Ref)	205 11	29% 2%	41% 3%	18% 2%	22% 1%	40% -	22% 2%	18% -
Net Agree		+279	+40	+16	+61	+55	+20	+54	+65
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	61	9%	7%	4%	7%	14%	7%	13%
	2	44	6%	6%	4%	3%	7%	8%	7%
	3	54	8%	15%	3%	4%	12%	2%	1%
	4	47	7%	7%	3%	7%	10%	7%	5%
	5	83	12%	18%	9%	10%	17%	6%	4%
	6	66	9%	9%	9%	9%	10%	8%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	345	49%	37%	68%	60%	30%	62%	54%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.32	5.00	6.10	5.79	4.58	5.69	5.44
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	25	4%	4%	2%	2%	6%	1%	5%
	2	22	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%
	3	45	6%	7%	2%	4%	13%	4%	2%
	4	65	9%	15%	8%	3%	10%	10%	7%
	5	95	14%	13%	14%	16%	19%	10%	7%
	6	115	16%	19%	14%	13%	15%	13%	30%
	7 – Very significant impact	333	48%	38%	59%	61%	33%	58%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.66	5.40	6.07	6.14	5.06	5.97	5.89

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	23	3%	4%	3%	1%	4%	3%	3%
	2	16	2%	3%	4%	-	1%	3%	-
	3	17	2%	5%	2%	4%	2%	1%	-
	4	90	13%	10%	14%	8%	18%	15%	10%
	5	125	18%	16%	16%	21%	19%	23%	13%
	6	136	19%	20%	20%	25%	18%	16%	22%
	7 – Very significant impact	292	42%	42%	40%	41%	37%	40%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.65	5.58	5.57	5.85	5.49	5.57	6.06
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	80	11%	8%	31%	17%	4%	7%	5%
	2	37	5%	10%	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%
	3	47	7%	9%	9%	5%	6%	5%	5%
	4	100	14%	15%	9%	15%	14%	18%	12%
	5	123	18%	7%	15%	20%	23%	20%	27%
	6	86	12%	19%	7%	15%	15%	11%	1%
	7 – Very significant impact	227	32%	33%	24%	24%	34%	35%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.88	4.91	3.87	4.60	5.33	5.11	5.37
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	29	4%	5%	2%	3%	6%	4%	-
	2	19	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	-
	3	45	6%	10%	6%	3%	9%	4%	2%
	4	92	13%	12%	10%	5%	15%	16%	22%
	5	164	23%	32%	11%	23%	30%	19%	24%
	6	97	14%	12%	18%	18%	15%	13%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	253	36%	27%	51%	46%	23%	41%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.35	5.05	5.84	5.82	4.96	5.46	5.70

	n	%	Gender/Generation						
			M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases	700		148	123	69	145	135	61	
Row percent		100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%	
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	23	3%	7%	3%	2%	5%	1%	-
	2	26	4%	3%	2%	-	7%	4%	-
	3	21	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%
	4	52	7%	8%	10%	7%	10%	5%	1%
	5	88	13%	19%	6%	13%	13%	8%	13%
	6	104	15%	17%	16%	19%	11%	17%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	385	55%	43%	60%	55%	51%	63%	69%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.87	5.53	6.04	6.07	5.55	6.20	6.43
Impact: Addressing climate change	1 – Very little impact	111	16%	11%	33%	22%	5%	17%	10%
	2	42	6%	7%	7%	11%	5%	5%	2%
	3	57	8%	10%	5%	9%	7%	8%	13%
	4	91	13%	8%	15%	9%	13%	19%	12%
	5	133	19%	23%	14%	17%	19%	17%	23%
	6	83	12%	10%	11%	14%	17%	9%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	184	26%	31%	15%	18%	34%	25%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.54	4.81	3.64	4.02	5.24	4.40	4.86
Impact: Shutting down open air drug markets	1 – Very little impact	63	9%	11%	4%	3%	16%	6%	7%
	2	30	4%	5%	3%	3%	5%	3%	4%
	3	52	7%	15%	3%	4%	7%	7%	-
	4	46	7%	10%	7%	1%	8%	6%	6%
	5	68	10%	7%	5%	19%	15%	7%	7%
	6	77	11%	11%	10%	8%	15%	8%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	365	52%	41%	68%	62%	33%	63%	65%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.46	4.95	6.08	6.02	4.76	5.81	5.98

	n	%	Gender/Generation						
			M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases	700		148	123	69	145	135	61	
Row percent		100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%	
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little impact	159	23%	28%	11%	13%	33%	17%	21%
	4/(DK)	47	7%	7%	3%	7%	10%	7%	5%
	5-7 Significant impact	494	71%	64%	87%	79%	57%	76%	74%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little impact	92	13%	15%	6%	7%	24%	9%	9%
	4/(DK)	65	9%	15%	8%	3%	10%	10%	7%
	5-7 Significant impact	543	78%	70%	86%	90%	66%	82%	85%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little impact	56	8%	12%	9%	5%	8%	7%	3%
	4/(DK)	90	13%	10%	14%	8%	18%	15%	10%
	5-7 Significant impact	553	79%	78%	76%	87%	74%	78%	88%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little impact	165	24%	26%	46%	25%	13%	16%	14%
	4/(DK)	100	14%	15%	9%	15%	14%	18%	12%
	5-7 Significant impact	436	62%	59%	46%	59%	73%	66%	74%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little impact	93	13%	18%	10%	8%	18%	11%	2%
	4/(DK)	92	13%	12%	10%	5%	15%	16%	22%
	5-7 Significant impact	515	74%	71%	80%	87%	67%	73%	76%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little impact	70	10%	13%	8%	6%	15%	7%	4%
	4/(DK)	52	7%	8%	10%	7%	10%	5%	1%
	5-7 Significant impact	577	82%	79%	82%	87%	75%	89%	95%
Impact: Addressing climate change	1-3 Little impact	209	30%	27%	44%	42%	17%	30%	25%
	4/(DK)	91	13%	8%	15%	9%	13%	19%	12%
	5-7 Significant impact	400	57%	64%	40%	48%	70%	51%	64%
Impact: Shutting down open air drug markets	1-3 Little impact	144	21%	31%	10%	10%	29%	16%	11%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	10%	7%	1%	8%	6%	6%
	5-7 Significant impact	510	73%	59%	83%	89%	63%	78%	84%

		n	%	Gender/Generation					
				M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Homelessness/housing preference: Prioritize housing for homelessness vs. Address broader housing affordability crisis	Prioritize housing for homelessness	373	53%	40%	64%	64%	52%	51%	64%
	Address broader housing affordability crisis	316	45%	60%	32%	35%	48%	47%	33%
	(Both)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-
	(Neither)	10	1%	-	3%	1%	-	2%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City budget deficit preference: Focus on the basics vs. Maintain spending and raise new taxes	Focus on the basics	473	68%	54%	71%	72%	65%	78%	77%
	Maintain spending and raise new taxes	216	31%	46%	27%	27%	35%	18%	18%
	(Both)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-
	(Neither)	7	1%	-	1%	1%	-	3%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	-	-	0%	2%
Public safety preference: Need action on public safety vs. Address root causes	Need action on public safety	373	53%	45%	69%	64%	36%	65%	52%
	Address root causes	322	46%	55%	30%	36%	64%	33%	47%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	5	1%	-	1%	-	-	2%	1%
	Net Need action on public safety	+51	+7	-11	+39	+27	-29	+31	+5
Homelessness/housing preference: Prioritize housing for homelessness vs. Address broader housing affordability crisis	Prioritize housing for homelessness	373	53%	40%	64%	64%	52%	51%	64%
	Address broader housing affordability crisis	316	45%	60%	32%	35%	48%	47%	33%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	10	1%	-	4%	1%	-	2%	3%
	Net Prioritize housing for homelessness	+57	+8	-20	+33	+29	+3	+4	+31
City budget deficit preference: Focus on the basics vs. Maintain spending and raise new taxes	Focus on the basics	473	68%	54%	71%	72%	65%	78%	77%
	Maintain spending and raise new taxes	216	31%	46%	27%	27%	35%	18%	18%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	-	2%	1%	-	3%	5%
	Net Focus on the basics	+256	+37	+7	+45	+45	+30	+60	+58

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Support: Investing in the continued revitalization of Seattle Center by bringing back the Sonics, redoing Memorial Stadium which is used by high school sport teams across the state, and making it easier to get from Seattle Center to the new Waterfront	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	64 48 67 127 163 74 156 - 700	9% 7% 10% 18% 23% 11% 22% - 4.60	6% 10% 9% 15% 27% 11% 23% - 4.70	10% 4% 6% 17% 19% 15% 29% - 4.93	9% 5% 9% 17% 25% 13% 22% - 4.70	6% 5% 15% 19% 26% 8% 20% - 4.58	13% 9% 10% 17% 19% 10% 22% - 4.40	12% 2% 9% 32% 22% 8% 15% - 4.31
Support: Providing support and funding for small- and medium-sized Seattle businesses to help them benefit from the economic opportunities that big events like Major League Baseball's All-Star Game, the National Hockey League's Winter Classic, and the upcoming 2026 FIFA World Cup present	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	36 52 63 157 156 96 137 1 699	5% 7% 9% 22% 22% 14% 20% 0% 4.69	5% 9% 10% 20% 21% 13% 21% - 4.68	6% 7% 7% 14% 25% 13% 29% - 4.99	5% 10% 11% 20% 25% 11% 18% - 4.56	3% 6% 9% 30% 22% 16% 14% 1% 4.67	5% 8% 7% 22% 21% 15% 21% - 4.76	9% 3% 8% 33% 24% 12% 11% - 4.37
Support: Keeping construction for light rail to West Seattle and Ballard on track to open in 2032 even if it costs more	1 – Strongly oppose 2 3 4 5 6 7 – Strongly support (Don't know/Refused) Mean	82 34 52 74 99 85 274 - 700	12% 5% 7% 11% 14% 12% 39% - 5.04	5% 2% 3% 8% 16% 11% 55% - 5.80	13% 7% 11% 11% 12% 10% 36% - 4.76	19% 4% 8% 10% 16% 17% 26% - 4.57	6% 2% 7% 7% 13% 13% 51% - 5.61	17% 11% 11% 14% 15% 11% 21% - 4.15	15% 2% 6% 20% 13% 12% 31% - 4.76

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Support: Working with the state to fund a "lid" over I-5 where it cuts through the downtown to free up new real estate for housing, parks, and public spaces and reconnect our neighborhoods	1 – Strongly oppose	97	14%	7%	16%	26%	7%	20%	17%
	2	56	8%	6%	9%	10%	5%	13%	4%
	3	56	8%	5%	11%	7%	8%	9%	12%
	4	130	19%	11%	18%	18%	15%	25%	33%
	5	107	15%	17%	12%	21%	17%	16%	10%
	6	76	11%	18%	9%	7%	13%	6%	8%
	7 – Strongly support	176	25%	37%	26%	11%	34%	11%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Mean	698	4.47	5.28	4.32	3.61	5.06	3.67	4.02
Support: Investing in the continued revitalization of Seattle Center by bringing back the Sonics, redoing Memorial	1-3 Oppose	179	26%	25%	20%	23%	27%	31%	24%
	4/(DK)	127	18%	15%	17%	17%	19%	17%	32%
	5-7 Support	393	56%	60%	63%	60%	54%	51%	44%
Support: Providing support and funding for small- and medium-sized Seattle businesses to help them benefit from the economic	1-3 Oppose	152	22%	24%	19%	26%	18%	21%	20%
	4/(DK)	158	23%	20%	14%	20%	30%	22%	33%
	5-7 Support	390	56%	56%	66%	54%	52%	57%	47%
Support: Keeping construction for light rail to West Seattle and Ballard on track to open in 2032 even if it costs more	1-3 Oppose	167	24%	11%	31%	30%	16%	39%	23%
	4/(DK)	74	11%	8%	11%	10%	7%	14%	20%
	5-7 Support	459	66%	82%	58%	59%	77%	47%	57%
Support: Working with the state to fund a "lid" over I-5 where it cuts through the downtown to free up new real estate for	1-3 Oppose	209	30%	17%	35%	43%	20%	41%	34%
	4/(DK)	132	19%	11%	18%	18%	16%	26%	33%
	5-7 Support	359	51%	72%	47%	39%	64%	33%	34%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	142	20%	19%	29%	16%	12%	24%	22%
	A few times a month	238	34%	34%	32%	29%	36%	41%	28%
	A few times a year	209	30%	31%	25%	36%	35%	23%	34%
	Rarely	70	10%	10%	7%	15%	11%	8%	17%
	Never	39	6%	7%	7%	5%	6%	3%	-
	(Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	-	2%	-

		n	%	Gender/Generation					
				M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	142	20%	19%	29%	16%	12%	24%	22%
	Few times a month	238	34%	34%	32%	29%	36%	41%	28%
	Less often	320	46%	47%	39%	55%	52%	35%	50%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	55	8%	13%	6%	5%	13%	2%	-
	Somewhat more often	40	6%	9%	6%	5%	7%	4%	2%
	About the same	173	25%	34%	19%	24%	25%	19%	17%
	Somewhat less often	139	20%	16%	21%	17%	20%	21%	32%
	Much less often	292	42%	27%	48%	51%	35%	53%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	More often	95	14%	22%	12%	9%	20%	6%	2%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	174	25%	34%	19%	24%	25%	20%	17%
	Less often	431	62%	43%	69%	67%	55%	74%	82%
	Net More often	-337	-48	-21	-56	-58	-35	-68	-80
Duration of residency	<2 years	15	2%	4%	1%	-	4%	-	-
	2-5 years	126	18%	29%	10%	2%	34%	7%	6%
	6-10 years	130	19%	31%	9%	15%	23%	17%	5%
	11-20 years	139	20%	18%	30%	14%	21%	25%	3%
	>20 years	282	40%	16%	49%	69%	16%	51%	85%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	270	39%	64%	20%	17%	62%	24%	11%
	11-20 years	139	20%	18%	30%	14%	21%	25%	3%
	>20 years	290	41%	18%	50%	69%	17%	51%	87%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Party	Strong Democrat	260	37%	36%	36%	29%	41%	39%	50%
	Not very strong Democrat	117	17%	22%	16%	16%	19%	13%	15%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	76	11%	9%	11%	10%	10%	13%	11%
	Independent	44	6%	1%	10%	13%	1%	11%	4%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	25	4%	4%	5%	5%	2%	1%	9%
	Not very strong Republican	23	3%	4%	2%	8%	2%	5%	-
	Strong Republican	15	2%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%	1%
	Socialist	59	8%	10%	4%	7%	15%	6%	-
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	80	11%	11%	14%	10%	9%	10%	11%
Party	Socialist	59	8%	10%	4%	7%	15%	6%	-
	Democrat	454	65%	67%	62%	54%	70%	65%	75%
	Independent	124	18%	13%	24%	23%	11%	20%	15%
	Republican	63	9%	10%	10%	17%	5%	9%	10%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	150	21%	27%	10%	15%	34%	15%	18%
	2	133	19%	24%	13%	15%	23%	16%	17%
	3	167	24%	28%	27%	27%	18%	22%	24%
	4	120	17%	7%	22%	18%	12%	27%	21%
	5	61	9%	5%	13%	9%	7%	10%	11%
	6	18	3%	3%	4%	4%	1%	4%	-
	7 – Very conservative	12	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	38	5%	4%	8%	10%	3%	5%	4%
	Mean	662	2.87	2.51	3.42	3.14	2.40	3.19	3.01
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	451	64%	79%	50%	57%	76%	53%	60%
	Moderate	158	23%	11%	30%	28%	15%	31%	26%
	Conservative	91	13%	9%	20%	15%	9%	15%	14%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	160	23%	14%	39%	26%	16%	27%	17%
	2	119	17%	15%	20%	19%	16%	22%	12%
	3	101	14%	14%	12%	24%	15%	12%	16%
	4	119	17%	25%	6%	13%	21%	10%	26%
	5	90	13%	18%	10%	6%	15%	14%	9%
	6	39	6%	6%	5%	1%	9%	5%	5%
	7 – Very conservative	28	4%	5%	2%	3%	4%	2%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	43	6%	4%	6%	8%	5%	8%	8%
	Mean	657	3.13	3.57	2.49	2.67	3.48	2.82	3.42
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	381	54%	43%	71%	69%	47%	61%	45%
	Moderate	163	23%	28%	12%	21%	26%	18%	35%
	Conservative	157	22%	29%	18%	10%	28%	21%	20%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	43%	56%	64%	38%	49%	75%
	Renter	350	50%	57%	44%	36%	62%	51%	25%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	79%	70%	70%	74%	67%	80%
	African American or Black	28	4%	2%	4%	5%	5%	5%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	3%	7%	4%	7%	9%	6%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	7%	8%	12%	8%	12%	2%
	Something else	49	7%	6%	9%	8%	6%	6%	10%
	(Refused)	14	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	79%	70%	70%	74%	67%	80%
	African American or Black	28	4%	2%	4%	5%	5%	5%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	3%	7%	4%	7%	9%	6%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	7%	8%	12%	8%	12%	2%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	63	9%	8%	11%	10%	6%	7%	11%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Ethnicity	White	511	73%	79%	70%	70%	74%	67%	80%
	POC	175	25%	18%	28%	29%	25%	31%	19%
	(Ref)	14	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	132	19%	42%	-	-	41%	-	-
	30-39	176	25%	58%	-	-	59%	-	-
	40-49	123	18%	-	51%	-	-	45%	-
	50-64	140	20%	-	49%	-	-	55%	-
	65+	129	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%
Two-Age Split	18-39	308	44%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-
	40+	392	56%	-	100%	100%	-	100%	100%
Generation	18-39	308	44%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-
	40-64	263	38%	-	100%	-	-	100%	-
	65+	129	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%
Education	Some grade school	3	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
	Some high school	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Graduated high school	16	2%	-	4%	3%	2%	5%	-
	Technical/vocational school	25	4%	4%	4%	-	4%	5%	2%
	Some college/<4-year degree	132	19%	20%	25%	20%	14%	15%	26%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	291	42%	52%	34%	29%	50%	35%	35%
	Graduate/professional degree	221	32%	24%	31%	44%	28%	36%	34%
	(Refused)	12	2%	-	1%	4%	1%	3%	2%
Education	<4-year degree	188	27%	23%	34%	27%	22%	29%	30%
	4-year degree+	512	73%	77%	66%	73%	78%	71%	70%
Education	Less than college	188	27%	23%	34%	27%	22%	29%	30%
	Graduated college	291	42%	52%	34%	29%	50%	35%	35%
	Graduate/professional degree	221	32%	24%	31%	44%	28%	36%	34%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Gender	Male	339	48%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	-
	Female	340	49%	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%
	Non-binary	13	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(Refused)	8	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Region	South	200	29%	29%	29%	26%	25%	33%	33%
	Central	200	29%	30%	34%	24%	31%	20%	29%
	North	301	43%	41%	37%	50%	45%	47%	38%
City Council District	1	109	16%	17%	19%	12%	11%	19%	12%
	2	91	13%	12%	10%	14%	13%	13%	21%
	3	109	16%	18%	19%	10%	16%	9%	15%
	4	88	13%	12%	15%	16%	13%	9%	15%
	5	102	15%	10%	13%	17%	15%	22%	7%
	6	112	16%	19%	9%	17%	17%	17%	16%
	7	91	13%	12%	15%	14%	14%	11%	14%
Vote History (PG18 PG20 PG22)	0-3/6	378	54%	66%	57%	34%	72%	42%	23%
	4-5/6	175	25%	20%	26%	21%	19%	35%	28%
	6/6	147	21%	14%	17%	44%	9%	23%	49%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	148	21%	100%	-	-	-	-	-
	M 40-64	123	18%	-	100%	-	-	-	-
	M 65+	69	10%	-	-	100%	-	-	-
	F 18-39	145	21%	-	-	-	100%	-	-
	F 40-64	135	19%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
	F 65+	61	9%	-	-	-	-	-	100%
	Other	21	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		700		148	123	69	145	135	61
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	21%	19%	9%
Party/Gender	D Male	213	30%	67%	62%	54%	-	-	-
	D Female	234	33%	-	-	-	70%	65%	75%
	R/I Male	102	15%	22%	34%	39%	-	-	-
	R/I Female	76	11%	-	-	-	15%	29%	25%
	Other	75	11%	10%	4%	7%	15%	6%	-

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
QOL Index	Mean	700	4.66	5.25	4.88	3.73	3.45	4.82	4.82	4.74	4.51
Seattle right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	333	48%	62%	49%	26%	25%	56%	52%	47%	45%
	Wrong track	365	52%	38%	50%	74%	75%	44%	47%	53%	55%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%
	Net Right direction	-33	-5	+23	-1	-49	-51	+13	+5	-7	-9
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	348	50%	50%	57%	35%	41%	55%	48%	47%	53%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	137	20%	23%	17%	15%	23%	19%	15%	19%	23%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	97	14%	13%	11%	22%	15%	12%	15%	14%	13%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	88	13%	15%	17%	8%	1%	11%	13%	13%	12%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	77	11%	11%	12%	6%	13%	12%	11%	10%	12%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	68	10%	9%	11%	5%	10%	17%	11%	11%	8%
	Walkability/Transit	42	6%	9%	6%	1%	6%	6%	3%	10%	5%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	34	5%	4%	4%	6%	6%	8%	7%	5%	3%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	23	3%	2%	3%	6%	4%	3%	2%	6%	2%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	17	2%	1%	1%	5%	9%	1%	2%	2%	3%
	Other	22	3%	2%	2%	7%	7%	1%	3%	2%	4%
	Nothing/Don't know	15	2%	1%	1%	5%	2%	4%	3%	0%	3%

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Top issues facing Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	284	41%	37%	40%	45%	68%	17%	37%	39%	44%
	Homelessness	262	37%	37%	41%	39%	36%	26%	35%	36%	40%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	195	28%	30%	29%	15%	19%	42%	28%	26%	29%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	103	15%	11%	13%	26%	11%	19%	16%	13%	15%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	43	6%	4%	9%	5%	4%	10%	8%	3%	6%
	Jobs/Economy	36	5%	3%	5%	5%	8%	9%	4%	8%	4%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	30	4%	6%	2%	6%	5%	2%	6%	4%	4%
	Taxes	28	4%	4%	3%	9%	3%	0%	4%	3%	5%
	Growth/Development/Population	27	4%	7%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%
	Public transportation	24	3%	5%	3%	2%	0%	9%	4%	6%	2%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	24	3%	3%	4%	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	5%
	Traffic/Congestion	22	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	3%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	20	3%	2%	3%	2%	0%	9%	6%	3%	1%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	12	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%
	Climate change/Environment	5	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Other	6	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	0%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment		1	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	1%
Change in quality of life in Seattle	Much better	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-
	Somewhat better	149	21%	24%	20%	19%	22%	19%	23%	23%	20%
	The same	188	27%	32%	30%	18%	8%	31%	23%	28%	29%
	Somewhat worse	244	35%	34%	40%	29%	27%	35%	37%	33%	35%
	Much worse	116	17%	8%	9%	33%	43%	13%	17%	17%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Change in quality of life in Seattle	Better	151	22%	25%	20%	19%	22%	20%	24%	23%	20%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	188	27%	32%	30%	18%	8%	31%	23%	28%	29%
	Worse	361	52%	43%	50%	63%	70%	48%	54%	50%	51%
	Net Better	-209	-30	-18	-30	-44	-49	-28	-30	-27	-32
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	388	55%	49%	50%	68%	71%	56%	53%	54%	58%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	312	45%	51%	50%	32%	29%	44%	47%	46%	42%

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	118	30%	28%	28%	33%	44%	19%	32%	32%	29%
	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	112	29%	22%	39%	17%	22%	46%	24%	29%	31%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	42	11%	12%	6%	20%	12%	3%	10%	12%	11%
	Homelessness	17	4%	5%	5%	6%	2%	2%	6%	3%	4%
	Declining quality of life	15	4%	5%	2%	5%	5%	-	3%	3%	5%
	Taxes	12	3%	4%	3%	3%	1%	3%	6%	-	3%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	12	3%	3%	1%	6%	-	6%	3%	5%	2%
	Traffic/Congestion	9	2%	2%	2%	1%	5%	2%	4%	-	3%
	Growth/Development/Space	7	2%	1%	4%	-	-	3%	5%	-	1%
	Closer to family	7	2%	5%	1%	-	-	3%	1%	2%	2%
	Cleanliness/Garbage	7	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	6	2%	3%	2%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	1%
	Weather	3	1%	-	1%	1%	-	3%	-	2%	1%
	Other	18	5%	7%	5%	3%	-	7%	3%	6%	4%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	3	1%	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	1%
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	323	83%	78%	82%	87%	91%	82%	83%	81%	85%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	65	17%	22%	18%	13%	9%	18%	17%	19%	15%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	323	46%	38%	41%	60%	65%	46%	44%	43%	49%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	65	9%	11%	9%	9%	6%	10%	9%	10%	9%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	312	45%	51%	50%	32%	29%	44%	47%	46%	42%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	106	15%	22%	12%	13%	5%	16%	18%	17%	12%
	Somewhat agree	318	45%	48%	52%	33%	31%	51%	45%	48%	44%
	Somewhat disagree	170	24%	22%	23%	30%	26%	23%	23%	20%	28%
	Strongly disagree	104	15%	7%	12%	24%	38%	10%	13%	15%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	0%

		n	Party/Gender					Region			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	391	56%	51%	56%	70%	75%	30%	55%	57%	55%
	Somewhat agree	227	32%	38%	32%	24%	19%	42%	32%	28%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	54	8%	8%	8%	3%	3%	17%	8%	10%	6%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	10%	4%	4%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	334	48%	41%	49%	59%	69%	24%	44%	46%	52%
	Somewhat agree	233	33%	40%	33%	29%	22%	31%	35%	34%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	97	14%	14%	15%	7%	5%	28%	14%	13%	14%
	Strongly disagree	34	5%	5%	3%	4%	4%	14%	8%	6%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	268	38%	46%	38%	22%	17%	62%	40%	41%	35%
	Somewhat agree	254	36%	34%	40%	38%	37%	26%	37%	32%	38%
	Somewhat disagree	113	16%	15%	16%	21%	17%	11%	14%	17%	17%
	Strongly disagree	65	9%	4%	6%	19%	28%	1%	9%	10%	9%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	99	14%	15%	11%	14%	5%	29%	19%	12%	12%
	Somewhat agree	148	21%	30%	19%	10%	4%	35%	23%	21%	20%
	Somewhat disagree	190	27%	30%	30%	23%	28%	16%	26%	29%	27%
	Strongly disagree	263	38%	25%	40%	53%	64%	19%	32%	38%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	15	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%
	Somewhat agree	201	29%	34%	32%	16%	16%	34%	33%	24%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	235	34%	34%	41%	20%	25%	37%	33%	38%	31%
	Strongly disagree	247	35%	27%	26%	63%	58%	28%	31%	35%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	109	16%	24%	12%	15%	5%	13%	19%	16%	13%
	Somewhat agree	284	41%	42%	43%	30%	41%	44%	39%	40%	42%
	Somewhat disagree	170	24%	22%	28%	26%	20%	20%	24%	21%	26%
	Strongly disagree	137	20%	11%	17%	28%	34%	24%	18%	22%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	299	43%	52%	49%	31%	12%	44%	48%	42%	40%
	Somewhat agree	238	34%	34%	40%	20%	33%	36%	33%	35%	34%
	Somewhat disagree	102	15%	7%	8%	35%	27%	16%	13%	14%	16%
	Strongly disagree	61	9%	6%	4%	13%	28%	5%	6%	9%	10%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	3	0%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-	0%
	Somewhat agree	110	16%	19%	16%	12%	11%	15%	20%	9%	17%
	Somewhat disagree	279	40%	43%	49%	26%	31%	30%	40%	43%	37%
	Strongly disagree	306	44%	37%	35%	61%	56%	55%	39%	47%	45%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	
Agree: The City of Seattle has enough money to address important priorities; they just need to spend it more effectively	Strongly agree	305	44%	36%	39%	58%	65%	39%	43%	40%	46%
	Somewhat agree	230	33%	34%	43%	20%	22%	27%	36%	36%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	114	16%	20%	13%	17%	8%	24%	13%	17%	18%
	Strongly disagree	40	6%	6%	4%	5%	5%	9%	6%	6%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	4%	1%	-	-	-	3%	1%	2%
Agree: The city has made meaningful progress in reducing the number of homeless encampments in Seattle	Strongly agree	34	5%	8%	4%	2%	4%	3%	7%	3%	5%
	Somewhat agree	237	34%	39%	36%	32%	27%	21%	24%	33%	41%
	Somewhat disagree	215	31%	30%	33%	24%	26%	38%	37%	31%	26%
	Strongly disagree	210	30%	22%	26%	42%	41%	35%	32%	32%	27%
(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	-	-	2%	3%	-	1%	0%	

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: City policies that have increased business costs and the failure to adequately address public safety make it hard to start or grow a business in Seattle	Strongly agree	303	43%	35%	38%	75%	61%	21%	33%	47%	48%
	Somewhat agree	181	26%	29%	34%	12%	15%	20%	29%	25%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	142	20%	23%	20%	6%	17%	35%	23%	20%	19%
	Strongly disagree	63	9%	9%	7%	6%	7%	21%	13%	7%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	4%	0%	-	-	3%	3%	1%	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	424	61%	70%	64%	47%	36%	67%	63%	65%	56%
	Disagree	274	39%	30%	36%	53%	64%	33%	37%	35%	44%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Net Agree	+150	+21	+40	+28	-7	-28	+35	+26	+30	+13
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	618	88%	89%	88%	94%	94%	73%	88%	86%	90%
	Disagree	81	12%	10%	12%	6%	6%	27%	12%	14%	10%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Net Agree	+536	+77	+79	+77	+88	+87	+45	+76	+72	+80	
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	567	81%	81%	83%	89%	91%	56%	78%	80%	83%
	Disagree	131	19%	19%	17%	10%	9%	43%	22%	19%	17%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-
	Net Agree	+436	+62	+62	+65	+78	+81	+13	+57	+61	+67
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	522	75%	80%	78%	60%	55%	88%	77%	73%	74%
	Disagree	178	25%	20%	22%	40%	45%	12%	23%	27%	26%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+344	+49	+61	+56	+20	+9	+76	+54	+46	+48
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	246	35%	45%	30%	24%	9%	65%	42%	33%	32%
	Disagree	453	65%	55%	69%	76%	91%	35%	58%	67%	68%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-207	-30	-10	-39	-51	-82	+29	-16	-34	-36

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	216	31%	38%	33%	17%	17%	35%	35%	27%	31%
	Disagree	483	69%	61%	67%	83%	83%	65%	64%	73%	69%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-267	-38	-23	-34	-66	-66	-29	-29	-46	-39
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	394	56%	66%	55%	46%	46%	57%	58%	57%	55%
	Disagree	306	44%	34%	45%	54%	54%	43%	42%	43%	45%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+87	+12	+32	+10	-9	-8	+14	+16	+13	+9
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	537	77%	87%	88%	51%	45%	79%	81%	77%	74%
	Disagree	163	23%	13%	12%	49%	55%	21%	19%	23%	26%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+375	+54	+73	+77	+3	-10	+59	+62	+53	+48
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	113	16%	20%	16%	12%	12%	16%	21%	9%	18%
	Disagree	586	84%	80%	84%	88%	88%	84%	79%	90%	82%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-
	Net Agree	-473	-68	-60	-68	-76	-75	-68	-58	-81	-65
Agree: The City of Seattle has enough money to address important priorities; they just need to spend it more effectively	Agree	535	76%	70%	81%	78%	87%	66%	79%	76%	75%
	Disagree	154	22%	26%	17%	22%	13%	34%	19%	24%	23%
	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	4%	1%	-	-	-	3%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	+381	+54	+44	+64	+57	+74	+32	+60	+52	+52
Agree: The city has made meaningful progress in reducing the number of homeless encampments in Seattle	Agree	272	39%	48%	40%	34%	31%	24%	31%	36%	46%
	Disagree	425	61%	52%	60%	66%	67%	73%	69%	63%	54%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	-	-	2%	3%	-	1%	0%
	Net Agree	-153	-22	-5	-20	-32	-36	-49	-38	-27	-8

		n	Party/Gender					Region			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: City policies that have increased business costs and the failure to adequately address public safety make it hard to start or grow a business in	Agree	484	69%	64%	72%	88%	76%	41%	61%	72%	73%
	Disagree	205	29%	32%	27%	12%	24%	56%	36%	27%	26%
	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	4%	0%	-	-	3%	3%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+279	+40	+32	+45	+75	+52	-15	+25	+44	+46
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	61	9%	4%	5%	2%	15%	38%	10%	12%	6%
	2	44	6%	6%	6%	3%	2%	18%	7%	6%	6%
	3	54	8%	9%	8%	4%	2%	14%	5%	6%	11%
	4	47	7%	5%	10%	6%	1%	9%	9%	5%	6%
	5	83	12%	16%	11%	7%	14%	8%	10%	16%	11%
	6	66	9%	11%	12%	6%	7%	2%	12%	8%	8%
	7 – Very significant impact	345	49%	49%	48%	73%	59%	11%	46%	48%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.32	5.54	5.47	6.22	5.55	2.81	5.25	5.23	5.43
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	25	4%	2%	1%	2%	5%	17%	4%	6%	2%
	2	22	3%	2%	3%	-	1%	13%	4%	2%	3%
	3	45	6%	5%	7%	2%	6%	14%	6%	10%	5%
	4	65	9%	9%	8%	8%	8%	16%	10%	10%	8%
	5	95	14%	15%	15%	12%	8%	15%	15%	11%	14%
	6	115	16%	20%	19%	8%	13%	13%	17%	17%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	333	48%	46%	47%	69%	59%	13%	44%	45%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.66	5.79	5.76	6.27	5.85	3.92	5.55	5.49	5.84

		n	Party/Gender					Region			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	23	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%
	2	16	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%	0%	5%
	3	17	2%	3%	1%	6%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%
	4	90	13%	14%	16%	6%	14%	10%	10%	14%	14%
	5	125	18%	15%	19%	22%	25%	10%	18%	15%	20%
	6	136	19%	24%	20%	18%	14%	14%	18%	24%	18%
	7 – Very significant impact	292	42%	39%	39%	43%	40%	58%	47%	43%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.65	5.63	5.60	5.64	5.58	5.95	5.79	5.80	5.46
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	80	11%	11%	3%	36%	13%	3%	9%	10%	14%
	2	37	5%	7%	3%	8%	6%	1%	6%	6%	5%
	3	47	7%	8%	6%	9%	6%	1%	9%	7%	5%
	4	100	14%	13%	14%	14%	22%	9%	12%	16%	15%
	5	123	18%	14%	27%	11%	18%	8%	17%	15%	20%
	6	86	12%	16%	11%	7%	9%	15%	12%	11%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	227	32%	30%	35%	14%	26%	63%	36%	35%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.88	4.82	5.31	3.32	4.57	6.12	5.02	4.95	4.73
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	29	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	10%	7%	4%	2%
	2	19	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	11%	4%	3%	2%
	3	45	6%	7%	5%	4%	4%	15%	8%	5%	7%
	4	92	13%	11%	14%	3%	20%	23%	10%	16%	14%
	5	164	23%	25%	29%	17%	14%	22%	23%	19%	27%
	6	97	14%	17%	14%	16%	14%	4%	13%	13%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	253	36%	35%	33%	56%	43%	15%	35%	40%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.35	5.41	5.38	5.98	5.54	4.06	5.19	5.41	5.42

		n	Party/Gender					Region			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	23	3%	4%	2%	2%	-	11%	3%	4%	3%
	2	26	4%	3%	4%	1%	3%	9%	2%	6%	4%
	3	21	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	5%	5%	1%	3%
	4	52	7%	6%	6%	10%	4%	16%	10%	5%	7%
	5	88	13%	14%	12%	11%	7%	18%	15%	9%	13%
	6	104	15%	19%	13%	14%	16%	9%	9%	17%	18%
	7 – Very significant impact	385	55%	50%	61%	59%	67%	33%	56%	58%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.87	5.79	6.06	6.07	6.31	4.80	5.81	5.93	5.88
Impact: Addressing climate change	1 – Very little impact	111	16%	11%	7%	47%	27%	5%	14%	15%	17%
	2	42	6%	9%	4%	8%	7%	-	6%	8%	4%
	3	57	8%	9%	8%	7%	12%	4%	8%	7%	9%
	4	91	13%	11%	19%	12%	8%	9%	12%	13%	14%
	5	133	19%	21%	19%	13%	20%	20%	26%	12%	19%
	6	83	12%	14%	14%	5%	9%	13%	13%	12%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	184	26%	26%	30%	8%	17%	48%	22%	34%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	4.54	4.68	5.01	2.85	3.81	5.72	4.53	4.70	4.44
Impact: Shutting down open air drug markets	1 – Very little impact	63	9%	5%	5%	4%	11%	36%	10%	10%	8%
	2	30	4%	6%	4%	-	2%	7%	5%	4%	4%
	3	52	7%	8%	6%	5%	3%	17%	8%	7%	7%
	4	46	7%	7%	7%	3%	3%	10%	8%	7%	5%
	5	68	10%	11%	12%	3%	8%	10%	10%	8%	11%
	6	77	11%	13%	15%	8%	5%	5%	10%	8%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	365	52%	49%	51%	76%	68%	14%	49%	56%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.46	5.49	5.64	6.31	5.83	3.21	5.28	5.49	5.55

	n	%	Party/Gender					Region			
			D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North	
Number of cases	700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301	
Row percent		100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%	
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little impact	159	23%	19%	19%	9%	19%	70%	22%	24%	23%
	4/(DK)	47	7%	5%	10%	6%	1%	9%	9%	5%	6%
	5-7 Significant impact	494	71%	76%	72%	85%	80%	21%	68%	72%	71%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little impact	92	13%	10%	11%	4%	12%	43%	14%	17%	10%
	4/(DK)	65	9%	9%	8%	8%	8%	16%	10%	10%	8%
	5-7 Significant impact	543	78%	82%	80%	89%	79%	41%	76%	73%	82%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little impact	56	8%	8%	7%	12%	7%	8%	7%	5%	11%
	4/(DK)	90	13%	14%	16%	6%	14%	10%	10%	14%	14%
	5-7 Significant impact	553	79%	78%	77%	82%	79%	82%	83%	81%	75%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little impact	165	24%	27%	13%	54%	25%	5%	23%	23%	24%
	4/(DK)	100	14%	13%	14%	14%	22%	9%	12%	16%	15%
	5-7 Significant impact	436	62%	60%	73%	32%	53%	85%	65%	62%	61%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little impact	93	13%	12%	10%	9%	9%	36%	19%	12%	10%
	4/(DK)	92	13%	11%	14%	3%	20%	23%	10%	16%	14%
	5-7 Significant impact	515	74%	76%	76%	88%	71%	40%	71%	72%	76%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little impact	70	10%	11%	8%	5%	6%	25%	10%	11%	9%
	4/(DK)	52	7%	6%	6%	10%	4%	16%	10%	5%	7%
	5-7 Significant impact	577	82%	83%	86%	84%	90%	60%	80%	84%	83%
Impact: Addressing climate change	1-3 Little impact	209	30%	28%	19%	62%	46%	9%	28%	30%	31%
	4/(DK)	91	13%	11%	19%	12%	8%	9%	12%	13%	14%
	5-7 Significant impact	400	57%	61%	63%	27%	46%	82%	60%	58%	55%
Impact: Shutting down open air drug markets	1-3 Little impact	144	21%	19%	15%	9%	16%	61%	23%	20%	19%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	7%	7%	3%	3%	10%	8%	7%	5%
	5-7 Significant impact	510	73%	73%	78%	88%	81%	29%	69%	72%	76%

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Homelessness/housing preference: Prioritize housing for homelessness vs. Address broader housing affordability crisis	Prioritize housing for homelessness	373	53%	51%	56%	67%	51%	37%	61%	51%	50%
	Address broader housing affordability crisis	316	45%	49%	44%	28%	43%	63%	38%	47%	48%
	(Both)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-
	(Neither)	10	1%	0%	-	4%	6%	-	1%	1%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
City budget deficit preference: Focus on the basics vs. Maintain spending and raise new taxes	Focus on the basics	473	68%	61%	71%	77%	85%	45%	68%	66%	68%
	Maintain spending and raise new taxes	216	31%	39%	27%	20%	12%	55%	31%	32%	30%
	(Both)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-
	(Neither)	7	1%	-	1%	3%	3%	-	1%	1%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Public safety preference: Need action on public safety vs. Address root causes	Need action on public safety	373	53%	54%	49%	78%	71%	15%	49%	51%	58%
	Address root causes	322	46%	46%	51%	22%	26%	83%	51%	48%	42%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	5	1%	-	0%	1%	3%	2%	0%	1%	1%
	Net Need action on public safety	+51	+7	+7	-2	+56	+45	-68	-2	+4	+16
Homelessness/housing preference: Prioritize housing for homelessness vs. Address broader housing affordability crisis	Prioritize housing for homelessness	373	53%	51%	56%	67%	51%	37%	61%	51%	50%
	Address broader housing affordability crisis	316	45%	49%	44%	28%	43%	63%	38%	47%	48%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	10	1%	0%	-	5%	6%	-	1%	2%	2%
	Net Prioritize housing for homelessness	+57	+8	+2	+11	+39	+7	-27	+23	+4	+1
	crisis										
City budget deficit preference: Focus on the basics vs. Maintain spending and raise new taxes	Focus on the basics	473	68%	61%	71%	77%	85%	45%	68%	66%	68%
	Maintain spending and raise new taxes	216	31%	39%	27%	20%	12%	55%	31%	32%	30%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	-	2%	3%	3%	-	1%	2%	2%
	Net Focus on the basics	+256	+37	+22	+45	+57	+73	-10	+37	+34	+38

		n	Party/Gender					Region			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Support: Investing in the	1 – Strongly oppose	64	9%	7%	7%	9%	14%	18%	11%	12%	6%
continued revitalization of	2	48	7%	6%	7%	7%	2%	15%	7%	7%	7%
Seattle Center by bringing back	3	67	10%	8%	12%	6%	10%	12%	11%	7%	11%
the Sonics, redoing Memorial	4	127	18%	15%	21%	17%	22%	16%	21%	16%	17%
Stadium which is used by high	5	163	23%	23%	22%	25%	21%	26%	23%	25%	23%
school sport teams across the	6	74	11%	13%	10%	15%	9%	2%	11%	8%	12%
state, and making it easier to get	7 – Strongly support	156	22%	28%	21%	21%	22%	11%	17%	25%	24%
from Seattle Center to the new	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Waterfront	Mean	700	4.60	4.94	4.61	4.69	4.48	3.67	4.40	4.59	4.75
Support: Providing support and	1 – Strongly oppose	36	5%	5%	4%	5%	6%	8%	5%	6%	5%
funding for small- and medium-	2	52	7%	5%	5%	13%	9%	12%	6%	8%	8%
sized Seattle businesses to help	3	63	9%	9%	9%	11%	4%	13%	8%	9%	10%
them benefit from the economic	4	157	22%	19%	29%	18%	19%	21%	22%	17%	26%
opportunities that big events like	5	156	22%	24%	21%	17%	27%	25%	27%	21%	20%
Major League Baseball's All-Star	6	96	14%	12%	15%	16%	20%	7%	15%	13%	13%
Game, the National Hockey	7 – Strongly support	137	20%	25%	17%	20%	15%	14%	17%	26%	17%
League's Winter Classic, and the	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
upcoming 2026 FIFA World Cup	Mean	699	4.69	4.88	4.71	4.57	4.72	4.22	4.75	4.81	4.57
present											
Support: Keeping construction	1 – Strongly oppose	82	12%	6%	12%	22%	18%	7%	13%	7%	14%
for light rail to West Seattle and	2	34	5%	3%	4%	7%	12%	1%	4%	4%	5%
Ballard on track to open in 2032	3	52	7%	8%	5%	7%	17%	3%	6%	6%	9%
even if it costs more	4	74	11%	7%	12%	17%	15%	3%	12%	12%	8%
	5	99	14%	19%	16%	7%	10%	9%	17%	10%	15%
	6	85	12%	13%	13%	12%	7%	14%	13%	12%	12%
	7 – Strongly support	274	39%	45%	37%	29%	21%	63%	34%	48%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	700	5.04	5.46	5.03	4.31	3.92	6.00	4.90	5.41	4.88

		n	Party/Gender					Region			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Support: Working with the state to fund a "lid" over I-5 where it cuts through the downtown to free up new real estate for housing, parks, and public spaces and reconnect our neighborhoods	1 – Strongly oppose	97	14%	11%	11%	23%	26%	8%	13%	12%	16%
	2	56	8%	7%	8%	11%	13%	2%	8%	3%	11%
	3	56	8%	8%	10%	8%	7%	2%	10%	6%	8%
	4	130	19%	14%	22%	16%	20%	20%	19%	18%	19%
	5	107	15%	19%	16%	14%	17%	3%	12%	20%	14%
	6	76	11%	13%	7%	10%	9%	19%	14%	12%	8%
	7 – Strongly support	176	25%	29%	25%	17%	8%	44%	24%	29%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Mean	698	4.47	4.76	4.48	3.87	3.48	5.44	4.48	4.82	4.23
Support: Investing in the continued revitalization of Seattle Center by bringing back the Sonics, redoing Memorial	1-3 Oppose	179	26%	21%	25%	22%	26%	45%	28%	26%	24%
	4/(DK)	127	18%	15%	21%	17%	22%	16%	21%	16%	17%
	5-7 Support	393	56%	64%	54%	61%	52%	39%	51%	58%	59%
Support: Providing support and funding for small- and medium-sized Seattle businesses to help them benefit from the economic	1-3 Oppose	152	22%	20%	18%	29%	19%	32%	19%	23%	23%
	4/(DK)	158	23%	19%	29%	18%	19%	21%	22%	17%	26%
	5-7 Support	390	56%	61%	53%	53%	62%	46%	59%	60%	51%
Support: Keeping construction for light rail to West Seattle and Ballard on track to open in 2032 even if it costs more	1-3 Oppose	167	24%	17%	22%	36%	47%	11%	24%	18%	28%
	4/(DK)	74	11%	7%	12%	17%	15%	3%	12%	12%	8%
	5-7 Support	459	66%	76%	66%	47%	38%	86%	64%	70%	63%
Support: Working with the state to fund a "lid" over I-5 where it cuts through the downtown to free up new real estate for	1-3 Oppose	209	30%	26%	29%	42%	46%	13%	31%	21%	35%
	4/(DK)	132	19%	14%	23%	16%	20%	20%	19%	18%	19%
	5-7 Support	359	51%	60%	48%	42%	34%	67%	50%	61%	46%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	142	20%	20%	20%	26%	16%	17%	21%	30%	14%
	A few times a month	238	34%	32%	35%	30%	36%	39%	37%	34%	32%
	A few times a year	209	30%	31%	32%	27%	23%	29%	30%	20%	37%
	Rarely	70	10%	10%	8%	9%	20%	5%	7%	11%	11%
	Never	39	6%	6%	4%	8%	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%
	(Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	1%	-

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	142	20%	20%	20%	26%	16%	17%	21%	30%	14%
	Few times a month	238	34%	32%	35%	30%	36%	39%	37%	34%	32%
	Less often	320	46%	47%	45%	44%	48%	44%	43%	37%	54%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	55	8%	11%	5%	6%	11%	6%	10%	8%	6%
	Somewhat more often	40	6%	7%	6%	5%	2%	8%	6%	7%	5%
	About the same	173	25%	32%	22%	15%	6%	44%	25%	28%	22%
	Somewhat less often	139	20%	20%	24%	14%	18%	17%	18%	19%	22%
	Much less often	292	42%	30%	43%	60%	63%	23%	41%	38%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	More often	95	14%	17%	11%	12%	13%	14%	16%	15%	11%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	174	25%	32%	22%	15%	6%	46%	25%	29%	22%
	Less often	431	62%	51%	67%	73%	81%	41%	59%	56%	67%
	Net More often	-337	-48	-33	-56	-61	-67	-27	-43	-42	-55
Duration of residency	<2 years	15	2%	3%	1%	-	3%	4%	1%	4%	1%
	2-5 years	126	18%	18%	19%	12%	13%	30%	16%	20%	17%
	6-10 years	130	19%	20%	17%	17%	14%	24%	22%	17%	18%
	11-20 years	139	20%	19%	19%	25%	21%	17%	17%	23%	20%
	>20 years	282	40%	38%	43%	45%	49%	22%	43%	35%	42%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	270	39%	41%	37%	30%	29%	58%	39%	42%	37%
	11-20 years	139	20%	19%	19%	25%	21%	17%	17%	23%	20%
	>20 years	290	41%	40%	44%	45%	50%	25%	44%	36%	43%

		n	Party/Gender					Region			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Party	Strong Democrat	260	37%	55%	61%	-	-	2%	39%	36%	37%
	Not very strong Democrat	117	17%	29%	23%	-	-	2%	20%	14%	16%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	76	11%	16%	17%	-	-	5%	10%	11%	12%
	Independent	44	6%	-	-	23%	24%	3%	8%	5%	6%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	25	4%	-	-	16%	11%	-	1%	5%	4%
	Not very strong Republican	23	3%	-	-	14%	12%	-	1%	3%	5%
	Strong Republican	15	2%	-	-	8%	8%	1%	2%	2%	2%
	Socialist	59	8%	-	-	-	-	78%	10%	11%	6%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	80	11%	-	-	40%	44%	8%	9%	14%	11%
Party	Socialist	59	8%	-	-	-	-	78%	10%	11%	6%
	Democrat	454	65%	100%	100%	-	-	10%	69%	60%	65%
	Independent	124	18%	-	-	62%	68%	11%	16%	19%	18%
	Republican	63	9%	-	-	38%	32%	1%	4%	10%	11%
Perceived personal ideology	1 – Very liberal	150	21%	17%	20%	6%	11%	70%	23%	25%	18%
	2	133	19%	25%	25%	7%	7%	11%	21%	20%	17%
	3	167	24%	36%	27%	14%	8%	9%	26%	20%	25%
	4	120	17%	15%	17%	18%	34%	4%	12%	17%	21%
	5	61	9%	3%	7%	23%	18%	1%	8%	10%	9%
	6	18	3%	2%	1%	8%	6%	-	1%	2%	4%
	7 – Very conservative	12	2%	0%	1%	6%	4%	-	2%	3%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	38	5%	1%	2%	19%	12%	4%	8%	3%	5%
	Mean	662	2.87	2.70	2.71	4.11	3.88	1.48	2.68	2.87	2.98
Perceived personal ideology	Liberal	451	64%	78%	72%	27%	26%	91%	70%	64%	61%
	Moderate	158	23%	16%	19%	37%	46%	8%	20%	20%	26%
	Conservative	91	13%	5%	9%	36%	29%	1%	11%	15%	13%

		n	Party/Gender					Region			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Perceived city council ideology	1 – Very liberal	160	23%	19%	16%	47%	41%	6%	22%	21%	25%
	2	119	17%	21%	19%	14%	22%	1%	17%	16%	18%
	3	101	14%	16%	16%	14%	8%	12%	13%	12%	17%
	4	119	17%	18%	20%	6%	9%	29%	16%	20%	16%
	5	90	13%	15%	13%	7%	4%	24%	14%	13%	12%
	6	39	6%	3%	7%	2%	3%	15%	7%	5%	5%
	7 – Very conservative	28	4%	4%	4%	1%	5%	8%	5%	6%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	43	6%	4%	7%	9%	9%	6%	6%	7%	6%
	Mean	657	3.13	3.17	3.31	2.17	2.35	4.49	3.25	3.28	2.96
Perceived city council ideology	Liberal	381	54%	56%	50%	75%	71%	19%	52%	49%	60%
	Moderate	163	23%	21%	27%	15%	18%	34%	23%	26%	21%
	Conservative	157	22%	23%	23%	11%	12%	47%	26%	24%	19%
Homeowner	Homeowner	350	50%	49%	51%	63%	50%	31%	57%	38%	53%
	Renter	350	50%	51%	49%	37%	50%	69%	43%	62%	47%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	78%	73%	65%	68%	75%	70%	77%	73%
	African American or Black	28	4%	3%	3%	4%	5%	6%	8%	4%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	5%	8%	5%	6%	6%	4%	6%	7%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	7%	9%	12%	8%	3%	9%	8%	7%
	Something else	49	7%	7%	6%	9%	9%	5%	7%	5%	8%
	(Refused)	14	2%	1%	0%	5%	4%	5%	1%	1%	3%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	511	73%	78%	73%	65%	68%	75%	70%	77%	73%
	African American or Black	28	4%	3%	3%	4%	5%	6%	8%	4%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	42	6%	5%	8%	5%	6%	6%	4%	6%	7%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	56	8%	7%	9%	12%	8%	3%	9%	8%	7%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	63	9%	7%	7%	14%	13%	10%	9%	6%	11%

		n	Party/Gender					Region			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Ethnicity	White	511	73%	78%	73%	65%	68%	75%	70%	77%	73%
	POC	175	25%	21%	27%	30%	28%	20%	29%	22%	24%
	(Ref)	14	2%	1%	0%	5%	4%	5%	1%	1%	3%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	132	19%	19%	17%	17%	12%	33%	16%	20%	20%
	30-39	176	25%	28%	26%	15%	18%	37%	24%	28%	24%
	40-49	123	18%	15%	18%	26%	17%	14%	19%	17%	17%
	50-64	140	20%	21%	20%	16%	34%	10%	22%	18%	20%
	65+	129	18%	17%	19%	26%	20%	6%	19%	17%	19%
Two-Age Split	18-39	308	44%	47%	43%	32%	29%	70%	40%	48%	44%
	40+	392	56%	53%	57%	68%	71%	30%	60%	52%	56%
Generation	18-39	308	44%	47%	43%	32%	29%	70%	40%	48%	44%
	40-64	263	38%	36%	37%	41%	51%	24%	41%	35%	37%
	65+	129	18%	17%	19%	26%	20%	6%	19%	17%	19%
Education	Some grade school	3	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%
	Some high school	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%
	Graduated high school	16	2%	2%	1%	2%	8%	-	2%	3%	2%
	Technical/vocational school	25	4%	2%	3%	6%	6%	4%	4%	5%	2%
	Some college/<4-year degree	132	19%	20%	14%	21%	24%	22%	17%	19%	20%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	291	42%	43%	46%	39%	30%	39%	45%	42%	39%
	Graduate/professional degree (Refused)	221 12	32% 2%	32% 0%	33% 1%	29% 3%	27% 5%	32% 2%	28% 3%	30% 1%	35% 1%
Education	<4-year degree	188	27%	24%	21%	32%	43%	30%	26%	28%	26%
	4-year degree+	512	73%	76%	79%	68%	57%	70%	74%	72%	74%
Education	Less than college	188	27%	24%	21%	32%	43%	30%	26%	28%	26%
	Graduated college	291	42%	43%	46%	39%	30%	39%	45%	42%	39%
	Graduate/professional degree	221	32%	32%	33%	29%	27%	32%	28%	30%	35%

		n	Party/Gender					Region			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Gender	Male	339	48%	100%	-	100%	-	32%	48%	51%	47%
	Female	340	49%	-	100%	-	100%	40%	50%	45%	50%
	Non-binary	13	2%	-	-	-	-	17%	1%	2%	2%
	(Refused)	8	1%	-	-	-	-	11%	1%	2%	1%
Region	South	200	29%	28%	32%	23%	21%	32%	100%	-	-
	Central	200	29%	31%	23%	31%	30%	35%	-	100%	-
	North	301	43%	41%	45%	46%	49%	33%	-	-	100%
City Council District	1	109	16%	15%	18%	19%	8%	12%	54%	-	-
	2	91	13%	14%	14%	5%	13%	19%	46%	-	-
	3	109	16%	17%	9%	15%	18%	30%	-	54%	-
	4	88	13%	11%	12%	21%	11%	7%	-	-	29%
	5	102	15%	10%	14%	17%	26%	13%	-	-	34%
	6	112	16%	20%	19%	7%	12%	13%	-	-	37%
	7	91	13%	13%	14%	16%	12%	6%	-	46%	-
Vote History (PG18 PG20 PG22)	0-3/6	378	54%	55%	49%	64%	55%	55%	50%	59%	53%
	4-5/6	175	25%	21%	26%	22%	32%	31%	29%	21%	25%
	6/6	147	21%	24%	25%	15%	14%	14%	22%	19%	22%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	148	21%	47%	-	32%	-	20%	21%	22%	20%
	M 40-64	123	18%	36%	-	41%	-	6%	18%	21%	15%
	M 65+	69	10%	17%	-	26%	-	6%	9%	8%	11%
	F 18-39	145	21%	-	43%	-	29%	29%	18%	22%	21%
	F 40-64	135	19%	-	37%	-	51%	11%	22%	14%	21%
	F 65+	61	9%	-	19%	-	20%	-	10%	9%	8%
	Other	21	3%	-	-	-	-	28%	2%	4%	3%

		n	Party/Gender					Region			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		700		213	234	102	76	75	200	200	301
Row percent			100%	30%	33%	15%	11%	11%	29%	29%	43%
Party/Gender	D Male	213	30%	100%	-	-	-	-	30%	33%	29%
	D Female	234	33%	-	100%	-	-	-	38%	27%	35%
	R/I Male	102	15%	-	-	100%	-	-	12%	16%	15%
	R/I Female	76	11%	-	-	-	100%	-	8%	11%	12%
	Other	75	11%	-	-	-	-	100%	12%	13%	8%