

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
QOL Index	Mean	625	4.23	4.12	4.35	4.83	4.64	3.40	2.95
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	209	33%	31%	36%	51%	40%	18%	12%
	Wrong track	396	63%	67%	59%	42%	56%	79%	88%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	2%	5%	7%	4%	2%	-
	Net Right direction	-187	-30	-36	-24	+9	-16	-61	-76
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	242	39%	36%	42%	32%	45%	28%	25%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	118	19%	20%	17%	17%	20%	15%	24%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	88	14%	15%	13%	19%	16%	9%	8%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	84	13%	14%	13%	7%	13%	13%	19%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	62	10%	9%	10%	14%	10%	9%	8%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	58	9%	7%	11%	26%	8%	8%	9%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	38	6%	5%	7%	2%	5%	8%	9%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	29	5%	6%	3%	0%	3%	10%	9%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	27	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	7%	1%
	Walkability/Transit	26	4%	2%	6%	8%	4%	4%	4%
	Other	22	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	5%	4%
Nothing/Don't know	15	2%	3%	2%	4%	1%	6%	3%	

		n	Gender		Party					
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep	
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63	
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%	
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	317	51%	48%	54%	38%	58%	38%	39%	
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	301	48%	51%	45%	16%	47%	58%	56%	
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	119	19%	18%	20%	52%	19%	13%	11%	
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	72	12%	11%	12%	18%	10%	13%	13%	
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	45	7%	8%	6%	6%	4%	12%	17%	
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	27	4%	2%	6%	4%	4%	8%	1%	
	Jobs/Economy	22	4%	3%	4%	8%	4%	1%	1%	
	Taxes	20	3%	2%	4%	0%	3%	3%	9%	
	Public transportation	18	3%	2%	4%	6%	4%	1%	0%	
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	17	3%	4%	2%	0%	4%	2%	0%	
	Traffic/Congestion	11	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	10	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	0%	
	Growth/Development/Population	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	
	Other	19	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	7%	
	Nothing/Don't know	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	
	Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	8	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-
		Somewhat better	68	11%	11%	11%	21%	11%	7%	9%
The same		113	18%	17%	19%	33%	19%	18%	3%	
Somewhat worse		210	34%	31%	36%	27%	42%	22%	11%	
Much worse		218	35%	38%	31%	16%	25%	51%	77%	
(Don't know/Refused)		8	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	-	
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	77	12%	13%	12%	22%	13%	8%	9%	
	Same/(DK/Ref)	121	19%	18%	21%	35%	20%	19%	3%	
	Worse	427	68%	69%	67%	43%	67%	72%	88%	
	Net Better	-351	-56	-57	-55	-21	-54	-64	-79	
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	334	53%	55%	52%	54%	48%	64%	67%	
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	291	47%	45%	48%	46%	52%	36%	33%	

		n	%	Gender		Party			
				Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	109	33%	26%	39%	51%	37%	25%	18%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	101	30%	32%	29%	5%	30%	34%	40%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	36	11%	12%	10%	3%	6%	16%	24%
	Homelessness	21	6%	7%	5%	-	9%	3%	4%
	Closer to family	11	3%	4%	3%	5%	4%	2%	3%
	Declining quality of life	5	2%	2%	1%	-	1%	3%	2%
	Work/job opportunities/business leaving	5	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	-
	Growth/Development/Space	4	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	3%	-
	Want to move abroad	3	1%	2%	-	8%	1%	-	-
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	3	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-
	Taxes	3	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	3	1%	1%	1%	9%	1%	-	-
	Weather	2	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	-
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	2	1%	1%	1%	8%	-	-	-
	Other	19	6%	6%	5%	7%	4%	7%	6%
	Nothing/Don't know	2	1%	1%	1%	-	-	3%	-
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	272	81%	83%	79%	94%	76%	84%	92%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	62	19%	17%	21%	6%	24%	16%	8%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	272	43%	45%	41%	51%	36%	54%	62%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	62	10%	9%	11%	3%	11%	10%	5%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	291	47%	45%	48%	46%	52%	36%	33%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	109	18%	18%	18%	22%	21%	12%	6%
	Somewhat agree	240	38%	39%	38%	43%	45%	26%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	158	25%	24%	26%	21%	22%	32%	33%
	Strongly disagree	117	19%	19%	19%	13%	12%	30%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	0	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	385	62%	65%	58%	30%	63%	61%	75%
	Somewhat agree	183	29%	26%	32%	45%	30%	27%	20%
	Somewhat disagree	33	5%	5%	6%	15%	5%	5%	2%
	Strongly disagree	18	3%	3%	3%	6%	2%	5%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	0%	2%	4%	1%	2%	-
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	346	55%	58%	52%	21%	51%	66%	84%
	Somewhat agree	185	30%	25%	34%	34%	35%	21%	8%
	Somewhat disagree	59	9%	11%	8%	33%	10%	5%	3%
	Strongly disagree	30	5%	4%	6%	10%	4%	7%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	225	36%	36%	35%	66%	39%	29%	8%
	Somewhat agree	208	33%	33%	34%	26%	36%	29%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	108	17%	16%	18%	7%	15%	20%	32%
	Strongly disagree	79	13%	13%	12%	1%	8%	22%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	68	11%	14%	8%	28%	10%	10%	7%
	Somewhat agree	133	21%	20%	23%	33%	25%	14%	5%
	Somewhat disagree	164	26%	27%	25%	22%	28%	23%	24%
	Strongly disagree	257	41%	40%	43%	17%	36%	53%	64%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	-	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	452	72%	74%	71%	38%	73%	75%	84%
	Somewhat agree	114	18%	18%	19%	34%	20%	13%	7%
	Somewhat disagree	35	6%	5%	6%	19%	6%	3%	1%
	Strongly disagree	23	4%	4%	4%	8%	1%	8%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	2%	-	0%	-

		n	%	Gender		Party			
				Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	230	37%	39%	35%	60%	39%	33%	13%
	Somewhat agree	201	32%	29%	34%	20%	36%	24%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	95	15%	16%	14%	7%	13%	24%	18%
	Strongly disagree	92	15%	15%	15%	13%	11%	16%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	30	5%	4%	6%	8%	5%	5%	-
	Somewhat agree	169	27%	27%	28%	40%	33%	14%	9%
	Somewhat disagree	161	26%	22%	29%	26%	30%	18%	14%
	Strongly disagree	261	42%	47%	36%	25%	31%	64%	76%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	101	16%	20%	13%	23%	16%	21%	5%
	Somewhat agree	228	36%	35%	39%	37%	41%	27%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	179	29%	28%	29%	29%	29%	24%	39%
	Strongly disagree	115	18%	18%	19%	10%	14%	28%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	1%	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	223	36%	38%	34%	44%	42%	25%	14%
	Somewhat agree	204	33%	29%	36%	41%	37%	22%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	125	20%	22%	18%	11%	14%	31%	37%
	Strongly disagree	73	12%	10%	12%	4%	7%	21%	26%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	31	5%	5%	5%	7%	6%	3%	2%
	Somewhat agree	116	18%	18%	19%	23%	22%	10%	11%
	Somewhat disagree	175	28%	25%	31%	35%	33%	19%	10%
	Strongly disagree	294	47%	50%	44%	32%	38%	65%	76%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	-

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Strongly agree	320	51%	55%	46%	6%	49%	58%	81%
	Somewhat agree	140	22%	23%	22%	9%	27%	19%	10%
	Somewhat disagree	60	10%	8%	11%	14%	11%	9%	2%
	Strongly disagree	102	16%	13%	20%	69%	12%	14%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	-	-
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	21	3%	3%	4%	6%	3%	5%	-
	Somewhat agree	76	12%	11%	13%	18%	12%	13%	5%
	Somewhat disagree	190	30%	29%	32%	36%	36%	15%	23%
	Strongly disagree	335	54%	57%	50%	41%	48%	66%	71%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
Agree: Seattle's hands-off approach to people using illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth in public is contributing to rampant street crime and is making it much harder for our city to	Strongly agree	383	61%	68%	55%	12%	58%	73%	95%
	Somewhat agree	120	19%	16%	23%	17%	25%	12%	-
	Somewhat disagree	69	11%	9%	13%	35%	11%	6%	3%
	Strongly disagree	48	8%	6%	9%	35%	5%	9%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	-
Agree: We should not enforce laws against public use of illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth until we first address the underlying issues driving addiction like economic	Strongly agree	120	19%	18%	21%	74%	16%	18%	3%
	Somewhat agree	88	14%	12%	16%	20%	17%	9%	5%
	Somewhat disagree	96	15%	13%	17%	4%	21%	6%	9%
	Strongly disagree	317	51%	56%	45%	3%	46%	66%	83%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	350	56%	57%	56%	65%	65%	38%	29%
	Disagree	275	44%	43%	44%	34%	35%	62%	71%
	(DK/Ref)	0	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+75	+12	+14	+12	+31	+31	-24	-41

		n	%	Gender		Party			
				Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	568	91%	92%	90%	75%	93%	89%	95%
	Disagree	51	8%	8%	8%	21%	7%	10%	5%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	0%	2%	4%	1%	2%	-
	Net Agree	+516	+83	+84	+82	+54	+86	+79	+90
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	531	85%	84%	86%	55%	87%	87%	93%
	Disagree	89	14%	15%	13%	43%	13%	12%	6%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	+442	+71	+68	+73	+12	+74	+75	+87
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	433	69%	70%	69%	92%	76%	58%	38%
	Disagree	187	30%	30%	30%	8%	23%	42%	61%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+246	+39	+40	+39	+83	+52	+16	-24
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	200	32%	33%	31%	61%	35%	24%	12%
	Disagree	421	67%	66%	68%	38%	65%	76%	88%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	-221	-35	-33	-37	+22	-30	-52	-75
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	566	91%	91%	90%	72%	93%	88%	92%
	Disagree	58	9%	9%	10%	27%	7%	11%	8%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	2%	-	0%	-
	Net Agree	+508	+81	+83	+80	+45	+87	+77	+83
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	432	69%	68%	70%	80%	76%	57%	47%
	Disagree	188	30%	31%	29%	20%	24%	41%	53%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	-
	Net Agree	+244	+39	+37	+41	+60	+52	+16	-7

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	200	32%	30%	34%	47%	39%	18%	9%
	Disagree	422	68%	69%	66%	51%	61%	81%	90%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	-222	-36	-38	-32	-3	-23	-63	-81
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	329	53%	54%	52%	60%	57%	48%	31%
	Disagree	294	47%	46%	48%	40%	43%	51%	69%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+35	+6	+9	+4	+21	+14	-3	-38
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	427	68%	67%	70%	85%	78%	48%	37%
	Disagree	197	32%	33%	30%	15%	21%	52%	63%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	+230	+37	+34	+40	+69	+57	-4	-26
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	147	23%	23%	24%	30%	28%	14%	13%
	Disagree	469	75%	75%	75%	67%	71%	85%	87%
	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	-
	Net Agree	-323	-52	-53	-51	-37	-43	-71	-73
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Agree	459	74%	78%	68%	15%	76%	77%	91%
	Disagree	162	26%	20%	32%	83%	23%	23%	9%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	+298	+48	+58	+37	-69	+53	+53	+82
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	97	15%	14%	17%	23%	15%	18%	5%
	Disagree	525	84%	86%	82%	77%	84%	81%	94%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	-428	-69	-72	-65	-53	-69	-63	-88

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: Seattle's hands-off approach to people using illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth in public is contributing to rampant street crime and is making it	Agree	504	81%	84%	77%	29%	83%	85%	95%
	Disagree	118	19%	16%	22%	70%	17%	15%	5%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+386	+62	+68	+55	-41	+66	+70	+89
Agree: We should not enforce laws against public use of illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth until we first address the underlying issues driving	Agree	208	33%	30%	37%	93%	33%	27%	8%
	Disagree	412	66%	69%	63%	7%	67%	71%	92%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	-205	-33	-39	-26	+87	-34	-44	-84
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	31	5%	3%	7%	29%	3%	4%	3%
	2	24	4%	4%	3%	11%	4%	4%	-
	3	29	5%	5%	5%	17%	4%	4%	4%
	4	41	7%	5%	7%	17%	6%	7%	-
	5	66	11%	10%	12%	6%	15%	4%	1%
	6	63	10%	8%	12%	7%	12%	7%	4%
	7 – Very significant impact	367	59%	64%	54%	13%	56%	69%	86%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	0%	1%	2%
	Mean	621	5.81	5.97	5.65	3.34	5.89	6.02	6.63
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	11	2%	2%	2%	10%	1%	1%	2%
	2	9	1%	0%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%
	3	15	2%	2%	2%	14%	2%	2%	-
	4	52	8%	8%	9%	14%	9%	8%	-
	5	91	15%	16%	14%	28%	14%	13%	11%
	6	113	18%	17%	19%	10%	21%	15%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	332	53%	55%	51%	20%	52%	57%	74%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	2%	-
	Mean	622	6.01	6.07	5.93	4.57	6.07	6.09	6.45

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	12	2%	2%	2%	11%	1%	2%	-
	2	15	2%	1%	3%	13%	2%	2%	-
	3	28	4%	3%	6%	12%	5%	4%	-
	4	59	9%	8%	11%	28%	9%	6%	5%
	5	88	14%	17%	11%	14%	19%	6%	1%
	6	97	15%	15%	16%	3%	18%	12%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	323	52%	55%	49%	19%	46%	67%	80%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%	-
	Mean	621	5.86	6.00	5.72	4.05	5.81	6.23	6.68
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	125	20%	25%	15%	4%	9%	40%	54%
	2	35	6%	7%	4%	4%	5%	8%	8%
	3	64	10%	7%	13%	5%	10%	12%	13%
	4	79	13%	13%	13%	10%	14%	10%	8%
	5	109	17%	18%	17%	18%	22%	6%	11%
	6	75	12%	11%	13%	24%	13%	8%	3%
	7 – Very significant impact	138	22%	19%	26%	35%	26%	16%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	0%	0%	-
Mean	624	4.26	3.98	4.55	5.45	4.81	3.19	2.31	
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	16	3%	2%	3%	-	2%	3%	7%
	2	9	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
	3	21	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	5%	6%
	4	60	10%	11%	8%	11%	10%	7%	12%
	5	134	21%	22%	21%	18%	24%	15%	20%
	6	125	20%	17%	24%	26%	20%	20%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	260	42%	42%	41%	41%	40%	48%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-
Mean	624	5.73	5.65	5.79	5.86	5.74	5.81	5.35	

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	92	15%	17%	13%	2%	6%	33%	43%
	2	28	5%	6%	2%	-	3%	6%	11%
	3	29	5%	6%	3%	-	6%	5%	1%
	4	56	9%	9%	9%	1%	9%	10%	14%
	5	104	17%	18%	15%	21%	18%	15%	10%
	6	93	15%	12%	18%	17%	18%	8%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	219	35%	31%	39%	59%	40%	23%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Mean	621	4.94	4.65	5.23	6.26	5.46	3.84	3.06
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	17	3%	3%	3%	8%	3%	2%	1%
	2	14	2%	2%	2%	10%	2%	1%	-
	3	38	6%	5%	7%	13%	6%	6%	2%
	4	67	11%	10%	11%	23%	11%	6%	8%
	5	93	15%	13%	17%	29%	16%	6%	18%
	6	98	16%	16%	16%	9%	18%	14%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	295	47%	51%	44%	7%	44%	64%	57%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	2%	-
	Mean	621	5.70	5.78	5.62	4.10	5.67	6.15	6.10
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	11	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	-
	2	8	1%	1%	2%	5%	1%	2%	-
	3	16	2%	3%	2%	12%	2%	1%	2%
	4	35	6%	5%	7%	12%	6%	5%	2%
	5	77	12%	14%	11%	26%	12%	11%	12%
	6	81	13%	14%	12%	16%	14%	11%	6%
	7 – Very significant impact	394	63%	61%	65%	27%	64%	67%	78%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	2%	-
	Mean	623	6.18	6.13	6.22	5.10	6.22	6.24	6.57

	n	%	Gender		Party			
			Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases	625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent		100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Impact: Investing in more public transit								
1 – Very little impact	66	11%	12%	9%	2%	5%	25%	22%
2	33	5%	6%	4%	-	3%	9%	15%
3	43	7%	7%	7%	4%	6%	10%	11%
4	70	11%	10%	12%	2%	13%	8%	13%
5	114	18%	20%	17%	19%	20%	15%	16%
6	97	16%	13%	17%	16%	20%	7%	6%
7 – Very significant impact	200	32%	31%	32%	58%	34%	26%	16%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	-
Mean	623	4.97	4.85	5.06	6.14	5.35	4.04	3.72
Impact: Building more affordable housing								
1 – Very little impact	48	8%	9%	7%	6%	3%	15%	24%
2	26	4%	4%	3%	-	3%	10%	4%
3	40	6%	7%	5%	-	4%	11%	15%
4	60	10%	9%	10%	2%	10%	9%	15%
5	97	16%	15%	16%	4%	17%	10%	28%
6	83	13%	13%	14%	3%	17%	10%	5%
7 – Very significant impact	271	43%	42%	44%	85%	47%	34%	10%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-
Mean	624	5.35	5.26	5.45	6.47	5.75	4.56	3.73
Impact: Hiring more police officers								
1 – Very little impact	71	11%	9%	14%	58%	8%	10%	5%
2	38	6%	5%	7%	21%	5%	5%	2%
3	36	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	3%	2%
4	70	11%	11%	12%	5%	14%	9%	4%
5	84	14%	14%	13%	2%	17%	9%	7%
6	92	15%	15%	14%	2%	16%	15%	16%
7 – Very significant impact	230	37%	41%	33%	5%	33%	47%	63%
(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	2%	-
Mean	622	5.02	5.24	4.80	2.02	5.08	5.38	6.08

	n	%	Gender		Party				
			Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep	
Number of cases	625		310	312	44	388	131	63	
Row percent		100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%	
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	84	13%	12%	15%	57%	10%	12%	6%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	6%	7%	17%	7%	8%	2%
	5-7 Significant Impact	496	79%	82%	78%	26%	83%	80%	91%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	34	6%	4%	7%	28%	3%	5%	4%
	4/(DK)	55	9%	8%	9%	14%	9%	10%	-
	5-7 Significant Impact	535	86%	87%	84%	58%	87%	85%	96%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	55	9%	6%	12%	36%	8%	7%	-
	4/(DK)	63	10%	8%	12%	29%	10%	7%	5%
	5-7 Significant Impact	508	81%	86%	76%	35%	83%	85%	95%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	223	36%	40%	32%	13%	24%	60%	76%
	4/(DK)	80	13%	13%	13%	10%	15%	11%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	321	51%	47%	55%	77%	62%	29%	16%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	46	7%	9%	6%	4%	5%	10%	14%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	11%	8%	11%	10%	7%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	519	83%	80%	86%	85%	85%	82%	74%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	149	24%	30%	18%	2%	15%	44%	55%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	9%	10%	1%	9%	10%	16%
	5-7 Significant Impact	416	67%	61%	72%	97%	76%	46%	29%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	69	11%	10%	12%	31%	11%	9%	4%
	4/(DK)	70	11%	10%	12%	23%	12%	8%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	486	78%	79%	76%	45%	78%	83%	89%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	35	6%	6%	5%	19%	5%	5%	2%
	4/(DK)	37	6%	5%	7%	12%	6%	6%	2%
	5-7 Significant Impact	553	88%	89%	88%	69%	89%	88%	96%

	n	%	Gender		Party				
			Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep	
Number of cases	625		310	312	44	388	131	63	
Row percent		100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%	
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	142	23%	25%	21%	6%	13%	44%	48%
	4/(DK)	72	12%	11%	13%	2%	13%	9%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	411	66%	64%	67%	92%	73%	48%	39%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	113	18%	20%	16%	6%	9%	37%	43%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	9%	10%	2%	10%	9%	15%
	5-7 Significant Impact	451	72%	70%	74%	92%	81%	54%	42%
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1-3 Little Impact	144	23%	20%	27%	85%	20%	19%	9%
	4/(DK)	74	12%	11%	13%	5%	14%	11%	4%
	5-7 Significant Impact	407	65%	69%	61%	10%	66%	71%	87%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Much too high	199	32%	34%	29%	10%	24%	49%	57%
	Somewhat too high	180	29%	27%	30%	19%	30%	25%	36%
	Too low	51	8%	7%	10%	20%	8%	8%	1%
	About right	191	31%	31%	31%	49%	37%	18%	6%
	(Don't know)	3	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	-	-
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Too high	379	61%	62%	59%	30%	54%	74%	92%
	About right/(DK)	195	31%	32%	31%	51%	38%	18%	6%
	Too low	51	8%	7%	10%	20%	8%	8%	1%
	Net Too high	+328	+52	+55	+50	+10	+46	+66	+91
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	227	36%	39%	34%	11%	31%	48%	64%
	Somewhat less safe	146	23%	21%	26%	20%	24%	21%	26%
	More safe	66	11%	10%	10%	8%	14%	6%	2%
	(About the same)	184	29%	30%	30%	61%	31%	23%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	374	60%	60%	60%	31%	55%	70%	90%
	(About the same/DK)	185	30%	30%	30%	61%	31%	24%	8%
	More safe	66	11%	10%	10%	8%	14%	6%	2%
	Net Less safe	+308	+49	+50	+50	+23	+41	+64	+88
Illegal public drug use preference: Prosecute if refuse treatment vs. Never arrest	Prosecute if refuse treatment	446	71%	76%	66%	16%	72%	77%	95%
	Never arrest	168	27%	22%	32%	81%	27%	20%	3%
	(Both)	3	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-	2%
	(Neither)	6	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-
Illegal public drug use preference: Prosecute if refuse treatment vs. Never arrest	Prosecute if refuse treatment	446	71%	76%	66%	16%	72%	77%	95%
	Never arrest	168	27%	22%	32%	81%	27%	20%	3%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%
	Net Prosecute if refuse treatment	+277	+44	+55	+34	-65	+45	+57	+91
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Very concerned	162	26%	25%	27%	40%	22%	27%	39%
	Somewhat concerned	232	37%	34%	40%	28%	37%	41%	37%
	Not too concerned	148	24%	26%	21%	22%	28%	14%	19%
	Not at all concerned	82	13%	16%	11%	9%	14%	16%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Concerned	393	63%	58%	67%	68%	58%	69%	76%
	Not concerned	230	37%	42%	32%	32%	42%	30%	24%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
	Net Concerned	+164	+26	+16	+35	+37	+17	+39	+52

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1 – Very pessimistic	125	20%	21%	19%	10%	15%	28%	41%
	2	154	25%	23%	26%	22%	22%	25%	38%
	3	255	41%	38%	43%	50%	49%	26%	16%
	4	66	11%	13%	8%	13%	11%	13%	3%
	5 – Very optimistic	21	3%	5%	2%	5%	3%	6%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	2%	-
	Mean	621	2.52	2.57	2.47	2.80	2.63	2.42	1.87
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1-2 Pessimistic	279	45%	44%	45%	32%	37%	53%	79%
	3/(DK)	260	42%	38%	44%	50%	49%	28%	16%
	4-5 Optimistic	87	14%	18%	10%	18%	13%	19%	5%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	155	25%	30%	19%	14%	22%	35%	29%
	A few times a month	241	38%	37%	41%	51%	39%	35%	36%
	A few times a year	155	25%	21%	28%	26%	25%	22%	25%
	Rarely	48	8%	9%	7%	6%	9%	5%	5%
	Never	20	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%
	(Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	155	25%	30%	19%	14%	22%	35%	29%
	Few times a month	241	38%	37%	41%	51%	39%	35%	36%
	Less often	229	37%	33%	40%	35%	40%	30%	34%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	18	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%
	Somewhat more often	28	4%	5%	3%	9%	4%	4%	2%
	About the same	134	21%	22%	21%	49%	22%	18%	7%
	Somewhat less often	127	20%	17%	23%	16%	23%	15%	15%
	Much less often	314	50%	53%	48%	23%	46%	58%	75%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	More often	46	7%	8%	7%	12%	7%	9%	4%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	139	22%	23%	22%	49%	23%	18%	7%
	Less often	440	70%	70%	71%	39%	70%	73%	90%
	Net More often	-394	-63	-62	-65	-26	-63	-64	-86
Duration of residency	<2 years	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
	2-5 years	88	14%	12%	16%	30%	15%	10%	6%
	6-10 years	82	13%	13%	14%	19%	14%	11%	8%
	11-20 years	156	25%	28%	21%	19%	23%	28%	34%
	>20 years	292	47%	46%	48%	31%	47%	50%	52%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	176	28%	26%	31%	50%	30%	22%	14%
	11-20 years	156	25%	28%	21%	19%	23%	28%	34%
	>20 years	292	47%	46%	48%	31%	47%	50%	52%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	35%	39%	-	60%	-	-
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	14%	14%	-	23%	-	-
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	10%	12%	-	18%	-	-
	Independent	69	11%	12%	9%	-	-	52%	-
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	6%	4%	-	-	-	50%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	3%	1%	-	-	-	20%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	4%	2%	-	-	-	30%
	Socialist (Something else/Don't know/Refused)	44 63	7% 10%	7% 9%	7% 11%	100% -	- -	- 48%	- -
Party	Socialist	44	7%	7%	7%	100%	-	-	-
	Democrat	388	62%	59%	65%	-	100%	-	-
	Independent	131	21%	21%	20%	-	-	100%	-
	Republican	63	10%	13%	7%	-	-	-	100%

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	105	17%	16%	18%	65%	16%	9%	3%
	2	104	17%	12%	20%	16%	23%	7%	-
	3	169	27%	27%	28%	9%	39%	11%	1%
	4	136	22%	24%	20%	5%	17%	41%	21%
	5	55	9%	10%	7%	-	3%	12%	42%
	6	17	3%	4%	2%	-	1%	3%	14%
	7 – Very conservative	18	3%	3%	2%	-	1%	3%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	22	4%	4%	3%	5%	0%	15%	-
	Mean	603	3.09	3.27	2.91	1.52	2.74	3.71	5.18
Ideology	Liberal	378	60%	55%	66%	90%	78%	27%	4%
	Moderate	158	25%	28%	23%	10%	17%	56%	21%
	Conservative	89	14%	18%	11%	-	5%	18%	75%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	53%	47%	19%	55%	45%	49%
	Renter	313	50%	47%	53%	81%	45%	55%	51%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	432	69%	68%	71%	64%	75%	54%	66%
	African American or Black	25	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	8%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	7%	5%	9%	5%	5%	10%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	7%	9%	8%	8%	8%	9%
	Something else	44	7%	8%	6%	13%	5%	10%	11%
	(Refused)	37	6%	6%	5%	3%	3%	15%	3%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	432	69%	68%	71%	64%	75%	54%	66%
	African American or Black	25	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	8%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	7%	5%	9%	5%	5%	10%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	7%	9%	8%	8%	8%	9%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	80	13%	14%	12%	16%	8%	25%	13%

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Ethnicity	White	432	69%	68%	71%	64%	75%	54%	66%
	POC	156	25%	26%	24%	33%	21%	31%	32%
	(Ref)	37	6%	6%	5%	3%	3%	15%	3%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	118	19%	19%	19%	29%	19%	17%	16%
	30-39	157	25%	27%	24%	44%	23%	30%	16%
	40-49	110	18%	18%	17%	7%	18%	19%	23%
	50-64	125	20%	20%	20%	9%	21%	21%	18%
	65+	115	18%	16%	21%	12%	20%	13%	28%
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%	46%	43%	73%	42%	47%	32%
	40+	350	56%	54%	57%	27%	58%	53%	68%
Generation	18-39	275	44%	46%	43%	73%	42%	47%	32%
	40-64	235	38%	38%	37%	16%	39%	40%	40%
	65+	115	18%	16%	21%	12%	20%	13%	28%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-
	Some high school	4	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-
	Graduated high school	24	4%	5%	3%	7%	4%	3%	6%
	Technical/vocational school	21	3%	2%	4%	5%	3%	3%	5%
	Some college/<4-year degree	90	14%	18%	10%	17%	13%	13%	27%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	248	40%	38%	42%	29%	39%	49%	32%
	Graduate/professional degree (Refused)	229 7	37% 1%	34% 1%	39% 1%	40% -	40% 1%	27% 4%	31% -
Education	<4-year degree	148	24%	28%	19%	31%	21%	24%	37%
	4-year degree+	477	76%	72%	81%	69%	79%	76%	63%

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Education	Less than college	148	24%	28%	19%	31%	21%	24%	37%
	Graduated college	248	40%	38%	42%	29%	39%	49%	32%
	Graduate/professional degree	229	37%	34%	39%	40%	40%	27%	31%
Gender	Male	310	50%	100%	-	49%	47%	50%	63%
	Female	312	50%	-	100%	51%	52%	49%	37%
	Non-binary	3	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Region (2023)	South	178	29%	28%	29%	21%	28%	36%	25%
	Central	178	29%	30%	27%	38%	29%	28%	23%
	North	269	43%	42%	43%	41%	44%	37%	53%
City Council District (2023)	1	97	16%	14%	17%	6%	16%	17%	15%
	2	81	13%	14%	12%	15%	11%	19%	10%
	3	97	16%	15%	17%	28%	17%	13%	5%
	4	78	13%	13%	12%	13%	13%	10%	16%
	5	91	15%	15%	14%	17%	15%	8%	22%
	6	100	16%	13%	18%	11%	16%	19%	15%
	7	81	13%	15%	11%	10%	12%	15%	17%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18)	0-3/6	325	52%	56%	47%	50%	49%	58%	57%
	4-5/6	163	26%	23%	30%	31%	25%	27%	24%
	6/6	138	22%	21%	23%	18%	25%	15%	19%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	142	23%	46%	-	36%	22%	22%	18%
	M 40-64	118	19%	38%	-	8%	18%	20%	29%
	M 65+	51	8%	16%	-	5%	7%	8%	16%
	F 18-39	133	21%	-	43%	36%	19%	26%	14%
	F 40-64	114	18%	-	37%	8%	20%	18%	12%
	F 65+	64	10%	-	21%	6%	12%	5%	12%
	Other	3	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-

		n	Gender		Party				
			%	Male	Female	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		310	312	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	50%	50%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Party/Gender	D Male	183	29%	59%	-	-	47%	-	-
	D Female	202	32%	-	65%	-	52%	-	-
	R/I Male	105	17%	34%	-	-	-	50%	63%
	R/I Female	87	14%	-	28%	-	-	49%	37%
	Other	47	8%	7%	7%	100%	0%	1%	-

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
QOL Index	Mean	625	4.23	4.14	4.50	4.18	3.77	4.48	4.32	4.20	3.21
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	209	33%	37%	40%	28%	27%	33%	34%	36%	21%
	Wrong track	396	63%	62%	56%	66%	72%	63%	63%	62%	77%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	2%	3%	6%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%
	Net Right direction	-187	-30	-25	-16	-38	-45	-30	-29	-26	-56
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	242	39%	41%	41%	44%	35%	32%	41%	30%	49%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	118	19%	14%	16%	16%	25%	24%	19%	18%	16%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	88	14%	19%	14%	11%	10%	16%	15%	15%	0%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	84	13%	14%	15%	14%	13%	9%	13%	16%	9%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	62	10%	10%	14%	11%	10%	4%	9%	11%	16%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	58	9%	10%	8%	10%	10%	8%	10%	9%	4%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	38	6%	6%	7%	3%	7%	7%	5%	9%	6%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	29	5%	2%	3%	2%	8%	10%	4%	7%	9%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	27	4%	7%	3%	8%	2%	3%	4%	5%	5%
	Walkability/Transit	26	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	6%	3%	7%	1%
	Other	22	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	2%
Nothing/Don't know	15	2%	4%	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	6%	

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	317	51%	54%	51%	45%	56%	46%	52%	48%	43%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	301	48%	54%	40%	54%	52%	44%	47%	48%	65%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	119	19%	20%	22%	23%	17%	13%	18%	25%	10%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	72	12%	10%	10%	9%	12%	18%	12%	10%	11%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	45	7%	8%	8%	5%	7%	8%	7%	7%	14%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	27	4%	1%	6%	3%	6%	5%	4%	4%	9%
	Jobs/Economy	22	4%	2%	4%	2%	6%	3%	4%	3%	3%
	Taxes	20	3%	1%	2%	6%	2%	6%	2%	6%	2%
	Public transportation	18	3%	3%	6%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	0%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	17	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	6%
	Traffic/Congestion	11	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	0%	1%	2%	3%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	10	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	0%
	Growth/Development/Population	8	1%	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	2%
	Other	19	3%	5%	1%	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	5%
	Nothing/Don't know	5	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%
	Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Somewhat better		68	11%	11%	16%	13%	5%	8%	11%	10%	10%
The same		113	18%	22%	18%	15%	14%	21%	20%	15%	10%
Somewhat worse		210	34%	32%	40%	34%	32%	28%	34%	33%	29%
Much worse		218	35%	34%	22%	33%	47%	41%	31%	41%	49%
(Don't know/Refused)		8	1%	1%	3%	2%	-	1%	2%	-	-
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	77	12%	11%	17%	15%	7%	9%	13%	11%	12%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	121	19%	22%	21%	17%	14%	22%	22%	15%	10%
	Worse	427	68%	66%	62%	67%	79%	69%	65%	74%	79%
	Net Better	-351	-56	-55	-45	-52	-72	-59	-53	-63	-67
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	334	53%	57%	57%	53%	56%	42%	51%	55%	71%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	291	47%	43%	43%	47%	44%	58%	49%	45%	29%

		n	%	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	109	33%	30%	45%	33%	30%	17%	35%	31%	19%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	101	30%	28%	24%	28%	34%	43%	30%	29%	39%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	36	11%	16%	4%	11%	13%	12%	9%	12%	19%
	Homelessness	21	6%	6%	6%	7%	5%	7%	7%	4%	4%
	Closer to family	11	3%	1%	5%	2%	4%	6%	3%	3%	5%
	Declining quality of life	5	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	4%	1%	3%	-
	Work/job opportunities/business leaving	5	2%	1%	-	5%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%
	Growth/Development/Space	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	5%
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	0%	2%	5%
	Want to move abroad	3	1%	-	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	-
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	3	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Taxes	3	1%	-	2%	-	-	3%	1%	1%	-
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	3	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	-
	Weather	2	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	2	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-
	Other	19	6%	9%	5%	1%	6%	6%	5%	8%	3%
Nothing/Don't know	2	1%	2%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-	-	
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	272	81%	86%	83%	76%	87%	72%	79%	84%	92%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	62	19%	14%	17%	24%	13%	28%	21%	16%	8%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	272	43%	49%	47%	40%	48%	30%	41%	46%	66%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	62	10%	8%	10%	12%	7%	12%	11%	9%	6%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	291	47%	43%	43%	47%	44%	58%	49%	45%	29%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	109	18%	18%	21%	16%	16%	15%	18%	19%	4%
	Somewhat agree	240	38%	39%	42%	38%	29%	43%	41%	35%	28%
	Somewhat disagree	158	25%	22%	23%	33%	31%	18%	24%	27%	30%
	Strongly disagree	117	19%	22%	13%	13%	23%	23%	17%	19%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	0	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	0%	-

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	385	62%	65%	50%	57%	66%	74%	62%	62%	59%
	Somewhat agree	183	29%	25%	37%	33%	28%	20%	31%	23%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	33	5%	6%	8%	5%	2%	4%	4%	9%	-
	Strongly disagree	18	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	1%	3%	4%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	346	55%	57%	49%	56%	57%	61%	52%	61%	74%
	Somewhat agree	185	30%	32%	31%	28%	28%	29%	33%	22%	17%
	Somewhat disagree	59	9%	10%	13%	7%	9%	6%	9%	12%	3%
	Strongly disagree	30	5%	1%	5%	7%	6%	4%	5%	4%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	-	3%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	225	36%	37%	40%	36%	36%	28%	39%	34%	13%
	Somewhat agree	208	33%	35%	33%	33%	32%	32%	34%	29%	42%
	Somewhat disagree	108	17%	14%	16%	22%	15%	20%	17%	18%	19%
	Strongly disagree	79	13%	14%	9%	8%	15%	18%	10%	18%	26%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	68	11%	10%	12%	11%	14%	5%	11%	12%	2%
	Somewhat agree	133	21%	21%	23%	25%	17%	20%	22%	20%	13%
	Somewhat disagree	164	26%	32%	25%	33%	24%	17%	27%	23%	33%
	Strongly disagree	257	41%	37%	39%	30%	43%	57%	39%	44%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	0%	-	2%	1%	1%	0%	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	452	72%	76%	62%	74%	77%	76%	73%	72%	72%
	Somewhat agree	114	18%	16%	23%	13%	18%	19%	18%	18%	18%
	Somewhat disagree	35	6%	3%	10%	7%	3%	3%	5%	7%	3%
	Strongly disagree	23	4%	5%	5%	5%	2%	1%	4%	2%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	0%	2%

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	230	37%	36%	45%	40%	29%	31%	38%	37%	27%
	Somewhat agree	201	32%	37%	29%	31%	39%	25%	32%	33%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	95	15%	15%	12%	16%	15%	20%	16%	12%	17%
	Strongly disagree	92	15%	11%	14%	11%	16%	22%	12%	18%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	0%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	30	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	6%	5%	6%	-
	Somewhat agree	169	27%	31%	24%	24%	26%	32%	31%	21%	7%
	Somewhat disagree	161	26%	25%	31%	26%	24%	21%	27%	23%	22%
	Strongly disagree	261	42%	39%	39%	45%	46%	41%	37%	49%	71%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	101	16%	17%	21%	13%	13%	16%	17%	14%	15%
	Somewhat agree	228	36%	31%	44%	41%	35%	30%	39%	31%	27%
	Somewhat disagree	179	29%	33%	26%	26%	27%	33%	29%	27%	30%
	Strongly disagree	115	18%	19%	10%	19%	26%	21%	14%	27%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-	0%	-	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	223	36%	36%	35%	35%	37%	35%	39%	27%	32%
	Somewhat agree	204	33%	33%	32%	37%	31%	31%	34%	36%	7%
	Somewhat disagree	125	20%	19%	24%	18%	18%	19%	18%	23%	34%
	Strongly disagree	73	12%	12%	8%	10%	14%	15%	9%	15%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	31	5%	2%	5%	5%	7%	5%	4%	8%	-
	Somewhat agree	116	18%	19%	22%	16%	14%	20%	20%	18%	6%
	Somewhat disagree	175	28%	29%	25%	35%	27%	25%	29%	29%	13%
	Strongly disagree	294	47%	48%	44%	44%	51%	49%	46%	42%	81%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	-

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Strongly agree	320	51%	43%	39%	48%	56%	73%	52%	47%	62%
	Somewhat agree	140	22%	24%	23%	25%	23%	17%	22%	22%	24%
	Somewhat disagree	60	10%	16%	12%	10%	6%	4%	9%	11%	12%
	Strongly disagree	102	16%	17%	25%	17%	14%	6%	16%	19%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	21	3%	1%	3%	1%	5%	6%	2%	6%	5%
	Somewhat agree	76	12%	14%	16%	9%	7%	15%	14%	11%	-
	Somewhat disagree	190	30%	28%	32%	32%	29%	30%	31%	31%	21%
	Strongly disagree	335	54%	57%	48%	58%	59%	47%	53%	51%	74%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	-	0%	-	1%	2%	0%	1%	-
Agree: Seattle's hands-off approach to people using illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth in public is contributing to rampant street crime and is making it much harder for our city to	Strongly agree	383	61%	61%	53%	62%	64%	69%	59%	63%	85%
	Somewhat agree	120	19%	21%	22%	19%	17%	17%	20%	19%	9%
	Somewhat disagree	69	11%	11%	12%	13%	11%	7%	11%	11%	6%
	Strongly disagree	48	8%	7%	12%	6%	7%	6%	9%	6%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	-
Agree: We should not enforce laws against public use of illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth until we first address the underlying issues driving addiction like economic	Strongly agree	120	19%	22%	27%	17%	17%	10%	20%	18%	10%
	Somewhat agree	88	14%	13%	19%	12%	12%	13%	13%	18%	9%
	Somewhat disagree	96	15%	15%	11%	17%	19%	16%	17%	12%	6%
	Strongly disagree	317	51%	49%	43%	53%	51%	59%	48%	52%	75%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	350	56%	56%	64%	54%	45%	58%	59%	54%	32%
	Disagree	275	44%	44%	36%	46%	55%	41%	41%	46%	68%
	(DK/Ref)	0	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
	Net Agree	+75	+12	+13	+27	+8	-9	+17	+18	+8	-36

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	568	91%	90%	87%	90%	95%	94%	92%	86%	96%
	Disagree	51	8%	9%	12%	9%	5%	5%	7%	13%	2%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	+516	+83	+81	+74	+81	+89	+89	+85	+72	+94
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	531	85%	88%	80%	84%	85%	89%	85%	83%	91%
	Disagree	89	14%	12%	18%	15%	15%	11%	14%	17%	9%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	-	3%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+442	+71	+77	+62	+69	+70	+79	+72	+66	+82
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	433	69%	72%	74%	70%	68%	60%	73%	63%	55%
	Disagree	187	30%	28%	25%	30%	30%	39%	26%	36%	45%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+246	+39	+44	+49	+40	+38	+22	+46	+27	+11
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	200	32%	31%	35%	37%	31%	26%	33%	32%	15%
	Disagree	421	67%	69%	65%	63%	68%	73%	66%	68%	85%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	0%	-	2%	1%	1%	0%	-
	Net Agree	-221	-35	-38	-30	-26	-37	-48	-32	-36	-70
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	566	91%	92%	85%	87%	95%	96%	91%	90%	89%
	Disagree	58	9%	8%	15%	12%	5%	4%	9%	9%	9%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	0%	2%
	Net Agree	+508	+81	+84	+69	+75	+90	+92	+81	+81	+81
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	432	69%	73%	74%	71%	68%	56%	70%	70%	53%
	Disagree	188	30%	26%	26%	27%	31%	42%	29%	29%	47%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	0%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+244	+39	+47	+49	+44	+37	+14	+41	+41	+6

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	200	32%	35%	29%	29%	30%	38%	36%	27%	7%
	Disagree	422	68%	64%	70%	71%	70%	61%	64%	73%	93%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	-222	-36	-29	-42	-43	-39	-23	-28	-46	-87
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	329	53%	48%	64%	54%	47%	46%	56%	46%	42%
	Disagree	294	47%	52%	36%	45%	52%	54%	44%	54%	58%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	+35	+6	-4	+29	+9	-5	-8	+12	-8	-17
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	427	68%	69%	67%	72%	68%	66%	73%	62%	39%
	Disagree	197	32%	31%	32%	28%	32%	34%	27%	38%	61%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	+230	+37	+38	+35	+44	+36	+32	+46	+24	-22
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	147	23%	21%	28%	21%	21%	25%	24%	26%	6%
	Disagree	469	75%	77%	69%	79%	78%	74%	75%	71%	94%
	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	-
	Net Agree	-323	-52	-56	-41	-59	-57	-49	-51	-45	-89
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Agree	459	74%	67%	62%	73%	79%	90%	74%	69%	86%
	Disagree	162	26%	32%	37%	27%	20%	10%	25%	30%	14%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+298	+48	+35	+25	+46	+59	+80	+49	+39	+72
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	97	15%	15%	19%	10%	11%	21%	16%	17%	5%
	Disagree	525	84%	85%	80%	90%	88%	77%	84%	82%	95%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	-	0%	-	1%	2%	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	-428	-69	-70	-61	-81	-77	-57	-68	-65	-90

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Agree: Seattle's hands-off approach to people using illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth in public is contributing to rampant street crime and is making it	Agree	504	81%	82%	75%	81%	81%	85%	79%	82%	94%
	Disagree	118	19%	18%	24%	19%	18%	13%	21%	17%	6%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+386	+62	+64	+51	+62	+64	+72	+58	+65	+89
Agree: We should not enforce laws against public use of illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth until we first address the underlying issues driving	Agree	208	33%	35%	46%	29%	29%	23%	33%	36%	19%
	Disagree	412	66%	64%	54%	70%	70%	76%	65%	64%	81%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	-205	-33	-29	-7	-41	-41	-53	-32	-28	-62
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	31	5%	6%	10%	2%	1%	3%	6%	4%	2%
	2	24	4%	3%	3%	3%	7%	2%	4%	3%	4%
	3	29	5%	6%	7%	5%	2%	2%	5%	5%	-
	4	41	7%	7%	10%	6%	5%	5%	7%	5%	4%
	5	66	11%	9%	13%	8%	10%	11%	12%	9%	6%
	6	63	10%	9%	7%	13%	10%	13%	10%	8%	18%
	7 – Very significant impact	367	59%	59%	50%	62%	64%	63%	56%	66%	66%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	-
	Mean	621	5.81	5.72	5.32	6.03	6.04	6.10	5.69	6.01	6.26
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	11	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%
	2	9	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	-	1%	2%	-
	3	15	2%	4%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%
	4	52	8%	7%	13%	9%	4%	7%	9%	8%	5%
	5	91	15%	14%	17%	10%	17%	15%	15%	13%	12%
	6	113	18%	16%	16%	21%	19%	19%	20%	13%	19%
	7 – Very significant impact	332	53%	56%	46%	53%	58%	55%	51%	59%	59%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-
	Mean	622	6.01	6.05	5.74	5.97	6.19	6.16	5.97	6.05	6.20

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	12	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%
	2	15	2%	1%	4%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	-
	3	28	4%	3%	6%	7%	2%	3%	5%	3%	4%
	4	59	9%	12%	12%	8%	9%	5%	10%	10%	4%
	5	88	14%	18%	14%	12%	16%	10%	15%	14%	11%
	6	97	15%	18%	11%	15%	12%	22%	16%	12%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	323	52%	46%	49%	54%	54%	57%	49%	56%	62%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	-
	Mean	621	5.86	5.83	5.63	5.91	5.86	6.16	5.80	5.95	6.21
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	125	20%	18%	20%	18%	24%	20%	16%	26%	36%
	2	35	6%	10%	6%	4%	5%	3%	5%	6%	9%
	3	64	10%	10%	7%	16%	10%	10%	11%	7%	14%
	4	79	13%	15%	11%	11%	12%	14%	13%	13%	9%
	5	109	17%	15%	18%	15%	23%	16%	18%	17%	11%
	6	75	12%	15%	12%	10%	8%	15%	12%	10%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	138	22%	17%	27%	25%	18%	22%	24%	21%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	1%	0%	0%	-
	Mean	624	4.26	4.12	4.45	4.32	4.01	4.38	4.45	4.00	3.20
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	16	3%	1%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	5%
	2	9	1%	1%	4%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	5%
	3	21	3%	5%	3%	2%	2%	5%	4%	2%	2%
	4	60	10%	13%	7%	14%	9%	5%	11%	7%	7%
	5	134	21%	24%	20%	23%	22%	18%	24%	16%	15%
	6	125	20%	17%	20%	21%	18%	24%	19%	21%	28%
	7 – Very significant impact	260	42%	39%	43%	37%	44%	44%	39%	49%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-
	Mean	624	5.73	5.67	5.69	5.68	5.76	5.84	5.68	5.91	5.55

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	92	15%	14%	16%	14%	16%	13%	11%	22%	30%
	2	28	5%	3%	4%	8%	4%	3%	4%	4%	18%
	3	29	5%	6%	5%	3%	7%	2%	4%	7%	3%
	4	56	9%	11%	5%	12%	7%	11%	9%	8%	17%
	5	104	17%	17%	15%	20%	15%	18%	17%	15%	12%
	6	93	15%	14%	17%	17%	13%	13%	18%	9%	6%
	7 – Very significant impact	219	35%	34%	38%	25%	36%	39%	37%	35%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	-
	Mean	621	4.94	4.94	5.01	4.68	4.86	5.18	5.20	4.59	3.36
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	17	3%	2%	4%	5%	1%	1%	3%	2%	-
	2	14	2%	-	5%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	6%
	3	38	6%	6%	5%	7%	9%	3%	6%	6%	3%
	4	67	11%	12%	10%	16%	8%	7%	13%	7%	3%
	5	93	15%	19%	15%	11%	14%	14%	15%	15%	13%
	6	98	16%	17%	12%	13%	16%	21%	17%	14%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	295	47%	43%	47%	44%	50%	52%	44%	54%	60%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	0%	2%
	Mean	621	5.70	5.71	5.54	5.40	5.82	6.07	5.60	5.88	6.08
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	11	2%	1%	2%	5%	1%	2%	1%	3%	-
	2	8	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	-	2%	0%	2%
	3	16	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	4%	-
	4	35	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	5%	6%	6%	2%
	5	77	12%	15%	15%	17%	8%	7%	12%	15%	5%
	6	81	13%	16%	14%	18%	7%	11%	14%	10%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	394	63%	58%	57%	54%	73%	74%	62%	61%	81%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	-
	Mean	623	6.18	6.10	6.06	5.98	6.31	6.46	6.19	6.05	6.63

	n	%	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)	
Number of cases	625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37	
Row percent		100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%	
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1 – Very little impact	66	11%	10%	6%	5%	17%	15%	9%	14%	20%
	2	33	5%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	7%	
	3	43	7%	8%	5%	6%	8%	8%	6%	7%	19%
	4	70	11%	13%	11%	8%	11%	13%	12%	10%	11%
	5	114	18%	17%	17%	19%	20%	19%	20%	15%	14%
	6	97	16%	15%	14%	21%	15%	14%	18%	10%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	200	32%	32%	40%	35%	25%	25%	31%	38%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	0%	0%	2%
	Mean	623	4.97	4.94	5.30	5.35	4.55	4.60	5.07	4.91	3.94
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1 – Very little impact	48	8%	7%	6%	2%	12%	11%	5%	12%	20%
	2	26	4%	2%	6%	5%	4%	3%	3%	5%	11%
	3	40	6%	6%	5%	11%	6%	6%	6%	8%	4%
	4	60	10%	10%	12%	7%	6%	12%	9%	10%	16%
	5	97	16%	17%	13%	18%	16%	14%	17%	14%	6%
	6	83	13%	12%	11%	14%	15%	16%	13%	11%	24%
	7 – Very significant impact	271	43%	46%	48%	43%	42%	37%	47%	40%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	0%	-
	Mean	624	5.35	5.45	5.45	5.47	5.22	5.13	5.56	5.03	4.26
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1 – Very little impact	71	11%	11%	20%	11%	8%	4%	12%	10%	10%
	2	38	6%	10%	5%	4%	7%	4%	7%	5%	2%
	3	36	6%	7%	8%	7%	5%	1%	5%	7%	2%
	4	70	11%	13%	12%	14%	12%	5%	10%	16%	7%
	5	84	14%	16%	14%	12%	13%	12%	13%	14%	20%
	6	92	15%	11%	15%	21%	9%	18%	15%	12%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	230	37%	33%	25%	31%	46%	54%	37%	34%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-
	Mean	622	5.02	4.76	4.41	5.01	5.25	5.91	5.02	4.94	5.47

	n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity				
		%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)	
Number of cases	625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37	
Row percent		100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%	
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	84	13%	16%	20%	11%	10%	8%	15%	11%	6%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	7%	10%	7%	6%	5%	8%	6%	4%
	5-7 Significant Impact	496	79%	77%	70%	83%	84%	87%	77%	83%	90%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	34	6%	7%	7%	3%	3%	5%	6%	4%	
	4/(DK)	55	9%	7%	14%	9%	4%	8%	9%	5%	
	5-7 Significant Impact	535	86%	86%	79%	83%	93%	89%	86%	85%	91%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	55	9%	6%	13%	10%	8%	6%	9%	7%	6%
	4/(DK)	63	10%	12%	13%	8%	9%	5%	10%	11%	4%
	5-7 Significant Impact	508	81%	82%	74%	82%	82%	89%	80%	82%	90%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	223	36%	38%	32%	38%	39%	32%	32%	40%	59%
	4/(DK)	80	13%	15%	11%	12%	12%	14%	13%	13%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	321	51%	47%	57%	50%	49%	53%	55%	47%	32%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	46	7%	6%	10%	4%	6%	9%	7%	6%	12%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	13%	7%	14%	9%	5%	11%	8%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	519	83%	80%	83%	81%	84%	86%	82%	86%	81%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	149	24%	23%	25%	26%	28%	17%	19%	32%	51%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	11%	5%	13%	8%	12%	9%	8%	17%
	5-7 Significant Impact	416	67%	65%	70%	62%	64%	70%	72%	59%	32%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	69	11%	8%	14%	16%	11%	5%	11%	10%	9%
	4/(DK)	70	11%	12%	12%	16%	8%	8%	13%	7%	5%
	5-7 Significant Impact	486	78%	79%	74%	69%	81%	87%	75%	83%	86%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	35	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	2%	5%	8%	2%
	4/(DK)	37	6%	5%	8%	5%	5%	6%	6%	6%	2%
	5-7 Significant Impact	553	88%	89%	86%	89%	88%	92%	89%	86%	96%

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	142	23%	23%	17%	16%	30%	28%	20%	26%	45%
	4/(DK)	72	12%	13%	11%	8%	11%	14%	12%	11%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	411	66%	64%	71%	75%	59%	58%	69%	63%	42%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	113	18%	16%	16%	18%	22%	20%	14%	25%	35%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	10%	12%	7%	6%	13%	9%	11%	16%
	5-7 Significant Impact	451	72%	74%	72%	75%	73%	68%	77%	65%	49%
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1-3 Little Impact	144	23%	28%	33%	22%	20%	9%	24%	23%	14%
	4/(DK)	74	12%	13%	13%	15%	12%	6%	10%	17%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	407	65%	59%	54%	64%	68%	85%	66%	60%	79%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Much too high	199	32%	31%	31%	25%	36%	35%	26%	43%	56%
	Somewhat too high	180	29%	28%	26%	34%	31%	27%	30%	26%	24%
	Too low	51	8%	10%	11%	4%	6%	9%	9%	6%	6%
	About right	191	31%	32%	33%	35%	25%	29%	34%	25%	12%
	(Don't know)	3	1%	-	-	2%	1%	-	0%	0%	2%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Too high	379	61%	59%	57%	59%	68%	62%	56%	69%	80%
	About right/(DK)	195	31%	32%	33%	37%	26%	29%	35%	25%	14%
	Too low	51	8%	10%	11%	4%	6%	9%	9%	6%	6%
	Net Too high	+328	+52	+49	+46	+55	+61	+53	+47	+63	+75
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	227	36%	37%	33%	29%	46%	38%	31%	45%	58%
	Somewhat less safe	146	23%	26%	20%	26%	19%	28%	25%	21%	20%
	More safe	66	11%	5%	14%	13%	9%	11%	11%	11%	2%
	(About the same)	184	29%	32%	32%	32%	26%	24%	32%	23%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	-

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	374	60%	64%	53%	54%	65%	65%	56%	66%	78%
	(About the same/DK)	185	30%	32%	33%	32%	26%	24%	33%	23%	20%
	More safe	66	11%	5%	14%	13%	9%	11%	11%	11%	2%
	Net Less safe	+308	+49	+59	+38	+41	+56	+54	+45	+55	+76
Illegal public drug use preference: Prosecute if refuse treatment vs. Never arrest	Prosecute if refuse treatment	446	71%	69%	63%	73%	72%	82%	68%	76%	87%
	Never arrest	168	27%	30%	34%	26%	26%	16%	30%	23%	9%
	(Both)	3	0%	-	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-
	(Neither)	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Illegal public drug use preference: Prosecute if refuse treatment vs. Never arrest	Prosecute if refuse treatment	446	71%	69%	63%	73%	72%	82%	68%	76%	87%
	Never arrest	168	27%	30%	34%	26%	26%	16%	30%	23%	9%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	4%
	Net Prosecute if refuse treatment	+277	+44	+39	+29	+47	+47	+66	+38	+53	+79
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Very concerned	162	26%	29%	26%	21%	28%	24%	22%	37%	21%
	Somewhat concerned	232	37%	25%	38%	45%	41%	36%	36%	37%	48%
	Not too concerned	148	24%	29%	23%	23%	20%	23%	26%	18%	23%
	Not at all concerned	82	13%	16%	11%	11%	11%	18%	15%	8%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Concerned	393	63%	55%	64%	66%	69%	60%	58%	74%	69%
	Not concerned	230	37%	45%	35%	34%	31%	40%	41%	26%	31%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Concerned	+164	+26	+9	+30	+32	+38	+20	+17	+48	+38

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1 – Very pessimistic	125	20%	24%	15%	15%	26%	21%	16%	29%	28%
	2	154	25%	23%	25%	28%	22%	26%	25%	21%	34%
	3	255	41%	36%	45%	45%	41%	35%	43%	38%	31%
	4	66	11%	15%	10%	9%	5%	14%	12%	9%	6%
	5 – Very optimistic	21	3%	2%	4%	3%	5%	2%	3%	4%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	0%	-
	Mean	621	2.52	2.46	2.64	2.59	2.40	2.50	2.60	2.38	2.16
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1-2 Pessimistic	279	45%	48%	39%	42%	48%	47%	41%	49%	62%
	3/(DK)	260	42%	36%	46%	45%	42%	37%	44%	38%	31%
	4-5 Optimistic	87	14%	16%	14%	12%	10%	16%	15%	13%	6%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	155	25%	23%	24%	23%	29%	26%	24%	27%	31%
	A few times a month	241	38%	41%	38%	43%	36%	35%	38%	37%	44%
	A few times a year	155	25%	23%	21%	30%	27%	26%	26%	21%	20%
	Rarely	48	8%	9%	9%	3%	7%	10%	8%	8%	5%
	Never	20	3%	5%	5%	1%	1%	4%	3%	5%	-
	(Refused)	7	1%	1%	3%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	155	25%	23%	24%	23%	29%	26%	24%	27%	31%
	Few times a month	241	38%	41%	38%	43%	36%	35%	38%	37%	44%
	Less often	229	37%	37%	38%	34%	35%	39%	38%	36%	24%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	18	3%	5%	4%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	7%
	Somewhat more often	28	4%	8%	7%	2%	3%	1%	5%	4%	3%
	About the same	134	21%	26%	20%	20%	19%	22%	23%	19%	8%
	Somewhat less often	127	20%	15%	21%	29%	17%	19%	21%	17%	24%
	Much less often	314	50%	44%	46%	47%	58%	57%	48%	55%	57%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	More often	46	7%	14%	11%	3%	6%	2%	7%	7%	10%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	139	22%	27%	22%	21%	19%	22%	24%	20%	8%
	Less often	440	70%	59%	67%	76%	75%	76%	69%	72%	81%
	Net More often	-394	-63	-46	-56	-73	-69	-74	-62	-65	-71
Duration of residency	<2 years	7	1%	0%	3%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	-
	2-5 years	88	14%	22%	25%	7%	6%	6%	14%	15%	11%
	6-10 years	82	13%	16%	23%	11%	7%	5%	13%	15%	11%
	11-20 years	156	25%	35%	20%	38%	27%	7%	25%	27%	18%
	>20 years	292	47%	27%	28%	44%	59%	82%	48%	41%	60%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	176	28%	39%	51%	18%	13%	11%	27%	32%	22%
	11-20 years	156	25%	35%	20%	38%	27%	7%	25%	27%	18%
	>20 years	292	47%	27%	28%	44%	59%	82%	48%	41%	60%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	36%	31%	29%	44%	46%	43%	24%	18%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	16%	13%	23%	11%	8%	13%	17%	13%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	10%	12%	10%	11%	12%	11%	12%	5%
	Independent	69	11%	11%	7%	8%	18%	11%	9%	15%	23%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	2%	6%	9%	2%	6%	5%	6%	2%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	4%	-	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	-
	Strong Republican	19	3%	3%	-	3%	5%	6%	3%	4%	3%
	Socialist	44	7%	11%	12%	3%	3%	4%	7%	9%	3%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	8%	18%	14%	4%	3%	8%	11%	32%
Party	Socialist	44	7%	11%	12%	3%	3%	4%	7%	9%	3%
	Democrat	388	62%	62%	56%	62%	66%	66%	68%	52%	36%
	Independent	131	21%	19%	25%	22%	22%	15%	16%	26%	56%
	Republican	63	10%	9%	6%	13%	9%	15%	9%	13%	5%

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	105	17%	16%	23%	8%	16%	18%	18%	15%	3%
	2	104	17%	16%	17%	17%	19%	13%	18%	14%	17%
	3	169	27%	25%	31%	31%	22%	25%	29%	23%	17%
	4	136	22%	32%	14%	20%	23%	23%	20%	23%	30%
	5	55	9%	5%	8%	15%	11%	6%	8%	13%	2%
	6	17	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	6%	2%	5%	-
	7 – Very conservative	18	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	6%	2%	3%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	22	4%	1%	5%	6%	2%	3%	2%	3%	24%
	Mean	603	3.09	3.07	2.73	3.30	3.18	3.29	2.97	3.35	3.43
Ideology	Liberal	378	60%	57%	71%	56%	58%	56%	66%	52%	38%
	Moderate	158	25%	33%	19%	26%	24%	26%	22%	27%	55%
	Conservative	89	14%	9%	10%	18%	18%	18%	12%	22%	8%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	51%	46%	58%	44%	54%	51%	45%	56%
	Renter	313	50%	49%	54%	42%	56%	46%	49%	55%	44%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	432	69%	68%	65%	68%	65%	81%	100%	-	-
	African American or Black	25	4%	5%	4%	2%	5%	4%	-	16%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	6%	6%	7%	9%	2%	-	24%	-
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	8%	11%	9%	7%	5%	-	32%	-
	Something else	44	7%	8%	8%	8%	7%	4%	-	28%	-
	(Refused)	37	6%	6%	7%	6%	7%	4%	-	-	100%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	432	69%	68%	65%	68%	65%	81%	100%	-	-
	African American or Black	25	4%	5%	4%	2%	5%	4%	-	16%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	6%	6%	7%	9%	2%	-	24%	-
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	8%	11%	9%	7%	5%	-	32%	-
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	80	13%	14%	14%	14%	14%	8%	-	28%	100%

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Ethnicity	White	432	69%	68%	65%	68%	65%	81%	100%	-	-
	POC	156	25%	26%	28%	26%	28%	15%	-	100%	-
	(Ref)	37	6%	6%	7%	6%	7%	4%	-	-	100%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	118	19%	100%	-	-	-	-	19%	20%	20%
	30-39	157	25%	-	100%	-	-	-	24%	29%	28%
	40-49	110	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	17%	19%	17%
	50-64	125	20%	-	-	-	100%	-	19%	22%	23%
	65+	115	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	22%	11%	12%
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%	100%	100%	-	-	-	42%	48%	48%
	40+	350	56%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	58%	52%	52%
Generation	18-39	275	44%	100%	100%	-	-	-	42%	48%	48%
	40-64	235	38%	-	-	100%	100%	-	36%	41%	40%
	65+	115	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	22%	11%	12%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
	Some high school	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	-
	Graduated high school	24	4%	4%	3%	7%	2%	4%	4%	5%	2%
	Technical/vocational school	21	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%	5%
	Some college/<4-year degree	90	14%	13%	11%	13%	15%	21%	14%	16%	10%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	248	40%	45%	42%	34%	45%	30%	40%	38%	38%
	Graduate/professional degree (Refused)	229 7	37% 1%	34% 0%	38% 1%	41% 1%	33% 2%	38% 1%	37% 1%	37% 1%	39% 5%
Education	<4-year degree	148	24%	20%	20%	25%	22%	32%	23%	26%	23%
	4-year degree+	477	76%	80%	80%	75%	78%	68%	77%	74%	77%

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Education	Less than college	148	24%	20%	20%	25%	22%	32%	23%	26%	23%
	Graduated college	248	40%	45%	42%	34%	45%	30%	40%	38%	38%
	Graduate/professional degree	229	37%	34%	38%	41%	33%	38%	37%	37%	39%
Gender	Male	310	50%	49%	53%	50%	50%	44%	48%	52%	54%
	Female	312	50%	51%	47%	48%	49%	56%	51%	47%	46%
	Non-binary	3	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	0%	1%	-
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Region (2023)	South	178	29%	24%	27%	31%	31%	29%	28%	30%	30%
	Central	178	29%	30%	32%	28%	26%	26%	29%	24%	38%
	North	269	43%	46%	41%	42%	43%	44%	43%	46%	32%
City Council District (2023)	1	97	16%	15%	14%	17%	17%	15%	17%	12%	14%
	2	81	13%	10%	14%	13%	14%	14%	11%	18%	17%
	3	97	16%	13%	18%	14%	12%	20%	17%	12%	7%
	4	78	13%	17%	14%	11%	10%	10%	12%	15%	11%
	5	91	15%	14%	13%	13%	16%	16%	15%	14%	10%
	6	100	16%	15%	14%	18%	17%	18%	16%	17%	11%
	7	81	13%	17%	14%	13%	14%	6%	12%	11%	30%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18)	0-3/6	325	52%	82%	65%	53%	37%	20%	49%	60%	58%
	4-5/6	163	26%	17%	30%	40%	23%	20%	27%	24%	19%
	6/6	138	22%	1%	6%	7%	41%	60%	24%	16%	23%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	142	23%	49%	53%	-	-	-	22%	24%	26%
	M 40-64	118	19%	-	-	50%	50%	-	18%	22%	17%
	M 65+	51	8%	-	-	-	-	44%	9%	5%	12%
	F 18-39	133	21%	51%	47%	-	-	-	20%	24%	23%
	F 40-64	114	18%	-	-	48%	49%	-	18%	18%	23%
	F 65+	64	10%	-	-	-	-	56%	13%	6%	-
	Other	3	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	0%	1%	-

		n	Age (Replaced)					Ethnicity			
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	432	156	37
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	69%	25%	6%
Party/Gender	D Male	183	29%	32%	31%	28%	31%	24%	32%	25%	20%
	D Female	202	32%	30%	26%	34%	33%	42%	36%	27%	16%
	R/I Male	105	17%	12%	16%	21%	17%	18%	14%	22%	33%
	R/I Female	87	14%	15%	15%	13%	13%	12%	12%	15%	27%
	Other	47	8%	11%	12%	4%	4%	4%	7%	10%	3%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
QOL Index	Mean	625	4.23	3.94	4.32	4.61	3.91	4.16	4.18	4.27
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	209	33%	28%	35%	41%	29%	31%	31%	36%
	Wrong track	396	63%	69%	62%	53%	69%	67%	65%	61%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	4%	3%	6%	2%	2%	3%	3%
	Net Right direction	-187	-30	-41	-26	-12	-40	-36	-34	-26
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	242	39%	26%	43%	43%	38%	37%	39%	38%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	118	19%	18%	19%	16%	23%	19%	22%	16%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	88	14%	11%	15%	16%	14%	13%	15%	13%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	84	13%	9%	15%	16%	12%	12%	13%	14%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	62	10%	10%	10%	14%	8%	8%	9%	11%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	58	9%	13%	8%	9%	9%	9%	7%	11%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	38	6%	8%	5%	4%	4%	8%	9%	3%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	29	5%	4%	5%	2%	5%	6%	4%	5%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	27	4%	4%	4%	3%	6%	4%	6%	3%
	Walkability/Transit	26	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	4%	3%	5%
	Other	22	3%	5%	3%	4%	5%	2%	3%	4%
Nothing/Don't know	15	2%	7%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter	
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313	
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%	
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	317	51%	53%	50%	53%	47%	51%	53%	49%	
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	301	48%	50%	47%	42%	51%	50%	55%	41%	
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	119	19%	23%	18%	25%	21%	14%	9%	29%	
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	72	12%	12%	11%	8%	11%	14%	11%	12%	
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	45	7%	4%	8%	6%	8%	8%	7%	8%	
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	27	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%	5%	3%	5%	
	Jobs/Economy	22	4%	1%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	3%	
	Taxes	20	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	5%	4%	3%	
	Public transportation	18	3%	0%	4%	7%	2%	1%	3%	3%	
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	17	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	4%	4%	2%	
	Traffic/Congestion	11	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	10	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	
	Growth/Development/Population	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	
	Other	19	3%	4%	3%	2%	5%	3%	2%	4%	
	Nothing/Don't know	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	
	Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	8	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%
		Somewhat better	68	11%	10%	11%	16%	9%	9%	10%	12%
The same		113	18%	15%	19%	20%	15%	18%	15%	21%	
Somewhat worse		210	34%	27%	36%	38%	32%	32%	33%	34%	
Much worse		218	35%	46%	31%	19%	41%	41%	40%	30%	
(Don't know/Refused)		8	1%	-	2%	5%	-	-	1%	2%	
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	77	12%	12%	12%	18%	11%	9%	11%	13%	
	Same/(DK/Ref)	121	19%	15%	21%	25%	15%	18%	16%	22%	
	Worse	427	68%	73%	67%	57%	73%	73%	72%	64%	
	Net Better	-351	-56	-61	-55	-39	-62	-63	-61	-51	
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	334	53%	57%	52%	54%	60%	50%	52%	55%	
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	291	47%	43%	48%	46%	40%	50%	48%	45%	

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	109	33%	36%	31%	46%	29%	26%	16%	48%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	101	30%	30%	31%	24%	36%	31%	37%	24%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	36	11%	8%	11%	7%	9%	14%	13%	8%
	Homelessness	21	6%	10%	5%	5%	9%	6%	7%	5%
	Closer to family	11	3%	1%	4%	7%	2%	2%	4%	2%
	Declining quality of life	5	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
	Work/job opportunities/business leaving	5	2%	-	2%	-	4%	1%	2%	1%
	Growth/Development/Space	4	1%	3%	1%	-	-	3%	2%	1%
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	3%	2%	-
	Want to move abroad	3	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	3	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-
	Taxes	3	1%	1%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	0%
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	3	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Weather	2	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	2	1%	1%	0%	2%	-	-	-	1%
	Other	19	6%	4%	6%	5%	7%	5%	6%	5%
	Nothing/Don't know	2	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	272	81%	89%	79%	82%	83%	80%	79%	83%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	62	19%	11%	21%	18%	17%	20%	21%	17%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	272	43%	50%	41%	44%	49%	40%	41%	46%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	62	10%	6%	11%	10%	10%	10%	11%	9%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	291	47%	43%	48%	46%	40%	50%	48%	45%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	109	18%	17%	18%	22%	15%	16%	15%	20%
	Somewhat agree	240	38%	35%	39%	42%	38%	36%	41%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	158	25%	23%	26%	25%	24%	26%	25%	25%
	Strongly disagree	117	19%	24%	17%	11%	23%	21%	18%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	0	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	0%	-

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	385	62%	63%	61%	51%	64%	67%	69%	54%
	Somewhat agree	183	29%	31%	29%	37%	27%	26%	24%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	33	5%	3%	6%	7%	6%	4%	5%	6%
	Strongly disagree	18	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	346	55%	64%	53%	47%	59%	59%	59%	52%
	Somewhat agree	185	30%	22%	32%	33%	28%	28%	27%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	59	9%	8%	10%	14%	8%	8%	10%	9%
	Strongly disagree	30	5%	4%	5%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	2%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	225	36%	31%	37%	45%	32%	33%	34%	38%
	Somewhat agree	208	33%	35%	33%	32%	33%	34%	34%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	108	17%	19%	17%	14%	18%	19%	17%	17%
	Strongly disagree	79	13%	14%	12%	8%	16%	13%	14%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	68	11%	10%	11%	13%	13%	9%	9%	13%
	Somewhat agree	133	21%	16%	23%	25%	18%	21%	20%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	164	26%	27%	26%	28%	27%	25%	28%	25%
	Strongly disagree	257	41%	47%	40%	35%	43%	44%	42%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	0%
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	452	72%	73%	72%	67%	77%	73%	77%	68%
	Somewhat agree	114	18%	19%	18%	23%	15%	17%	14%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	35	6%	5%	6%	7%	5%	5%	6%	5%
	Strongly disagree	23	4%	2%	4%	4%	3%	4%	3%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	230	37%	27%	40%	50%	36%	29%	35%	38%
	Somewhat agree	201	32%	32%	32%	31%	34%	32%	35%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	95	15%	20%	14%	10%	14%	19%	14%	16%
	Strongly disagree	92	15%	20%	13%	9%	15%	18%	13%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	30	5%	6%	5%	4%	3%	6%	5%	5%
	Somewhat agree	169	27%	24%	28%	29%	27%	26%	26%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	161	26%	20%	27%	35%	25%	20%	26%	25%
	Strongly disagree	261	42%	49%	40%	31%	44%	47%	43%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	0%	1%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	101	16%	10%	18%	19%	17%	14%	18%	14%
	Somewhat agree	228	36%	32%	38%	42%	33%	35%	36%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	179	29%	33%	27%	28%	28%	30%	27%	30%
	Strongly disagree	115	18%	24%	17%	10%	22%	21%	18%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	0%	0%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	223	36%	32%	37%	31%	39%	37%	36%	35%
	Somewhat agree	204	33%	34%	32%	43%	28%	28%	29%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	125	20%	19%	20%	16%	18%	23%	22%	18%
	Strongly disagree	73	12%	14%	11%	9%	14%	12%	12%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	31	5%	7%	4%	5%	6%	4%	4%	6%
	Somewhat agree	116	18%	16%	19%	22%	16%	18%	18%	19%
	Somewhat disagree	175	28%	26%	29%	35%	25%	26%	28%	28%
	Strongly disagree	294	47%	50%	46%	34%	53%	52%	50%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	2%	1%	4%	0%	1%	1%	2%

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Strongly agree	320	51%	56%	50%	35%	52%	60%	62%	40%
	Somewhat agree	140	22%	21%	23%	22%	20%	24%	22%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	60	10%	10%	9%	16%	12%	5%	5%	14%
	Strongly disagree	102	16%	13%	17%	25%	16%	11%	9%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	2%	-	0%	1%	0%
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	21	3%	5%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%
	Somewhat agree	76	12%	15%	11%	15%	13%	10%	9%	15%
	Somewhat disagree	190	30%	24%	32%	40%	22%	30%	29%	32%
	Strongly disagree	335	54%	55%	53%	42%	62%	56%	59%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	1%	0%	0%	-	1%	1%	0%
Agree: Seattle's hands-off approach to people using illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth in public is contributing to rampant street crime and is making it much harder for our city to	Strongly agree	383	61%	69%	59%	48%	67%	66%	68%	54%
	Somewhat agree	120	19%	13%	21%	28%	15%	16%	16%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	69	11%	10%	11%	12%	12%	10%	10%	12%
	Strongly disagree	48	8%	8%	8%	12%	5%	7%	5%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Agree: We should not enforce laws against public use of illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth until we first address the underlying issues driving addiction like economic	Strongly agree	120	19%	18%	20%	29%	18%	14%	12%	26%
	Somewhat agree	88	14%	17%	13%	17%	13%	13%	11%	17%
	Somewhat disagree	96	15%	15%	15%	13%	18%	15%	15%	16%
	Strongly disagree	317	51%	50%	51%	40%	50%	58%	61%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	350	56%	52%	57%	64%	53%	53%	56%	56%
	Disagree	275	44%	48%	43%	36%	47%	47%	44%	44%
	(DK/Ref)	0	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+75	+12	+5	+14	+28	+6	+6	+13	+11

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	568	91%	94%	90%	88%	91%	93%	93%	89%
	Disagree	51	8%	6%	9%	11%	9%	6%	6%	10%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+516	+83	+88	+81	+76	+82	+87	+87	+79
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	531	85%	87%	84%	80%	87%	87%	86%	84%
	Disagree	89	14%	12%	15%	18%	12%	13%	14%	15%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	2%
	Net Agree	+442	+71	+74	+70	+62	+74	+74	+73	+69
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	433	69%	67%	70%	77%	66%	66%	68%	71%
	Disagree	187	30%	32%	29%	22%	34%	32%	32%	28%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+246	+39	+35	+41	+55	+31	+34	+36	+43
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	200	32%	26%	34%	38%	30%	30%	29%	35%
	Disagree	421	67%	74%	65%	62%	70%	69%	70%	65%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	-221	-35	-48	-32	-25	-39	-40	-41	-29
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	566	91%	93%	90%	89%	92%	91%	90%	91%
	Disagree	58	9%	7%	10%	11%	8%	9%	10%	9%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Net Agree	+508	+81	+85	+80	+79	+84	+82	+81	+82
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	432	69%	58%	72%	81%	70%	61%	71%	68%
	Disagree	188	30%	40%	27%	19%	29%	37%	28%	32%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	-
	Net Agree	+244	+39	+19	+45	+62	+41	+24	+43	+35

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	200	32%	30%	33%	33%	31%	32%	30%	34%
	Disagree	422	68%	69%	67%	66%	69%	67%	69%	66%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	-222	-36	-39	-34	-33	-39	-35	-39	-32
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	329	53%	42%	56%	61%	50%	49%	54%	51%
	Disagree	294	47%	58%	44%	38%	50%	51%	45%	49%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	0%	0%
	Net Agree	+35	+6	-15	+12	+23	+0	-2	+9	+2
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	427	68%	66%	69%	74%	68%	65%	65%	71%
	Disagree	197	32%	34%	31%	25%	32%	35%	34%	29%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-
	Net Agree	+230	+37	+33	+38	+49	+36	+30	+31	+42
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	147	23%	22%	24%	27%	22%	22%	22%	25%
	Disagree	469	75%	76%	75%	69%	78%	77%	77%	73%
	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	2%	1%	4%	0%	1%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	-323	-52	-54	-51	-41	-56	-56	-56	-48
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Agree	459	74%	77%	72%	57%	72%	84%	84%	63%
	Disagree	162	26%	23%	27%	41%	28%	16%	15%	37%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	2%	-	0%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+298	+48	+54	+46	+16	+44	+68	+69	+26
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	97	15%	20%	14%	18%	16%	14%	12%	19%
	Disagree	525	84%	79%	86%	82%	84%	85%	87%	81%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	1%	0%	0%	-	1%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	-428	-69	-58	-72	-64	-68	-72	-75	-62

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Agree: Seattle's hands-off approach to people using illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth in public is contributing to rampant street crime and is making it	Agree	504	81%	81%	80%	76%	82%	82%	84%	77%
	Disagree	118	19%	18%	19%	23%	18%	17%	15%	23%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+386	+62	+63	+61	+53	+64	+66	+69	+55
Agree: We should not enforce laws against public use of illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth until we first address the underlying issues driving	Agree	208	33%	34%	33%	46%	32%	26%	23%	43%
	Disagree	412	66%	65%	66%	53%	68%	73%	76%	56%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	-205	-33	-30	-33	-7	-36	-46	-53	-13
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	31	5%	2%	6%	9%	3%	4%	4%	6%
	2	24	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	3%	2%	6%
	3	29	5%	5%	4%	6%	6%	3%	4%	5%
	4	41	7%	7%	6%	8%	6%	6%	6%	7%
	5	66	11%	11%	11%	14%	10%	9%	9%	12%
	6	63	10%	10%	10%	10%	8%	11%	9%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	367	59%	60%	58%	48%	60%	65%	67%	51%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%
	Mean	621	5.81	5.93	5.77	5.38	5.85	6.04	6.09	5.53
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	11	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	2	9	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
	3	15	2%	3%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%
	4	52	8%	5%	9%	10%	9%	7%	5%	11%
	5	91	15%	16%	14%	16%	11%	15%	12%	17%
	6	113	18%	18%	18%	16%	17%	20%	17%	19%
	7 – Very significant impact	332	53%	53%	53%	49%	59%	53%	59%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Mean	622	6.01	6.03	6.00	5.85	6.11	6.04	6.14	5.87

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	12	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%
	2	15	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%
	3	28	4%	3%	5%	7%	1%	5%	4%	5%
	4	59	9%	9%	10%	14%	10%	6%	6%	13%
	5	88	14%	15%	14%	16%	15%	13%	12%	16%
	6	97	15%	13%	16%	15%	11%	18%	17%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	323	52%	55%	50%	41%	59%	54%	58%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%
	Mean	621	5.86	6.00	5.82	5.50	6.03	5.99	6.11	5.61
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	125	20%	24%	19%	14%	24%	22%	19%	21%
	2	35	6%	6%	6%	5%	9%	4%	5%	6%
	3	64	10%	9%	11%	8%	12%	11%	11%	9%
	4	79	13%	11%	13%	14%	10%	13%	14%	11%
	5	109	17%	18%	17%	18%	16%	18%	16%	19%
	6	75	12%	12%	12%	15%	12%	10%	14%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	138	22%	19%	23%	27%	17%	22%	20%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean	624	4.26	4.10	4.32	4.68	3.90	4.20	4.25	4.28
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	16	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%
	2	9	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%
	3	21	3%	2%	4%	2%	5%	3%	3%	3%
	4	60	10%	10%	9%	9%	9%	10%	10%	9%
	5	134	21%	18%	22%	25%	22%	19%	21%	22%
	6	125	20%	20%	20%	19%	16%	23%	22%	18%
	7 – Very significant impact	260	42%	45%	40%	43%	42%	40%	41%	42%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Mean	624	5.73	5.83	5.69	5.87	5.62	5.70	5.74	5.71

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	92	15%	15%	15%	9%	21%	14%	16%	14%
	2	28	5%	5%	4%	3%	4%	6%	5%	4%
	3	29	5%	3%	5%	3%	3%	6%	6%	3%
	4	56	9%	8%	9%	10%	9%	9%	9%	9%
	5	104	17%	15%	17%	18%	20%	14%	15%	18%
	6	93	15%	11%	16%	16%	14%	15%	15%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	219	35%	42%	33%	40%	29%	35%	33%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%
	Mean	621	4.94	5.04	4.91	5.33	4.59	4.89	4.83	5.05
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	17	3%	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%
	2	14	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%
	3	38	6%	4%	7%	7%	8%	4%	4%	8%
	4	67	11%	10%	11%	12%	12%	9%	8%	13%
	5	93	15%	18%	14%	18%	13%	14%	13%	17%
	6	98	16%	11%	17%	15%	15%	17%	16%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	295	47%	54%	45%	41%	47%	51%	54%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Mean	621	5.70	5.90	5.64	5.50	5.65	5.85	5.94	5.46
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	11	2%	4%	1%	0%	1%	3%	2%	1%
	2	8	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
	3	16	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	4%
	4	35	6%	5%	6%	9%	3%	5%	5%	7%
	5	77	12%	11%	13%	15%	12%	11%	10%	14%
	6	81	13%	10%	14%	13%	14%	12%	12%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	394	63%	68%	62%	57%	66%	65%	69%	58%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	0%	-	1%
	Mean	623	6.18	6.21	6.17	6.10	6.33	6.14	6.32	6.04

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1 – Very little impact	66	11%	10%	11%	3%	13%	14%	9%	12%
	2	33	5%	6%	5%	2%	9%	5%	6%	5%
	3	43	7%	9%	6%	4%	7%	9%	10%	4%
	4	70	11%	13%	11%	10%	12%	12%	11%	12%
	5	114	18%	19%	18%	17%	17%	20%	17%	20%
	6	97	16%	12%	17%	14%	17%	16%	17%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	200	32%	30%	33%	50%	26%	24%	30%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	0%	0%
	Mean	623	4.97	4.81	5.01	5.79	4.66	4.63	4.90	5.03
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1 – Very little impact	48	8%	8%	7%	3%	10%	9%	7%	8%
	2	26	4%	2%	5%	2%	4%	6%	6%	2%
	3	40	6%	8%	6%	5%	8%	6%	8%	5%
	4	60	10%	9%	10%	6%	8%	12%	11%	8%
	5	97	16%	15%	16%	15%	21%	13%	16%	15%
	6	83	13%	14%	13%	12%	9%	16%	16%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	271	43%	42%	44%	57%	39%	37%	36%	51%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Mean	624	5.35	5.33	5.35	5.94	5.10	5.12	5.13	5.57
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1 – Very little impact	71	11%	10%	12%	18%	11%	8%	6%	17%
	2	38	6%	4%	7%	9%	5%	5%	4%	8%
	3	36	6%	5%	6%	10%	5%	4%	4%	7%
	4	70	11%	9%	12%	15%	14%	7%	10%	13%
	5	84	14%	8%	15%	12%	14%	14%	13%	14%
	6	92	15%	21%	13%	12%	13%	17%	18%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	230	37%	43%	35%	23%	38%	44%	44%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	1%	0%
	Mean	622	5.02	5.38	4.91	4.25	5.08	5.46	5.52	4.53

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	84	13%	11%	14%	19%	14%	10%	9%	18%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	8%	7%	8%	8%	6%	6%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	496	79%	80%	79%	73%	78%	84%	85%	74%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	34	6%	7%	5%	7%	4%	5%	6%	5%
	4/(DK)	55	9%	6%	10%	11%	9%	7%	6%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	535	86%	88%	85%	81%	87%	88%	89%	83%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	55	9%	6%	10%	13%	5%	8%	6%	11%
	4/(DK)	63	10%	10%	10%	16%	10%	7%	7%	14%
	5-7 Significant Impact	508	81%	84%	80%	72%	85%	85%	87%	75%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	223	36%	38%	35%	27%	44%	37%	36%	36%
	4/(DK)	80	13%	12%	13%	14%	11%	13%	14%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	321	51%	50%	52%	59%	45%	50%	50%	53%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	46	7%	6%	8%	3%	10%	8%	7%	8%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	10%	9%	9%	9%	10%	10%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	519	83%	84%	83%	88%	81%	82%	83%	83%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	149	24%	23%	24%	16%	28%	26%	27%	21%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	8%	10%	10%	9%	9%	10%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	416	67%	68%	66%	74%	62%	64%	64%	69%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	69	11%	7%	12%	13%	13%	9%	8%	14%
	4/(DK)	70	11%	11%	11%	13%	12%	9%	9%	14%
	5-7 Significant Impact	486	78%	82%	76%	74%	75%	82%	83%	72%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	35	6%	6%	6%	5%	4%	7%	4%	7%
	4/(DK)	37	6%	5%	6%	10%	3%	5%	5%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	553	88%	90%	88%	86%	93%	88%	91%	85%

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	142	23%	25%	22%	8%	29%	28%	25%	20%
	4/(DK)	72	12%	14%	11%	10%	12%	12%	11%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	411	66%	61%	67%	81%	60%	60%	63%	68%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	113	18%	19%	18%	9%	22%	21%	21%	15%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	9%	10%	6%	8%	12%	11%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	451	72%	72%	72%	84%	70%	66%	68%	77%
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1-3 Little Impact	144	23%	18%	25%	36%	20%	16%	14%	32%
	4/(DK)	74	12%	10%	12%	16%	14%	8%	11%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	407	65%	72%	63%	47%	66%	76%	75%	55%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Much too high	199	32%	41%	29%	24%	34%	36%	32%	32%
	Somewhat too high	180	29%	33%	28%	26%	34%	28%	30%	28%
	Too low	51	8%	4%	10%	9%	6%	9%	8%	8%
	About right	191	31%	21%	34%	41%	26%	27%	29%	32%
	(Don't know)	3	1%	1%	0%	0%	-	1%	1%	0%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Too high	379	61%	74%	56%	50%	68%	63%	62%	60%
	About right/(DK)	195	31%	22%	34%	41%	26%	28%	30%	32%
	Too low	51	8%	4%	10%	9%	6%	9%	8%	8%
	Net Too high	+328	+52	+70	+47	+41	+61	+54	+53	+52
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	227	36%	44%	34%	26%	46%	38%	40%	33%
	Somewhat less safe	146	23%	22%	24%	26%	19%	24%	23%	24%
	More safe	66	11%	9%	11%	16%	8%	9%	10%	11%
	(About the same)	184	29%	25%	31%	31%	27%	30%	27%	32%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	374	60%	66%	58%	52%	65%	62%	63%	57%
	(About the same/DK)	185	30%	25%	31%	32%	27%	30%	27%	32%
	More safe	66	11%	9%	11%	16%	8%	9%	10%	11%
	Net Less safe	+308	+49	+57	+47	+36	+57	+53	+53	+45
Illegal public drug use preference: Prosecute if refuse treatment vs. Never arrest	Prosecute if refuse treatment	446	71%	78%	69%	61%	73%	76%	80%	63%
	Never arrest	168	27%	19%	29%	37%	25%	22%	19%	35%
	(Both)	3	0%	1%	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	0%
	(Neither)	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%
Illegal public drug use preference: Prosecute if refuse treatment vs. Never arrest	Prosecute if refuse treatment	446	71%	78%	69%	61%	73%	76%	80%	63%
	Never arrest	168	27%	19%	29%	37%	25%	22%	19%	35%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	Net Prosecute if refuse treatment	+277	+44	+59	+40	+25	+48	+54	+61	+28
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Very concerned	162	26%	39%	22%	26%	35%	21%	15%	37%
	Somewhat concerned	232	37%	40%	36%	36%	34%	40%	37%	37%
	Not too concerned	148	24%	18%	25%	24%	21%	25%	31%	17%
	Not at all concerned	82	13%	4%	16%	13%	11%	15%	18%	9%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Concerned	393	63%	79%	58%	62%	68%	61%	52%	74%
	Not concerned	230	37%	21%	42%	37%	32%	39%	48%	25%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%
	Net Concerned	+164	+26	+57	+17	+25	+37	+21	+4	+49

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1 – Very pessimistic	125	20%	23%	19%	12%	25%	22%	20%	20%
	2	154	25%	22%	25%	26%	23%	25%	24%	25%
	3	255	41%	39%	41%	46%	40%	38%	40%	42%
	4	66	11%	11%	11%	12%	8%	11%	12%	9%
	5 – Very optimistic	21	3%	5%	3%	2%	5%	3%	3%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Mean	621	2.52	2.52	2.52	2.65	2.43	2.49	2.54	2.51
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1-2 Pessimistic	279	45%	45%	44%	38%	48%	47%	44%	45%
	3/(DK)	260	42%	39%	42%	48%	40%	39%	40%	43%
	4-5 Optimistic	87	14%	16%	13%	14%	12%	15%	15%	13%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	155	25%	24%	25%	23%	30%	23%	28%	22%
	A few times a month	241	38%	35%	40%	37%	38%	39%	38%	39%
	A few times a year	155	25%	27%	24%	23%	23%	26%	23%	26%
	Rarely	48	8%	10%	7%	8%	8%	7%	8%	7%
	Never	20	3%	4%	3%	5%	1%	3%	2%	4%
	(Refused)	7	1%	-	1%	3%	-	0%	1%	1%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	155	25%	24%	25%	23%	30%	23%	28%	22%
	Few times a month	241	38%	35%	40%	37%	38%	39%	38%	39%
	Less often	229	37%	41%	35%	40%	32%	37%	35%	39%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	18	3%	1%	3%	6%	2%	2%	2%	4%
	Somewhat more often	28	4%	7%	4%	8%	6%	2%	3%	6%
	About the same	134	21%	18%	22%	26%	17%	21%	19%	24%
	Somewhat less often	127	20%	16%	22%	19%	22%	20%	20%	20%
	Much less often	314	50%	58%	48%	39%	53%	55%	55%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	3%	-	-	1%	1%

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	More often	46	7%	8%	7%	14%	7%	3%	5%	10%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	139	22%	18%	23%	29%	17%	21%	20%	24%
	Less often	440	70%	74%	69%	57%	75%	76%	75%	66%
	Net More often	-394	-63	-65	-62	-44	-68	-72	-70	-56
Duration of residency	<2 years	7	1%	1%	1%	4%	-	-	0%	2%
	2-5 years	88	14%	8%	16%	50%	-	-	7%	21%
	6-10 years	82	13%	11%	14%	46%	-	-	10%	16%
	11-20 years	156	25%	26%	25%	-	100%	-	25%	25%
	>20 years	292	47%	55%	44%	-	-	100%	57%	36%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	176	28%	20%	31%	100%	-	-	18%	39%
	11-20 years	156	25%	26%	25%	-	100%	-	25%	25%
	>20 years	292	47%	55%	44%	-	-	100%	57%	36%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	28%	40%	35%	33%	40%	42%	32%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	17%	13%	16%	17%	11%	16%	12%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	9%	12%	14%	8%	10%	11%	11%
	Independent	69	11%	12%	11%	4%	13%	14%	11%	11%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	8%	4%	1%	9%	5%	5%	5%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	4%
	Socialist (Something else/Don't know/Refused)	44 63	7% 10%	9% 9%	6% 10%	12% 12%	5% 10%	5% 8%	3% 9%	11% 11%
Party	Socialist	44	7%	9%	6%	12%	5%	5%	3%	11%
	Democrat	388	62%	54%	64%	66%	58%	62%	68%	56%
	Independent	131	21%	21%	21%	16%	23%	22%	19%	23%
	Republican	63	10%	16%	8%	5%	13%	11%	10%	10%

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	105	17%	17%	17%	20%	14%	16%	12%	21%
	2	104	17%	12%	18%	22%	15%	14%	15%	19%
	3	169	27%	22%	29%	31%	27%	25%	31%	23%
	4	136	22%	23%	21%	15%	22%	25%	24%	19%
	5	55	9%	12%	8%	5%	13%	8%	10%	7%
	6	17	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	3%	3%
	7 – Very conservative	18	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	22	4%	6%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	4%
	Mean	603	3.09	3.34	3.01	2.79	3.22	3.20	3.20	2.97
Ideology	Liberal	378	60%	51%	63%	72%	56%	56%	58%	63%
	Moderate	158	25%	29%	24%	18%	26%	29%	27%	23%
	Conservative	89	14%	21%	12%	10%	18%	15%	14%	14%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	38%	54%	31%	50%	61%	100%	-
	Renter	313	50%	62%	46%	69%	50%	39%	-	100%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	432	69%	67%	70%	67%	69%	71%	71%	67%
	African American or Black	25	4%	9%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	6%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	5%	6%	8%	7%	5%	5%	7%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	8%	8%	9%	9%	7%	9%	7%
	Something else	44	7%	6%	7%	7%	8%	6%	7%	7%
	(Refused)	37	6%	6%	6%	5%	4%	7%	7%	5%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	432	69%	67%	70%	67%	69%	71%	71%	67%
	African American or Black	25	4%	9%	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	6%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	5%	6%	8%	7%	5%	5%	7%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	8%	8%	9%	9%	7%	9%	7%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	80	13%	12%	13%	12%	13%	13%	13%	13%

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Ethnicity	White	432	69%	67%	70%	67%	69%	71%	71%	67%
	POC	156	25%	27%	24%	29%	27%	22%	22%	28%
	(Ref)	37	6%	6%	6%	5%	4%	7%	7%	5%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	118	19%	16%	20%	26%	26%	11%	19%	19%
	30-39	157	25%	21%	26%	46%	20%	15%	23%	27%
	40-49	110	18%	18%	17%	11%	27%	16%	20%	15%
	50-64	125	20%	19%	20%	10%	22%	25%	18%	22%
	65+	115	18%	25%	16%	7%	5%	32%	20%	17%
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%	38%	46%	72%	46%	26%	42%	46%
	40+	350	56%	62%	54%	28%	54%	74%	58%	54%
Generation	18-39	275	44%	38%	46%	72%	46%	26%	42%	46%
	40-64	235	38%	37%	38%	21%	48%	42%	38%	37%
	65+	115	18%	25%	16%	7%	5%	32%	20%	17%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	0%	-	0%
	Some high school	4	1%	3%	-	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%
	Graduated high school	24	4%	16%	-	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%
	Technical/vocational school	21	3%	14%	-	2%	1%	5%	3%	3%
	Some college/<4-year degree	90	14%	61%	-	10%	16%	16%	10%	19%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	248	40%	-	52%	42%	37%	40%	42%	37%
	Graduate/professional degree	229	37%	-	48%	42%	38%	33%	40%	34%
	(Refused)	7	1%	5%	-	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Education	<4-year degree	148	24%	100%	-	17%	24%	28%	18%	29%
	4-year degree+	477	76%	-	100%	83%	76%	72%	82%	71%

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Education	Less than college	148	24%	100%	-	17%	24%	28%	18%	29%
	Graduated college	248	40%	-	52%	42%	37%	40%	42%	37%
	Graduate/professional degree	229	37%	-	48%	42%	38%	33%	40%	34%
Gender	Male	310	50%	59%	47%	45%	56%	49%	53%	47%
	Female	312	50%	40%	53%	55%	42%	51%	47%	53%
	Non-binary	3	1%	1%	0%	-	2%	-	1%	1%
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Region (2023)	South	178	29%	32%	27%	25%	26%	32%	33%	24%
	Central	178	29%	27%	29%	37%	31%	22%	22%	35%
	North	269	43%	40%	44%	39%	43%	45%	45%	41%
City Council District (2023)	1	97	16%	14%	16%	14%	16%	16%	17%	14%
	2	81	13%	18%	11%	11%	10%	16%	16%	10%
	3	97	16%	14%	16%	19%	15%	14%	11%	20%
	4	78	13%	8%	14%	13%	14%	12%	13%	12%
	5	91	15%	19%	13%	12%	11%	18%	17%	12%
	6	100	16%	13%	17%	14%	19%	16%	14%	18%
	7	81	13%	13%	13%	18%	16%	8%	11%	15%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18)	0-3/6	325	52%	55%	51%	68%	63%	37%	51%	53%
	4-5/6	163	26%	20%	28%	24%	25%	28%	25%	27%
	6/6	138	22%	24%	21%	8%	12%	36%	24%	20%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	142	23%	20%	23%	32%	28%	14%	24%	21%
	M 40-64	118	19%	27%	16%	10%	26%	20%	19%	18%
	M 65+	51	8%	12%	7%	4%	2%	14%	9%	7%
	F 18-39	133	21%	17%	23%	40%	18%	12%	18%	24%
	F 40-64	114	18%	9%	21%	11%	20%	21%	18%	19%
	F 65+	64	10%	14%	9%	4%	3%	18%	11%	10%
	Other	3	1%	1%	0%	-	2%	-	1%	1%

		n	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner		
			%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		148	477	176	156	292	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	28%	25%	47%	50%	50%
Party/Gender	D Male	183	29%	29%	29%	32%	31%	27%	33%	25%
	D Female	202	32%	24%	35%	35%	26%	34%	35%	30%
	R/I Male	105	17%	25%	14%	8%	22%	19%	18%	16%
	R/I Female	87	14%	12%	15%	13%	14%	14%	11%	17%
	Other	47	8%	10%	7%	12%	8%	5%	3%	12%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
QOL Index	Mean	625	4.23	4.33	3.93	3.97	4.37	4.04	4.88
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	209	33%	40%	23%	27%	38%	32%	38%
	Wrong track	396	63%	59%	75%	72%	59%	62%	56%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	2%	2%	1%	4%	5%	6%
	Net Right direction	-187	-30	-19	-52	-45	-21	-30	-18
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	242	39%	37%	37%	31%	45%	43%	33%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	118	19%	20%	24%	14%	10%	18%	32%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	88	14%	19%	13%	9%	13%	9%	21%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	84	13%	14%	15%	14%	16%	13%	6%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	62	10%	10%	11%	3%	15%	9%	5%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	58	9%	10%	5%	7%	8%	15%	10%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	38	6%	8%	3%	4%	5%	8%	8%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	29	5%	3%	3%	20%	2%	6%	1%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	27	4%	6%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%
	Walkability/Transit	26	4%	2%	2%	3%	5%	6%	9%
	Other	22	3%	1%	7%	4%	6%	1%	2%
Nothing/Don't know	15	2%	4%	3%	0%	3%	1%	2%	

		n	Gender/Generation							
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64	
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%	
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	317	51%	50%	48%	41%	55%	54%	50%	
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	301	48%	49%	54%	51%	42%	52%	39%	
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	119	19%	21%	17%	13%	21%	21%	14%	
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	72	12%	8%	12%	17%	11%	10%	18%	
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	45	7%	8%	7%	13%	8%	5%	4%	
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	27	4%	3%	2%	2%	5%	7%	7%	
	Jobs/Economy	22	4%	2%	4%	2%	4%	5%	4%	
	Taxes	20	3%	2%	2%	5%	2%	5%	7%	
	Public transportation	18	3%	2%	2%	0%	7%	2%	1%	
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	17	3%	2%	6%	4%	4%	0%	1%	
	Traffic/Congestion	11	2%	2%	1%	0%	3%	2%	1%	
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	10	2%	2%	0%	6%	2%	2%	0%	
	Growth/Development/Population	8	1%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	
	Other	19	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	1%	6%	
	Nothing/Don't know	5	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	3%	
	Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	8	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
		Somewhat better	68	11%	14%	10%	5%	14%	8%	10%
The same		113	18%	19%	14%	22%	21%	16%	21%	
Somewhat worse		210	34%	34%	30%	26%	39%	37%	30%	
Much worse		218	35%	31%	44%	45%	24%	35%	37%	
(Don't know/Refused)		8	1%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	1%	
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	77	12%	15%	12%	7%	14%	10%	12%	
	Same/(DK/Ref)	121	19%	20%	14%	22%	23%	18%	22%	
	Worse	427	68%	65%	74%	71%	63%	72%	66%	
	Net Better	-351	-56	-50	-62	-65	-49	-62	-55	
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	334	53%	57%	53%	53%	58%	56%	34%	
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	291	47%	43%	47%	47%	42%	44%	66%	

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	109	33%	34%	23%	10%	44%	38%	24%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	101	30%	29%	28%	48%	21%	36%	37%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	36	11%	6%	18%	15%	13%	7%	8%
	Homelessness	21	6%	9%	7%	4%	4%	5%	11%
	Closer to family	11	3%	1%	4%	9%	5%	2%	2%
	Declining quality of life	5	2%	1%	2%	6%	1%	-	3%
	Work/job opportunities/business leaving	5	2%	-	5%	-	1%	2%	-
	Growth/Development/Space	4	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	2%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	-
	Want to move abroad	3	1%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	-
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	3	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-
	Taxes	3	1%	1%	-	4%	1%	-	2%
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	3	1%	2%	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Weather	2	1%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	-
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	2	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Other	19	6%	9%	4%	-	4%	5%	12%
	Nothing/Don't know	2	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-	-
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	272	81%	83%	86%	80%	85%	78%	62%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	62	19%	17%	14%	20%	15%	22%	38%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	272	43%	47%	45%	42%	49%	44%	21%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	62	10%	10%	8%	11%	9%	12%	13%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	291	47%	43%	47%	47%	42%	44%	66%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	109	18%	16%	22%	13%	24%	10%	17%
	Somewhat agree	240	38%	45%	31%	42%	36%	37%	45%
	Somewhat disagree	158	25%	22%	32%	12%	23%	30%	23%
	Strongly disagree	117	19%	17%	15%	34%	17%	23%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	0	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	385	62%	64%	62%	75%	48%	60%	73%
	Somewhat agree	183	29%	24%	32%	21%	40%	30%	19%
	Somewhat disagree	33	5%	8%	2%	2%	7%	5%	5%
	Strongly disagree	18	3%	4%	4%	2%	3%	3%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	-	-	2%	1%	3%
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	346	55%	53%	59%	72%	51%	54%	52%
	Somewhat agree	185	30%	28%	25%	18%	35%	31%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	59	9%	15%	9%	5%	8%	7%	7%
	Strongly disagree	30	5%	2%	5%	5%	4%	8%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	225	36%	41%	37%	21%	37%	35%	33%
	Somewhat agree	208	33%	32%	36%	30%	36%	30%	34%
	Somewhat disagree	108	17%	15%	16%	19%	15%	19%	21%
	Strongly disagree	79	13%	11%	10%	28%	11%	14%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	68	11%	14%	15%	8%	9%	11%	3%
	Somewhat agree	133	21%	22%	20%	15%	22%	22%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	164	26%	27%	30%	18%	30%	25%	16%
	Strongly disagree	257	41%	36%	35%	60%	40%	41%	54%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	2%
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	452	72%	68%	77%	82%	68%	73%	72%
	Somewhat agree	114	18%	19%	17%	14%	20%	15%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	35	6%	8%	3%	1%	6%	8%	4%
	Strongly disagree	23	4%	4%	3%	3%	6%	4%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	1%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	230	37%	41%	38%	32%	41%	32%	30%
	Somewhat agree	201	32%	30%	33%	19%	35%	36%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	95	15%	13%	16%	26%	14%	15%	15%
	Strongly disagree	92	15%	15%	12%	21%	10%	17%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	-	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	30	5%	4%	4%	3%	6%	5%	9%
	Somewhat agree	169	27%	29%	24%	25%	24%	27%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	161	26%	25%	21%	19%	33%	30%	22%
	Strongly disagree	261	42%	41%	51%	53%	37%	39%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	1%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	101	16%	23%	17%	18%	15%	9%	15%
	Somewhat agree	228	36%	35%	34%	35%	43%	42%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	179	29%	29%	27%	26%	28%	25%	39%
	Strongly disagree	115	18%	14%	21%	22%	14%	23%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	223	36%	36%	41%	36%	35%	33%	34%
	Somewhat agree	204	33%	33%	30%	16%	32%	36%	43%
	Somewhat disagree	125	20%	22%	20%	29%	22%	17%	12%
	Strongly disagree	73	12%	9%	8%	19%	11%	14%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	31	5%	4%	7%	4%	5%	5%	6%
	Somewhat agree	116	18%	21%	15%	16%	21%	14%	24%
	Somewhat disagree	175	28%	27%	23%	24%	27%	38%	25%
	Strongly disagree	294	47%	45%	54%	56%	46%	43%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	3%	1%	-	2%	-	1%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Strongly agree	320	51%	46%	56%	80%	35%	48%	68%
	Somewhat agree	140	22%	26%	23%	16%	21%	25%	17%
	Somewhat disagree	60	10%	10%	8%	-	16%	8%	7%
	Strongly disagree	102	16%	16%	12%	5%	28%	19%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	1%
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	21	3%	2%	2%	6%	3%	4%	6%
	Somewhat agree	76	12%	15%	7%	9%	14%	8%	18%
	Somewhat disagree	190	30%	30%	29%	26%	31%	31%	33%
	Strongly disagree	335	54%	53%	61%	58%	51%	56%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	0%	1%	-	-	-	3%
Agree: Seattle's hands-off approach to people using illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth in public is contributing to rampant street crime and is making it much harder for our city to	Strongly agree	383	61%	64%	70%	77%	49%	56%	62%
	Somewhat agree	120	19%	17%	14%	14%	26%	21%	19%
	Somewhat disagree	69	11%	12%	9%	3%	11%	16%	10%
	Strongly disagree	48	8%	7%	6%	6%	13%	6%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	3%
Agree: We should not enforce laws against public use of illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth until we first address the underlying issues driving addiction like economic	Strongly agree	120	19%	20%	18%	9%	30%	16%	11%
	Somewhat agree	88	14%	15%	10%	9%	18%	14%	15%
	Somewhat disagree	96	15%	12%	14%	12%	13%	21%	20%
	Strongly disagree	317	51%	52%	56%	67%	39%	48%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	350	56%	61%	53%	54%	60%	47%	62%
	Disagree	275	44%	39%	47%	46%	40%	53%	38%
	(DK/Ref)	0	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	+75	+12	+22	+6	+9	+20	-5	+24

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	568	91%	88%	94%	96%	88%	91%	92%
	Disagree	51	8%	11%	6%	4%	10%	8%	5%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	-	-	2%	1%	3%
	Net Agree	+516	+83	+77	+88	+91	+78	+82	+88
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	531	85%	81%	84%	90%	86%	85%	89%
	Disagree	89	14%	18%	15%	10%	12%	15%	11%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	+442	+71	+63	+69	+81	+74	+69	+77
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	433	69%	73%	73%	52%	73%	65%	67%
	Disagree	187	30%	26%	27%	47%	26%	33%	32%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	+246	+39	+47	+46	+5	+47	+32	+35
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	200	32%	36%	35%	23%	31%	33%	28%
	Disagree	421	67%	64%	64%	77%	69%	66%	71%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	2%
	Net Agree	-221	-35	-28	-29	-54	-39	-33	-43
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	566	91%	87%	94%	96%	88%	88%	95%
	Disagree	58	9%	13%	6%	4%	12%	11%	4%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+508	+81	+75	+89	+92	+77	+76	+91
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	432	69%	72%	71%	51%	76%	68%	60%
	Disagree	188	30%	28%	28%	47%	23%	31%	38%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	-	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	+244	+39	+44	+43	+4	+53	+37	+22

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	200	32%	33%	29%	28%	30%	32%	46%
	Disagree	422	68%	65%	71%	72%	70%	68%	53%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	-222	-36	-32	-43	-44	-40	-37	-6
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	329	53%	57%	51%	52%	58%	51%	41%
	Disagree	294	47%	43%	48%	48%	42%	48%	59%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
	Net Agree	+35	+6	+14	+3	+4	+15	+3	-18
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	427	68%	69%	71%	53%	67%	69%	76%
	Disagree	197	32%	31%	29%	47%	33%	31%	24%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+230	+37	+38	+42	+6	+34	+38	+53
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	147	23%	24%	22%	20%	26%	19%	30%
	Disagree	469	75%	73%	77%	80%	72%	81%	69%
	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	3%	1%	-	2%	-	1%
	Net Agree	-323	-52	-48	-55	-61	-47	-63	-40
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Agree	459	74%	72%	79%	95%	56%	73%	85%
	Disagree	162	26%	26%	20%	5%	44%	27%	14%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	+298	+48	+46	+59	+90	+12	+46	+72
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	97	15%	17%	9%	16%	18%	12%	25%
	Disagree	525	84%	82%	90%	84%	82%	88%	72%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	0%	1%	-	-	-	3%
	Net Agree	-428	-69	-65	-81	-68	-65	-76	-47

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Agree: Seattle's hands-off approach to people using illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth in public is contributing to rampant street crime and is making it	Agree	504	81%	81%	84%	91%	75%	77%	81%
	Disagree	118	19%	19%	15%	9%	24%	22%	16%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	3%
	Net Agree	+386	+62	+62	+69	+82	+51	+55	+64
Agree: We should not enforce laws against public use of illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth until we first address the underlying issues driving	Agree	208	33%	35%	28%	18%	48%	31%	26%
	Disagree	412	66%	64%	71%	80%	52%	69%	73%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%
	Net Agree	-205	-33	-29	-42	-62	-4	-38	-47
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	31	5%	6%	-	4%	12%	3%	3%
	2	24	4%	4%	5%	2%	2%	6%	2%
	3	29	5%	7%	3%	2%	6%	4%	3%
	4	41	7%	5%	5%	6%	12%	4%	3%
	5	66	11%	10%	10%	8%	13%	9%	13%
	6	63	10%	6%	8%	13%	10%	15%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	367	59%	61%	68%	65%	46%	58%	61%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	621	5.81	5.73	6.20	6.11	5.24	5.89	6.10
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	11	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	-
	2	9	1%	-	1%	-	4%	3%	-
	3	15	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%
	4	52	8%	9%	8%	7%	12%	6%	7%
	5	91	15%	17%	13%	20%	14%	15%	10%
	6	113	18%	18%	18%	10%	14%	22%	26%
	7 – Very significant impact	332	53%	52%	58%	57%	49%	52%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	-	-	2%	1%	-	1%
	Mean	622	6.01	6.00	6.14	6.08	5.73	6.00	6.23

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	12	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%
	2	15	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	4%	1%
	3	28	4%	3%	4%	-	7%	5%	5%
	4	59	9%	11%	4%	8%	13%	13%	2%
	5	88	14%	19%	18%	7%	12%	9%	12%
	6	97	15%	15%	14%	15%	13%	13%	28%
	7 – Very significant impact	323	52%	49%	56%	67%	46%	52%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	-	-	1%	1%	1%
	Mean	621	5.86	5.89	6.01	6.30	5.53	5.75	6.06
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	125	20%	21%	29%	29%	17%	13%	13%
	2	35	6%	10%	4%	3%	4%	5%	2%
	3	64	10%	6%	9%	7%	10%	16%	12%
	4	79	13%	13%	11%	16%	13%	12%	12%
	5	109	17%	20%	16%	16%	13%	22%	15%
	6	75	12%	13%	8%	12%	13%	10%	18%
	7 – Very significant impact	138	22%	16%	22%	16%	30%	21%	27%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	1%
	Mean	624	4.26	4.06	3.93	3.90	4.57	4.40	4.77
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	16	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%
	2	9	1%	4%	1%	2%	1%	-	-
	3	21	3%	3%	3%	8%	5%	1%	3%
	4	60	10%	11%	14%	3%	8%	10%	6%
	5	134	21%	23%	22%	18%	21%	24%	18%
	6	125	20%	15%	17%	20%	22%	23%	28%
	7 – Very significant impact	260	42%	41%	40%	47%	41%	40%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	624	5.73	5.60	5.63	5.82	5.77	5.78	5.87

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	92	15%	15%	19%	19%	15%	12%	8%
	2	28	5%	5%	9%	3%	3%	2%	2%
	3	29	5%	6%	7%	5%	4%	4%	-
	4	56	9%	9%	6%	14%	7%	13%	9%
	5	104	17%	20%	17%	16%	11%	18%	19%
	6	93	15%	11%	14%	11%	20%	16%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	219	35%	33%	27%	32%	39%	35%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	2%
	Mean	621	4.94	4.83	4.43	4.66	5.14	5.13	5.60
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	17	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	-
	2	14	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	4%	-
	3	38	6%	3%	9%	1%	8%	7%	4%
	4	67	11%	10%	11%	9%	12%	13%	6%
	5	93	15%	17%	11%	6%	17%	14%	21%
	6	98	16%	15%	14%	22%	13%	16%	20%
	7 – Very significant impact	295	47%	47%	52%	58%	43%	43%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	2%
	Mean	621	5.70	5.68	5.76	6.13	5.54	5.48	6.02
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	11	2%	3%	3%	2%	-	2%	1%
	2	8	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	2%	-
	3	16	2%	3%	4%	-	3%	1%	2%
	4	35	6%	5%	4%	7%	8%	6%	5%
	5	77	12%	15%	13%	9%	15%	11%	5%
	6	81	13%	15%	13%	12%	14%	10%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	394	63%	58%	61%	70%	57%	67%	78%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
	Mean	623	6.18	6.09	6.08	6.37	6.06	6.22	6.54

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1 – Very little impact	66	11%	9%	12%	19%	6%	11%	12%
	2	33	5%	7%	6%	8%	4%	5%	3%
	3	43	7%	5%	7%	12%	8%	8%	5%
	4	70	11%	13%	7%	11%	10%	13%	15%
	5	114	18%	19%	20%	18%	15%	19%	19%
	6	97	16%	15%	14%	9%	14%	21%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	200	32%	31%	35%	23%	42%	24%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	2%
	Mean	623	4.97	4.96	5.00	4.22	5.34	4.81	4.92
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1 – Very little impact	48	8%	8%	7%	16%	5%	8%	8%
	2	26	4%	6%	3%	3%	2%	5%	3%
	3	40	6%	5%	10%	7%	6%	6%	4%
	4	60	10%	10%	6%	13%	12%	7%	11%
	5	97	16%	15%	13%	19%	15%	21%	11%
	6	83	13%	13%	15%	10%	10%	14%	21%
	7 – Very significant impact	271	43%	43%	46%	31%	51%	39%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	624	5.35	5.30	5.44	4.71	5.61	5.24	5.46
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1 – Very little impact	71	11%	12%	7%	5%	20%	12%	4%
	2	38	6%	6%	5%	1%	8%	7%	6%
	3	36	6%	7%	7%	1%	8%	5%	1%
	4	70	11%	12%	13%	1%	13%	13%	8%
	5	84	14%	13%	14%	14%	16%	11%	11%
	6	92	15%	17%	13%	14%	10%	16%	22%
	7 – Very significant impact	230	37%	33%	41%	62%	23%	36%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	-
	Mean	622	5.02	4.90	5.26	6.13	4.19	4.97	5.74

	n	%	Gender/Generation						
			M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases	625		142	118	51	133	114	64	
Row percent		100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%	
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	84	13%	17%	8%	8%	20%	13%	8%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	6%	7%	6%	12%	4%	4%
	5-7 Significant Impact	496	79%	77%	85%	86%	68%	83%	88%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	34	6%	5%	4%	4%	9%	6%	2%
	4/(DK)	55	9%	9%	8%	9%	13%	6%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	535	86%	87%	88%	87%	77%	88%	90%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	55	9%	5%	8%	3%	14%	11%	8%
	4/(DK)	63	10%	12%	4%	8%	14%	14%	3%
	5-7 Significant Impact	508	81%	83%	88%	89%	71%	75%	89%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	223	36%	37%	43%	39%	32%	34%	27%
	4/(DK)	80	13%	13%	11%	16%	13%	13%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	321	51%	50%	46%	45%	55%	53%	60%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	46	7%	9%	7%	12%	8%	4%	6%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	11%	14%	3%	8%	10%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	519	83%	80%	79%	85%	84%	86%	87%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	149	24%	26%	35%	27%	22%	17%	10%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	9%	7%	14%	7%	14%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	416	67%	65%	58%	60%	71%	69%	79%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	69	11%	11%	12%	5%	13%	15%	4%
	4/(DK)	70	11%	11%	11%	9%	13%	13%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	486	78%	79%	77%	86%	74%	72%	88%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	35	6%	6%	8%	2%	6%	5%	3%
	4/(DK)	37	6%	5%	4%	7%	9%	6%	5%
	5-7 Significant Impact	553	88%	89%	88%	91%	85%	89%	92%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	142	23%	21%	24%	38%	19%	24%	20%
	4/(DK)	72	12%	14%	7%	11%	10%	13%	17%
	5-7 Significant Impact	411	66%	65%	69%	50%	71%	63%	63%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	113	18%	19%	20%	26%	13%	19%	15%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	10%	6%	13%	12%	7%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	451	72%	71%	74%	60%	75%	74%	73%
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1-3 Little Impact	144	23%	25%	19%	7%	37%	24%	11%
	4/(DK)	74	12%	12%	13%	3%	14%	14%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	407	65%	63%	68%	89%	49%	63%	81%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Much too high	199	32%	35%	29%	44%	27%	33%	27%
	Somewhat too high	180	29%	20%	38%	25%	34%	26%	29%
	Too low	51	8%	10%	3%	8%	10%	9%	10%
	About right	191	31%	35%	29%	24%	29%	31%	33%
	(Don't know)	3	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Too high	379	61%	55%	67%	68%	61%	59%	57%
	About right/(DK)	195	31%	35%	30%	24%	29%	33%	33%
	Too low	51	8%	10%	3%	8%	10%	9%	10%
	Net Too high	+328	+52	+45	+64	+61	+50	+50	+47
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	227	36%	36%	38%	49%	33%	38%	29%
	Somewhat less safe	146	23%	18%	25%	20%	27%	20%	34%
	More safe	66	11%	11%	10%	10%	9%	10%	13%
	(About the same)	184	29%	35%	27%	22%	29%	33%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	374	60%	54%	63%	69%	60%	58%	62%
	(About the same/DK)	185	30%	35%	27%	22%	30%	33%	25%
	More safe	66	11%	11%	10%	10%	9%	10%	13%
	Net Less safe	+308	+49	+44	+52	+59	+51	+48	+50
Illegal public drug use preference: Prosecute if refuse treatment vs. Never arrest	Prosecute if refuse treatment	446	71%	71%	76%	92%	60%	69%	74%
	Never arrest	168	27%	27%	22%	8%	39%	31%	22%
	(Both)	3	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	2%
	(Neither)	6	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
Illegal public drug use preference: Prosecute if refuse treatment vs. Never arrest	Prosecute if refuse treatment	446	71%	71%	76%	92%	60%	69%	74%
	Never arrest	168	27%	27%	22%	8%	39%	31%	22%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	5%
	Net Prosecute if refuse treatment	+277	+44	+44	+54	+84	+21	+38	+52
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Very concerned	162	26%	25%	21%	31%	30%	28%	19%
	Somewhat concerned	232	37%	28%	40%	33%	38%	45%	38%
	Not too concerned	148	24%	29%	25%	22%	22%	19%	24%
	Not at all concerned	82	13%	18%	14%	14%	9%	8%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Concerned	393	63%	53%	61%	64%	68%	73%	56%
	Not concerned	230	37%	47%	39%	36%	31%	27%	44%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
	Net Concerned	+164	+26	+6	+23	+29	+37	+46	+13

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1 – Very pessimistic	125	20%	19%	19%	30%	18%	24%	14%
	2	154	25%	21%	25%	24%	27%	23%	27%
	3	255	41%	41%	41%	25%	42%	45%	43%
	4	66	11%	16%	8%	17%	8%	6%	12%
	5 – Very optimistic	21	3%	3%	7%	3%	3%	2%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	3%
	Mean	621	2.52	2.62	2.59	2.39	2.50	2.38	2.58
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1-2 Pessimistic	279	45%	40%	44%	54%	46%	47%	41%
	3/(DK)	260	42%	41%	41%	25%	43%	45%	46%
	4-5 Optimistic	87	14%	19%	15%	20%	11%	8%	13%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	155	25%	27%	31%	37%	19%	20%	16%
	A few times a month	241	38%	43%	37%	20%	36%	43%	47%
	A few times a year	155	25%	15%	25%	29%	29%	31%	23%
	Rarely	48	8%	9%	7%	11%	9%	3%	9%
	Never	20	3%	3%	-	2%	6%	2%	6%
	(Refused)	7	1%	3%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	155	25%	27%	31%	37%	19%	20%	16%
	Few times a month	241	38%	43%	37%	20%	36%	43%	47%
	Less often	229	37%	30%	32%	43%	45%	37%	37%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	18	3%	2%	2%	1%	7%	2%	-
	Somewhat more often	28	4%	8%	3%	3%	7%	-	-
	About the same	134	21%	23%	21%	22%	23%	19%	22%
	Somewhat less often	127	20%	18%	17%	16%	19%	28%	22%
	Much less often	314	50%	47%	57%	57%	43%	50%	57%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	More often	46	7%	10%	5%	5%	14%	2%	-
	Same/(DK/Ref)	139	22%	25%	21%	22%	24%	20%	22%
	Less often	440	70%	65%	74%	73%	63%	78%	78%
	Net More often	-394	-63	-55	-68	-68	-49	-76	-
Duration of residency	<2 years	7	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	-	-
	2-5 years	88	14%	19%	6%	4%	29%	7%	8%
	6-10 years	82	13%	18%	8%	8%	22%	10%	2%
	11-20 years	156	25%	31%	34%	7%	21%	28%	7%
	>20 years	292	47%	30%	51%	80%	26%	55%	83%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	176	28%	39%	15%	13%	53%	17%	10%
	11-20 years	156	25%	31%	34%	7%	21%	28%	7%
	>20 years	292	47%	30%	51%	80%	26%	55%	83%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	33%	37%	35%	33%	36%	55%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	17%	14%	9%	12%	21%	7%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	11%	9%	11%	12%	12%	13%
	Independent	69	11%	9%	15%	15%	9%	10%	8%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	5%	5%	9%	4%	5%	3%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	2%	4%	3%	1%	-	3%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	1%	6%	8%	1%	1%	5%
	Socialist	44	7%	11%	3%	4%	12%	3%	4%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	11%	7%	6%	16%	11%	1%
Party	Socialist	44	7%	11%	3%	4%	12%	3%	4%
	Democrat	388	62%	61%	59%	55%	56%	69%	75%
	Independent	131	21%	20%	23%	21%	25%	21%	9%
	Republican	63	10%	8%	15%	20%	7%	6%	11%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	105	17%	20%	11%	13%	20%	14%	21%
	2	104	17%	14%	13%	7%	20%	22%	18%
	3	169	27%	28%	28%	19%	28%	26%	29%
	4	136	22%	24%	20%	32%	19%	23%	15%
	5	55	9%	8%	14%	8%	5%	10%	5%
	6	17	3%	2%	5%	6%	1%	1%	6%
	7 – Very conservative	18	3%	1%	4%	9%	2%	1%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	22	4%	3%	4%	6%	4%	4%	1%
	Mean	603	3.09	2.97	3.44	3.70	2.78	3.01	2.97
Ideology	Liberal	378	60%	62%	53%	40%	69%	62%	69%
	Moderate	158	25%	27%	24%	38%	24%	26%	16%
	Conservative	89	14%	11%	23%	22%	8%	12%	15%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	53%	52%	56%	43%	49%	52%
	Renter	313	50%	47%	48%	44%	57%	51%	48%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	432	69%	66%	65%	76%	66%	68%	86%
	African American or Black	25	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	5%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	7%	9%	2%	5%	6%	2%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	9%	6%	3%	10%	10%	6%
	Something else	44	7%	7%	10%	7%	9%	6%	2%
	(Refused)	37	6%	7%	5%	9%	6%	7%	-
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	432	69%	66%	65%	76%	66%	68%	86%
	African American or Black	25	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%	3%	5%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	7%	9%	2%	5%	6%	2%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	9%	6%	3%	10%	10%	6%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	80	13%	13%	15%	16%	15%	13%	2%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Ethnicity	White	432	69%	66%	65%	76%	66%	68%	86%
	POC	156	25%	27%	29%	16%	28%	24%	14%
	(Ref)	37	6%	7%	5%	9%	6%	7%	-
Age (Replaced)	18-29	118	19%	41%	-	-	45%	-	-
	30-39	157	25%	59%	-	-	55%	-	-
	40-49	110	18%	-	47%	-	-	47%	-
	50-64	125	20%	-	53%	-	-	53%	-
	65+	115	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-
	40+	350	56%	-	100%	100%	-	100%	100%
Generation	18-39	275	44%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-
	40-64	235	38%	-	100%	-	-	100%	-
	65+	115	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-
	Some high school	4	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Graduated high school	24	4%	4%	6%	6%	2%	4%	1%
	Technical/vocational school	21	3%	2%	3%	3%	5%	3%	6%
	Some college/<4-year degree	90	14%	14%	22%	21%	10%	5%	21%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	248	40%	46%	36%	19%	41%	45%	38%
	Graduate/professional degree (Refused)	229 7	37% 1%	33% 1%	30% 3%	47% -	40% 1%	43% -	30% 1%
Education	<4-year degree	148	24%	21%	34%	34%	19%	12%	31%
	4-year degree+	477	76%	79%	66%	66%	81%	88%	69%

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Education	Less than college	148	24%	21%	34%	34%	19%	12%	31%
	Graduated college	248	40%	46%	36%	19%	41%	45%	38%
	Graduate/professional degree	229	37%	33%	30%	47%	40%	43%	30%
Gender	Male	310	50%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	-
	Female	312	50%	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%
	Non-binary	3	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Region (2023)	South	178	29%	29%	29%	24%	23%	35%	33%
	Central	178	29%	29%	30%	32%	33%	24%	22%
	North	269	43%	42%	41%	43%	44%	42%	45%
City Council District (2023)	1	97	16%	15%	14%	14%	13%	21%	17%
	2	81	13%	14%	14%	11%	9%	14%	17%
	3	97	16%	12%	13%	26%	20%	13%	16%
	4	78	13%	16%	10%	11%	15%	10%	9%
	5	91	15%	14%	17%	17%	13%	13%	16%
	6	100	16%	12%	15%	16%	16%	19%	20%
	7	81	13%	17%	17%	6%	14%	11%	6%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18)	0-3/6	325	52%	76%	46%	25%	68%	40%	17%
	4-5/6	163	26%	21%	26%	18%	28%	37%	21%
	6/6	138	22%	3%	27%	57%	4%	23%	62%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	142	23%	100%	-	-	-	-	-
	M 40-64	118	19%	-	100%	-	-	-	-
	M 65+	51	8%	-	-	100%	-	-	-
	F 18-39	133	21%	-	-	-	100%	-	-
	F 40-64	114	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
	F 65+	64	10%	-	-	-	-	-	100%
	Other	3	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-

		n	Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	118	51	133	114	64
Row percent			100%	23%	19%	8%	21%	18%	10%
Party/Gender	D Male	183	29%	61%	59%	55%	-	-	-
	D Female	202	32%	-	-	-	56%	69%	75%
	R/I Male	105	17%	28%	38%	41%	-	-	-
	R/I Female	87	14%	-	-	-	32%	27%	21%
	Other	47	8%	11%	3%	4%	12%	3%	4%

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
QOL Index	Mean	625	4.23	4.54	4.77	3.11	3.42	4.65	3.93	4.58	4.19
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	209	33%	37%	43%	16%	17%	47%	30%	39%	32%
	Wrong track	396	63%	61%	51%	84%	80%	46%	65%	58%	65%
	(Don't know/Refused)	20	3%	2%	6%	-	3%	6%	5%	3%	2%
	Net Right direction	-187	-30	-24	-8	-67	-64	+1	-36	-19	-33
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	242	39%	43%	48%	25%	30%	30%	35%	46%	36%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	118	19%	19%	20%	22%	12%	16%	19%	18%	20%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	88	14%	19%	13%	6%	14%	18%	15%	15%	13%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	84	13%	13%	14%	17%	13%	6%	15%	12%	13%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	62	10%	11%	9%	5%	11%	17%	9%	11%	10%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	58	9%	7%	9%	5%	13%	24%	10%	7%	10%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	38	6%	4%	7%	9%	7%	2%	9%	3%	6%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	29	5%	3%	2%	11%	7%	4%	4%	3%	6%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	27	4%	6%	2%	3%	6%	7%	6%	4%	4%
	Walkability/Transit	26	4%	1%	7%	4%	3%	8%	2%	5%	5%
	Other	22	3%	3%	3%	6%	4%	1%	3%	4%	3%
	Nothing/Don't know	15	2%	1%	1%	5%	5%	3%	3%	3%	1%

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	317	51%	59%	58%	33%	46%	39%	45%	50%	55%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	301	48%	49%	46%	62%	50%	19%	48%	51%	46%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	119	19%	20%	17%	7%	19%	52%	19%	20%	19%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	72	12%	9%	11%	14%	12%	17%	11%	14%	10%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	45	7%	5%	4%	14%	13%	6%	10%	5%	6%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	27	4%	1%	6%	5%	6%	4%	4%	4%	5%
	Jobs/Economy	22	4%	3%	5%	0%	2%	7%	4%	3%	4%
	Taxes	20	3%	2%	4%	4%	7%	0%	4%	2%	4%
	Public transportation	18	3%	2%	5%	0%	1%	6%	3%	4%	2%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	17	3%	5%	3%	3%	0%	0%	4%	2%	2%
	Traffic/Congestion	11	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	10	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	2%
	Growth/Development/Population	8	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%
	Other	19	3%	2%	1%	6%	5%	3%	2%	2%	4%
	Nothing/Don't know	5	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	2%	1%	1%	0%
	Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	8	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%
Somewhat better		68	11%	11%	12%	8%	8%	19%	9%	13%	10%
The same		113	18%	20%	18%	9%	19%	31%	20%	20%	16%
Somewhat worse		210	34%	42%	43%	14%	23%	25%	34%	35%	33%
Much worse		218	35%	25%	24%	67%	49%	22%	36%	29%	38%
(Don't know/Refused)		8	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	77	12%	12%	14%	9%	8%	21%	10%	15%	12%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	121	19%	21%	20%	9%	20%	32%	20%	22%	17%
	Worse	427	68%	67%	66%	81%	72%	47%	70%	64%	71%
	Net Better	-351	-56	-55	-52	-72	-64	-26	-60	-49	-58
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	334	53%	48%	46%	67%	63%	54%	61%	47%	52%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	291	47%	52%	54%	33%	37%	46%	39%	53%	48%

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	109	33%	34%	38%	15%	33%	54%	32%	30%	35%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	101	30%	25%	35%	43%	27%	4%	36%	29%	27%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	36	11%	4%	8%	22%	15%	2%	11%	10%	11%
	Homelessness	21	6%	11%	7%	4%	3%	-	6%	10%	5%
	Closer to family	11	3%	7%	2%	-	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%
	Declining quality of life	5	2%	1%	1%	4%	1%	-	-	3%	2%
	Work/job opportunities/business leaving	5	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	0%	1%	3%
	Growth/Development/Space	4	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	3%	0%
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	2%	-
	Want to move abroad	3	1%	2%	-	-	-	8%	1%	1%	1%
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	3	1%	2%	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
	Taxes	3	1%	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	1%
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	3	1%	1%	-	-	-	8%	1%	1%	1%
	Weather	2	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	2	1%	-	-	-	-	8%	-	-	1%
	Other	19	6%	6%	3%	4%	10%	7%	3%	6%	7%
Nothing/Don't know	2	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-	2%	-	-	
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	272	81%	77%	74%	91%	82%	94%	80%	79%	84%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	62	19%	23%	26%	9%	18%	6%	20%	21%	16%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	272	43%	37%	35%	61%	52%	51%	49%	37%	44%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	62	10%	11%	12%	6%	11%	3%	12%	10%	8%
	No/(Don't know/Refused)	291	47%	52%	54%	33%	37%	46%	39%	53%	48%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	109	18%	20%	21%	11%	10%	20%	15%	21%	16%
	Somewhat agree	240	38%	47%	44%	23%	27%	40%	35%	42%	38%
	Somewhat disagree	158	25%	23%	21%	29%	34%	27%	29%	22%	25%
	Strongly disagree	117	19%	10%	14%	37%	29%	12%	20%	14%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	0	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	385	62%	68%	59%	68%	62%	35%	65%	65%	58%
	Somewhat agree	183	29%	26%	33%	23%	28%	42%	27%	26%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	33	5%	4%	6%	5%	4%	14%	7%	3%	6%
	Strongly disagree	18	3%	3%	2%	5%	3%	6%	1%	4%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	0%	1%	-	2%	3%	0%	2%	1%
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	346	55%	52%	50%	77%	65%	23%	60%	52%	55%
	Somewhat agree	185	30%	33%	37%	12%	23%	35%	28%	31%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	59	9%	11%	8%	5%	3%	30%	8%	10%	10%
	Strongly disagree	30	5%	3%	4%	5%	7%	10%	5%	5%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	0%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	225	36%	42%	36%	21%	25%	65%	34%	41%	34%
	Somewhat agree	208	33%	37%	36%	30%	29%	24%	35%	37%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	108	17%	13%	17%	24%	22%	10%	17%	12%	21%
	Strongly disagree	79	13%	7%	9%	26%	22%	1%	14%	10%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	-	0%	0%	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	68	11%	13%	7%	10%	8%	26%	9%	15%	9%
	Somewhat agree	133	21%	24%	26%	11%	12%	30%	20%	20%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	164	26%	29%	27%	23%	21%	27%	25%	26%	27%
	Strongly disagree	257	41%	33%	39%	56%	59%	15%	45%	38%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	0%	1%
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	452	72%	75%	71%	80%	75%	42%	76%	67%	74%
	Somewhat agree	114	18%	19%	21%	12%	11%	31%	12%	24%	19%
	Somewhat disagree	35	6%	4%	7%	3%	2%	17%	8%	3%	5%
	Strongly disagree	23	4%	2%	1%	5%	11%	7%	4%	6%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	0%	0%

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	230	37%	42%	38%	26%	28%	55%	31%	40%	38%
	Somewhat agree	201	32%	34%	38%	25%	29%	26%	35%	28%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	95	15%	13%	13%	25%	19%	6%	15%	17%	14%
	Strongly disagree	92	15%	12%	11%	22%	23%	12%	16%	13%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	0%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	30	5%	5%	6%	0%	6%	7%	8%	4%	4%
	Somewhat agree	169	27%	31%	35%	13%	11%	37%	21%	29%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	161	26%	29%	32%	12%	22%	24%	27%	29%	22%
	Strongly disagree	261	42%	35%	27%	74%	60%	30%	43%	37%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	101	16%	17%	14%	22%	9%	21%	16%	20%	14%
	Somewhat agree	228	36%	42%	41%	22%	33%	35%	33%	34%	41%
	Somewhat disagree	179	29%	27%	30%	30%	28%	31%	28%	32%	27%
	Strongly disagree	115	18%	13%	15%	27%	29%	13%	22%	14%	18%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	0%	1%	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	223	36%	45%	39%	24%	19%	41%	31%	42%	35%
	Somewhat agree	204	33%	34%	38%	16%	31%	42%	32%	31%	34%
	Somewhat disagree	125	20%	14%	15%	40%	26%	10%	25%	16%	19%
	Strongly disagree	73	12%	6%	8%	20%	24%	7%	11%	11%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	31	5%	6%	5%	3%	3%	7%	7%	4%	4%
	Somewhat agree	116	18%	21%	22%	9%	12%	25%	17%	17%	20%
	Somewhat disagree	175	28%	33%	34%	11%	22%	36%	22%	28%	32%
	Strongly disagree	294	47%	38%	38%	76%	61%	30%	53%	47%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	4%	0%

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Strongly agree	320	51%	49%	49%	76%	52%	13%	56%	48%	50%
	Somewhat agree	140	22%	30%	25%	14%	19%	8%	25%	21%	22%
	Somewhat disagree	60	10%	11%	11%	2%	13%	13%	8%	11%	10%
	Strongly disagree	102	16%	9%	16%	9%	16%	64%	11%	19%	18%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	-	2%	0%	1%	0%
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	21	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	5%	5%	3%	3%
	Somewhat agree	76	12%	10%	14%	12%	10%	16%	12%	15%	11%
	Somewhat disagree	190	30%	34%	37%	16%	19%	37%	27%	31%	32%
	Strongly disagree	335	54%	52%	45%	70%	64%	41%	56%	51%	54%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%
Agree: Seattle's hands-off approach to people using illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth in public is contributing to rampant street crime and is making it much harder for our city to	Strongly agree	383	61%	63%	53%	89%	69%	14%	60%	64%	61%
	Somewhat agree	120	19%	23%	27%	4%	12%	20%	20%	17%	20%
	Somewhat disagree	69	11%	9%	13%	4%	7%	33%	10%	9%	13%
	Strongly disagree	48	8%	5%	6%	3%	11%	33%	9%	9%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
Agree: We should not enforce laws against public use of illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth until we first address the underlying issues driving addiction like economic	Strongly agree	120	19%	16%	16%	9%	19%	68%	22%	22%	15%
	Somewhat agree	88	14%	16%	17%	4%	12%	18%	13%	12%	16%
	Somewhat disagree	96	15%	17%	24%	8%	6%	8%	13%	16%	17%
	Strongly disagree	317	51%	50%	42%	77%	63%	6%	51%	50%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	350	56%	67%	65%	34%	37%	60%	51%	63%	55%
	Disagree	275	44%	33%	35%	66%	63%	39%	49%	36%	45%
	(DK/Ref)	0	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-
	Net Agree	+75	+12	+34	+29	-32	-26	+21	+1	+27	+9

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	568	91%	93%	92%	91%	91%	77%	91%	90%	91%
	Disagree	51	8%	7%	7%	9%	7%	20%	8%	8%	9%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	0%	1%	-	2%	3%	0%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	+516	+83	+87	+85	+81	+84	+58	+83	+83	+82
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	531	85%	85%	88%	88%	89%	58%	88%	83%	85%
	Disagree	89	14%	14%	12%	10%	9%	40%	12%	15%	15%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	0%
	Net Agree	+442	+71	+71	+76	+78	+79	+18	+75	+67	+70
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	433	69%	79%	73%	50%	54%	89%	68%	78%	64%
	Disagree	187	30%	20%	26%	50%	45%	11%	31%	21%	35%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	-	0%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+246	+39	+58	+47	+0	+9	+78	+37	+57	+29
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	200	32%	37%	33%	21%	20%	56%	29%	35%	32%
	Disagree	421	67%	63%	66%	79%	80%	43%	70%	65%	67%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	-221	-35	-26	-33	-58	-60	+14	-41	-29	-36
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	566	91%	94%	92%	92%	86%	74%	88%	91%	92%
	Disagree	58	9%	6%	8%	8%	14%	25%	12%	9%	7%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	0%	0%
	Net Agree	+508	+81	+89	+85	+84	+72	+49	+75	+82	+85
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	432	69%	75%	75%	51%	57%	81%	66%	68%	71%
	Disagree	188	30%	24%	24%	47%	43%	19%	32%	30%	28%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+244	+39	+51	+52	+3	+14	+63	+35	+38	+43

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	200	32%	36%	41%	14%	17%	44%	29%	33%	33%
	Disagree	422	68%	63%	59%	86%	82%	54%	70%	66%	66%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	0%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	-222	-36	-27	-18	-72	-65	-10	-42	-33	-33
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	329	53%	59%	55%	43%	42%	56%	49%	53%	54%
	Disagree	294	47%	40%	45%	57%	57%	44%	50%	46%	46%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+35	+6	+19	+10	-13	-14	+12	-1	+7	+9
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	427	68%	80%	77%	41%	50%	82%	64%	73%	68%
	Disagree	197	32%	20%	23%	59%	50%	18%	36%	27%	31%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
	Net Agree	+230	+37	+60	+54	-19	-0	+65	+27	+46	+37
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	147	23%	27%	27%	12%	16%	32%	24%	21%	25%
	Disagree	469	75%	70%	72%	87%	83%	66%	75%	75%	75%
	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	1%	4%	0%
	Net Agree	-323	-52	-43	-45	-75	-67	-34	-51	-54	-50
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Agree	459	74%	79%	73%	89%	71%	21%	81%	69%	72%
	Disagree	162	26%	20%	26%	11%	29%	77%	19%	30%	28%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	-	2%	0%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+298	+48	+59	+47	+79	+43	-56	+62	+39	+44
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	97	15%	13%	18%	14%	15%	22%	17%	17%	14%
	Disagree	525	84%	87%	82%	86%	84%	78%	82%	83%	86%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%
	Net Agree	-428	-69	-74	-64	-73	-69	-57	-66	-65	-72

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: Seattle's hands-off approach to people using illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth in public is contributing to rampant street crime and is making it	Agree	504	81%	86%	80%	93%	82%	34%	80%	81%	81%
	Disagree	118	19%	14%	19%	7%	18%	65%	19%	18%	19%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+386	+62	+72	+61	+86	+64	-31	+61	+62	+62
Agree: We should not enforce laws against public use of illegal drugs like fentanyl and meth until we first address the underlying issues driving	Agree	208	33%	32%	33%	13%	31%	87%	35%	34%	32%
	Disagree	412	66%	67%	66%	85%	69%	13%	65%	66%	67%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	1%
	Net Agree	-205	-33	-34	-33	-72	-38	+73	-30	-32	-35
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	31	5%	2%	3%	-	8%	27%	4%	5%	6%
	2	24	4%	5%	3%	1%	5%	10%	4%	4%	4%
	3	29	5%	3%	4%	3%	5%	16%	4%	6%	4%
	4	41	7%	6%	6%	4%	6%	19%	5%	8%	6%
	5	66	11%	14%	16%	4%	2%	5%	8%	10%	13%
	6	63	10%	9%	15%	5%	8%	6%	8%	8%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	367	59%	59%	54%	81%	65%	16%	65%	59%	54%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	-	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Mean	621	5.81	5.92	5.88	6.58	5.75	3.49	5.97	5.74	5.74
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	11	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	9%	2%	1%	2%
	2	9	1%	0%	1%	-	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%
	3	15	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	13%	2%	3%	2%
	4	52	8%	10%	8%	2%	10%	13%	9%	8%	8%
	5	91	15%	16%	13%	15%	10%	26%	14%	11%	17%
	6	113	18%	19%	23%	13%	15%	10%	16%	18%	19%
	7 – Very significant impact	332	53%	52%	52%	67%	56%	26%	54%	55%	51%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	1%	-	-	3%	-	0%	1%	0%
	Mean	622	6.01	6.04	6.09	6.35	6.02	4.75	5.98	6.06	5.99

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	12	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	10%	1%	4%	1%
	2	15	2%	1%	2%	-	3%	12%	2%	1%	4%
	3	28	4%	4%	5%	1%	5%	11%	3%	5%	5%
	4	59	9%	7%	12%	5%	7%	26%	8%	10%	10%
	5	88	14%	23%	15%	4%	4%	17%	12%	13%	16%
	6	97	15%	18%	19%	12%	13%	2%	16%	13%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	323	52%	47%	44%	77%	64%	21%	57%	53%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	1%	0%
	Mean	621	5.86	5.93	5.71	6.54	6.16	4.19	6.06	5.81	5.77
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	125	20%	15%	4%	47%	43%	4%	24%	17%	19%
	2	35	6%	5%	4%	10%	6%	4%	5%	8%	5%
	3	64	10%	6%	13%	11%	13%	8%	8%	11%	11%
	4	79	13%	15%	14%	11%	8%	9%	11%	11%	15%
	5	109	17%	23%	21%	8%	6%	20%	13%	17%	21%
	6	75	12%	12%	15%	6%	7%	22%	11%	14%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	138	22%	24%	28%	7%	17%	32%	29%	22%	18%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	1%	-	0%	0%	-
	Mean	624	4.26	4.59	5.01	2.69	3.17	5.35	4.33	4.32	4.19
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	16	3%	2%	2%	4%	5%	-	2%	4%	2%
	2	9	1%	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%	3%	-	2%
	3	21	3%	3%	2%	5%	6%	2%	3%	3%	4%
	4	60	10%	11%	9%	11%	5%	10%	8%	9%	11%
	5	134	21%	26%	23%	16%	18%	16%	16%	23%	24%
	6	125	20%	15%	24%	18%	20%	24%	21%	17%	21%
	7 – Very significant impact	260	42%	41%	39%	44%	44%	45%	48%	43%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	624	5.73	5.66	5.81	5.62	5.69	5.94	5.90	5.72	5.62

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	92	15%	8%	3%	36%	37%	2%	17%	12%	15%
	2	28	5%	4%	3%	12%	1%	3%	4%	3%	6%
	3	29	5%	7%	5%	6%	1%	-	5%	4%	4%
	4	56	9%	8%	10%	12%	10%	1%	9%	8%	9%
	5	104	17%	21%	15%	12%	16%	19%	16%	17%	17%
	6	93	15%	15%	20%	8%	10%	16%	11%	20%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	219	35%	37%	43%	15%	24%	58%	37%	34%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	-	0%	1%	1%
	Mean	621	4.94	5.25	5.65	3.33	3.94	6.14	4.84	5.13	4.88
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	17	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	7%	2%	3%	3%
	2	14	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	10%	2%	3%	2%
	3	38	6%	6%	6%	3%	6%	12%	7%	6%	5%
	4	67	11%	11%	12%	6%	7%	22%	10%	13%	10%
	5	93	15%	14%	17%	9%	11%	31%	14%	14%	16%
	6	98	16%	17%	19%	15%	13%	8%	15%	16%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	295	47%	48%	42%	65%	57%	10%	50%	45%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	0%	-	3%	-	0%	1%	0%
	Mean	621	5.70	5.73	5.62	6.22	6.00	4.24	5.77	5.60	5.72
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	11	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%
	2	8	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	4%	1%	2%	1%
	3	16	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	11%	2%	1%	3%
	4	35	6%	3%	8%	5%	3%	11%	4%	5%	7%
	5	77	12%	12%	11%	12%	10%	24%	9%	14%	13%
	6	81	13%	18%	10%	8%	12%	19%	11%	14%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	394	63%	60%	67%	72%	68%	28%	72%	61%	58%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	0%
	Mean	623	6.18	6.14	6.28	6.35	6.34	5.20	6.42	6.19	6.01

	n	%	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North	
Number of cases	625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269	
Row percent		100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%	
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1 – Very little impact	66	11%	5%	5%	27%	21%	2%	16%	7%	10%
	2	33	5%	3%	3%	14%	8%	-	5%	4%	6%
	3	43	7%	5%	6%	10%	10%	4%	6%	5%	9%
	4	70	11%	13%	13%	6%	14%	2%	16%	11%	8%
	5	114	18%	21%	19%	16%	15%	17%	13%	24%	18%
	6	97	16%	18%	22%	6%	5%	18%	15%	11%	19%
	7 – Very significant impact	200	32%	34%	32%	20%	26%	57%	28%	39%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	1%	-	0%	0%	0%
	Mean	623	4.97	5.36	5.33	3.71	4.17	6.17	4.65	5.32	4.94
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1 – Very little impact	48	8%	3%	2%	20%	17%	5%	11%	7%	6%
	2	26	4%	2%	3%	9%	6%	3%	6%	3%	3%
	3	40	6%	4%	4%	15%	9%	-	4%	7%	7%
	4	60	10%	8%	12%	12%	9%	2%	10%	9%	9%
	5	97	16%	16%	18%	15%	17%	4%	13%	19%	15%
	6	83	13%	17%	17%	7%	10%	3%	12%	13%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	271	43%	49%	44%	22%	33%	82%	43%	42%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0%
	Mean	624	5.35	5.80	5.69	4.04	4.64	6.34	5.16	5.37	5.45
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1 – Very little impact	71	11%	6%	9%	5%	12%	53%	10%	15%	10%
	2	38	6%	4%	7%	1%	8%	20%	3%	7%	7%
	3	36	6%	8%	6%	2%	4%	6%	5%	3%	8%
	4	70	11%	16%	12%	4%	12%	5%	10%	11%	12%
	5	84	14%	17%	17%	10%	7%	2%	14%	15%	12%
	6	92	15%	15%	17%	17%	11%	5%	13%	16%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	230	37%	34%	31%	59%	44%	9%	44%	33%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	-	0%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	0%
	Mean	622	5.02	5.15	4.99	6.04	5.07	2.34	5.30	4.82	4.97

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	84	13%	11%	10%	4%	18%	53%	12%	15%	13%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	19%	6%	9%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	496	79%	83%	84%	90%	75%	27%	82%	77%	80%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	34	6%	3%	4%	3%	7%	26%	6%	6%	5%
	4/(DK)	55	9%	11%	8%	2%	12%	13%	10%	9%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	535	86%	86%	88%	95%	81%	61%	84%	85%	87%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	55	9%	5%	10%	2%	9%	33%	6%	10%	10%
	4/(DK)	63	10%	7%	12%	5%	9%	27%	9%	11%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	508	81%	88%	78%	93%	82%	40%	85%	79%	80%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	223	36%	26%	22%	68%	61%	16%	36%	36%	35%
	4/(DK)	80	13%	15%	14%	11%	9%	9%	12%	11%	15%
	5-7 Significant Impact	321	51%	59%	64%	21%	30%	75%	52%	52%	50%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	46	7%	7%	4%	11%	12%	4%	7%	7%	8%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	11%	9%	11%	6%	10%	8%	9%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	519	83%	82%	87%	78%	82%	86%	85%	83%	82%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	149	24%	19%	11%	54%	39%	5%	26%	19%	25%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	8%	10%	12%	12%	1%	9%	9%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	416	67%	73%	78%	34%	50%	93%	64%	71%	65%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	69	11%	11%	11%	5%	9%	29%	11%	12%	10%
	4/(DK)	70	11%	11%	12%	6%	10%	22%	10%	14%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	486	78%	78%	77%	88%	81%	49%	79%	74%	79%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	35	6%	7%	3%	3%	5%	18%	4%	4%	8%
	4/(DK)	37	6%	3%	8%	5%	5%	11%	4%	6%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	553	88%	90%	89%	92%	90%	71%	93%	89%	85%

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	142	23%	13%	14%	51%	39%	5%	27%	15%	25%
	4/(DK)	72	12%	14%	13%	6%	15%	2%	16%	11%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	411	66%	73%	73%	43%	47%	93%	57%	74%	66%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	113	18%	9%	9%	44%	31%	9%	22%	17%	17%
	4/(DK)	60	10%	8%	12%	12%	10%	2%	10%	9%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	451	72%	82%	79%	44%	59%	89%	68%	74%	74%
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1-3 Little Impact	144	23%	18%	22%	9%	24%	79%	18%	25%	25%
	4/(DK)	74	12%	16%	13%	5%	13%	5%	11%	12%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	407	65%	66%	66%	86%	63%	17%	71%	63%	63%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Much too high	199	32%	25%	23%	53%	49%	13%	40%	28%	29%
	Somewhat too high	180	29%	26%	34%	34%	22%	22%	32%	27%	28%
	Too low	51	8%	7%	9%	4%	8%	18%	8%	7%	9%
	About right	191	31%	41%	34%	9%	20%	45%	20%	38%	33%
	(Don't know)	3	1%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	0%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Too high	379	61%	51%	57%	87%	72%	35%	72%	55%	57%
	About right/(DK)	195	31%	42%	34%	9%	20%	47%	20%	39%	34%
	Too low	51	8%	7%	9%	4%	8%	18%	8%	7%	9%
	Net Too high	+328	+52	+44	+48	+83	+63	+17	+64	+48	+48
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	227	36%	29%	32%	62%	42%	14%	42%	31%	36%
	Somewhat less safe	146	23%	24%	24%	18%	30%	18%	21%	25%	24%
	More safe	66	11%	15%	12%	4%	5%	11%	7%	12%	12%
	(About the same)	184	29%	31%	32%	16%	22%	56%	30%	31%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	-

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	374	60%	54%	56%	80%	71%	32%	63%	56%	60%
	(About the same/DK)	185	30%	31%	32%	16%	23%	56%	30%	32%	28%
	More safe	66	11%	15%	12%	4%	5%	11%	7%	12%	12%
	Net Less safe	+308	+49	+39	+45	+75	+66	+21	+56	+43	+49
Illegal public drug use preference: Prosecute if refuse treatment vs. Never arrest	Prosecute if refuse treatment	446	71%	76%	68%	90%	74%	22%	73%	69%	71%
	Never arrest	168	27%	23%	31%	9%	21%	75%	24%	28%	28%
	(Both)	3	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	0%
	(Neither)	6	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	3%	1%	2%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	0%
Illegal public drug use preference: Prosecute if refuse treatment vs. Never arrest	Prosecute if refuse treatment	446	71%	76%	68%	90%	74%	22%	73%	69%	71%
	Never arrest	168	27%	23%	31%	9%	21%	75%	24%	28%	28%
	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	1%	1%	5%	3%	2%	3%	1%
	Net Prosecute if refuse treatment	+277	+44	+53	+37	+81	+53	-53	+49	+41	+43
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Very concerned	162	26%	20%	23%	31%	32%	41%	26%	31%	23%
	Somewhat concerned	232	37%	33%	40%	36%	43%	30%	43%	29%	39%
	Not too concerned	148	24%	30%	26%	18%	13%	21%	20%	26%	25%
	Not at all concerned	82	13%	17%	12%	15%	10%	8%	11%	14%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Concerned	393	63%	53%	63%	67%	75%	71%	69%	60%	61%
	Not concerned	230	37%	47%	37%	33%	23%	29%	31%	39%	39%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
	Net Concerned	+164	+26	+6	+25	+34	+53	+41	+38	+20	+22

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1 – Very pessimistic	125	20%	15%	15%	34%	31%	9%	23%	18%	19%
	2	154	25%	21%	24%	30%	28%	24%	20%	27%	26%
	3	255	41%	48%	49%	18%	29%	50%	45%	39%	39%
	4	66	11%	12%	9%	13%	6%	12%	9%	10%	12%
	5 – Very optimistic	21	3%	4%	1%	5%	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	3%	-	0%	2%	-
	Mean	621	2.52	2.70	2.57	2.26	2.21	2.78	2.49	2.53	2.54
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1-2 Pessimistic	279	45%	36%	39%	63%	59%	34%	43%	45%	45%
	3/(DK)	260	42%	48%	50%	18%	31%	50%	45%	41%	39%
	4-5 Optimistic	87	14%	16%	11%	18%	10%	17%	12%	14%	15%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	155	25%	30%	15%	35%	30%	16%	24%	33%	20%
	A few times a month	241	38%	37%	41%	32%	40%	47%	46%	39%	33%
	A few times a year	155	25%	18%	31%	26%	20%	28%	21%	15%	34%
	Rarely	48	8%	12%	7%	4%	7%	6%	6%	9%	8%
	Never	20	3%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%
	(Refused)	7	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	155	25%	30%	15%	35%	30%	16%	24%	33%	20%
	Few times a month	241	38%	37%	41%	32%	40%	47%	46%	39%	33%
	Less often	229	37%	34%	44%	33%	30%	36%	30%	28%	47%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	18	3%	2%	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%	5%	2%
	Somewhat more often	28	4%	5%	3%	5%	2%	13%	3%	5%	5%
	About the same	134	21%	22%	22%	15%	13%	45%	20%	24%	21%
	Somewhat less often	127	20%	22%	25%	9%	20%	18%	22%	17%	21%
	Much less often	314	50%	48%	46%	67%	60%	22%	53%	49%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	More often	46	7%	7%	6%	8%	6%	15%	6%	9%	7%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	139	22%	23%	23%	15%	14%	45%	20%	25%	22%
	Less often	440	70%	70%	71%	77%	80%	39%	75%	66%	71%
	Net More often	-394	-63	-63	-64	-69	-74	-24	-69	-57	-63
Duration of residency	<2 years	7	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%
	2-5 years	88	14%	14%	16%	4%	15%	28%	12%	19%	13%
	6-10 years	82	13%	14%	14%	9%	12%	18%	13%	15%	12%
	11-20 years	156	25%	26%	20%	33%	24%	25%	23%	27%	25%
	>20 years	292	47%	44%	50%	54%	48%	28%	53%	37%	49%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	176	28%	30%	30%	13%	27%	46%	25%	36%	25%
	11-20 years	156	25%	26%	20%	33%	24%	25%	23%	27%	25%
	>20 years	292	47%	44%	50%	54%	48%	28%	53%	37%	49%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	59%	60%	-	-	4%	36%	37%	37%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	24%	21%	-	-	-	13%	14%	15%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	17%	19%	-	-	-	10%	11%	11%
	Independent	69	11%	-	-	37%	33%	3%	14%	8%	11%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	-	-	17%	15%	-	3%	3%	8%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	-	-	8%	4%	-	2%	3%	1%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	-	-	12%	7%	-	3%	2%	4%
	Socialist (Something else/Don't know/Refused)	44 63	7% 10%	- -	- -	- 26%	- 40%	93% -	5% 12%	9% 12%	7% 7%
Party	Socialist	44	7%	-	-	-	-	93%	5%	9%	7%
	Democrat	388	62%	100%	100%	-	-	4%	60%	62%	63%
	Independent	131	21%	-	-	63%	73%	3%	26%	20%	18%
	Republican	63	10%	-	-	37%	27%	-	9%	8%	12%

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	105	17%	15%	18%	4%	10%	60%	18%	20%	14%
	2	104	17%	20%	25%	1%	9%	19%	11%	16%	21%
	3	169	27%	39%	38%	9%	7%	8%	26%	28%	27%
	4	136	22%	20%	15%	35%	34%	5%	25%	20%	20%
	5	55	9%	3%	3%	25%	17%	3%	10%	6%	10%
	6	17	3%	2%	1%	8%	5%	-	3%	2%	3%
	7 – Very conservative	18	3%	1%	0%	9%	7%	-	3%	2%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	22	4%	-	0%	10%	11%	4%	4%	5%	2%
	Mean	603	3.09	2.85	2.64	4.49	3.91	1.67	3.19	2.89	3.15
Ideology	Liberal	378	60%	74%	81%	14%	26%	87%	55%	65%	61%
	Moderate	158	25%	20%	15%	44%	45%	9%	30%	25%	22%
	Conservative	89	14%	6%	4%	42%	28%	3%	15%	10%	16%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	57%	54%	53%	38%	21%	58%	39%	52%
	Renter	313	50%	43%	46%	47%	62%	79%	42%	61%	48%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	432	69%	74%	76%	56%	61%	63%	67%	72%	69%
	African American or Black	25	4%	5%	2%	5%	7%	2%	6%	3%	4%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	4%	6%	8%	3%	12%	2%	6%	8%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	7%	9%	7%	10%	7%	11%	6%	8%
	Something else	44	7%	5%	4%	12%	8%	12%	8%	6%	7%
	(Refused)	37	6%	4%	3%	11%	12%	3%	6%	8%	4%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	432	69%	74%	76%	56%	61%	63%	67%	72%	69%
	African American or Black	25	4%	5%	2%	5%	7%	2%	6%	3%	4%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	4%	6%	8%	3%	12%	2%	6%	8%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	7%	9%	7%	10%	7%	11%	6%	8%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	80	13%	9%	7%	24%	19%	15%	14%	14%	12%

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Ethnicity	White	432	69%	74%	76%	56%	61%	63%	67%	72%	69%
	POC	156	25%	22%	21%	33%	28%	34%	26%	21%	27%
	(Ref)	37	6%	4%	3%	11%	12%	3%	6%	8%	4%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	118	19%	21%	17%	14%	21%	27%	16%	20%	20%
	30-39	157	25%	26%	20%	24%	28%	41%	24%	28%	24%
	40-49	110	18%	17%	18%	22%	17%	10%	19%	17%	17%
	50-64	125	20%	21%	21%	21%	19%	12%	22%	18%	20%
	65+	115	18%	15%	24%	20%	15%	11%	19%	17%	19%
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%	47%	37%	38%	49%	67%	40%	48%	44%
	40+	350	56%	53%	63%	62%	51%	33%	60%	52%	56%
Generation	18-39	275	44%	47%	37%	38%	49%	67%	40%	48%	44%
	40-64	235	38%	38%	39%	42%	36%	22%	41%	35%	37%
	65+	115	18%	15%	24%	20%	15%	11%	19%	17%	19%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-	0%	-	0%
	Some high school	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	0%	1%
	Graduated high school	24	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	6%	5%	3%	4%
	Technical/vocational school	21	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%	5%	7%	2%	2%
	Some college/<4-year degree	90	14%	15%	9%	22%	11%	20%	13%	16%	14%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	248	40%	36%	41%	42%	46%	27%	45%	36%	38%
	Graduate/professional degree (Refused)	229 7	37% 1%	40% 0%	41% 1%	23% 4%	34% 1%	40% -	28% 0%	41% 1%	40% 1%
Education	<4-year degree	148	24%	24%	17%	35%	20%	32%	27%	23%	22%
	4-year degree+	477	76%	76%	83%	65%	80%	68%	73%	77%	78%

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Education	Less than college	148	24%	24%	17%	35%	20%	32%	27%	23%	22%
	Graduated college	248	40%	36%	41%	42%	46%	27%	45%	36%	38%
	Graduate/professional degree	229	37%	40%	41%	23%	34%	40%	28%	41%	40%
Gender	Male	310	50%	100%	-	100%	-	46%	49%	52%	48%
	Female	312	50%	-	100%	-	100%	47%	51%	48%	50%
	Non-binary	3	1%	-	-	-	-	7%	-	-	1%
	(Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Region (2023)	South	178	29%	28%	27%	30%	35%	20%	100%	-	-
	Central	178	29%	32%	26%	26%	26%	35%	-	100%	-
	North	269	43%	40%	46%	44%	39%	45%	-	-	100%
City Council District (2023)	1	97	16%	16%	16%	15%	19%	5%	54%	-	-
	2	81	13%	12%	11%	16%	16%	14%	46%	-	-
	3	97	16%	17%	16%	8%	13%	26%	-	54%	-
	4	78	13%	13%	13%	14%	7%	15%	-	-	29%
	5	91	15%	14%	17%	16%	8%	16%	-	-	34%
	6	100	16%	14%	17%	13%	24%	14%	-	-	37%
	7	81	13%	14%	10%	18%	13%	9%	-	46%	-
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18)	0-3/6	325	52%	57%	42%	57%	58%	54%	53%	55%	49%
	4-5/6	163	26%	22%	29%	23%	31%	29%	24%	28%	26%
	6/6	138	22%	22%	29%	20%	12%	17%	24%	17%	24%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	142	23%	47%	-	38%	-	34%	23%	23%	22%
	M 40-64	118	19%	38%	-	42%	-	7%	19%	20%	18%
	M 65+	51	8%	15%	-	20%	-	5%	7%	9%	8%
	F 18-39	133	21%	-	37%	-	49%	34%	17%	25%	22%
	F 40-64	114	18%	-	39%	-	36%	7%	22%	15%	18%
	F 65+	64	10%	-	24%	-	15%	6%	12%	8%	11%
	Other	3	1%	-	-	-	-	7%	-	-	1%

		n	Party/Gender					Region (2023)			
			%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		183	202	105	87	47	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	29%	32%	17%	14%	8%	29%	29%	43%
Party/Gender	D Male	183	29%	100%	-	-	-	-	29%	33%	28%
	D Female	202	32%	-	100%	-	-	-	31%	30%	35%
	R/I Male	105	17%	-	-	100%	-	-	18%	15%	17%
	R/I Female	87	14%	-	-	-	100%	-	17%	13%	12%
	Other	47	8%	-	-	-	-	100%	5%	9%	8%