					Gender			Par	ty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
QOL Index	Mean	625	4.24	4.04	4.49	3.32	4.50	4.83	3.06	2.91
Seattle Right direction/Wrong	Right direction	219	35%	31%	41%	9%	33%	47%	13%	11%
track	Wrong track	382	61%	65%	56%	91%	61%	50%	81%	86%
	(Don't know/Refused)	24	4%	4%	3%	-	5%	3%	5%	3%
	Net Right direction	-163	-26	-35	-15	-82	-28	-4	-68	-76
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	301	48%	43%	54%	64%	43%	55%	30%	49%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	87	14%	14%	15%	0%	18%	15%	12%	10%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	81	13%	14%	12%	0%	12%	14%	13%	8%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	73	12%	13%	10%	4%	8%	9%	14%	26%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	65	10%	11%	10%	16%	15%	12%	6%	8%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	44	7%	5%	9%	6%	9%	8%	5%	0%
	Walkability/Transit	34	5%	7%	4%	9%	12%	6%	3%	0%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	30	5%	7%	2%	0%	2%	4%	9%	4%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	29	5%	3%	7%	0%	6%	5%	5%	3%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	16	3%	4%	2%	0%	3%	1%	7%	4%
	Other	13	2%	1%	3%	0%	1%	3%	0%	2%
	Nothing/Don't know	17	3%	4%	1%	0%	1%	1%	8%	7%

					Gender			Par	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	313	50%	46%	54%	38%	40%	52%	48%	50%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	289	46%	51%	41%	44%	11%	42%	60%	69%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	165	26%	25%	28%	6%	53%	30%	18%	5%
	Taxes	30	5%	5%	5%	11%	3%	4%	4%	12%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	26	4%	4%	3%	16%	8%	4%	4%	5%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	24	4%	5%	2%	10%	5%	3%	6%	5%
	Traffic/Congestion	23	4%	5%	2%	10%	3%	4%	4%	0%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	22	4%	3%	4%	0%	3%	4%	4%	4%
	Public transportation	20	3%	3%	3%	0%	4%	4%	3%	0%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	18	3%	2%	4%	0%	0%	3%	4%	5%
	Growth/Development/Population	13	2%	2%	3%	0%	1%	2%	3%	0%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	12	2%	1%	3%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	11	2%	1%	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	Jobs/Economy	10	2%	1%	2%	11%	6%	1%	1%	0%
	Other	9	1%	2%	1%	0%	3%	1%	2%	0%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	4	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%
Quality of life in Seattle	Much better	14	2%	3%	1%	-	2%	3%	1%	-
compared to 4 years ago	Somewhat better	81	13%	14%	12%	9%	7%	15%	9%	15%
	The same	114	18%	18%	19%	32%	34%	20%	14%	5%
	Somewhat worse	217	35%	29%	40%	47%	29%	42%	25%	15%
	Much worse	184	30%	34%	25%	11%	21%	18%	49%	64%
	(Don't know/Refused)	14	2%	2%	2%	-	7%	2%	1%	1%
Quality of life in Seattle	Better	96	15%	17%	14%	9%	8%	18%	9%	15%
compared to 4 years ago	Same/(DK/Ref)	128	20%	20%	21%	32%	41%	22%	16%	6%
	Worse	402	64%	63%	65%	59%	50%	60%	75%	79%
	Net Better	-306	-49	-46	-51	-50	-42	-42	-65	-65
Considered moving out of	Yes	378	61%	64%	57%	64%	50%	53%	79%	78%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	247	39%	36%	43%	36%	50%	47%	21%	22%

Number of cases Row percent  Main reason for considering Expens		n	0/			Non-				,
Row percent			٠, ا							ı
Row percent			%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
·		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Main reason for considering Expens			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
I Train reason for considering Expens	sive (Cost of living/housing)	124	33%	32%	33%	33%	50%	36%	29%	18%
moving out of Seattle Crime/	/Drugs/Public safety	102	27%	27%	26%	23%	4%	26%	33%	27%
Govern	nment/Leadership/Politics	30	8%	11%	5%	-	2%	5%	3%	33%
Homel	lessness	24	6%	6%	7%	11%	-	6%	9%	7%
Work/	Job opportunities/Business leaving	14	4%	4%	3%	-	6%	2%	6%	5%
Taxes		13	4%	3%	5%	-	5%	4%	3%	2%
Growt	h/Development/Space	9	2%	2%	3%	-	3%	2%	2%	4%
Closer	to family	9	2%	2%	3%	-	-	4%	1%	-
Declin	ing quality of life	8	2%	3%	1%	-	-	2%	3%	2%
Weath	ner	7	2%	1%	3%	-	-	3%	1%	-
School	ls/School district/SPS/Education	6	2%	1%	2%	7%	-	2%	2%	-
Transit	t/Walkability/Urban environment	5	1%	1%	2%	-	3%	1%	2%	1%
Alway:	s lived here/Want to try somewhere new	5	1%	1%	1%	17%	11%	1%	-	-
-	/Congestion	4	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	-
Lack o	f diversity/Unwelcomed	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-
Other		14	4%	3%	4%	10%	13%	4%	4%	-
None/	Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Still actively considering moving Yes		308	82%	83%	79%	86%	91%	77%	86%	87%
out of Seattle No/(Do	on't know/Refused)	70	18%	17%	21%	14%	9%	23%	14%	13%
Considered moving/actively Yes, st	ill actively considering	308	49%	53%	45%	55%	45%	40%	68%	68%
considering moving out of Yes, no	o longer actively considering	70	11%	11%	12%	9%	5%	12%	11%	10%
Seattle No/(Do	on't know/Refused)	247	39%	36%	43%	36%	50%	47%	21%	22%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the Strong	gly agree	130	21%	20%	22%	9%	25%	24%	14%	10%
future of this region Somev	what agree	232	37%	34%	41%	22%	43%	44%	22%	23%
Somev	what disagree	148	24%	25%	22%	47%	20%	22%	31%	19%
Strong	gly disagree	108	17%	21%	13%	22%	11%	8%	31%	48%
_	know/Refused)	8	1%	0%	2%	-	1%	1%	2%	-

					Gender			Pai	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: A thriving downtown	Strongly agree	372	59%	62%	58%	40%		62%	57%	73%
Seattle is critical to our region's	Somewhat agree	184	29%	28%	31%	36%		28%	33%	20%
economic recovery	Somewhat disagree	48	8%	7%	8%	20%	21%	8%	6%	1%
	Strongly disagree	17	3%	3%	3%	4%	7%	2%	3%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Agree: I'm worried about the	Strongly agree	309	49%	53%	46%	59%	20%	45%	58%	79%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	192	31%	27%	34%	16%	37%	35%	25%	13%
	Somewhat disagree	85	14%	13%	15%	-	27%	15%	9%	5%
	Strongly disagree	36	6%	6%	5%	25%	15%	5%	7%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	216	35%	30%	39%	31%	63%	39%	24%	7%
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	236	38%	39%	36%	38%	30%	40%	32%	40%
day	Somewhat disagree	109	17%	19%	16%	16%	2%	15%	25%	28%
	Strongly disagree	62	10%	11%	8%	15%	4%	5%	19%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	61	10%	9%	10%	22%	25%	9%	11%	2%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	148	24%	23%	25%	-	37%	29%	15%	1%
	Somewhat disagree	171	27%	26%	28%	39%	20%	31%	21%	26%
	Strongly disagree	243	39%	41%	37%	39%	18%	31%	53%	71%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	436	70%	70%	70%	69%	38%	68%	75%	93%
cannot fully recover until the	Somewhat agree	115	18%	18%	19%	17%	39%	20%	12%	7%
homelessness and public safety	Somewhat disagree	50	8%	10%	6%	5%	15%	9%	6%	-
problems are addressed	Strongly disagree	19	3%	2%	4%	9%	6%	3%	4%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	-

					Gender			Pai	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: I support the building of a	Strongly agree	244	39%	39%	38%	53%	74%	41%	30%	20%
wider variety of housing in my	Somewhat agree	185	30%	28%	32%	15%	15%	34%	25%	20%
neighborhood	Somewhat disagree	97	16%	18%	13%	-	2%	15%	19%	21%
	Strongly disagree	95	15%	14%	16%	22%	10%	9%	23%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	10%	-	0%	2%	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle	Strongly agree	25	4%	3%	5%	-	4%	4%	3%	2%
to spend my tax dollars	Somewhat agree	166	27%	23%	31%	19%	35%	34%	12%	5%
responsibly	Somewhat disagree	174	28%	28%	28%	17%	29%	33%	19%	11%
	Strongly disagree	256	41%	45%	36%	64%	30%	28%	65%	82%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	-	-
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	111	18%	20%	15%	35%	19%	19%	14%	16%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	250	40%	36%	45%	14%	40%	45%	32%	26%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	159	25%	28%	23%	44%	27%	24%	28%	25%
	Strongly disagree	100	16%	16%	16%	7%	13%	11%	25%	32%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	230	37%	34%	40%	41%	50%	46%	16%	16%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	227	36%	34%	39%	7%	38%	37%	39%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	99	16%	19%	12%	21%	7%	13%	25%	25%
	Strongly disagree	67	11%	13%	8%	31%	2%	5%	21%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	3%	0%	-	-
Agree: I trust the Seattle City	Strongly agree	44	7%	6%	8%	-	5%	8%	4%	9%
Council to reform the Seattle	Somewhat agree	165	26%	24%	29%	19%	21%	31%	21%	11%
Police Department without	Somewhat disagree	193	31%	31%	32%	19%	26%	36%	22%	24%
endangering public safety	Strongly disagree	211	34%	37%	30%	52%	45%	23%	52%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	2%	2%	10%	3%	2%	2%	3%

					Gender			Pai	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: Hiring more police	Strongly agree	292	47%	53%	40%	37%	7%	40%	61%	88%
officers should be an immediate		138	22%	21%	24%	10%	9%	27%	17%	11%
priority for the City	Somewhat disagree	89	14%		16%	20%	17%	17%	11%	-
priority for the city	Strongly disagree	100	16%	12%	19%	34%	67%	14%	10%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
			201							
Agree: I trust that the city has an		19	3%	2%	4%	-		4%	2%	-
effective plan to address the	Somewhat agree	90	14%	12%	18%	9%	7%	17%	10%	13%
,	Somewhat disagree	217	35%		34%	21%	36%	41%	27%	12%
homelessness, affordability, and		295	47%	50%	43%	69%	56%	37%	60%	75%
public safety	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	-
Agree: The City of Seattle has	Strongly agree	297	47%	52%	42%	57%	42%	39%	63%	70%
enough money to address	Somewhat agree	201	32%	30%	35%	20%	28%	36%	24%	28%
important priorities; they just	Somewhat disagree	91	15%	14%	15%	16%	14%	19%	9%	2%
need to spend it more	Strongly disagree	27	4%	3%	5%	7%	15%	4%	3%	-
effectively	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	-
Agree: The City of Seattle should	Strongly agree	343	55%	58%	51%	53%	25%	50%	68%	81%
focus on the funding the basics	Somewhat agree	222	36%	32%	39%	37%	46%	42%	23%	17%
like filling potholes, maintaining	Somewhat disagree	44	7%	7%	6%	9%	20%	7%	5%	1770
parks, and addressing public	Strongly disagree	14	2%	2%	2%	-	6%	1%	3%	2%
safety	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	0%	-	3%	0%	1%	-
Agree: We should allow the	Strongly agree	168	27%	32%	22%	22%	29%	30%	17%	24%
construction of more retail and	Somewhat agree	207	33%	32%	35%	26%	36%	33%	34%	29%
commercial spaces in my	Somewhat disagree	137	22%	21%	23%	20%	23%	20%	27%	23%
neighborhood	Strongly disagree	111	18%	14%	21%	31%	12%	16%	21%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	-
									1	

					Gender			Par	ty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10		388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	362	58%	53%	63%	31%		68%	35%	33%
future of this region	Disagree	256	41%	46%	35%	69%		31%	62%	67%
	(DK/Ref)	8	1%	0%	2%	-	1%	1%	2%	-
	Net Agree	+106	+17	+7	+28	-37	+38	+38	-27	-34
Agree: A thriving downtown	Agree	556	89%	90%	89%	76%		90%	90%	93%
Seattle is critical to our region's	Disagree	65	10%	9%	11%	24%	28%	9%	9%	7%
economic recovery	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+491	+79	+81	+78	+51	+44	+80	+81	+86
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	501	80%	81%	80%	75%	58%	80%	83%	92%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	121	19%	19%	19%	25%	42%	20%	16%	8%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+380	+61	+62	+61	+50	+15	+60	+67	+83
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	452	72%	69%	76%	69%	93%	80%	55%	47%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	171	27%	31%	24%	31%	6%	20%	44%	53%
day	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+281	+45	+38	+52	+38	+87	+60	+12	-7
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	209	33%	32%	35%	22%	62%	38%	25%	3%
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	414	66%	67%	65%	78%	38%	62%	74%	97%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	-205	-33	-34	-30	-56	+24	-24	-48	-94
Agree: Downtown Seattle	Agree	551	88%	88%	88%	86%	77%	88%	88%	100%
cannot fully recover until the	Disagree	68	11%	11%	10%	14%	20%	12%	11%	-
homelessness and public safety	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	-
problems are addressed	Net Agree	+482	+77	+76	+78	+71	+57	+76	+77	-

				Gender			Par	ty	
					Non-				
	n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
	625		306	305	10	44			63
		100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree	429	69%	67%	70%	68%		75%	56%	40%
Disagree	192	31%	32%	29%	22%	11%			60%
(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	0%	10%	-	0%	2%	-
Net Agree	+236	+38	+35	+41	+46	+77	+51	+14	-20
Agree	191	31%	26%	35%	19%	38%	39%	16%	7%
Disagree	430	69%	73%	64%	81%	59%	61%	84%	93%
(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	-	-
Net Agree	-239	-38	-47	-29	-62	-21	-22	-69	-86
Agree	361	58%	56%	60%	49%	59%	64%	46%	42%
Disagree	258	41%	43%	39%	51%	40%	35%	53%	57%
(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%
Net Agree	+103	+16	+13	+21	-2	+19	+29	-7	-15
Agree	457	73%	68%	79%	48%	88%	82%	55%	45%
Disagree	166	27%	32%	21%	52%	9%	18%	45%	55%
(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	3%	0%	-	-
Net Agree	+291	+47	+37	+58	-4	+79	+65	+10	-11
Agree	209	33%	30%	37%	19%	26%	39%	25%	20%
Disagree	404	65%	68%	61%	71%	71%	59%	73%	77%
(DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	2%	10%	3%	2%	2%	3%
Net Agree	-195	-31	-38	-25	-52	-45	-20	-48	-56
Agree	430	69%	74%	64%	46%	16%	67%	77%	98%
Disagree	189	30%	25%	35%	54%	84%	32%	22%	2%
(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Net Agree	+241	+39	+49	+29	-7	-68	+36	+56	+96
	(DK/Ref) Net Agree  Agree Disagree (DK/Ref) Net Agree  Agree Disagree (DK/Ref) Net Agree  Agree Disagree (DK/Ref) Net Agree  Disagree (DK/Ref) Net Agree  Agree Disagree (DK/Ref) Net Agree  Agree Disagree (DK/Ref) Net Agree  Disagree (DK/Ref) Net Agree  Disagree (DK/Ref) Net Agree	Agree 429 Disagree 192 (DK/Ref) 4 Net Agree +236  Agree 191 Disagree 430 (DK/Ref) 4 Net Agree -239  Agree 361 Disagree 258 (DK/Ref) 5 Net Agree +103  Agree 457 Disagree 166 (DK/Ref) 2 Net Agree 166 (DK/Ref) 2 Net Agree 209 Disagree 404 (DK/Ref) 1 Net Agree 457 Agree 5166 (DK/Ref) 1 Net Agree 1666 (DK/Ref) 1 Net Agree 1666 (DK/Ref) 1 Net Agree 191  Agree 209 Disagree 404 (DK/Ref) 1 Net Agree 195  Agree 430 Disagree 55	Agree 429 69% Disagree 192 31% (DK/Ref) 4 1% Net Agree +236 +38  Agree 191 31% Disagree 430 69% (DK/Ref) 4 1% Net Agree -239 -38  Agree 361 58% Disagree 258 41% (DK/Ref) 5 1% Net Agree +103 +16  Agree 457 73% Disagree 166 27% (DK/Ref) 2 0% Net Agree +291 +47  Agree 209 33% Disagree (DK/Ref) 1 2 0% Net Agree +291 +47  Agree 209 33% Disagree (DK/Ref) 1 2% Agree 404 65% (DK/Ref) 1 1 2% Agree 406 65% (DK/Ref) 1 1 2% Agree 406 65% (DK/Ref) 1 1 2% Agree 407 69% Disagree 430 69% Disagree 5 189 30% (DK/Ref) 5 1%	Agree 429 69% 67% 100% 49% (DK/Ref) 4 1% 1% 1% 14% 15% 15% 16% 100% 15% 15% 16% 100% 15% 15% 16% 15% 15% 16% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 16% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15	n         %         Male         Female           625         306         305           100%         49%         49%           Agree         429         69%         67%         70%           Disagree         192         31%         32%         29%           (DK/Ref)         4         1%         1%         0%           Net Agree         191         31%         26%         35%           Disagree         430         69%         73%         64%           (DK/Ref)         4         1%         1%         1%           Net Agree         361         58%         56%         60%           Disagree         258         41%         43%         39%           (DK/Ref)         5         1%         1%         1%           Net Agree         457         73%         68%         79%           Disagree         457         73%         68%         79%           Disagree         166         27%         32%         21%           (DK/Ref)         2         0%         0%         0%           Net Agree         209         33%         30%         37%	Non-binary   No	Name   Name	Non-   Non-   Non-   Socialist   Dem	Name   Name

					Gender			Par	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: I trust that the city has an	Agree	109	17%			9%	7%	21%	12%	13%
effective plan to address the	Disagree	512	82%	86%	77%	91%		78%	87%	87%
critical issues facing our city like	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	-
homelessness, affordability, and	Net Agree	-403	-64	-72	-55	-82	-86	-57	-75	-74
public safety										
Agree: The City of Seattle has	Agree	498	80%	82%	78%	77%	70%	75%	87%	98%
enough money to address	Disagree	118	19%	17%	21%	23%	29%	23%	12%	2%
important priorities; they just	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	-
need to spend it more	Net Agree	+380	+61	+64	+57	+54	+41	+52	+76	+96
effectively										
Agree: The City of Seattle should	Agree	565	90%	90%	91%	91%	71%	91%	91%	98%
focus on the funding the basics	Disagree	58	9%	10%	9%	9%	26%	9%	9%	2%
like filling potholes, maintaining	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	0%	0%	_	3%	0%	1%	-
parks, and addressing public	Net Agree	+507	+81	+81	+82	+82	+45	+82	+82	+96
safety	-									
Agree: We should allow the	Agree	375	60%	64%	57%	48%	65%	63%	51%	53%
construction of more retail and	Disagree	248	40%	36%	43%	52%	35%	36%	49%	47%
commercial spaces in my	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	-
neighborhood	Net Agree	+127	+20	+28	+13	-3	+31	+27	+2	+7
Impact: Closing encampments in	1 – Very little impact	40	6%	6%	6%	22%	36%	5%	4%	-
parks, on sidewalks, and on	2	33	5%	4%	7%	-	22%	6%	1%	-
other public right of ways	3	33	5%	4%	6%	31%	9%	6%	4%	-
	4	56	9%	7%	11%	11%	13%	10%	8%	-
	5	53	8%	9%	8%	-	9%	10%	7%	2%
	6	62	10%	10%	10%	-	2%	11%	10%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	348	56%	61%	52%	37%	10%	52%	65%	89%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	_	0%	_	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	624	5.61	5.83	5.44	4.13	2.85	5.57	6.03	6.87

			Gender			Par	rty	
				Non-				
n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
625		306	305	10		388	131	63
	100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
14	2%	2%	2%	-	15%	1%	3%	-
10					10%	1%	1%	-
19	3%	3%	3%	9%	4%	2%	6%	-
59			10%	-	27%	9%	7%	2%
110	18%	17%	18%	-	22%	18%	14%	19%
106			18%	11%	8%	21%	11%	10%
306	49%	51%	47%	58%	14%	47%	56%	67%
1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
624	5.85	5.90	5.83	5.42	4.14	5.94	5.88	6.44
23	4%	3%	4%	12%	17%	2%	5%	-
21	3%	2%	5%	-	14%	2%	6%	-
33	5%	5%	5%	20%	14%	5%	3%	2%
51	8%	9%	8%	-	14%	10%	6%	-
83	13%	12%	14%	20%	19%	17%	7%	3%
88	14%	15%	14%	-	6%	18%	10%	7%
324	52%	56%	48%	48%	15%	46%	63%	88%
1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
624	5.74	5.93	5.58	5.11	3.81	5.75	5.87	6.80
116	19%	26%	11%	19%	5%	8%	39%	48%
68	11%	13%	8%	9%	3%	8%	18%	16%
60	10%	10%	10%	-	1%	11%	5%	16%
97	16%	16%	16%	5%	6%	20%	9%	8%
91	15%	14%	16%	-	32%	16%	7%	10%
69	11%	9%	14%	11%	11%	13%	10%	2%
123	20%	13%	25%	56%	41%	23%	12%	1%
1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
624	4.09	3.56	4.58	5.13	5.53	4.57	3.07	2.24
	625  14 10 19 59 110 106 306 1 624  23 21 33 51 83 88 324 1 624  116 68 60 97 91 69 123 1	625 100% 14 2% 10 2% 19 3% 59 9% 110 18% 106 17% 306 49% 1 0% 624 5.85  23 4% 21 3% 33 5% 51 8% 83 13% 88 14% 324 52% 1 0% 624 5.74  116 19% 68 11% 60 10% 97 16% 91 15% 69 11% 123 20% 1 0%	625       306         100%       49%         14       2%       2%         19       3%       3%         59       9%       9%         110       18%       17%         106       17%       16%         306       49%       51%         1       0%       0%         624       5.85       5.90         23       4%       3%         21       3%       2%         33       5%       5%         51       8%       9%         83       13%       12%         88       14%       15%         324       52%       56%         1       0%       -         624       5.74       5.93         116       19%       26%         68       11%       13%         60       10%       10%         97       16%       16%         91       15%       14%         69       11%       9%         123       20%       13%         1       0%       -	n         %         Male         Female           625         306         305           100%         49%         49%           14         2%         2%         2%           10         2%         2%         1%           19         3%         3%         3%           59         9%         9%         10%           110         18%         17%         18%           106         17%         16%         18%           306         49%         51%         47%           1         0%         0%         -           624         5.85         5.90         5.83           23         4%         3%         4%           21         3%         2%         5%           33         5%         5%         5%           33         5%         5%         5%           33         5%         5%         5%           33         5%         5%         5%           34         13%         12%         14%           88         14%         15%         14%           324         52%         56% <td>n         %         Male         Female binary           625         306         305         10           100%         49%         49%         2%           14         2%         2%         2%         -           10         2%         2%         1%         22%           19         3%         3%         3%         9%           59         9%         9%         10%         -           106         17%         16%         18%         11%           306         49%         51%         47%         58%           1         0%         0%         -         -           624         5.85         5.90         5.83         5.42           23         4%         3%         4%         12%           21         3%         2%         5%         -           33         5%         5%         5%         20%           51         8%         9%         8%         -           83         13%         12%         14%         20%           88         14%         15%         14%         -           324         <td< td=""><td>n         %         Male         Female binary binary         Socialist binary           625         306         305         10         44           100%         49%         49%         2%         7%           14         2%         2%         2%         -         15%           10         2%         2%         1%         22%         10%           19         3%         3%         3%         9%         4%           59         9%         9%         10%         -         27%           110         18%         17%         18%         -         22%           106         17%         16%         18%         11%         8%           306         49%         51%         47%         58%         14%           1         0%         0%         -         -         -           624         5.85         5.90         5.83         5.42         4.14           23         4%         3%         4%         12%         17%           21         3%         2%         5%         -         14%           33         5%         5%         5%</td><td>n         %         Male         Female binary binary         Socialist Dem           625         306         305         10         44         388           100%         49%         49%         2%         7%         62%           14         2%         2%         2%         -         15%         1%           10         2%         2%         1%         22%         10%         1%           19         3%         3%         3%         9%         4%         2%           59         9%         9%         10%         -         27%         9%           106         17%         16%         18%         11%         8%         21%           306         49%         51%         47%         58%         14%         47%           1         0%         0%         -         -         -         -         -           624         5.85         5.90         5.83         5.42         4.14         5.94           23         4%         3%         4%         12%         17%         2%           21         3%         5%         5%         20%         14%</td><td>  Non-</td></td<></td>	n         %         Male         Female binary           625         306         305         10           100%         49%         49%         2%           14         2%         2%         2%         -           10         2%         2%         1%         22%           19         3%         3%         3%         9%           59         9%         9%         10%         -           106         17%         16%         18%         11%           306         49%         51%         47%         58%           1         0%         0%         -         -           624         5.85         5.90         5.83         5.42           23         4%         3%         4%         12%           21         3%         2%         5%         -           33         5%         5%         5%         20%           51         8%         9%         8%         -           83         13%         12%         14%         20%           88         14%         15%         14%         -           324 <td< td=""><td>n         %         Male         Female binary binary         Socialist binary           625         306         305         10         44           100%         49%         49%         2%         7%           14         2%         2%         2%         -         15%           10         2%         2%         1%         22%         10%           19         3%         3%         3%         9%         4%           59         9%         9%         10%         -         27%           110         18%         17%         18%         -         22%           106         17%         16%         18%         11%         8%           306         49%         51%         47%         58%         14%           1         0%         0%         -         -         -           624         5.85         5.90         5.83         5.42         4.14           23         4%         3%         4%         12%         17%           21         3%         2%         5%         -         14%           33         5%         5%         5%</td><td>n         %         Male         Female binary binary         Socialist Dem           625         306         305         10         44         388           100%         49%         49%         2%         7%         62%           14         2%         2%         2%         -         15%         1%           10         2%         2%         1%         22%         10%         1%           19         3%         3%         3%         9%         4%         2%           59         9%         9%         10%         -         27%         9%           106         17%         16%         18%         11%         8%         21%           306         49%         51%         47%         58%         14%         47%           1         0%         0%         -         -         -         -         -           624         5.85         5.90         5.83         5.42         4.14         5.94           23         4%         3%         4%         12%         17%         2%           21         3%         5%         5%         20%         14%</td><td>  Non-</td></td<>	n         %         Male         Female binary binary         Socialist binary           625         306         305         10         44           100%         49%         49%         2%         7%           14         2%         2%         2%         -         15%           10         2%         2%         1%         22%         10%           19         3%         3%         3%         9%         4%           59         9%         9%         10%         -         27%           110         18%         17%         18%         -         22%           106         17%         16%         18%         11%         8%           306         49%         51%         47%         58%         14%           1         0%         0%         -         -         -           624         5.85         5.90         5.83         5.42         4.14           23         4%         3%         4%         12%         17%           21         3%         2%         5%         -         14%           33         5%         5%         5%	n         %         Male         Female binary binary         Socialist Dem           625         306         305         10         44         388           100%         49%         49%         2%         7%         62%           14         2%         2%         2%         -         15%         1%           10         2%         2%         1%         22%         10%         1%           19         3%         3%         3%         9%         4%         2%           59         9%         9%         10%         -         27%         9%           106         17%         16%         18%         11%         8%         21%           306         49%         51%         47%         58%         14%         47%           1         0%         0%         -         -         -         -         -           624         5.85         5.90         5.83         5.42         4.14         5.94           23         4%         3%         4%         12%         17%         2%           21         3%         5%         5%         20%         14%	Non-

					Gender			Par	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10		388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and	1 – Very little impact	9	2%		1%	-	-	2%	1%	
infrastructure	2	9	1%		1%	-	-	2%	1%	1%
	3	33	5%		6%	-	6%	6%	4%	4%
	4	78	13%				9%	12%	18%	9%
	5	157	25%	23%	27%	42%	27%	26%	20%	30%
	6	119	19%			27%		20%	16%	23%
	7 – Very significant impact	219	35%	33%	37%	30%	45%	33%	39%	32%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.56	5.53	5.57	5.88	5.82	5.51	5.58	5.61
Impact: Addressing racially	1 – Very little impact	94	15%	21%	9%	19%	-	6%	32%	44%
biased policing	2	38	6%	7%	5%	7%	3%	5%	7%	15%
	3	40	6%	9%	4%	-	3%	7%	7%	4%
	4	66	11%	12%	9%	19%	-	10%	12%	17%
	5	97	15%	18%	13%	-	8%	19%	11%	9%
	6	89	14%	12%	17%	-	27%	17%	5%	5%
	7 – Very significant impact	201	32%	22%	42%	55%	58%	35%	26%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	624	4.77	4.21	5.34	4.94	6.28	5.26	3.81	2.70
Impact: Making Seattle a good	1 – Very little impact	22	4%	4%	3%	11%	15%	3%	5%	-
place to do business	2	25	4%	3%	5%	5%	19%	3%	4%	-
	3	29	5%	4%	5%	10%	10%	4%	7%	-
	4	71	11%	10%	12%	16%	25%	13%	7%	2%
	5	123	20%	20%	20%	4%	12%	25%	13%	10%
	6	106	17%	17%	16%	22%	9%	20%	14%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	246	39%	42%	37%	31%	9%	33%	51%	77%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	623	5.49	5.61	5.40	4.91	3.65	5.46	5.64	6.64
1		1	l	1	I					

	rty	Pai			Gender				
				Non-					
Rep	Ind	Dem	Socialist	binary	Female	Male	%	n	
31 63	l	388			305	306		625	ber of cases
% 10%	21%	62%	7%	2%	49%	49%	100%		percent
% 2%	l	1%	6%	-	3%	2%	2%	15	ct: Addressing violent crime 1 – Very little impact
1		2%	4%	9%	3%	1%	2%	13	gun violence 2
1		4%	9%	-	4%	4%	4%	24	3
		8%	21%	5%	8%	11%	9%	59	4
	7%	16%		17%	16%	12%	14%	87	5
1		16%		11%	13%	14%	13%	84	6
% 78%	58%	53%	29%	58%	53%	56%	55%	341	7 – Very significant impact
	-	0%	-	-	1%	-	0%	2	(Don't know/Refused)
6.44	5.80	5.95	4.92	5.94	5.83	5.98	5.90	623	Mean
% 13%	15%	2%	-	15%	5%	6%	6%	36	ct: Building more affordable 1 – Very little impact
% 9%	3%	4%	1%	-	2%	6%	4%	26	ing 2
% 11%	11%	6%	6%	4%	9%	6%	7%	47	3
% 21%	18%	12%	2%	10%	12%	14%	13%	83	4
% 16%	16%	15%	4%	11%	12%	17%	15%	91	5
% 7%	7%	17%	9%	9%	14%	13%	13%	82	6
% 23%	30%	45%	78%	50%	46%	36%	42%	260	7 – Very significant impact
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(Don't know/Refused)
4.31	4.56	5.61	6.49	5.32	5.51	5.14	5.32	625	Mean
% 2%	11%	9%	64%	34%	15%	9%	12%	78	ct: Hiring more police 1 – Very little impact
% .	6%	10%	12%	9%	9%	8%	8%	52	ers 2
% 1%	3%	8%	13%	11%	8%	6%	7%	42	3
% 1%	8%	14%	1%	-	12%	10%	11%	66	4
% 6%	10%	19%	1%	10%	16%	13%	15%	91	5
% 9%	11%	12%	-	-	10%	12%	11%	68	6
% 80%	51%	27%	9%	37%	30%	42%	36%	226	7 – Very significant impact
% .	1%	0%	-	-	1%	-	0%	2	(Don't know/Refused)
6.59	5.38	4.70	2.00	3.89	4.56	5.15	4.84	623	Mean
% % % % %	11% 6% 3% 8% 10% 11% 51%	9% 10% 8% 14% 19% 12% 27% 0%	64% 12% 13% 1% 1% - 9%	34% 9% 11% - 10% - 37%	15% 9% 8% 12% 16% 10% 30%	9% 8% 6% 10% 13% 12% 42%	12% 8% 7% 11% 15% 11% 36% 0%	78 52 42 66 91 68 226 2	Mean  ct: Hiring more police 1 – Very little impact  ers 2  3  4  5  6  7 – Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)

					Gender			Pai	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
luca et Clasia e casa a casa de la	1.2 Little Immed	105	170/	120/	100/	F 20/	66%	1.00/	100/	
Impact: Closing encampments in		105 57	17% 9%	13%	19%	53%	13%	16% 10%	10% 8%	-
parks, on sidewalks, and on	4/(DK)	1	9% 74%	7%	12% 69%	11%	21%	73%		1000/
other public right of ways	5-7 Significant Impact	463	74%	80%	69%	37%	21%	73%	82%	100%
Impact: Helping local businesses	1-3 Little Impact	44	7%	6%	7%	31%	28%	4%	11%	-
deal with public safety concerns	4/(DK)	60	10%	9%	10%	-	27%	9%	7%	4%
	5-7 Significant Impact	521	83%	84%	83%	69%	45%	86%	82%	96%
Impact: Addressing property	1-3 Little Impact	77	12%	9%	15%	31%	46%	10%	14%	2%
crime like theft and car break-ins	•	52	8%	9%	8%	31/0	14%	10%	6%	2/0
crime like their and car break-ins	5-7 Significant Impact	496	79%	83%	76%	69%	40%	81%	80%	98%
	5 7 Significant Impact	450	7570	0370	70%	0370	40%	0170	0070	3670
Impact: Reducing carbon	1-3 Little Impact	244	39%	49%	29%	28%	10%	28%	62%	80%
emissions and climate pollution	4/(DK)	98	16%	16%	16%	5%	6%	20%	9%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	283	45%	35%	55%	67%	84%	52%	29%	12%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and	1-3 Little Impact	51	8%	8%	9%	_	6%	9%	7%	7%
infrastructure	4/(DK)	78	13%	14%	12%	-	9%	12%	18%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	495	79%	78%	80%	100%	85%	79%	75%	85%
Imports Addressing residles	1.2 Little Impact	172	27%	37%	18%	26%	6%	18%	46%	63%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact 4/(DK)	67	11%	12%	10%	19%	0%	10%	12%	17%
biased policing	5-7 Significant Impact	387	62%	52%	73%	55%	94%	72%	41%	20%
	5-7 Significant impact	307	02%	32%	75%	33%	94%	7270	41%	20%
Impact: Making Seattle a good	1-3 Little Impact	77	12%	11%	13%	26%	44%	9%	16%	-
place to do business	4/(DK)	73	12%	10%	13%	16%	25%	13%	7%	2%
	5-7 Significant Impact	476	76%	79%	74%	58%	30%	77%	77%	98%
Impact: Addressing violent crime	1-3 Little Impact	52	8%	7%	10%	9%	18%	7%	11%	4%
and gun violence	4/(DK)	61	10%	11%		5%	21%	8%	13%	5%
0	5-7 Significant Impact	512	82%	82%	82%	86%	61%	85%	76%	90%

					Gender			Pai	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	1	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Impact: Building more affordable	e 1-3 Little Impact	109	17%	19%	16%	19%	1	12%	29%	33%
housing	4/(DK)	83	13%	14%		10%	1	12%	18%	21%
	5-7 Significant Impact	433	69%	67%	72%	70%	91%	76%	53%	46%
Impact: Hiring more police	1-3 Little Impact	172	27%	22%	32%	54%	89%	27%	20%	3%
officers	4/(DK)	69	11%	10%	12%	-	1%	14%	9%	1%
	5-7 Significant Impact	385	62%	67%	56%	46%	10%	59%	71%	96%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	206	33%	35%	31%	31%	17%	24%	51%	63%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	171	27%	27%	27%	40%	10%	31%	25%	20%
	Too low	65	10%	12%	9%	11%	28%	11%	5%	3%
	About right	178	29%	26%	31%	19%	43%	33%	18%	14%
	(Don't know)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	378	60%	62%	58%	71%	28%	55%	76%	83%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	182	29%	27%	32%	19%	45%	33%	18%	14%
	Too low	65	10%	12%	9%	11%	28%	11%	5%	3%
	Net Too high	+313	+50	+50	+49	+60	-0	+44	+71	+81
Safety in your neighborhood	Much less safe	191	30%	32%	28%	48%	7%	26%	45%	43%
compared to 2 years ago	Somewhat less safe	160	26%	25%	26%	17%	17%	25%	24%	35%
	More safe	60	10%	9%	10%	5%	14%	11%	5%	6%
	(About the same)	213	34%	33%	35%	29%	62%	37%	25%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	-
Safety in your neighborhood	Less safe	350	56%	57%	54%	65%	24%	51%	69%	79%
compared to 2 years ago	(About the same/DK)	215	34%	34%	36%	29%	62%	37%	25%	15%
	More safe	60	10%	9%	10%	5%	14%	11%	5%	6%
	Net Less safe	+290	+46	+48	+44	+60	+11	+40	+64	+73

					Gender			Par	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
City budget deficit preference:	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	473	76%	74%	78%	77%	50%	72%	86%	94%
Maintain spending/Increase	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	141	23%	24%	21%	23%	48%	26%	10%	6%
taxes vs. Support	(Both)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	2%	-	1%	-
vulnerable/Focus on basics	(Neither)	8	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	3%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	0%	-	-
Transportation preference:	Maintain existing infrastructure	431	69%	70%	69%	56%	35%	66%	77%	93%
Maintain existing infrastructure	Bike/pedestrian amenities/Safer streets	188	30%	30%	29%	44%	61%	33%	22%	7%
vs. Bike/pedestrian	(Both)	5	1%	-	2%	-	4%	1%	1%	-
amenities/Safer streets	(Neither)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	1%	-
City budget deficit preference:	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	473	76%	74%	78%	77%	50%	72%	86%	94%
Maintain spending/Increase	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	141	23%	24%	21%	23%	48%	26%	10%	6%
taxes vs. Support	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	2%	-	3%	1%	3%	-
vulnerable/Focus on basics	Net Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	+333	+53	+49	+57	+54	+2	+46	+76	+88
Transportation preference:	Maintain existing infrastructure	431	69%	70%	69%	56%	35%	66%	77%	93%
Maintain existing infrastructure	Bike/pedestrian amenities/Safer streets	188	30%	30%	29%	44%	61%	33%	22%	7%
vs. Bike/pedestrian	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	6	1%	-	2%	-	4%	1%	2%	-
amenities/Safer streets	Net Maintain existing infrastructure	+243	+39	+39	+40	+12	-26	+33	+55	+86
Concern about personal financial	Very concerned	171	27%	27%	28%	38%	32%	20%	42%	36%
situation given current economic	Somewhat concerned	222	36%	31%	40%	51%	33%	40%	29%	27%
conditions	Not too concerned	153	25%	28%	22%	11%	24%	27%	16%	27%
	Not at all concerned	78	12%	14%	11%	-	12%	13%	13%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concern about personal financial	Concerned	393	63%	58%	67%	89%	65%	60%	71%	63%
situation given current economic	Not concerned	232	37%	42%	33%	11%	35%	40%	29%	37%
conditions	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Concerned	+162	+26	+16	+35	+79	+29	+20	+42	+25

					Gender			Pai	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Downtown Seattle economy	1 – Very pessimistic	110	18%	20%	15%	11%	1	11%	30%	39%
sentiment	2	187	30%	31%	28%	54%	1	32%	30%	25%
	3	236	38%	34%	42%	11%	54%	41%	28%	26%
	4	74	12%	11%	12%	9%	12%	14%	6%	8%
	5 – Very optimistic	14	2%	2%	2%	5%	5%	1%	5%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	0%	10%	1%	0%	2%	-
	Mean	620	2.51	2.43	2.59	2.37	2.87	2.61	2.26	2.10
Downtown Seattle economy	1-2 Pessimistic	297	48%	52%	43%	65%	28%	43%	59%	63%
sentiment	3/(DK)	241	38%	35%	42%	21%	55%	42%	30%	26%
	4-5 Optimistic	87	14%	13%	15%	14%	17%	15%	11%	10%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Once a week or more	164	26%	27%	26%	26%	26%	24%	31%	28%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	240	38%	41%	36%	47%	33%	38%	42%	35%
	A few times a year	131	21%	18%	25%	10%	18%	24%	14%	21%
	Rarely	58	9%	9%	9%	17%	13%	9%	6%	14%
	Never	22	4%	4%	3%	-	4%	3%	5%	3%
	(Refused)	10	2%	2%	1%	-	5%	1%	2%	-
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Weekly	164	26%	27%	26%	26%	26%	24%	31%	28%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	240	38%	41%	36%	47%	33%	38%	42%	35%
	Less often	221	35%	32%	38%	27%	41%	37%	27%	37%
Current downtown visit	Much more often	19	3%	2%	3%	20%	3%	4%	2%	2%
frequency compared to pre-	Somewhat more often	26	4%	4%	4%	_	9%	5%	2%	2%
pandemic	About the same	145	23%	22%	25%	21%	46%	25%	18%	10%
	Somewhat less often	130	21%	20%	21%	29%	16%	24%	17%	9%
	Much less often	298	48%	51%	45%	30%	21%	41%	60%	77%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	5%	1%	-	-

					Gender			Pai	ty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Current downtown visit	More often	45	7%	6%	7%	20%	11%	8%	4%	4%
frequency compared to pre-	Same/(DK/Ref)	152	24%	23%	26%	21%	51%	26%	18%	10%
pandemic	Less often	427	68%	71%	67%	59%	38%	66%	78%	87%
	Net More often	-382	-61	-64	-59	-39	-27	-57	-74	-83
Reasons to visit downtown	Safety/Public safety	155	25%	27%	23%	12%	6%	25%	23%	42%
Seattle more often	Shopping/Retail/Dining/Reopen businesses/Jobs	121	19%	18%	21%	21%	18%	25%	10%	7%
	Fewer homeless/Homelessness	107	17%	19%	15%	31%	6%	16%	20%	25%
	Less crime/More police/Crime/Policing	100	16%	18%	14%	11%	4%	15%	18%	29%
	Activities/Events/Entertainment/Art	88	14%	12%	16%	20%	22%	17%	9%	1%
	Drugs off the street/Drugs	72	12%	11%	11%	35%	6%	11%	12%	19%
	Clean it up/Cleanliness/Trash	62	10%	9%	11%	0%	6%	9%	10%	17%
	Cheaper/more parking/Parking	51	8%	8%	9%	0%	7%	8%	10%	8%
	Improve transportation/Public transit/Buses	49	8%	7%	9%	0%	21%	9%	3%	1%
	Affordability/Less expensive/Cheaper prices/Price	20	3%	2%	4%	12%	4%	4%	2%	0%
	No reason/don't want to go/Not much/Very little	17	3%	4%	2%	5%	3%	2%	5%	4%
	Walkability/Walk/bike access/Pedestrian areas	15	2%	3%	2%	0%	10%	2%	3%	0%
	Live here/Go all the time/Resident/Visit often	14	2%	2%	2%	0%	5%	2%	2%	0%
	Personal circumstances/health/finances	9	1%	1%	2%	0%	4%	2%	0%	0%
	Eradicate COVID/Pandemic response/COVID-19	9	1%	0%	3%	0%	4%	1%	2%	0%
	Other	16	2%	3%	2%	6%	2%	1%	7%	0%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	23	4%	4%	3%	0%	7%	3%	4%	5%
Duration of residency	<2 years	9	1%	1%	1%	-	5%	1%	1%	1%
·	2-5 years	111	18%	18%	18%	21%	41%	18%	14%	8%
	6-10 years	99	16%	17%	15%	9%	15%	16%	20%	8%
	11-20 years	131	21%	21%	20%	52%	18%	21%	25%	16%
	>20 years	275				18%	21%	44%	41%	68%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	219	35%	36%	34%	31%	60%	35%	34%	17%
,	11-20 years	131	21%				1		25%	
	>20 years	275					I			

					Gender			Par	ty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	30%	44%	52%	- -	60%	-	-
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%		17%	12%	l .	23%	-	-
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%		9%	15%	-	18%	-	-
	Independent	69	11%	15%	7%	-	-	-	52%	-
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	7%	3%	-	-	-	-	50%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	20%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	6%	0%	-	-	-	-	30%
	Socialist	44	7%	6%	8%	6%	100%	-	-	-
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	10%	9%	16%	-	-	48%	-
Party	Socialist	44	7%	6%	8%	6%	100%	-	-	-
	Democrat	388	62%	55%	69%	78%	-	100%	-	-
	Independent	131	21%	25%	16%	16%	-	-	100%	-
	Republican	63	10%	14%	6%	-	-	-	-	100%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	105	17%	13%	21%	25%	63%	16%	12%	-
	2	110	18%	15%	20%	27%	19%	24%	4%	3%
	3	141	23%	23%	23%	32%	4%	32%	12%	-
	4	132	21%	24%	19%	10%	-	20%	37%	11%
	5	59	9%	10%	9%	-	5%	4%	8%	48%
	6	17	3%	4%	2%	-	-	1%	2%	14%
	7 – Very conservative	21	3%	5%	2%	-	1%	1%	4%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	41	7%	7%	5%	5%	8%	2%	21%	5%
	Mean	584	3.11	3.35	2.90	2.29	1.56	2.79	3.59	5.36
Ideology	Liberal	356	57%	51%	63%	84%	86%	72%	28%	3%
	Moderate	173	28%	31%	24%	16%	8%	21%	58%	15%
	Conservative	97	15%	18%	13%	-	6%	6%	14%	82%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	48%	52%	52%	39%	53%	43%	52%
	Renter	313	50%	52%	48%	48%	61%	47%	57%	48%

Gender Non-				Pai	rty	
		Non-				
Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
306	305	10		l	131	63
49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
67%	71%				48%	73%
4%	4%				7%	3%
7%	5%				5%	8%
8%	8%				7%	4%
8%	6%	-	17%	4%	13%	6%
7%	5%	-	7%	2%	19%	7%
67%	71%	58%	68%	75%	48%	73%
4%	4%	10%	3%	3%	7%	3%
7%	5%	15%	4%	6%	5%	8%
8%	8%	18%	2%	10%	7%	4%
15%	11%	-	24%	6%	32%	12%
67%	71%	58%	68%	75%	48%	73%
26%	23%	42%	25%	23%	33%	21%
7%	5%	-	7%	2%	19%	7%
21%	16%	33%	30%	18%	16%	21%
25%	25%	56%	41%	26%	24%	12%
17%	19%	-	15%	16%	22%	17%
19%	21%	11%	8%	21%	24%	17%
18%	19%	-	6%	19%	14%	34%
46%	41%	89%	71%	44%	41%	33%
54%	59%	11%	29%	56%	59%	67%
46%	41%	89%	71%	44%	41%	33%
36%	40%	11%	23%	37%	46%	33%
18%	19%		6%			34%
	46% 36%	46% 41% 36% 40%	46% 41% 89% 36% 40% 11%	46% 41% 89% 71% 36% 40% 11% 23%	46% 41% 89% 71% 44% 36% 40% 11% 23% 37%	46% 41% 89% 71% 44% 41% 36% 40% 11% 23% 37% 46%

					Gender			Pai	rty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	l	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Education	Some grade school	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Some high school	5	1%	1%	1%	-	-	0%	3%	-
	Graduated high school	12	2%	2%	2%	16%	2%	2%	2%	-
	Technical/vocational school	16	3%	3%	2%	-	4%	1%	5%	5%
	Some college/<4-year degree	108	17%	18%	16%	22%	20%	15%	23%	19%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	256	41%	44%	38%	51%	42%	42%	33%	51%
	Graduate/professional degree	219	35%	30%	41%	11%	33%	39%	28%	25%
	(Refused)	10	2%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	6%	-
Education	<4-year degree	150	24%	26%	21%	38%	25%	19%	39%	24%
	4-year degree+	475	76%	74%	79%	62%	75%	81%	61%	76%
Education	Less than college	150	24%	26%	21%	38%	25%	19%	39%	24%
	Graduated college	256	41%	44%	38%	51%	42%	42%	33%	51%
	Graduate/professional degree	219	35%	30%	41%	11%	33%	39%	28%	25%
Gender	Male	306	49%	100%	-	-	39%	43%	59%	71%
	Female	305	49%	-	100%	-	58%	54%	38%	29%
	Non-binary	10	2%	-	-	100%	2%	2%	1%	-
	(Refused)	4	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-
Region	South	178	29%	28%	29%	21%	28%	27%	37%	19%
	Central	178	29%	30%	27%	33%	33%	30%	21%	29%
	North	269	43%	42%	44%	46%	38%	42%	42%	51%
City Council District	1	97	16%	16%	15%	-	11%	14%	23%	13%
	2	81	13%	12%	14%	21%	17%	13%	14%	6%
	3	97	16%		14%	11%	22%	17%	12%	
	4	78	13%			15%	l	13%	l I	12%
	5	91	15%			-	19%	15%	11%	18%
	6	100	16%	15%	16%	31%	10%	15%	18%	21%
	7	81	13%	13%	13%		l	14%	9%	18%

				Gender				Par	ty	
						Non-				
		n	%	Male	Female	binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		306	305	10	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	49%	49%	2%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18)	0-3/6	325	52%	53%	50%	67%	58%	49%	58%	51%
	4-5/6	163	26%	27%	25%	22%	35%	24%	28%	29%
	6/6	138	22%	19%	25%	11%	7%	27%	14%	20%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	142	23%	46%	-	-	28%	21%	26%	25%
	M 40-64	110	18%	36%	-	-	8%	15%	26%	22%
	M 65+	55	9%	18%	-	-	2%	8%	7%	24%
	F 18-39	124	20%	-	41%	-	41%	21%	14%	8%
	F 40-64	122	20%	-	40%	-	13%	22%	19%	12%
	F 65+	58	9%	-	19%	-	4%	11%	6%	10%
	Other	14	2%	-	-	100%	3%	2%	3%	-
Party/Gender	D Male	169	27%	55%	-	-	-	43%	-	-
	D Female	211	34%	-	69%	-	-	54%	-	-
	R/I Male	121	19%	39%	-	-	-	-	59%	71%
	R/I Female	68	11%	-	22%	-	-	-	38%	29%
	Other	56	9%	6%	8%	100%	100%	2%	3%	-

n	%								,
60.5	/0	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
625		118	157	110	125	115	427	156	41
	100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
625	4.24	4.13	4.47	4.08	3.99	4.48	4.50	3.92	2.72
219	35%	33%	35%	35%	36%	37%	41%	26%	10%
382	61%	64%	54%	64%	64%	63%	55%	69%	88%
24	4%	3%	11%	2%	-	1%	4%	4%	2%
-163	-26	-30	-19	-29	-28	-26	-15	-43	-78
301	48%	49%	51%	51%	43%	46%	53%	38%	36%
87	14%	17%	15%	14%	15%	8%	13%	17%	7%
81	13%	15%	12%	13%	12%	13%	12%	14%	15%
73	12%	9%	9%	13%	11%	18%	11%	13%	15%
65	10%	9%	10%	12%	11%	11%	11%	9%	8%
44	7%	6%	7%	7%	9%	6%	6%	9%	6%
34	5%	8%	6%	5%	1%	7%	6%	5%	4%
30	5%	4%	8%	4%	5%	2%	4%	6%	4%
29	5%	4%	3%	2%	8%	7%	5%	4%	7%
16	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	5%
13	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	0%
17	3%	3%	2%	3%	1%	5%	2%	3%	3%
	625 219 382 24 -163 301 87 81 73 65 44 34 30 29 16	625 100% 625 4.24 219 35% 382 61% 24 4% -163 -26 301 48% 87 14% 81 13% 73 12% 65 10% 44 7% 34 5% 30 5% 29 5% 16 3% 13 2%	625	625       118       157         100%       19%       25%         625       4.24       4.13       4.47         219       35%       33%       35%         382       61%       64%       54%         24       4%       3%       11%         -163       -26       -30       -19         301       48%       49%       51%         87       14%       17%       15%         81       13%       15%       12%         73       12%       9%       9%         65       10%       9%       10%         44       7%       6%       7%         34       5%       8%       6%         30       5%       4%       8%         29       5%       4%       3%         16       3%       1%       3%         13       2%       3%       1%	625       118       157       110         100%       19%       25%       18%         625       4.24       4.13       4.47       4.08         219       35%       33%       35%       35%         382       61%       64%       54%       64%         24       4%       3%       11%       2%         -163       -26       -30       -19       -29         301       48%       49%       51%       51%         87       14%       17%       15%       14%         81       13%       15%       12%       13%         73       12%       9%       9%       13%         65       10%       9%       10%       12%         44       7%       6%       7%       7%         34       5%       8%       6%       5%         30       5%       4%       8%       4%         29       5%       4%       3%       2%         16       3%       1%       3%       2%         16       3%       1%       3%       2%         13       2%	625       118       157       110       125         100%       19%       25%       18%       20%         625       4.24       4.13       4.47       4.08       3.99         219       35%       33%       35%       35%       36%         382       61%       64%       54%       64%       64%         24       4%       3%       11%       2%       -         -163       -26       -30       -19       -29       -28         301       48%       49%       51%       51%       43%         87       14%       17%       15%       14%       15%         81       13%       15%       12%       13%       12%         73       12%       9%       9%       13%       11%         65       10%       9%       10%       12%       11%         44       7%       6%       7%       7%       9%         34       5%       8%       6%       5%       1%         30       5%       4%       8%       4%       5%         29       5%       4%       3%       2%	625       118       157       110       125       115         100%       19%       25%       18%       20%       18%         625       4.24       4.13       4.47       4.08       3.99       4.48         219       35%       33%       35%       35%       36%       37%         382       61%       64%       54%       64%       64%       63%         24       4%       3%       11%       2%       -       1%         -163       -26       -30       -19       -29       -28       -26         301       48%       49%       51%       51%       43%       46%         87       14%       17%       15%       14%       15%       8%         81       13%       15%       12%       13%       12%       13%         73       12%       9%       9%       13%       11%       18%         65       10%       9%       10%       12%       11%       11%         44       7%       6%       7%       7%       9%       6%         34       5%       8%       6%       5%       1	625       118       157       110       125       115       427         100%       19%       25%       18%       20%       18%       68%         625       4.24       4.13       4.47       4.08       3.99       4.48       4.50         219       35%       33%       35%       35%       36%       37%       41%         382       61%       64%       54%       64%       64%       63%       55%         24       4%       3%       11%       2%       -       1%       4%         -163       -26       -30       -19       -29       -28       -26       -15         301       48%       49%       51%       51%       43%       46%       53%         87       14%       17%       15%       14%       15%       8%       13%         81       13%       15%       12%       13%       12%       13%       12%         73       12%       9%       9%       13%       11%       18%       11%         65       10%       9%       10%       12%       11%       11%       11%         44	625         118         157         110         125         115         427         156           100%         19%         25%         18%         20%         18%         68%         25%           625         4.24         4.13         4.47         4.08         3.99         4.48         4.50         3.92           219         35%         33%         35%         35%         36%         37%         41%         26%           382         61%         64%         54%         64%         64%         63%         55%         69%           24         4%         3%         11%         2%         -         1%         4%         4%           -163         -26         -30         -19         -29         -28         -26         -15         -43           301         48%         49%         51%         51%         43%         46%         53%         38%           87         14%         17%         15%         14%         15%         8%         13%         17%           81         13%         15%         12%         13%         12%         14%           73         12%

				Age (Replaced)						Ethnicity		
			0/	40.30	20.20	40.40	F0.64	CE:	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	DOG	(D. C)	
Number of cases		n 625	%	18-29 118	30-39 157	40-49 110	50-64 125	65+ 115	White 427	POC 156	(Ref) 41	
Row percent		023	100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%	
Now percent			10070	1370	2370	1070	2070	1070	0070	2370	770	
Top issues facing Seattle Homelessness		313	50%	51%	46%	47%	50%	57%	53%	44%	41%	
Crime/Drugs/Public sa	afety	289	46%	44%	36%	53%	47%	55%	45%	46%	60%	
Cost of living/Affordal	ole housing	165	26%	34%	31%	29%	20%	17%	26%	28%	23%	
Taxes		30	5%	1%	3%	2%	11%	7%	5%	4%	6%	
Racial issues/Policing/	Police brutality	26	4%	4%	7%	4%	3%	2%	4%	4%	5%	
Government/Politicia	ns/Public leaders	24	4%	3%	5%	2%	3%	6%	3%	6%	3%	
Traffic/Congestion		23	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	2%	
Mental health/Health	care cost/access	22	4%	1%	4%	9%	2%	2%	3%	4%	6%	
Public transportation		20	3%	3%	7%	3%	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	
Cleanliness of city/Ga	rbage	18	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	6%	4%	0%	2%	
Growth/Development	:/Population	13	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	
Schools/School distric	t/SPS/Education	12	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	0%	
Streets/Roads/Infrast	ructure	11	2%	0%	3%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	0%	
Jobs/Economy		10	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	0%	
Other		9	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	
None/Nothing/Don't	know/Unsure/No comment	4	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	2%	
Quality of life in Seattle Much better		14	2%	3%	4%	2%	-	2%	3%	1%	-	
compared to 4 years ago Somewhat better		81	13%	13%	11%	12%	16%	14%	15%	9%	9%	
The same		114	18%	20%	27%	12%	14%	16%	18%	20%	16%	
Somewhat worse		217	35%	34%	35%	35%	36%	34%	38%	29%	29%	
Much worse		184	30%	27%	20%	36%	33%	35%	25%	38%	47%	
(Don't know/Refused)		14	2%	4%	4%	3%	-	-	2%	3%	-	
Quality of life in Seattle Better		96	15%	15%	15%	14%	16%	15%	18%	11%	9%	
compared to 4 years ago Same/(DK/Ref)		128	20%	23%	31%	15%	14%	16%	20%	23%	16%	
Worse		402	64%	61%	54%	71%	69%	69%	62%	66%	76%	
Net Better		-306	-49	-46	-39	-57	-53	-53	-45	-56	-67	
Considered moving out of Yes		378	61%	64%	61%	60%	65%	51%	58%	63%	84%	
Seattle No/(Don't know/Refu	sed)	247	39%	36%	39%	40%	35%	49%	42%	37%	16%	

					Age		Ethnicity				
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	427	156	41
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
Main reason for considering	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	124	33%	36%	42%	34%	24%	23%	32%	34%	30%
moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	102	27%	27%	16%	39%	26%	32%	24%	29%	38%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	30	8%	5%	4%	6%	12%	14%	8%	7%	10%
	Homelessness	24	6%	4%	9%	4%	7%	8%	7%	6%	3%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	14	4%	5%	11%	-	1%	-	4%	4%	-
	Taxes	13	4%	3%	-	4%	9%	3%	3%	5%	-
	Growth/Development/Space	9	2%	3%	1%	3%	2%	4%	3%	-	5%
	Closer to family	9	2%	1%	4%	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	-
	Declining quality of life	8	2%	4%	1%	-	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%
	Weather	7	2%	1%	3%	-	3%	-	3%	-	-
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	6	2%	-	1%	4%	3%	-	1%	2%	3%
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	5	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	3%	1%	2%	_
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	5	1%	3%	2%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	-	-	1%	3%	2%	2%	0%	-
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	4	1%	_	2%	3%	-	-	1%	1%	2%
	Other	14	4%	7%	3%	1%	5%	3%	3%	4%	7%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Still actively considering moving	Yes	308	82%	83%	80%	88%	77%	83%	78%	88%	91%
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	70	18%	17%	20%	12%	23%	17%	22%	12%	9%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	308	49%	53%	49%	53%	50%	42%	45%	55%	76%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	70	11%	11%	12%	7%	15%	9%	13%	8%	8%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	247	39%	36%	39%	40%	35%	49%	42%	37%	16%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	130	21%	19%	26%	19%	15%	24%	23%	20%	-
future of this region	Somewhat agree	232	37%	37%	39%	35%	38%	36%	40%	32%	26%
_	Somewhat disagree	148	24%	26%	19%	23%	25%	26%	21%	25%	43%
	Strongly disagree	108	17%	17%	14%	23%	22%	13%	15%	21%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	2%		-	-	2%	1%	3%	-

						ed)			Ethnicity	
	n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
	625		118	157	110	125	115	427	156	41
		100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
ongly agree	372	59%	53%	53%	59%	62%	72%	62%	53%	57%
newhat agree										31%
newhat disagree	48	8%	8%		7%		2%		9%	8%
ongly disagree	17	3%	5%		0%	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%
n't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
ongly agree	309	49%	53%	42%	46%	57%	51%	46%	55%	63%
newhat agree	192	31%	28%	27%	30%	31%	38%	33%	27%	19%
newhat disagree	85	14%	13%	19%	17%	8%	9%	14%	12%	14%
ongly disagree	36	6%	5%	11%	6%	4%	2%	6%	7%	5%
n't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-
ongly agree	216	35%	34%	43%	31%	32%	30%	38%	29%	16%
newhat agree	236	38%	38%	39%	46%	33%	34%	38%	37%	35%
newhat disagree	109	17%	17%	12%	16%	24%	21%	15%	21%	27%
ongly disagree	62	10%	10%	6%	8%	11%	15%	8%	13%	21%
n't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
ongly agree	61	10%	8%	14%	10%	10%	5%	11%	9%	2%
newhat agree	148	24%	23%	29%	22%	20%	23%	26%	20%	17%
newhat disagree	171	27%	30%	20%	29%	32%	27%	28%	24%	31%
ongly disagree	243	39%	39%	35%	39%	38%	44%	35%	46%	50%
n't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	2%	-	-	-	0%	0%	-
ongly agree	436	70%	68%	60%	71%	71%	82%	69%	69%	76%
newhat agree	115	18%	21%	22%	13%	20%	15%	20%	16%	13%
newhat disagree	50	8%	7%	11%	10%	9%	1%	8%	9%	9%
ongly disagree	19	3%	3%	5%	5%	0%	2%	2%	5%	2%
n't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-
nnoir onnoir onnoir onno	newhat agree newhat disagree nigly disagree n't know/Refused) nigly agree newhat agree newhat disagree nigly disagree nigly disagree nigly agree newhat agree newhat agree newhat disagree newhat disagree nigly disagree nigly disagree nigly disagree nigly disagree nigly disagree nigly agree newhat agree newhat agree newhat disagree nigly agree newhat agree newhat agree newhat agree newhat agree newhat disagree newhat disagree newhat disagree newhat disagree newhat disagree	angly agree 372 lewhat agree 48 lewhat disagree 47 lewhat disagree 57 lewhat disagree 57 lewhat disagree 57 lewhat agree 57 lewhat agree 57 lewhat agree 57 lewhat disagree 57 lewhat di	Ingly agree arewhat agree arewhat disagree arewhat disagree arewhat disagree arewhat disagree arewhat agree arewhat agree arewhat agree arewhat disagree arewhat disagree arewhat disagree arewhat disagree arewhat agree arewhat disagree arewhat agree arewhat agree arewhat disagree	100%   19%	Indigly agree 372 59% 53% 53% 13% 13% 19% 25% 14% 13% 19% 15% 14% 13% 19% 15% 14% 15% 19% 15% 14% 15% 19% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15	Individual surply agree are also as a surply agree are also as a surply agree are are as a surply agree are are as a surply agree are as a surply agree are are are as a surply agree are are are as a surply agree are are are as a surply agree are are as a surply agree are are are as a surply agree are are are as a surply agree are are are are as a surply agree are are are are are are are are are	Ingly agree	Ingly agree are the what agree are the what disagree are the what disagree are the what agree are the what agree are the what agree are the what agree are the what disagree are	100%   19%   25%   18%   20%   18%   68%	100% 19% 25% 18% 20% 18% 68% 25% ingly agree 372 59% 53% 53% 59% 62% 72% 62% 53% ingly agree 184 29% 32% 30% 33% 29% 23% 28% 33% 33% ingly disagree 184 88% 88% 13% 7% 55% 2% 7% 99% angly disagree 177 3% 55% 3% 0% 3% 2% 2% 4% 11% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1

					Age	(Replac	ed)			Ethnicity	,
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	427	156	41
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
Agree: I support the building of a	Strongly agree	244	39%	43%	53%	42%	30%	23%	39%	42%	30%
wider variety of housing in my	Somewhat agree	185	30%	26%	30%	35%	32%	25%		35%	13%
neighborhood	Somewhat disagree	97	16%	14%	11%	9%	18%	26%		11%	23%
	Strongly disagree	95	15%	17%	5%	12%	20%	25%	15%	12%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle	Strongly agree	25	4%	2%	5%	5%	3%	4%	3%	6%	3%
to spend my tax dollars	Somewhat agree	166	27%	24%	33%	22%	24%	28%	30%	21%	12%
responsibly	Somewhat disagree	174	28%	32%	26%	24%	31%	27%	29%	27%	19%
	Strongly disagree	256	41%	42%	34%	49%	42%	41%	38%	44%	66%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	2%	-	-	-	0%	2%	-
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	111	18%	17%	24%	22%	12%	12%	18%	20%	10%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	250	40%	38%	42%	38%	41%	40%	42%	37%	31%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	159	25%	29%	22%	19%	28%	30%	26%	24%	25%
	Strongly disagree	100	16%	15%	10%	20%	20%	18%	14%	17%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	0%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	230	37%	35%	40%	39%	28%	42%	38%	40%	10%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	227	36%	37%	33%	33%	46%	32%	37%	31%	47%
	Somewhat disagree	99	16%	12%	17%	17%	15%	19%	16%	15%	22%
	Strongly disagree	67	11%	16%	9%	11%	10%	8%	8%	14%	21%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	0%	-
Agree: I trust the Seattle City	Strongly agree	44	7%	7%	7%	6%	5%	10%	6%	12%	4%
Council to reform the Seattle	Somewhat agree	165	26%	24%	24%	26%	27%	33%	29%	23%	17%
Police Department without	Somewhat disagree	193	31%	33%	27%	29%	36%	30%	33%	26%	32%
endangering public safety	Strongly disagree	211	34%	34%	37%	38%	32%	27%	31%	39%	47%
,	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	1%	5%	2%	-	0%	2%	1%	-

					Age	(Replac	ed)			Ethnicity	
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	427	156	41
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
Agree: Hiring more police	Strongly agree	292	47%	46%	27%	48%	52%	68%		45%	68%
officers should be an immediate	Somewhat agree	138	22%	19%	20%	24%	23%	24%		23%	13%
priority for the City	Somewhat disagree	89	14%	13%	23%	14%	13%	5%	16%	12%	8%
	Strongly disagree	100	16%	21%	27%	14%	11%	3%	15%	20%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	-
Agree: I trust that the city has an	Strongly agree	19	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	4%	2%	7%	2%
effective plan to address the	Somewhat agree	90	14%	14%	12%	15%	12%	20%	16%	12%	11%
critical issues facing our city like	Somewhat disagree	217	35%	30%	40%	36%	34%	33%	38%	30%	23%
homelessness, affordability, and	Strongly disagree	295	47%	51%	44%	48%	51%	43%	45%	49%	63%
public safety	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	0%	2%	-
Agree: The City of Seattle has	Strongly agree	297	47%	45%	43%	52%	53%	46%	42%	60%	62%
enough money to address	Somewhat agree	201	32%	33%	32%	26%	32%	38%	36%	23%	25%
important priorities; they just	Somewhat disagree	91	15%	17%	18%	15%	10%	13%	16%	10%	13%
need to spend it more	Strongly disagree	27	4%	3%	3%	6%	5%	4%	5%	5%	-
effectively	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	3%	3%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-
Agree: The City of Seattle should	Strongly agree	343	55%	66%	43%	53%	54%	62%	54%	55%	65%
focus on the funding the basics	Somewhat agree	222	36%	27%	45%	37%	33%	33%	37%	35%	25%
like filling potholes, maintaining	Somewhat disagree	44	7%	4%	9%	7%	10%	4%	7%	8%	8%
parks, and addressing public	Strongly disagree	14	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	0%	2%	2%	2%
safety	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
Agree: We should allow the	Strongly agree	168	27%	29%	34%	31%	21%	17%	27%	31%	15%
construction of more retail and	Somewhat agree	207	33%	25%	34%	38%	39%	29%	33%	32%	38%
commercial spaces in my	Somewhat disagree	137	22%	28%	16%	18%	21%	29%	24%	16%	17%
neighborhood	Strongly disagree	111	18%	18%	15%	14%	19%	23%	15%	21%	30%
-	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-
	(20		070		570			170	<b>5</b> 70	1/0	

n 625 362 256 8 +106	1%	18-29 118 19% 55% 43%	30-39 157 25% 65%	40-49 110 18%	50-64 125 20%	65+ 115 18%	White 427 68%	POC 156	(Ref)
362 256 8 +106	58% 41% 1%	19% 55%	25%	18%				I	41
256 8 +106	58% 41% 1%	55%			20%	18%	68%	250/	
256 8 +106	41% 1%		65%	<b>5</b> 40/				25%	7%
+106	1%	43%		54%	53%		63%	52%	26%
+106			33%	46%	47%		36%	46%	74%
	+17	2%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	3%	-
EFE		+13	+32	+8	+6	+21	+27	+6	-49
ا عدد	89%	86%	82%	92%	92%	96%	90%	86%	88%
65	10%	13%	16%	8%	8%	4%	9%	13%	12%
4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
+491	+79	+72	+66	+84	+84	+91	+81	+73	+76
501	80%	81%	69%	77%	88%	89%	79%	82%	81%
121	19%	18%	30%	23%	12%	10%	20%	18%	19%
3	0%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-
+380	+61	+63	+39	+53	+76	+79	+60	+64	+63
452	72%	72%	81%	76%	65%	64%	77%	66%	52%
171	27%	27%	18%	24%	35%	36%	23%	34%	48%
2	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
+281	+45	+45	+64	+53	+30	+29	+54	+32	+4
209	33%	31%	43%	32%	30%	28%	36%	30%	19%
414	66%	69%	55%	68%	70%	72%	63%	70%	81%
2	0%	-	2%	-	-	-	0%	0%	-
-205	-33	-38	-12	-37	-41	-44	-27	-40	-62
551	88%	89%	82%	84%	91%	97%	89%	85%	89%
68	11%	9%	16%	16%	9%	3%	10%	14%	11%
6	1%	1%	2%	1%	_	_	1%	2%	-
+482	+77								
	121 3 +380 452 171 2 +281 209 414 2 -205 551 68 6	121 19% 3 0% +380 +61  452 72% 171 27% 2 0% +281 +45  209 33% 414 66% 2 0% -205 -33  551 88% 68 11%	501 80% 81% 121 19% 18% 3 0% 1% +380 +61 +63  452 72% 72% 171 27% 27% 2 0% 1% +281 +45 +45  209 33% 31% 414 66% 69% 2 0%205 -33 -38  551 88% 89% 68 11% 9%	501       80%       81%       69%         121       19%       18%       30%         3       0%       1%       1%         +380       +61       +63       +39         452       72%       72%       81%         171       27%       27%       18%         2       0%       1%       1%         +281       +45       +45       +64         209       33%       31%       43%         414       66%       69%       55%         2       0%       -       2%         -205       -33       -38       -12         551       88%       89%       82%         68       11%       9%       16%	501       80%       81%       69%       77%         121       19%       18%       30%       23%         3       0%       1%       1%       -         +380       +61       +63       +39       +53         452       72%       72%       81%       76%         171       27%       27%       18%       24%         2       0%       1%       1%       -         +281       +45       +45       +64       +53         209       33%       31%       43%       32%         414       66%       69%       55%       68%         2       0%       -       2%       -         -205       -33       -38       -12       -37         551       88%       89%       82%       84%         68       11%       9%       16%       16%	501       80%       81%       69%       77%       88%         121       19%       18%       30%       23%       12%         3       0%       1%       1%       -       -       -         +380       +61       +63       +39       +53       +76         452       72%       72%       81%       76%       65%         171       27%       27%       18%       24%       35%         2       0%       1%       1%       -       -         +281       +45       +45       +64       +53       +30         209       33%       31%       43%       32%       30%         414       66%       69%       55%       68%       70%         2       0%       -       2%       -       -         -205       -33       -38       -12       -37       -41         551       88%       89%       82%       84%       91%         68       11%       9%       16%       16%       9%	501       80%       81%       69%       77%       88%       89%         121       19%       18%       30%       23%       12%       10%         3       0%       1%       1%       -       -       1%         +380       +61       +63       +39       +53       +76       +79         452       72%       72%       81%       76%       65%       64%         171       27%       27%       18%       24%       35%       36%         2       0%       1%       1%       -       -       -         +281       +45       +45       +64       +53       +30       +29         209       33%       31%       43%       32%       30%       28%         414       66%       69%       55%       68%       70%       72%         2       0%       -       2%       -       -       -         -205       -33       -38       -12       -37       -41       -44         551       88%       89%       82%       84%       91%       97%         68       11%       9%       16%	501       80%       81%       69%       77%       88%       89%       79%         121       19%       18%       30%       23%       12%       10%       20%         3       0%       1%       1%       -       -       1%       1%         +380       +61       +63       +39       +53       +76       +79       +60         452       72%       72%       81%       76%       65%       64%       77%         171       27%       27%       18%       24%       35%       36%       23%         2       0%       1%       1%       -       -       -       1%         +281       +45       +45       +64       +53       +30       +29       +54         209       33%       31%       43%       32%       30%       28%       36%         414       66%       69%       55%       68%       70%       72%       63%         2       0%       -       2%       -       -       -       0%         -205       -33       -38       -12       -37       -41       -44       -27	501       80%       81%       69%       77%       88%       89%       79%       82%         121       19%       18%       30%       23%       12%       10%       20%       18%         3       0%       1%       1%       -       -       1%       1%       -         +380       +61       +63       +39       +53       +76       +79       +60       +64         452       72%       72%       81%       76%       65%       64%       77%       66%         171       27%       27%       18%       24%       35%       36%       23%       34%         2       0%       1%       1%       -       -       -       1%       -         +281       +45       +45       +64       +53       +30       +29       +54       +32         209       33%       31%       43%       32%       30%       28%       36%       30%         414       66%       69%       55%       68%       70%       72%       63%       70%         2       0%       -       2%       -       -       -       0%       0

n 625 429 192 4	% 100% 69%	18-29 118 19%	30-39 157 25%	40-49 110 18%	50-64 125 20%	65+ 115	White	POC	(Ref)
429 192	69%	19%				115			(1101)
192	69%		25%	18%	200/		427	156	41
192		2001			20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
		68%	83%	77%	62%	48%	68%	77%	44%
4	31%	31%	16%	22%	38%	51%	31%	23%	56%
	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-
+236	+38	+38	+66	+55	+24	-3	+37	+54	-13
191	31%	26%	38%	27%	27%	32%	33%	27%	15%
430	69%	74%	60%	73%	73%	68%	66%	71%	85%
4	1%	0%	2%	-	-	-	0%	2%	-
-239	-38	-48	-21	-46	-45	-36	-33	-44	-70
361	58%	56%	67%	60%	52%	52%	60%	56%	42%
258	41%	44%	31%	39%	48%	48%	40%	42%	58%
5	1%	0%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-
+103	+16	+12	+35	+21	+4	+4	+20	+14	-17
457	73%	72%	73%	72%	75%	74%	76%	71%	57%
166	27%	28%	26%	28%	25%	26%	24%	29%	43%
2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	0%	-
+291	+47	+44	+47	+44	+50	+48	+51	+42	+14
209	33%	31%	31%	31%	32%	42%	34%	34%	21%
404	65%	68%	64%	67%	68%	57%	63%	64%	79%
11	2%	1%	5%	2%	-	0%	2%	1%	_
-195	-31	-36	-33	-36	-35	-15	-29	-30	-57
430	69%	65%	47%	72%	76%	92%	68%	68%	81%
189	30%	34%	51%	28%	24%	8%	31%	32%	19%
5	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	_
+241	+39	+31	-3	+44	+52	+83	+37	+36	+62
	191 430 4 -239 361 258 5 +103 457 166 2 +291 209 404 11 -195 430 189 5	191 31% 430 69% 4 1% -239 -38  361 58% 258 41% 5 1% +103 +16  457 73% 166 27% 2 0% +291 +47  209 33% 404 65% 11 2% -195 -31  430 69% 189 30% 5 1%	191 31% 26% 430 69% 74% 4 1% 0% -239 -38 -48  361 58% 56% 258 41% 44% 5 1% 0% +103 +16 +12  457 73% 72% 166 27% 28% 2 0% - +291 +47 +44  209 33% 31% 404 65% 68% 11 2% 1% -195 -31 -36  430 69% 65% 189 30% 34% 5 1% 1%	191 31% 26% 38% 430 69% 74% 60% 4 1% 0% 2% -239 -38 -48 -21 361 58% 56% 67% 258 41% 44% 31% 5 1% 0% 2% +103 +16 +12 +35 457 73% 72% 73% 166 27% 28% 26% 2 0% - 1% +291 +47 +44 +47 209 33% 31% 31% 404 65% 68% 64% 11 2% 1% 5% -195 -31 -36 -33 430 69% 65% 47% 189 30% 34% 51% 5 1% 1% 2%	191       31%       26%       38%       27%         430       69%       74%       60%       73%         4       1%       0%       2%       -         -239       -38       -48       -21       -46         361       58%       56%       67%       60%         258       41%       44%       31%       39%         5       1%       0%       2%       1%         +103       +16       +12       +35       +21         457       73%       72%       73%       72%         166       27%       28%       26%       28%         2       0%       -       1%       -         +291       +47       +44       +47       +44         209       33%       31%       31%       31%         404       65%       68%       64%       67%         11       2%       1%       5%       2%         -195       -31       -36       -33       -36         430       69%       65%       47%       72%         189       30%       34%       51%       28%	191       31%       26%       38%       27%       27%         430       69%       74%       60%       73%       73%         4       1%       0%       2%       -       -         -239       -38       -48       -21       -46       -45         361       58%       56%       67%       60%       52%         258       41%       44%       31%       39%       48%         5       1%       0%       2%       1%       -         +103       +16       +12       +35       +21       +4         457       73%       72%       73%       72%       75%         166       27%       28%       26%       28%       25%         2       0%       -       1%       -       -         +291       +47       +44       +47       +44       +50         209       33%       31%       31%       31%       32%         404       65%       68%       64%       67%       68%         11       2%       1%       5%       2%       -         -195       -31       -36	191       31%       26%       38%       27%       27%       32%         430       69%       74%       60%       73%       73%       68%         4       1%       0%       2%       -       -       -         -239       -38       -48       -21       -46       -45       -36         361       58%       56%       67%       60%       52%       52%         258       41%       44%       31%       39%       48%       48%         5       1%       0%       2%       1%       -       -         +103       +16       +12       +35       +21       +4       +4         457       73%       72%       73%       72%       75%       74%         166       27%       28%       26%       28%       25%       26%         2       0%       -       1%       -       -       -         +291       +47       +44       +47       +44       +50       +48         209       33%       31%       31%       31%       32%       42%         404       65%       68%       64%	191       31%       26%       38%       27%       27%       32%       33%         430       69%       74%       60%       73%       73%       68%       66%         4       1%       0%       2%       -       -       -       0%         -239       -38       -48       -21       -46       -45       -36       -33         361       58%       56%       67%       60%       52%       52%       60%         258       41%       44%       31%       39%       48%       48%       40%         5       1%       0%       2%       1%       -       -       1%         +103       +16       +12       +35       +21       +4       +4       +20         457       73%       72%       75%       74%       76%         166       27%       28%       26%       28%       25%       26%       24%         2       0%       -       1%       -       -       -       0%       +24         209       33%       31%       31%       31%       32%       42%       34%         404 <td< td=""><td>191       31%       26%       38%       27%       27%       32%       33%       27%         430       69%       74%       60%       73%       73%       68%       66%       71%         4       1%       0%       2%       -       -       -       0%       2%         -239       -38       -48       -21       -46       -45       -36       -33       -44         361       58%       56%       67%       60%       52%       52%       60%       56%         258       41%       44%       31%       39%       48%       48%       40%       42%         5       1%       0%       2%       1%       -       -       1%       2%         +103       +16       +12       +35       +21       +4       +4       +20       +14         457       73%       72%       75%       75%       74%       76%       71%         166       27%       28%       26%       28%       25%       26%       24%       29%         2       0%       -       1%       -       -       -       0%       0%</td></td<>	191       31%       26%       38%       27%       27%       32%       33%       27%         430       69%       74%       60%       73%       73%       68%       66%       71%         4       1%       0%       2%       -       -       -       0%       2%         -239       -38       -48       -21       -46       -45       -36       -33       -44         361       58%       56%       67%       60%       52%       52%       60%       56%         258       41%       44%       31%       39%       48%       48%       40%       42%         5       1%       0%       2%       1%       -       -       1%       2%         +103       +16       +12       +35       +21       +4       +4       +20       +14         457       73%       72%       75%       75%       74%       76%       71%         166       27%       28%       26%       28%       25%       26%       24%       29%         2       0%       -       1%       -       -       -       0%       0%

					Age	(Replac	ed)			Ethnicity	
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	427	156	41
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
Agree: I trust that the city has an	Agree	109	17%	18%	15%	16%	15%	25%		19%	14%
effective plan to address the	Disagree	512	82%	81%	83%	84%	85%	75%		79%	86%
critical issues facing our city like	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	0%	2%	-
homelessness, affordability, and	Net Agree	-403	-64	-64	-69	-68	-70	-51	-65	-60	-72
public safety											
Agree: The City of Seattle has	Agree	498	80%	77%	76%	78%	85%	84%	78%	83%	87%
enough money to address	Disagree	118	19%	20%	21%	21%	15%	16%	21%	15%	13%
important priorities; they just	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	3%	3%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-
need to spend it more	Net Agree	+380	+61	+57	+55	+57	+70	+67	+57	+68	+75
effectively											
Agree: The City of Seattle should	Agree	565	90%	93%	88%	90%	87%	95%	90%	91%	90%
focus on the funding the basics	Disagree	58	9%	6%	12%	10%	13%	5%	9%	9%	10%
like filling potholes, maintaining	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
parks, and addressing public	Net Agree	+507	+81	+88	+76	+80	+74	+90	+81	+81	+81
safety											
Agree: We should allow the	Agree	375	60%	54%	69%	68%	60%	47%	60%	62%	53%
construction of more retail and	Disagree	248	40%	46%	31%	32%	40%	52%	40%	37%	47%
commercial spaces in my	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-
neighborhood	Net Agree	+127	+20	+7	+38	+37	+20	-5	+20	+25	+6
Impact: Closing encampments in	1 – Very little impact	40	6%	10%	11%	6%	3%	1%	6%	8%	4%
parks, on sidewalks, and on	2	33	5%	9%	7%	3%	3%	4%	5%	5%	7%
other public right of ways	3	33	5%	7%	7%	6%	4%	2%	5%	7%	5%
	4	56	9%	7%	15%	8%	8%	5%	11%	5%	2%
	5	53	8%	6%	10%	8%	12%	6%	8%	9%	7%
	6	62	10%	9%	10%	9%	12%	10%	9%	12%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	348	56%	51%	41%	61%	60%	72%	56%	54%	63%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	_	_	0%	-	-
	Mean	624	5.61	5.22	4.98	5.77	5.98	6.30	5.60	5.55	5.92

					Age	e (Replac	ed)			Ethnicity	,
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625	70	118	157		125		427	156	
Row percent			100%	19%	25%		20%		68%	25%	l
Impact: Helping local businesses	1 – Very little impact	14	2%	5%	2%	4%	0%	1%	2%	3%	2%
deal with public safety concerns	2	10	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	5%
	3	19	3%	3%	7%	0%	3%	1%	3%	4%	4%
	4	59	9%	10%	14%		8%		9%	10%	11%
	5	110	18%	17%	17%	21%	15%	19%	17%	14%	31%
	6	106	17%	14%	21%	17%	15%		17%	19%	5%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	49%	48%	37%	50%	58%	55%	50%	48%	42%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	624	5.85	5.68	5.52	5.89	6.13	6.13	5.92	5.78	5.49
Impact: Addressing property	1 – Very little impact	23	4%	5%	7%	4%	1%	1%	3%	7%	4%
crime like theft and car break-ins	2	21	3%	3%	5%	3%	5%	1%	3%	4%	7%
	3	33	5%	6%	8%	6%	2%	4%	6%	3%	4%
	4	51	8%	9%	14%	12%	4%	2%	8%	8%	6%
	5	83	13%	14%	15%	10%	16%		16%	8%	5%
	6	88	14%	15%	10%		16%	16%	15%	13%	l
	7 – Very significant impact	324	52%		43%	50%	56%	66%	49%	58%	62%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%		-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	624	5.74	5.60	5.24	5.68	6.02	6.33	5.73	5.77	5.83
Impact: Reducing carbon	1 – Very little impact	116	19%	24%	12%	15%	22%		15%	23%	36%
emissions and climate pollution	2	68	11%	7%	15%	13%	7%	13%	10%	10%	20%
	3	60	10%	6%	9%	8%	13%		12%	3%	7%
	4	97	16%	18%	13%	14%	16%		15%	19%	9%
	5	91	15%	16%	14%	20%	10%		15%	14%	l
	6	69	11%	10%	9%	12%	16%		12%	10%	
	7 – Very significant impact	123	20%	19%	28%		16%	14%	20%	20%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	
	Mean	624	4.09	4.01	4.39	4.22	4.00	3.72	4.21	4.01	3.06

					Age	(Replac	ed)			Ethnicity	
			0/	10.20	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	   White	POC	(Dof)
Number of cases		n 625	%	18-29 118	30-39 157	110			White 427	156	(Ref) 41
Row percent		023	100%	19%	25%	18%	20%		68%	25%	7%
Now percent			10076	1370	23/0	1070	2070	10/0	0870	23/0	7 70
Impact: Maintaining bridges and	1 – Very little impact	9	2%	3%	2%	1%	0%	-	1%	4%	-
infrastructure	2	9	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%
	3	33	5%	7%	7%	3%	6%	2%	5%	8%	-
	4	78	13%	10%	13%	15%	11%	13%	13%	9%	24%
	5	157	25%	27%	28%	22%	25%	22%	26%	25%	18%
	6	119	19%	18%	14%	19%	21%	24%	20%	16%	23%
	7 – Very significant impact	219	35%	32%	35%	36%	36%	36%	34%	39%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.56	5.39	5.46	5.56	5.65	5.76	5.57	5.50	5.62
Impact: Addressing racially	1 – Very little impact	94	15%	16%	12%	14%	20%	14%	14%	15%	25%
biased policing	2	38	6%	5%	6%	6%	6%		7%	3%	5%
	3	40	6%	8%	2%	8%	7%	8%	6%	6%	10%
	4	66	11%	13%	8%	14%	9%	8%	10%	11%	15%
	5	97	15%	15%	15%	18%	16%	14%	15%	19%	13%
	6	89	14%	11%	20%	12%	13%	13%	16%	10%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	201	32%	32%	36%	28%	29%	34%	32%	36%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%		-	-	-	-	-	3%
	Mean	624	4.77	4.68	5.14	4.66	4.51	4.75	4.81	4.90	3.91
Impact: Making Seattle a good	1 – Very little impact	22	4%	5%	2%	7%	3%	1%	4%	3%	4%
place to do business	2	25	4%	4%	6%	4%	3%		5%	3%	1%
	3	29	5%	3%	9%	4%	4%		5%	4%	5%
	4	71	11%	15%	16%	8%	10%		13%	6%	20%
	5	123	20%	19%	20%	25%	18%		19%	24%	13%
	6	106	17%	16%	15%	11%	19%		18%	16%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	246	39%	38%	31%	40%	43%		38%	43%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	_	0%	1%	_
	Mean	623	5.49	5.39		5.36	5.65	5.94	5.42	5.67	5.54

					Age	e (Replac	ed)			Ethnicity	
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	427	156	41
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
Impact: Addressing violent cri	me 1 – Very little impact	15	2%	4%	2%	3%		1	1	5%	6%
and gun violence	2	13	2%	1%	5%	2%	2%	1	2%	4%	1%
	3	24	4%	7%	4%	3%	3%	1	1	2%	3%
	4	59	9%	10%	13%	13%	6%	4%	1	4%	14%
	5	87	14%	15%	22%	11%	10%	1	14%	13%	13%
	6	84	13%	12%	14%	13%		1	1	8%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	341	55%		41%	54%	60%	1	1	63%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1	-	-
	Mean	623	5.90	5.69	5.53	5.81	6.16	6.41	5.90	5.94	5.69
Impact: Building more afforda	ble 1 – Very little impact	36	6%	7%	4%	7%	6%	5%	5%	7%	9%
housing	2	26	4%	4%	1%	4%	9%	3%	4%	5%	3%
	3	47	7%	5%	8%	8%	7%	9%	7%	8%	9%
	4	83	13%	12%	11%	11%	14%	19%	13%	11%	29%
	5	91	15%	11%	16%	17%	14%	16%	15%	15%	13%
	6	82	13%	9%	15%	14%	14%	12%	13%	13%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	260	42%	52%	45%	39%	35%	36%	43%	41%	26%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.32	5.52	5.58	5.22	5.05	5.17	5.40	5.27	4.70
Impact: Hiring more police	1 – Very little impact	78	12%	13%	22%	12%	8%	3%	10%	18%	14%
officers	2	52	8%	11%	12%	9%	6%	2%	9%	8%	4%
	3	42	7%	5%	12%	7%	6%	2%	8%	4%	-
	4	66	11%	12%	12%	9%	12%	8%	12%	8%	7%
	5	91	15%	16%	15%	12%	14%	16%	14%	17%	14%
	6	68	11%	12%	3%	16%	13%	13%	10%	10%	20%
	7 – Very significant impact	226	36%	31%	24%	35%	40%	56%	35%	37%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	623	4.84	4.68	3.89	4.87	5.16	5.93	4.84	4.74	5.27

					Age	(Replac	ed)			Ethnicity	
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625	70	118	157	110		115	427	156	41
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%		68%	25%	7%
Impact: Closing encampments in	1-3 Little Impact	105	17%	26%	25%	15%	9%	7%	16%	20%	15%
parks, on sidewalks, and on	4/(DK)	57	9%	8%	15%	8%	8%	5%	11%	5%	2%
other public right of ways	5-7 Significant Impact	463	74%	66%	60%	77%	83%	88%	73%	75%	83%
Impact: Helping local businesses	1-3 Little Impact	44	7%	10%	11%	6%	4%	3%	6%	9%	10%
deal with public safety concerns	4/(DK)	60	10%	10%	14%	7%	8%	6%	9%	10%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	521	83%	80%	74%	87%	88%	91%	85%	81%	78%
Impact: Addressing property	1-3 Little Impact	77	12%	14%	19%	13%	8%	6%	12%	13%	15%
crime like theft and car break-ins	4/(DK)	52	8%	9%	14%	12%	4%	2%	9%	8%	6%
	5-7 Significant Impact	496	79%	77%	67%	76%	88%	92%	80%	79%	78%
Impact: Reducing carbon	1-3 Little Impact	244	39%	37%	36%	35%	41%	46%	38%	36%	62%
emissions and climate pollution	4/(DK)	98	16%	18%	13%	15%	16%	16%	15%	20%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	283	45%	45%	50%	50%	42%	37%	47%	44%	29%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and	1-3 Little Impact	51	8%	12%	10%	7%	7%	3%	7%	12%	1%
infrastructure	4/(DK)	78	13%	10%	13%	15%	11%	13%	13%	9%	24%
	5-7 Significant Impact	495	79%	77%	77%	77%	82%	83%	80%	79%	74%
Impact: Addressing racially	1-3 Little Impact	172	27%	29%	20%	28%	33%	30%	27%	24%	40%
biased policing	4/(DK)	67	11%	14%	8%	14%	9%	8%	10%	11%	18%
	5-7 Significant Impact	387	62%	57%	71%	58%	58%	61%	63%	65%	42%
Impact: Making Seattle a good	1-3 Little Impact	77	12%	12%	17%	15%	10%	6%	13%	10%	10%
place to do business	4/(DK)	73	12%	15%	17%	8%	10%	6%	13%	6%	20%
	5-7 Significant Impact	476	76%	73%	66%	77%	80%	87%	74%	83%	70%
Impact: Addressing violent crime	1-3 Little Impact	52	8%	12%	10%	9%	6%	4%	7%	11%	9%
and gun violence	4/(DK)	61	10%	11%	13%	13%	6%	5%	11%	4%	14%
	5-7 Significant Impact	512	82%	77%	77%	78%	88%	91%	81%	85%	77%

Number of cases Row percent  Impact: Building more affordable 1-3 Little Impact housing 4/(DK) 5-7 Significant Impact  Impact: Hiring more police 1-3 Little Impact officers 4/(DK) 5-7 Significant Impact	n 625 109 83 433 172 69 385 206	% 100% 17% 13% 69% 27% 11% 62%	18-29 118 19% 16% 12% 72% 29% 13%	30-39 157 25% 13% 11% 76%	40-49 110 18% 20% 11% 69%	50-64 125 20% 22% 14% 63%	65+ 115 18% 17% 19% 64%	White 427 68% 16% 13% 71%	POC 156 25% 20% 11% 69%	(Ref) 41 7% 21% 29%
Row percent  Impact: Building more affordable 1-3 Little Impact housing 4/(DK) 5-7 Significant Impact  Impact: Hiring more police 1-3 Little Impact officers 4/(DK)	109 83 433 172 69 385	17% 13% 69% 27% 11%	19% 16% 12% 72% 29% 13%	25% 13% 11% 76%	18% 20% 11% 69%	20% 22% 14% 63%	18% 17% 19%	68% 16% 13%	25% 20% 11%	7% 21% 29%
Impact: Building more affordable 1-3 Little Impact housing 4/(DK) 5-7 Significant Impact  Impact: Hiring more police 1-3 Little Impact officers 4/(DK)	83 433 172 69 385	17% 13% 69% 27% 11%	16% 12% 72% 29% 13%	13% 11% 76% 46%	20% 11% 69%	22% 14% 63%	17% 19%	16% 13%	20% 11%	21% 29%
housing  4/(DK)  5-7 Significant Impact  Impact: Hiring more police  1-3 Little Impact  officers  4/(DK)	83 433 172 69 385	13% 69% 27% 11%	12% 72% 29% 13%	11% 76% 46%	11% 69%	14% 63%	19%	13%	11%	29%
5-7 Significant Impact  Impact: Hiring more police 1-3 Little Impact  officers 4/(DK)	433 172 69 385	69% 27% 11%	72% 29% 13%	76% 46%	69%	63%				
Impact: Hiring more police 1-3 Little Impact officers 4/(DK)	172 69 385	27% 11%	29% 13%	46%			64%	71%	60%	E00/
officers 4/(DK)	69 385	11%	13%		28%				0376	50%
	385			430/		21%	7%	28%	29%	18%
5-7 Significant Impact		62%		12%	10%	12%	8%	12%	8%	7%
	206		59%	42%	62%	67%	85%	60%	63%	75%
Taxes in Seattle given the level Much too high		33%	29%	30%	37%	36%	34%	28%	40%	58%
of services the city provides Somewhat too high	171	27%	31%	21%	27%	25%	36%	29%	25%	23%
Too low	65	10%	13%	12%	12%	10%	5%	11%	10%	7%
About right	178	29%	26%	37%	24%	28%	24%	32%	24%	12%
(Don't know)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-
Taxes in Seattle given the level Too high	378	60%	60%	51%	64%	62%	70%	57%	65%	81%
of services the city provides About right/(DK)	182	29%	27%	38%	24%	28%	25%	32%	25%	12%
Too low	65	10%	13%	12%	12%	10%	5%	11%	10%	7%
Net Too high	+313	+50	+47	+39	+52	+51	+64	+46	+55	+74
Safety in your neighborhood Much less safe	191	30%	31%	25%	32%	37%	28%	26%	39%	46%
compared to 2 years ago Somewhat less safe	160	26%	28%	23%	25%	21%	30%	26%	25%	27%
More safe	60	10%	8%	11%	12%	9%	7%	11%	9%	3%
(About the same)	213	34%	32%	40%	30%	32%	35%	37%	27%	24%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	1%	-	-	-	0%	0%	-
Safety in your neighborhood Less safe	350	56%	59%	49%	58%	59%	58%	52%	64%	73%
compared to 2 years ago (About the same/DK)	215	34%	33%	40%	30%	32%	35%	38%	28%	24%
More safe	60	10%	8%	11%	12%	9%	7%	11%	9%	3%
Net Less safe	+290	+46	+52	+38	+46	+50	+51	+41	+55	+70

					Age	(Replac	ed)			Ethnicity	,
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	427	156	41
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
City budget deficit preference:	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	473	76%	74%	69%	79%	76%	84%	73%	81%	85%
Maintain spending/Increase	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	141	23%	23%	30%	20%	21%	16%	26%	17%	12%
taxes vs. Support	(Both)	2	0%	1%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
vulnerable/Focus on basics	(Neither)	8	1%	2%	0%	1%	3%	-	0%	3%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Transportation preference:	Maintain existing infrastructure	431	69%	61%	60%	70%	74%	83%	67%	71%	83%
Maintain existing infrastructure	Bike/pedestrian amenities/Safer streets	188	30%	37%	39%	30%	26%	16%	32%	29%	14%
vs. Bike/pedestrian	(Both)	5	1%	1%	2%	-	0%	1%	1%	-	-
amenities/Safer streets	(Neither)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%
City budget deficit preference:	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	473	76%	74%	69%	79%	76%	84%	73%	81%	85%
Maintain spending/Increase	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	141	23%	23%	30%	20%	21%	16%	26%	17%	12%
taxes vs. Support	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	3%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	3%	4%
vulnerable/Focus on basics	Net Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	+333	+53	+50	+39	+58	+55	+69	+47	+64	+73
Transportation preference:	Maintain existing infrastructure	431	69%	61%	60%	70%	74%	83%	67%	71%	83%
Maintain existing infrastructure	Bike/pedestrian amenities/Safer streets	188	30%	37%	39%	30%	26%	16%	32%	29%	14%
vs. Bike/pedestrian	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	6	1%	2%	2%	-	0%	1%	1%	-	3%
amenities/Safer streets	Net Maintain existing infrastructure	+243	+39	+24	+21	+41	+48	+66	+35	+41	+69
Concern about personal financial	Very concerned	171	27%	31%	25%	33%	26%	24%	22%	37%	48%
situation given current economic	Somewhat concerned	222	36%	32%	38%	36%	39%	31%	37%	32%	30%
conditions	Not too concerned	153	25%	23%	26%	22%	21%	30%	26%	24%	18%
	Not at all concerned	78	12%	13%	12%	9%	13%	15%	15%	7%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concern about personal financial	Concerned	393	63%	63%	63%	69%	66%	55%	59%	69%	77%
situation given current economic		232	37%	37%	37%	31%	34%	45%	41%	31%	23%
conditions	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Concerned	+162	+26	+27	+25	+38	+31	+9	+19	+38	+55

			Age (Replaced)						Ethnicity	,	
			%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		n 625	76	118	157	110			427	156	(Rei) 41
Row percent		023	100%	19%	25%	18%			68%	25%	7%
non persons			20070	2070	2070	20,0				20,0	,,,
Downtown Seattle economy	1 – Very pessimistic	110	18%	24%	15%	17%	17%	16%	14%	23%	35%
sentiment	2	187	30%	28%	30%	30%	29%	33%	29%	33%	26%
	3	236	38%	34%	39%	34%	43%	37%	42%	28%	30%
	4	74	12%	12%	12%	16%	9%	10%	12%	13%	6%
	5 – Very optimistic	14	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-
	Mean	620	2.51	2.40	2.57	2.56	2.49	2.50	2.59	2.37	2.15
Davintaria Castila assaura	1.2 Passimistic	297	48%	51%	450/	470/	400/	F00/	43%	F.C0/	C10/
Downtown Seattle economy	1-2 Pessimistic			35%	45%	47%			43% 43%	56%	61%
sentiment	3/(DK)	241	38%		41%	34%				29%	30%
	4-5 Optimistic	87	14%	14%	15%	18%	10%	13%	14%	15%	9%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Once a week or more	164	26%	19%	27%	30%	26%	28%	23%	35%	27%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	240	38%	37%	34%	39%	43%	40%	40%	31%	45%
	A few times a year	131	21%	21%	22%	22%	17%	23%	23%	19%	10%
	Rarely	58	9%	12%	9%	5%	13%	7%	9%	9%	8%
	Never	22	4%	8%	4%	4%	0%	2%	3%	3%	10%
	(Refused)	10	2%	3%	3%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Weekly	164	26%	19%	27%	30%	26%	28%	23%	35%	27%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	240	38%	37%	34%	39%			40%	31%	45%
mequency (non work)	Less often	221	35%	44%	39%	31%			37%	34%	28%
Current downtown visit	Much more often	19	3%	4%	5%	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	-
frequency compared to pre-	Somewhat more often	26	4%	5%	4%	6%	2%	4%	4%	5%	5%
pandemic	About the same	145	23%	21%	26%	21%	22%	26%	25%	19%	19%
	Somewhat less often	130	21%	19%	24%	21%	21%		23%	20%	5%
	Much less often	298	48%	47%	40%	49%	53%	52%	43%	53%	70%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	3%	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-

			Age (Replaced)  % 18-29 30-39 40-49 50-64 65+ V						Ethnicity		
			0/	10 20	20.20	40.40	EO 64	651	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625	70	118		110				156	41
Row percent		023	100%	19%	25%	18%			1	25%	7%
Current downtown visit	More often	45	7%	10%	8%	9%	5%	4%	7%	8%	5%
frequency compared to pre-	Same/(DK/Ref)	152	24%	24%		21%				19%	19%
pandemic	Less often	427	68%	66%		70%			1	73%	76%
	Net More often	-382	-61	-56		-62	-69	-65	-59	-65	-71
Reasons to visit downtown	Safety/Public safety	155	25%	21%	18%	33%	31%	23%	26%	23%	21%
Seattle more often	Shopping/Retail/Dining/Reopen businesses/Jobs	121	19%	20%	20%	18%	18%	21%	21%	17%	11%
	Fewer homeless/Homelessness	107	17%	19%	14%	24%	12%	17%	13%	25%	25%
	Less crime/More police/Crime/Policing	100	16%	12%	9%	16%	24%	21%	16%	14%	22%
	Activities/Events/Entertainment/Art	88	14%	16%	21%	13%	9%	9%	16%	13%	5%
	Drugs off the street/Drugs	72	12%	11%	15%	9%	10%	12%	11%	12%	20%
	Clean it up/Cleanliness/Trash	62	10%	11%	11%	9%	9%	9%	10%	13%	2%
	Cheaper/more parking/Parking	51	8%	7%	5%	11%	12%	8%	9%	5%	15%
	Improve transportation/Public transit/Buses	49	8%	13%	8%	9%	2%	7%	9%	6%	7%
	Affordability/Less expensive/Cheaper prices/Price	20	3%	4%	4%	4%	4%	1%	3%	4%	2%
	No reason/don't want to go/Not much/Very little	17	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%	4%	0%
	Walkability/Walk/bike access/Pedestrian areas	15	2%	3%	4%	1%	2%	0%	2%	3%	2%
	Live here/Go all the time/Resident/Visit often	14	2%	0%	3%	2%	5%	1%	2%	3%	0%
	Personal circumstances/health/finances	9	1%	1%	3%	0%	0%	2%	2%	2%	0%
	Eradicate COVID/Pandemic response/COVID-19	9	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%
	Other	16	2%	4%	3%	4%	2%	1%	2%	4%	6%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	23	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	3%	9%
Duration of residency	<2 years	9	1%	4%	1%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	-
·	2-5 years	111	18%	31%	29%	16%	7%	3%	18%	20%	11%
	6-10 years	99	16%	12%	32%	16%	8%	6%	15%	17%	18%
	11-20 years	131	21%	16%	23%	34%	20%	13%	22%	19%	20%
	>20 years	275	44%		15%	35%	65%	76%	1	43%	51%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	219	35%	47%	62%	32%	15%	11%	34%	39%	29%
	11-20 years	131	21%	16%	23%	34%	20%	13%	22%	19%	20%
	>20 years	275	44%	37%	15%	35%	65%	76%	44%	43%	51%

					Age	(Replac	ed)			Ethnicity	
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118		110			427	156	41
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	1		25%	7%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	39%	35%	34%	37%	40%	43%	28%	11%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	9%	20%	16%	14%	9%	13%	19%	3%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	12%	8%	8%	14%	15%	12%	10%	6%
	Independent	69	11%	6%	10%	13%	15%	11%	10%	11%	25%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	4%	3%	4%	4%	11%	6%	1%	7%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	4%	1%	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	-
	Strong Republican	19	3%	3%	1%	5%	2%	5%	2%	5%	3%
	Socialist	44	7%	11%	11%	6%	3%	2%	7%	7%	7%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	12%	11%	13%	9%	4%	5%	16%	37%
Party	Socialist	44	7%	11%	11%	6%	3%	2%	7%	7%	7%
	Democrat	388	62%	60%	63%	58%	64%	64%	68%	57%	21%
	Independent	131	21%	18%	20%	26%	25%	16%	15%	27%	62%
	Republican	63	10%	11%	5%	10%	8%	18%	11%	8%	10%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	105	17%	17%	24%	14%	13%	13%	17%	20%	4%
	2	110	18%	17%	23%	15%	16%	14%	20%	14%	8%
	3	141	23%	23%	21%	29%	17%	25%	26%	17%	13%
	4	132	21%	20%	17%	22%	29%	18%	20%	22%	25%
	5	59	9%	5%	7%	10%	11%	15%	8%	13%	9%
	6	17	3%	6%	1%	1%	3%	4%	3%	2%	5%
	7 – Very conservative	21	3%	4%	3%	3%	5%	2%	3%	5%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	41	7%	8%	4%	7%	7%	8%	4%	7%	36%
	Mean	584	3.11	3.13	2.71	3.12	3.43	3.30	3.04	3.22	3.61
Ideology	Liberal	356	57%	56%	68%	58%	45%	53%	62%	51%	26%
	Moderate	173	28%	29%	21%	28%	36%	25%	24%	29%	61%
	Conservative	97	15%	15%	10%	13%	19%	21%	14%	20%	13%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	37%	33%	52%	70%	63%	55%	40%	37%
	Renter	313	50%	63%	67%	48%	30%	37%	45%	60%	63%

					Age	(Replac	ed)			Ethnicity	
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	427	156	41
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	427	68%	73%	70%	55%	69%	73%	100%	-	-
	African American or Black	25	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	5%	-	16%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	5%	5%	10%	7%	4%	-	24%	-
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	6%	11%	11%	7%	5%	-	32%	-
	Something else	44	7%	7%	8%	7%	7%	6%	-	28%	-
	(Refused)	41	7%	5%	3%	12%	7%	8%	-	-	100%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	427	68%	73%	70%	55%	69%	73%	100%	-	-
,	African American or Black	25	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%		1	16%	_
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	5%	5%	10%	7%	4%	_	24%	_
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	6%	11%	11%	7%		l I	32%	_
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	85	14%	12%	10%	19%	14%		l I	28%	100%
Ethnicity	White	427	68%	73%	70%	55%	69%	73%	100%	-	-
,	POC	156	25%	22%	27%	33%	24%		l I	100%	_
	(Ref)	41	7%		3%	12%	1		1 1	-	100%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	118	19%	100%	_	_	_	_	20%	16%	14%
, Me (Hebiacea)	30-39	157	25%	_	100%	_	_	_	26%	28%	10%
	40-49	110	18%	_	-	100%	_	_	14%	23%	32%
	50-64	125		_	_	_	100%	_	20%	19%	22%
	65+	115			-	-	-	100%	1 1	14%	22%
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%	100%	100%	_	_	_	46%	44%	24%
	40+	350	56%		-	100%	100%	100%	l I	56%	76%
Generation	18-39	275	44%	100%	100%	_	_	_	46%	44%	24%
	40-64	235	38%			100%	100%	_	34%	42%	54%
	65+	115	18%	-	-	-	-	100%		14%	22%
	65+	115	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	20%	14%	,

				Age (Replaced)  % 18-29 30-39 40-49 50-64 65+					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	427	156	41
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
Education	Some grade school	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	  -	-	-
	Some high school	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Graduated high school	12	2%	4%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	4%	3%
	Technical/vocational school	16	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	4%	3%
	Some college/<4-year degree	108	17%	16%	17%	19%	20%	14%	17%	16%	25%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	256	41%	53%	40%	31%	41%	39%	43%	39%	28%
	Graduate/professional degree	219	35%	23%	38%	40%	36%	39%	36%	35%	27%
	(Refused)	10	2%	2%	-	3%	1%	3%	1%	-	15%
Education	<4-year degree	150	24%	25%	22%	30%	23%	22%	21%	25%	45%
	4-year degree+	475	76%	75%	78%	70%	77%	78%	79%	75%	55%
Education	Less than college	150	24%	25%	22%	30%	23%	22%	21%	25%	45%
	Graduated college	256	41%	53%	40%	31%	41%	39%	43%	39%	28%
	Graduate/professional degree	219	35%	23%	38%	40%	36%	39%	36%	35%	27%
Gender	Male	306	49%	56%	48%	47%	46%	48%	48%	52%	51%
	Female	305	49%	41%	48%	52%	52%	51%	51%	45%	40%
	Non-binary	10	2%	3%	4%	-	1%	-	1%	3%	-
	(Refused)	4	1%	-	-	1%	1%	2%	-	-	9%
Region	South	178	29%	24%	27%	31%	31%	29%	26%	33%	39%
	Central	178	29%	30%	32%	28%	26%	26%		29%	9%
	North	269	43%	46%	41%	42%	43%	44%	44%	38%	52%
City Council District	1	97	16%	8%	14%	21%	17%	20%	13%	20%	23%
,	2	81	13%	16%	14%	10%	15%	1		13%	16%
	3	97	16%								
	4	78									10%
	5	91	15%			20%	14%	1			25%
	6	100	16%			11%	14%	18%	17%	13%	17%
	7	81	13%			9%	14%	14%		13%	1%

				Age (Replaced)						Ethnicity	,
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	427	156	41
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	68%	25%	7%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18)	0-3/6	325	52%	77%	65%	52%	42%	18%	50%	54%	64%
	4-5/6	163	26%	21%	27%	24%	31%	26%	25%	31%	20%
	6/6	138	22%	1%	8%	23%	27%	56%	25%	15%	16%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	142	23%	56%	48%	-	-	-	25%	19%	13%
	M 40-64	110	18%	-	-	47%	46%	-	15%	23%	27%
	M 65+	55	9%	-	-	-	-	48%	8%	10%	11%
	F 18-39	124	20%	41%	48%	-	-	-	20%	23%	11%
	F 40-64	122	20%	-	-	52%	52%	-	20%	19%	22%
	F 65+	58	9%	-	-	-	-	51%	12%	4%	6%
	Other	14	2%	3%	4%	1%	2%	2%	1%	3%	9%
Party/Gender	D Male	169	27%	32%	27%	23%	26%	26%	29%	26%	10%
	D Female	211	34%	26%	34%	35%	37%	37%	38%	29%	10%
	R/I Male	121	19%	20%	16%	22%	19%	20%	16%	25%	37%
	R/I Female	68	11%	9%	8%	14%	13%	12%	9%	11%	27%
	Other	56	9%	13%	15%	6%	4%	4%	8%	9%	14%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
QOL Index	Mean	625	4.24	3.84	4.37	4.49	4.18	4.08	4.38	4.11
Seattle Right direction/Wrong	Right direction	219	35%	29%	37%	39%	36%	32%	38%	32%
track	Wrong track	382	61%	69%	58%	55%	58%	68%	60%	62%
	(Don't know/Refused)	24	4%	1%	5%	7%	6%	1%	2%	5%
	Net Right direction	-163	-26	-40	-22	-16	-22	-36	-22	-30
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	301	48%	39%	51%	48%	45%	50%	55%	42%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	87	14%	10%	15%	18%	14%	11%	14%	14%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	81	13%	11%	14%	11%	15%	14%	11%	15%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	73	12%	13%	11%	8%	10%	16%	12%	11%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	65	10%	9%	11%	12%	10%	9%	11%	9%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	44	7%	7%	7%	6%	14%	5%	4%	10%
	Walkability/Transit	34	5%	6%	5%	8%	5%	4%	4%	7%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	30	5%	6%	4%	6%	3%	5%	5%	5%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	29	5%	6%	4%	4%	2%	7%	4%	5%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	16	3%	4%	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	4%
	Other	13	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%
	Nothing/Don't know	17	3%	6%	2%	1%	6%	3%	3%	2%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	I			275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	313	50%	48%	51%		45%	51%	52%	48%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	289	46%	49%				49%	50%	43%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	165	26%	20%	l			18%	18%	34%
	Taxes	30	5%	3%	5%	2%	4%	7%	8%	2%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	26	4%	3%	5%	4%	5%	4%	5%	3%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	24	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%
	Traffic/Congestion	23	4%	2%	4%		3%	4%	3%	4%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	22	4%	4%	4%		5%	4%	4%	3%
	Public transportation	20	3%	2%	4%	5%	4%	2%	2%	4%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	18	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%	2%
	Growth/Development/Population	13	2%	2%	2%		1%	3%	3%	2%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	12	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	11	2%	1%	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	1%
	Jobs/Economy	10	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	Other	9	1%	2%	1%		1%	2%	1%	2%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	4	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%
Quality of life in Seattle	Much better	14	2%	2%	2%		3%	2%	2%	3%
compared to 4 years ago	Somewhat better	81	13%	12%	I			13%	14%	12%
	The same	114	18%	14%	I			16%	17%	20%
	Somewhat worse	217	35%	29%	l			32%	39%	31%
	Much worse	184	30%	41%	26%	20%	30%	37%	28%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	14	2%	2%	2%	6%	-	-	1%	4%
Quality of life in Seattle	Better	96	15%	14%	16%	15%	16%	15%	16%	15%
compared to 4 years ago	Same/(DK/Ref)	128	20%	16%	22%	30%	14%	16%	18%	23%
	Worse	402	64%	70%	62%	55%	70%	69%	67%	62%
	Net Better	-306	-49	-56	-47	-39	-55	-54	-51	-47
Considered moving out of	Yes	378	61%	70%	58%	61%	63%	59%	56%	65%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	247	39%	30%	42%	39%	37%	41%	44%	35%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Main reason for considering	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	124	33%	26%	35%	43%	37%	22%	22%	42%
moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	102	27%	26%	27%	24%	34%	26%	34%	21%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	30	8%	7%	8%	4%	3%	14%	11%	5%
	Homelessness	24	6%	11%	5%	7%	5%	7%	5%	7%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	14	4%	6%	3%	5%	3%	3%	1%	6%
	Taxes	13	4%	6%	2%	-	4%	6%	5%	2%
	Growth/Development/Space	9	2%	2%	3%	0%	1%	5%	3%	2%
	Closer to family	9	2%	2%	2%	3%	-	3%	3%	2%
	Declining quality of life	8	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%
	Weather	7	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	6	2%	1%	2%	1%	4%	1%	3%	1%
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	5	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	1%
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	0%	1%	0%	-	2%	2%	0%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	4	1%	3%	1%	2%	-	1%	0%	2%
	Other	14	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Still actively considering moving	Yes	308	82%	85%	80%	85%	82%	79%	78%	84%
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	70	18%	15%	20%	15%	18%	21%	22%	16%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	308	49%	59%	46%	52%	51%	47%	44%	55%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	70	11%	10%	11%	9%	11%	12%	12%	10%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	247	39%	30%	42%	39%	37%	41%	44%	35%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	130	21%	21%	21%	22%	18%	21%	20%	22%
future of this region	Somewhat agree	232	37%	29%	40%	44%	36%	32%	41%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	148	24%	24%	24%	20%	28%	25%	22%	25%
	Strongly disagree	108	17%	24%	15%	13%	18%	21%	17%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	3%	1%	2%	-	1%	0%	2%

				Educ	ation	Durati	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Agree: A thriving downtown	Strongly agree	372	59%	57%	60%	56%	58%	63%	62%	57%
Seattle is critical to our region's	Somewhat agree	184	29%	33%	28%	33%	28%	27%	28%	31%
economic recovery	Somewhat disagree	48	8%	8%	8%	8%	10%	6%	7%	8%
	Strongly disagree	17	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	0%	1%
Agree: I'm worried about the	Strongly agree	309	49%	55%	48%	42%	48%	56%	51%	48%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	192	31%	28%	32%	32%	31%	29%	33%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	85	14%	12%	14%	19%	13%	10%	11%	17%
	Strongly disagree	36	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	4%	5%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	216	35%	24%	38%	38%	38%	30%	33%	36%
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	236	38%	36%	38%	45%	34%	34%	40%	35%
day	Somewhat disagree	109	17%	24%	15%	13%	14%	22%	14%	21%
	Strongly disagree	62	10%	16%	8%	4%	13%	13%	11%	9%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	61	10%	5%	11%	9%	13%	9%	9%	11%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	148	24%	18%	25%	29%	20%	22%	24%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	171	27%	26%	28%	31%	22%	27%	30%	25%
	Strongly disagree	243	39%	51%	35%	31%	43%	43%	37%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	436	70%	75%	68%	62%	69%	76%	73%	67%
cannot fully recover until the	Somewhat agree	115	18%	20%	18%	22%	13%	18%	18%	19%
homelessness and public safety	Somewhat disagree	50	8%	2%	10%	12%	8%	5%	6%	10%
problems are addressed	Strongly disagree	19	3%	3%	3%	3%	7%	1%	2%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	-	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%

				Educ	ation	Durati	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Agree: I support the building of a	Strongly agree	244	39%	28%	42%	54%	43%	25%	31%	47%
wider variety of housing in my	Somewhat agree	185	30%	30%			30%	30%		25%
neighborhood	Somewhat disagree	97	16%	21%			15%	21%		18%
Heighborhood	Strongly disagree	95	15%	20%	14%		11%	24%		10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%		1%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle	Strongly agree	25	4%	5%	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	5%
to spend my tax dollars	Somewhat agree	166	27%	22%	28%	34%	24%	22%	26%	28%
responsibly	Somewhat disagree	174	28%	22%	29%	28%	25%	29%	29%	27%
	Strongly disagree	256	41%	51%	38%	32%	45%	46%	42%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	111	18%	14%	19%	23%	24%	10%	19%	17%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	250	40%	30%			40%	39%		37%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	159	25%	31%	24%	22%	22%	30%		29%
	Strongly disagree	100	16%	25%	13%	11%	15%	21%	15%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	-	1%	2%	-	-	0%	1%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agrae	230	37%	35%	37%	34%	38%	38%	37%	36%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	227	36%				31%	35%		37%
Scattlette	Somewhat disagree	99	16%				17%	16%		16%
	Strongly disagree	67	11%	14%	10%		13%	11%		11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-		0%	0%
Agree: I trust the Seattle City	Strongly agree	44	7%	8%	7%	8%	8%	6%		8%
Council to reform the Seattle	Somewhat agree	165	26%	25%			27%	24%		24%
Police Department without	Somewhat disagree	193	31%	30%	31%		28%	31%	29%	33%
endangering public safety	Strongly disagree	211	34%	33%	34%	29%	34%	38%	34%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	4%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%
		1		l	l				i	

				Educ	ation	Durati	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Agree: Hiring more police	Strongly agree	292	47%				43%	59%	52%	42%
officers should be an immediate	_	138	22%	20%	1		19%	24%	25%	19%
priority for the City	Somewhat disagree	89	14%	13%	15%		13%	11%	11%	18%
	Strongly disagree	100	16%	12%	17%	23%	23%	7%	12%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%
Agree: I trust that the city has an		19	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%
effective plan to address the	Somewhat agree	90	14%	16%			14%	17%	15%	14%
critical issues facing our city like		217	35%	25%		40%	34%	31%	36%	33%
homelessness, affordability, and		295	47%	53%	45%	44%	49%	49%	47%	48%
public safety	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Agree: The City of Seattle has	Strongly agree	297	47%	57%	44%	42%	50%	51%	46%	49%
enough money to address	Somewhat agree	201	32%	29%			27%	31%	34%	31%
important priorities; they just	Somewhat disagree	91	15%	8%	17%	15%	18%	12%	14%	16%
need to spend it more	Strongly disagree	27	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	6%	3%
effectively	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%		1%		1%	1%	1%	2%
	(25. C.M.C.),C.GCC,		_,,		_,,,	3,0		_,,	2,3	_,,
Agree: The City of Seattle should	Strongly agree	343	55%	61%	53%	47%	54%	61%	58%	52%
focus on the funding the basics	Somewhat agree	222	36%	34%	36%	40%	37%	31%	32%	39%
like filling potholes, maintaining	Somewhat disagree	44	7%	4%	8%	9%	6%	6%	7%	7%
parks, and addressing public	Strongly disagree	14	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%
safety	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	0%	0%	0%
Agree: We should allow the	Strongly agree	168	27%	21%	29%	31%	29%	22%	26%	28%
construction of more retail and	Somewhat agree	207	33%	31%			33%	32%	38%	28%
commercial spaces in my	Somewhat disagree	137	22%	24%	21%		19%	24%	18%	26%
neighborhood	Strongly disagree	111	18%	24%	16%	12%	20%	21%	18%	18%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	0%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	362	58%	50%	60%	66%	54%	53%	61%	55%
future of this region	Disagree	256			I	32%	46%	46%	39%	43%
	(DK/Ref)	8	1%	3%	1%	2%	-	1%	0%	2%
	Net Agree	+106	+17	+2	+22	+34	+9	+7	+22	+12
Agree: A thriving downtown	Agree	556	89%	90%	89%		86%	91%	90%	88%
Seattle is critical to our region's	Disagree	65	10%	10%	11%	10%	13%	9%	10%	11%
economic recovery	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+491	+79	+80	+78	+78	+73	+81	+80	+77
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	501	80%	82%	80%	74%	79%	86%	83%	77%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	121	19%	18%	20%	26%	20%	14%	16%	23%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+380	+61	+65	+60	+48	+59	+71	+68	+54
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	452	72%	60%	76%	82%	72%	64%	74%	71%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	171	27%	40%	23%	17%	27%	35%	25%	29%
day	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+281	+45	+20	+53	+65	+45	+29	+49	+41
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	209	33%	23%	37%	38%	33%	30%	33%	34%
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	414	66%	77%	63%	62%	66%	70%	66%	66%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	-
	Net Agree	-205	-33	-54	-26	-24	-33	-40	-33	-33
Agree: Downtown Seattle	Agree	551	88%	95%	86%	85%	82%	94%	91%	86%
cannot fully recover until the	Disagree	68	11%	5%	13%	15%	15%	6%	8%	13%
homelessness and public safety	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	-	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%
problems are addressed	Net Agree	+482	+77	+89	+73	+70	+67	+88	+82	+72

				Educ	ation	Durati	on of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Agree: I support the building of a	Agree	429	69%	58%	72%	83%	73%	55%	65%	72%
wider variety of housing in my	Disagree	192	31%	41%	27%	16%	26%	44%	34%	27%
neighborhood	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+236	+38	+17	+44	+67	+47	+10	+31	+44
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle	Agree	191	31%	27%		39%	29%	24%	1	1
to spend my tax dollars	Disagree	430	69%	73%		60%	70%	75%	1	
responsibly	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	
	Net Agree	-239	-38	-46	-36	-20	-41	-51	-43	-34
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	361	58%	44%	62%	65%	64%	49%	62%	53%
growth and development has	Disagree	258	41%	56%	37%	33%	36%	51%	37%	46%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	-	1%	2%	-	-	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+103	+16	-12	+25	+32	+28	-2	+25	+8
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	457	73%	69%	74%	76%	69%	73%	73%	73%
Seattleite	Disagree	166	27%	31%	25%	23%	31%	27%	27%	27%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	0%	0%
	Net Agree	+291	+47	+38	+49	+52	+39	+46	+47	+46
Agree: I trust the Seattle City	Agree	209	33%	33%	34%	37%	35%	30%	35%	32%
Council to reform the Seattle	Disagree	404	65%	63%	65%	62%	61%	69%	63%	67%
Police Department without	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	4%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%
endangering public safety	Net Agree	-195	-31	-31	-31	-25	-26	-39	-28	-34
Agree: Hiring more police	Agree	430	69%	75%	67%	56%	62%	83%	77%	61%
officers should be an immediate	Disagree	189	30%	25%	32%	43%	36%	17%	22%	38%
priority for the City	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+241	+39	+51	+35	+12	+26	+65	+55	+22

				Educ	ation	Durati	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Agree: I trust that the city has an	Agree	109	17%	22%	16%	15%	16%	20%	17%	18%
effective plan to address the	Disagree	512	82%	78%	83%	84%	83%	80%	83%	81%
critical issues facing our city like	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
homelessness, affordability, and	Net Agree	-403	-64	-57	-67	-69	-67	-60	-66	-62
public safety										
Agree: The City of Seattle has	Agree	498	80%	86%	78%	78%	77%	82%	80%	79%
enough money to address	Disagree	118	19%	13%	21%	19%	22%	17%	20%	18%
important priorities; they just	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%
need to spend it more	Net Agree	+380	+61	+73	+57	+59	+55	+65	+60	+61
effectively										
Agree: The City of Seattle should	Agree	565	90%	94%	89%	88%	91%	92%	90%	91%
focus on the funding the basics	Disagree	58	9%	6%	10%	11%	9%	8%	10%	9%
like filling potholes, maintaining	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	0%	0%	0%
parks, and addressing public	Net Agree	+507	+81	+89	+79	+76	+82	+84	+80	+82
safety										
Agree: We should allow the	Agree	375	60%	52%	63%	66%	62%	55%	64%	56%
construction of more retail and	Disagree	248	40%	48%	37%	34%	38%	45%	36%	43%
commercial spaces in my	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	0%
neighborhood	Net Agree	+127	+20	+4	+26	+31	+23	+10	+28	+13
Impact: Closing encampments in	1 – Very little impact	40	6%	6%	6%	7%	10%	4%	5%	8%
parks, on sidewalks, and on	2	33	5%	2%	6%	8%	4%	4%	4%	6%
other public right of ways	3	33	5%	3%	6%	9%	5%	2%	3%	7%
	4	56	9%	8%	9%	13%	7%	6%	8%	10%
	5	53	8%	7%	9%	12%	5%	8%	8%	9%
	6	62	10%	11%	10%	9%	7%	12%	10%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	348	56%	62%	54%	42%	60%	64%	62%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	1%	-	-	0%
	Mean	624	5.61	5.88	5.52	5.09	5.57	6.04	5.86	5.35

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Impact: Helping local businesses	1 – Very little impact	14	2%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%
deal with public safety concerns	2	10	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%
	3	19	3%	2%	3%	5%	5%	0%	1%	5%
	4	59	9%	12%	9%	12%	9%	8%	9%	10%
	5	110	18%	15%	18%	21%	20%	13%	18%	17%
	6	106	17%	13%	18%	13%	17%	20%	18%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	49%	52%	48%	44%	43%	56%	50%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	0%	-
	Mean	624	5.85	5.79	5.87	5.64	5.63	6.13	5.94	5.76
Impact: Addressing property	1 – Very little impact	23	4%	5%	3%	4%	6%	2%	4%	4%
crime like theft and car break-ins		21	3%	3%	4%		6%	2%	3%	4%
	3	33	5%	4%	6%	8%	6%	3%	5%	6%
	4	51	8%	5%	9%	10%	11%	5%	7%	10%
	5	83	13%	13%	13%	17%	7%	13%	13%	13%
	6	88	14%	12%	15%	14%	15%	14%	14%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	324	52%	58%	50%	42%	49%	61%	55%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	0%	-	_	-	0%
	Mean	624	5.74	5.86	5.70	5.44	5.48	6.11	5.86	5.62
Impact: Reducing carbon	1 – Very little impact	116	19%	24%	17%	13%	18%	23%	18%	19%
emissions and climate pollution	2	68	11%	17%	9%	10%	10%	12%	10%	12%
·	3	60	10%	9%	10%	9%	11%	10%	12%	7%
	4	97	16%	12%	17%	16%	14%	15%	17%	15%
	5	91	15%	10%	16%	16%	19%	11%	14%	15%
	6	69	11%					13%	10%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	123	20%	16%	21%	l	19%	17%	19%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	1%	_	-	0%
	Mean	624	4.09	3.63	4.23	4.42	4.03	3.84	4.02	4.15

				Educ	ation	Durati	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and	1 – Very little impact	9	2%	4%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	3%
infrastructure	2	9	1%	1%		2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	3	33	5%	5%	5%	6%	7%	4%	6%	5%
	4	78	13%	11%	13%	11%	16%	12%	13%	12%
	5	157	25%	25%	25%	26%	28%	23%	21%	29%
	6	119	19%	17%	20%	21%	15%	20%	21%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	219	35%	36%	35%	32%	33%	38%	36%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.56	5.49	5.58	5.52	5.47	5.63	5.62	5.49
Impact: Addressing racially	1 – Very little impact	94	15%	19%	14%	12%	19%	15%	16%	14%
biased policing	2	38	6%	8%	6%	6%	2%	8%	8%	4%
	3	40	6%	5%	7%	6%	7%	6%	9%	4%
	4	66	11%	10%	11%	9%	14%	10%	11%	10%
	5	97	15%	11%	17%	12%	18%	17%	14%	17%
	6	89	14%	11%	15%	20%	8%	13%	14%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	201	32%	35%	31%	35%	31%	30%	29%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Mean	624	4.77	4.61	4.82	5.04	4.58	4.65	4.54	5.00
Impact: Making Seattle a good	1 – Very little impact	22	4%	6%	3%	4%	5%	3%	3%	4%
place to do business	2	25	4%	2%	5%	4%	8%	2%	5%	3%
	3	29	5%	2%	5%	8%	4%	3%	3%	6%
	4	71	11%	10%	12%	13%	13%	9%	11%	12%
	5	123	20%	16%	21%	22%	22%	17%	18%	21%
	6	106	17%	17%	17%	17%	13%	19%	19%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	246	39%	46%	37%	32%	35%	47%	41%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	-	1%	0%	-	1%
	Mean	623	5.49	5.64	5.44	5.24	5.19	5.82	5.59	5.39

				Educ	ation	Durati	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219		275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Impact: Addressing violent crir		15	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	4%
and gun violence	2	13	2%	1%	3%		1%	1%	2%	2%
	3	24	4%	2%	5%		7%	2%	4%	3%
	4	59	9%	11%	9%		14%	7%	9%	10%
	5	87	14%	14%	14%		18%	9%	13%	15%
	6	84	13%	14%		16%	10%	13%	12%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	341	55%	56%	54%	46%	49%	64%	59%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Mean	623	5.90	5.95	5.88	5.73	5.72	6.12	6.02	5.77
Impact: Building more affordal	nle 1 – Veny little impact	36	6%	9%	5%	4%	7%	7%	9%	3%
housing	2	26	4%	8%	3%	2%	4%	6%	5%	3%
liousing	3	47	7%	3%	9%	5%	7%	9%	9%	5% 6%
	4	83	13%	17%			14%	15%	15%	11%
	<del></del>	91	15%				13%	14%	13%	16%
	6	82	13%				14%	12%	14%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	260	42%				40%	37%	35%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	200	42%	35%	4470	49%	40%	3/70	35%	40%
	,	625	5.32	4.96	5.44	5.69	5.25	5.07	5.04	5.61
	Mean	025	5.32	4.90	5.44	5.09	5.25	5.07	5.04	5.01
Impact: Hiring more police	1 – Very little impact	78	12%	10%	13%	18%	17%	6%	10%	15%
officers	2	52	8%	6%	9%	13%	8%	5%	7%	10%
	3	42	7%	6%	7%	8%	6%	6%	6%	7%
	4	66	11%	12%	10%	14%	13%	7%	7%	14%
	5	91	15%	17%	14%	14%	10%	17%	16%	13%
	6	68	11%	11%	11%	9%	13%	12%	13%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	226	36%	39%	35%	24%	34%	47%	41%	32%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	1%	_	0%	1%
	Mean	623	4.84	5.07	4.77	4.17	4.63	5.48	5.13	4.55

				Educ	ation	Durati	on of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Impact: Closing encampments in	1-3 Little Impact	105	17%	12%	18%	24%	20%	10%	12%	21%
parks, on sidewalks, and on	4/(DK)	57	9%	8%	9%		8%	6%	8%	10%
other public right of ways	5-7 Significant Impact	463	74%	80%	72%	63%	73%	84%	79%	69%
Impact: Helping local businesses	1-3 Little Impact	44	7%	8%	7%	10%	11%	3%	5%	9%
deal with public safety concerns	4/(DK)	60	10%	12%	9%	12%	9%	8%	9%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	521	83%	80%	85%	79%	80%	89%	86%	81%
Impact: Addressing property	1-3 Little Impact	77	12%	12%	12%		18%	7%	11%	14%
crime like theft and car break-ins	4/(DK)	52	8%	5%	9%	10%	11%	5%	7%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	496	79%	83%	78%	73%	71%	88%	82%	76%
Impact: Reducing carbon	1-3 Little Impact	244	39%	51%	35%	32%	40%	44%	41%	37%
emissions and climate pollution	4/(DK)	98	16%	13%	17%	16%	15%	15%	17%	15%
	5-7 Significant Impact	283	45%	37%	48%	52%	45%	40%	43%	48%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and	1-3 Little Impact	51	8%	10%	8%	9%	8%	8%	8%	9%
infrastructure	4/(DK)	78	13%	11%	13%	11%	16%	12%	13%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	495	79%	79%	79%	79%	76%	81%	79%	80%
Impact: Addressing racially	1-3 Little Impact	172	27%	32%	26%	24%	28%	30%	33%	22%
biased policing	4/(DK)	67	11%	10%	11%	9%	14%	11%	11%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	387	62%	57%	63%	67%	58%	60%	56%	68%
Impact: Making Seattle a good	1-3 Little Impact	77	12%	11%	13%		17%	8%	11%	14%
place to do business	4/(DK)	73	12%	11%	12%	13%	13%	9%	11%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	476	76%	79%	75%	71%	70%	83%	78%	74%
Impact: Addressing violent crime		52	8%	6%	9%	10%	9%	7%	7%	9%
and gun violence	4/(DK)	61	10%	11%	9%	10%	14%	8%	9%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	512	82%	84%	81%	81%	77%	85%	83%	80%

				Educ	ation	Durati	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%		degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475		131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Impact: Building more affordable	2 1-3 Little Impact	109	17%	21%	16%	11%	19%	22%	22%	13%
housing	4/(DK)	83	13%	17%	12%	11%	14%	15%	15%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	433	69%	63%	71%	78%	67%	63%	63%	76%
Impact: Hiring more police	1-3 Little Impact	172	27%	22%	29%	39%	31%	17%	23%	32%
officers	4/(DK)	69	11%	12%	11%	15%	13%	7%	8%	14%
	5-7 Significant Impact	385	62%	66%	60%	47%	56%	76%	69%	54%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	206	33%	42%	30%	24%	33%	40%	31%	35%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	171	27%	29%	27%	25%	35%	26%	32%	23%
	Too low	65	10%	6%	12%	13%	10%	9%	10%	10%
	About right	178	29%	23%	30%	38%	21%	24%	26%	31%
	(Don't know)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	378	60%	71%	57%	49%	68%	66%	62%	58%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	182	29%	23%	31%	39%	22%	25%	27%	31%
	Too low	65	10%	6%	12%	13%	10%	9%	10%	10%
	Net Too high	+313	+50	+65	+45	+36	+58	+57	+52	+48
Safety in your neighborhood	Much less safe	191	30%	41%	27%	23%	32%	36%	30%	31%
compared to 2 years ago	Somewhat less safe	160	26%	24%	26%	26%	24%	26%	26%	25%
	More safe	60	10%	6%	11%	13%	9%	7%	9%	10%
	(About the same)	213	34%	29%	36%	37%	35%	31%	35%	33%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%
Safety in your neighborhood	Less safe	350	56%	65%	53%	49%	56%	62%	56%	56%
compared to 2 years ago	(About the same/DK)	215	34%	29%	36%	38%	35%	31%	35%	34%
	More safe	60	10%	6%	11%	13%	9%	7%	9%	10%
	Net Less safe	+290	+46	+59	+42	+37	+47	+54	+47	+46

				Educ	ation	Durati	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
									<u> </u>	
City budget deficit preference:	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	473	76%				75%	82%		74%
Maintain spending/Increase	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	141	23%	18%	24%		24%	16%	20%	25%
taxes vs. Support	(Both)	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	-	-	1%
vulnerable/Focus on basics	(Neither)	8	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	2%	0%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	0%	1%	-
Transportation preference:	Maintain existing infrastructure	431	69%	74%	67%	58%	70%	77%	75%	63%
Maintain existing infrastructure	Bike/pedestrian amenities/Safer streets	188	30%	25%	32%	41%	29%	22%	25%	35%
vs. Bike/pedestrian	(Both)	5	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
amenities/Safer streets	(Neither)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	0%
City budget deficit preference:	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	473	76%	80%	74%	69%	75%	82%	77%	74%
Maintain spending/Increase	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	141	23%	18%	24%	30%	24%	16%	20%	25%
taxes vs. Support	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%
vulnerable/Focus on basics	Net Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	+333	+53	+63	+50	+38	+51	+66	+57	+50
Transportation preference:	Maintain existing infrastructure	431	69%	74%	67%	58%	70%	77%	75%	63%
Maintain existing infrastructure	Bike/pedestrian amenities/Safer streets	188	30%	25%	32%	41%	29%	22%	25%	35%
vs. Bike/pedestrian	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%
amenities/Safer streets	Net Maintain existing infrastructure	+243	+39	+49	+35	+17	+41	+55	+50	+28
Concern about personal financial	Very concerned	171	27%	40%	24%	26%	33%	25%	19%	36%
situation given current economic	•	222	36%	33%	36%	35%	34%	36%	35%	36%
conditions	Not too concerned	153	25%	17%	27%	25%	22%	25%	29%	20%
	Not at all concerned	78	12%	10%	13%	13%	10%	13%	17%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concern about personal financial	Concerned	393	63%	73%	60%	62%	68%	62%	54%	72%
situation given current economic		232	37%			38%	32%			
conditions	(DK/Ref)	-	_	_	_	-	_	-	_	-
	Net Concerned	+162	+26	+46	+19	+23	+35	+23	+8	+44

				Educ	ation	Durati	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Downtown Seattle economy	1 – Very pessimistic	110	18%	25%	15%	12%	18%	22%	17%	19%
sentiment	2	187	30%	27%			29%	30%	31%	28%
	3	236	38%	35%	39%	41%	32%	38%	37%	38%
	4	74	12%	11%	12%	14%	16%	8%	12%	12%
	5 – Very optimistic	14	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%
	Mean	620	2.51	2.37	2.55	2.63	2.58	2.38	2.51	2.50
Downtown Seattle economy	1-2 Pessimistic	297	48%	52%	46%	43%	47%	52%	48%	47%
sentiment	3/(DK)	241	38%	36%	39%	41%	34%	38%	38%	39%
	4-5 Optimistic	87	14%	13%	14%	16%	19%	10%	14%	14%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Once a week or more	164	26%	25%	27%	25%	35%	23%	26%	27%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	240	38%	36%	39%	38%	36%	40%	42%	35%
	A few times a year	131	21%	19%	22%	19%	22%	22%	23%	19%
	Rarely	58	9%	14%	8%	8%	6%	12%	7%	11%
	Never	22	4%	4%	3%	6%	1%	3%	2%	5%
	(Refused)	10	2%	2%	2%	4%	-	0%	0%	3%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Weekly	164	26%	25%	27%	25%	35%	23%	26%	27%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	240	38%	36%	39%	38%	36%	40%	42%	35%
	Less often	221	35%	39%	34%	37%	29%	37%	32%	38%
Current downtown visit	Much more often	19	3%	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	2%	4%
frequency compared to pre-	Somewhat more often	26	4%	1%	5%	6%	3%	4%	4%	4%
pandemic	About the same	145	23%	19%	25%	27%	20%	22%	22%	25%
	Somewhat less often	130	21%	19%	21%	23%	18%	20%	22%	19%
	Much less often	298	48%	58%	44%	36%	56%	53%	50%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	-	0%	2%
									l	

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Current downtown visit	More often	45	7%	3%	9%	11%	5%	5%	6%	8%
frequency compared to pre-	Same/(DK/Ref)	152	24%	20%	26%	30%	20%	22%	22%	27%
pandemic	Less often	427	68%	77%	66%	59%	75%	73%	72%	65%
	Net More often	-382	-61	-74	-57	-48	-69	-68	-66	-56
Reasons to visit downtown	Safety/Public safety	155	25%	22%	26%	23%	21%	28%	28%	21%
Seattle more often	Shopping/Retail/Dining/Reopen businesses/Jobs	121	19%	13%	21%	20%	22%	18%	23%	16%
	Fewer homeless/Homelessness	107	17%	19%	17%	20%	18%	14%	14%	20%
	Less crime/More police/Crime/Policing	100	16%	22%	14%	13%	19%	17%	17%	15%
	Activities/Events/Entertainment/Art	88	14%	12%	15%	17%	15%	11%	10%	18%
	Drugs off the street/Drugs	72	12%	8%	13%	12%	14%	10%	12%	11%
	Clean it up/Cleanliness/Trash	62	10%	13%	9%	9%	10%	11%	12%	8%
	Cheaper/more parking/Parking	51	8%	11%	7%	4%	7%	12%	8%	8%
	Improve transportation/Public transit/Buses	49	8%	5%	9%	10%	6%	7%	8%	8%
	Affordability/Less expensive/Cheaper prices/Price	20	3%	4%	3%	5%	4%	1%	2%	4%
	No reason/don't want to go/Not much/Very little	17	3%	3%	3%	1%	6%	3%	2%	3%
	Walkability/Walk/bike access/Pedestrian areas	15	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	1%	3%	2%
	Live here/Go all the time/Resident/Visit often	14	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%
	Personal circumstances/health/finances	9	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%
	Eradicate COVID/Pandemic response/COVID-19	9	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Other	16	2%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	23	4%	5%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%	4%
Duration of residency	<2 years	9	1%	1%	1%	4%	-	-	1%	2%
	2-5 years	111	18%	15%	19%	51%	-	-	7%	29%
	6-10 years	99	16%	14%	16%	45%	-	-	13%	19%
	11-20 years	131	21%	20%	21%	-	100%	-	24%	18%
	>20 years	275	44%	50%	42%	-	-	100%	56%	32%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	219	35%	30%	37%	100%	-	_	20%	50%
· ·	11-20 years	131	21%	20%	21%	-	100%	-	24%	
	>20 years	275					-	100%		

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150		219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	32%	38%	36%	34%	39%	38%	36%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	I			16%	13%	14%	14%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	l		13%	11%	10%	14%	8%
	Independent	69	11%	1		9%	12%	12%	11%	11%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	6%	5%	2%	3%	8%	6%	4%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	3%	2%	2%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%	4%	3%	3%
	Socialist	44	7%	7%	7%	12%	6%	3%	5%	9%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	15%	8%	11%	13%	7%	7%	13%
Party	Socialist	44	7%	7%	7%	12%	6%	3%	5%	9%
	Democrat	388	62%	49%	66%	63%	61%	62%	66%	58%
	Independent	131	21%	34%	17%	20%	25%	20%	18%	24%
	Republican	63	10%	10%	10%	5%	8%	15%	10%	10%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	105	17%	15%	17%	21%	20%	12%	12%	22%
	2	110	18%	15%	18%	20%	15%	17%	18%	17%
	3	141	23%	16%	25%	26%	22%	21%	25%	20%
	4	132	21%	17%	22%	20%	25%	20%	23%	19%
	5	59	9%	16%	7%	4%	7%	15%	11%	8%
	6	17	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%	3%
	7 – Very conservative	21	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	5%	4%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	41	7%	14%	4%	6%	7%	7%	5%	8%
	Mean	584	3.11	3.30	3.06	2.77	2.99	3.44	3.30	2.91
Ideology	Liberal	356	57%	47%	60%	67%	57%	49%	54%	60%
	Moderate	173	28%	31%	27%	25%	32%	27%	28%	27%
	Conservative	97	15%	23%	13%	8%	11%	24%	18%	13%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	35%	55%	29%	57%	63%	100%	_
	Renter	313	50%	65%	45%	71%	43%	37%	-	100%

				Educ	ation	Durat	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	427	68%	   61%	   71%	67%	71%	68%	75%	61%
Letimoley	African American or Black	25	4%		2%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%				10%	4%	3%	9%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%		9%	11%	5%	7%	8%	8%
	Something else	44	7%	3%	8%	7%	3%	9%	5%	9%
	(Refused)	41	7%				6%	8%	5%	8%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	427	68%				71%	68%	75%	61%
	African American or Black	25	4%	1	2%	3%	4%	5%	4%	4%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	10%	5%	7%	10%	4%	3%	9%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%			11%	5%	7%	8%	8%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	85	14%	15%	13%	13%	10%	16%	10%	17%
Ethnicity	White	427	68%	61%	71%	67%	71%	68%	75%	61%
·	POC	156	25%	26%	25%	28%	22%	24%	20%	30%
	(Ref)	41	7%	12%	5%	5%	6%	8%	5%	8%
Age (Replaced)	18-29	118	19%	19%	19%	25%	14%	16%	14%	24%
Age (Neplacea)	30-39	157	25%				27%	9%	17%	34%
	40-49	110	18%				28%	14%	18%	17%
	50-64	125	20%			9%	19%	30%	28%	12%
	65+	115	18%				11%	32%	23%	14%
	40.00							2=0/		
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%				42%	25%	31%	57%
	40+	350	56%	57%	56%	30%	58%	75%	69%	43%
Generation	18-39	275	44%	43%	44%	70%	42%	25%	31%	57%
	40-64	235	38%	41%	37%	24%	47%	43%	46%	29%
	65+	115	18%	17%	19%	6%	11%	32%	23%	14%

				Educ	ation	Durati	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Education	Some grade school	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
	Some high school	5	1%	3%	-	0%	2%	1%	-	2%
	Graduated high school	12	2%	8%	-	1%	2%	2%	0%	4%
	Technical/vocational school	16	3%	10%	-	1%	3%	4%	2%	3%
	Some college/<4-year degree	108	17%	72%	-	18%	14%	18%	14%	21%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	256	41%	-	54%	41%	37%	43%	44%	38%
	Graduate/professional degree	219	35%	-	46%	38%	40%	30%	40%	31%
	(Refused)	10	2%	7%	-	0%	3%	2%	1%	2%
Education	<4-year degree	150	24%	100%	-	21%	23%	27%	17%	31%
	4-year degree+	475	76%	-	100%	79%	77%	73%	83%	69%
Education	Less than college	150	24%	100%	-	21%	23%	27%	17%	31%
	Graduated college	256	41%		54%		37%	43%	44%	38%
	Graduate/professional degree	219	35%	-	46%	38%	40%	30%	40%	31%
Gender	Male	306	49%	53%	48%	51%	50%	47%	47%	51%
	Female	305	49%	43%	1		46%	51%	51%	47%
	Non-binary	10	2%	3%	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%	2%
	(Refused)	4	1%	2%	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%
Region	South	178	29%	35%	26%	28%	29%	29%	33%	24%
	Central	178	29%	24%	30%	35%	29%	23%	21%	36%
	North	269	43%	41%	44%	37%	43%	48%	45%	41%
City Council District	1	97	16%	16%	15%	16%	15%	15%	18%	13%
	2	81	13%	19%	11%	12%	13%	13%	15%	11%
	3	97	16%		15%	20%	16%		11%	
	4	78			1				15%	10%
	5	91	15%		1				14%	15%
	6	100	16%		1				16%	16%
	7	81	13%		l	15%	12%	12%	10%	16%

				Educ	ation	Durati	ion of resi	dency	Home	owner
				<4-year	4-year	1-10	11-20	>20		
		n	%	degree	degree+	years	years	years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		150	475	219	131	275	313	313
Row percent			100%	24%	76%	35%	21%	44%	50%	50%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18)	0-3/6	325	52%	62%	49%	73%	42%	40%	39%	65%
	4-5/6	163	26%	23%	27%	17%	34%	29%	30%	22%
	6/6	138	22%	15%	24%	9%	24%	31%	31%	13%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	142	23%	21%	23%	36%	22%	13%	15%	30%
	M 40-64	110	18%	25%	15%	13%	22%	19%	20%	15%
	M 65+	55	9%	6%	10%	2%	6%	15%	11%	6%
	F 18-39	124	20%	19%	20%	33%	16%	11%	14%	26%
	F 40-64	122	20%	15%	21%	11%	25%	24%	25%	14%
	F 65+	58	9%	9%	9%	3%	5%	16%	12%	7%
	Other	14	2%	4%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%
Party/Gender	D Male	169	27%	24%	28%	31%	27%	24%	27%	27%
	D Female	211	34%	23%	37%	31%	32%	37%	38%	30%
	R/I Male	121	19%	26%	17%	16%	20%	22%	17%	22%
	R/I Female	68	11%	16%	9%	9%	11%	12%	10%	12%
	Other	56	9%	11%	8%	14%	10%	5%	8%	10%

						Gender/G	eneration		
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625	-	142	110		124	122	58
Row percent			100%	23%	18%		20%	20%	9%
QOL Index	Mean	625	4.24	4.10	3.99	3.96	4.63	4.12	4.95
Seattle Right direction/Wrong	Right direction	219	35%	29%	33%	29%	41%	39%	43%
track	Wrong track	382	61%	63%	66%	71%	51%	61%	55%
	(Don't know/Refused)	24	4%	8%	2%	-	8%	-	1%
	Net Right direction	-163	-26	-33	-33	-42	-9	-23	-12
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	301	48%	46%	42%	36%	54%	52%	56%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	87	14%	15%	16%	5%	18%	13%	12%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	81	13%	18%	11%	12%	10%	14%	14%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	73	12%	9%	15%	19%	9%	8%	18%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	65	10%	11%	9%	15%	7%	13%	8%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	44	7%	4%	7%	1%	9%	9%	8%
	Walkability/Transit	34	5%	8%	5%	11%	6%	2%	3%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	30	5%	10%	8%	0%	2%	2%	4%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	29	5%	2%	2%	8%	5%	9%	6%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	16	3%	4%	3%	4%	1%	2%	1%
	Other	13	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	4%	3%
	Nothing/Don't know	17	3%	4%	4%	5%	1%	0%	4%

				Gender/Generation					
			0,1			NA 65	F 40 33	F 40 64	5.65
Number of cases		n 625	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
		625	100%	142 23%	110 18%		124 20%	122 20%	58 9%
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	313	50%	48%	44%	48%	50%	53%	65%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	289	46%	47%	53%	59%	32%	46%	49%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	165	26%	33%	20%	17%	33%	27%	18%
	Taxes	30	5%	1%	9%	5%	2%	5%	9%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	26	4%	7%	3%	2%	4%	4%	0%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	24	4%	5%	3%	12%	3%	2%	2%
	Traffic/Congestion	23	4%	4%	5%	7%	2%	3%	2%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	22	4%	4%	3%	0%	2%	8%	3%
	Public transportation	20	3%	5%	2%	0%	5%	3%	1%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	18	3%	1%	2%	7%	3%	3%	6%
	Growth/Development/Population	13	2%	2%	2%	0%	1%	3%	6%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	12	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	4%	3%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	11	2%	2%	2%	0%	2%	3%	1%
	Jobs/Economy	10	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%	1%	1%
	Other	9	1%	2%	2%	0%	2%	0%	1%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	4	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%
Quality of life in Seattle	Much better	14	2%	6%	1%	-	1%	1%	3%
compared to 4 years ago	Somewhat better	81	13%	12%	15%	17%	11%	14%	12%
	The same	114	18%	21%	16%	13%	26%	11%	19%
	Somewhat worse	217	35%	29%	30%	27%	40%	40%	38%
	Much worse	184	30%	29%	36%	44%	17%	32%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	14	2%	3%	1%	-	5%	1%	-
Quality of life in Seattle	Better	96	15%	18%	16%	17%	12%	15%	15%
compared to 4 years ago	Same/(DK/Ref)	128	20%	24%	17%	13%	31%	12%	19%
	Worse	402	64%	58%	67%	70%	57%	73%	67%
	Net Better	-306	-49	-40	-50	-53	-45	-58	-52
Considered moving out of	Yes	378	61%	65%	64%	60%	59%	62%	43%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	247	39%	35%	36%	40%	41%	38%	57%

				Gender/Generation					
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	110	55	124	122	58
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%
Main reason for considering	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	124	33%	41%	26%	18%	38%	30%	31%
moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	102	27%	22%	32%	32%	18%	31%	34%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	30	8%	6%	13%	20%	3%	7%	7%
	Homelessness	24	6%	7%	4%	7%	7%	5%	8%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	14	4%	8%	1%	-	8%	-	-
	Taxes	13	4%	2%	3%	5%	-	11%	-
	Growth/Development/Space	9	2%	2%	-	8%	1%	5%	-
	Closer to family	9	2%	3%	-	3%	2%	2%	6%
	Declining quality of life	8	2%	2%	3%	4%	3%	-	-
	Weather	7	2%	1%	1%	-	5%	2%	-
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	6	2%	-	4%	-	1%	3%	-
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	5	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	-	7%
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	5	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	-
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	-	3%	1%	-	1%	4%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	4	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	-
	Other	14	4%	3%	4%	2%	7%	2%	3%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Still actively considering moving	Yes	308	82%	84%	81%	84%	77%	82%	80%
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	70			19%	16%	23%	18%	20%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	308	49%	55%	52%	50%	46%	50%	34%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	70	11%	10%	12%	9%	14%	11%	9%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	247	39%	35%	36%	40%	41%	38%	57%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	130	21%	22%	19%	15%	24%	16%	33%
future of this region	Somewhat agree	232	37%	34%	33%	36%	44%	40%	34%
Ĭ	Somewhat disagree	148	24%		26%	27%	18%	23%	25%
	Strongly disagree	108	17%		23%	21%	9%	21%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%		-	-	4%	-	3%

				Gender/Generation					
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	110	55	124	122	58
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%
Agree: A thriving downtown	Strongly agree	372	59%	54%	65%	74%	52%	58%	72%
Seattle is critical to our region's	Somewhat agree	184	29%	31%	27%	22%	30%	35%	23%
economic recovery	Somewhat disagree	48	8%	10%	5%	2%	12%	7%	3%
	Strongly disagree	17	3%	3%	3%	2%	6%	-	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	-
Agree: I'm worried about the	Strongly agree	309	49%	50%	53%	62%	43%	52%	42%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	192	31%	25%	29%	31%	31%	33%	43%
	Somewhat disagree	85	14%	17%	11%	7%	18%	13%	10%
	Strongly disagree	36	6%	8%	7%	-	7%	3%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	2%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	216	35%	34%	28%	24%	45%	35%	35%
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	236	38%	41%	39%	34%	36%	39%	34%
day	Somewhat disagree	109	17%	15%	22%	26%	12%	19%	17%
	Strongly disagree	62	10%	10%	11%	16%	5%	7%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	61	10%	11%	11%	2%	11%	9%	8%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	148	24%	26%	21%	20%	30%	20%	26%
_	Somewhat disagree	171	27%	25%	27%	25%	23%	35%	27%
	Strongly disagree	243	39%	36%	42%	52%	37%	36%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	436	70%	64%	73%	79%	62%	69%	86%
cannot fully recover until the	Somewhat agree	115	18%	18%	16%	21%	26%	17%	8%
homelessness and public safety	Somewhat disagree	50	8%	13%	10%	-	6%	9%	3%
problems are addressed	Strongly disagree	19	3%	3%	1%	-	4%	4%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	-

				Gender/Generation					
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	110	55	124	122	58
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%
Agree: I support the building of a	Strongly agree	244	39%	45%	39%	26%	52%	33%	20%
wider variety of housing in my	Somewhat agree	185	30%	29%	27%	24%	28%	38%	27%
neighborhood	Somewhat disagree	97	16%	16%	14%	32%	9%	14%	21%
	Strongly disagree	95	15%	9%	18%	19%	11%	15%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle	Strongly agree	25	4%	4%	3%	4%	4%	6%	4%
to spend my tax dollars	Somewhat agree	166	27%	27%	22%	16%	33%	25%	39%
responsibly	Somewhat disagree	174	28%	24%	29%	35%	34%	26%	20%
	Strongly disagree	256	41%	45%	46%	45%	27%	43%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	-	-	2%	-	-
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	111	18%	20%	23%	17%	22%	11%	8%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	250	40%	37%	35%	34%	47%	44%	44%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	159	25%	30%	25%	30%	18%	23%	31%
	Strongly disagree	100	16%	13%	17%	19%	11%	21%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	-
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	230	37%	33%	36%	35%	43%	32%	49%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	227	36%	33%	36%	32%	39%	44%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	99	16%	20%	15%	24%	8%	16%	14%
	Strongly disagree	67	11%	14%	13%	8%	9%	8%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	-
Agree: I trust the Seattle City	Strongly agree	44	7%	5%	7%	6%	10%	4%	13%
Council to reform the Seattle	Somewhat agree	165	26%	22%	28%	23%	26%	26%	39%
Police Department without	Somewhat disagree	193	31%	31%	31%	30%	29%	34%	32%
endangering public safety	Strongly disagree	211	34%	39%	33%	40%	31%	36%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	2%	2%	-	4%	-	1%

				Gender/Generation						
			0/	N4 4 0 2 0	NA 40 C4	NA CE .	F 40 20	F 40 C4	F. C.F.	
Number of cases		n 625	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
		625	1000/	142	110			122	58 9%	
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%	
Agree: Hiring more police	Strongly agree	292	47%	39%	58%	82%	32%	43%	53%	
officers should be an immediate	Somewhat agree	138	22%	25%	20%	13%	15%	28%	34%	
priority for the City	Somewhat disagree	89	14%	19%	11%	2%	19%	16%	8%	
	Strongly disagree	100	16%	16%	11%	2%	33%	13%	4%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	
Agree: I trust that the city has an	Strongly agree	19	3%	1%	2%	4%	6%	2%	5%	
effective plan to address the	Somewhat agree	90	14%	10%	13%	14%	17%	14%	27%	
•	Somewhat disagree	217	35%	38%	32%		33%	38%	30%	
homelessness, affordability, and	Strongly disagree	295	47%	49%	53%	47%	43%	46%	38%	
public safety	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	-	
Agree: The City of Seattle has	Strongly agree	297	47%	48%	60%	47%	38%	45%	45%	
enough money to address	Somewhat agree	201	32%	28%	27%		39%	32%	36%	
important priorities; they just	Somewhat disagree	91	15%	18%	9%		17%	15%	12%	
need to spend it more	Strongly disagree	27	4%	4%	5%		3%	7%	7%	
effectively	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	2%	-	-	4%	1%	-	
Agree: The City of Seattle should	Strongly agree	343	55%	55%	57%	71%	52%	50%	54%	
focus on the funding the basics	Somewhat agree	222	36%	33%	32%		41%	39%	37%	
like filling potholes, maintaining	Somewhat disagree	44	7%	9%	9%		4%	8%	8%	
parks, and addressing public	Strongly disagree	14	2%	3%	2%		2%	3%	1%	
safety	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	
Agree: We should allow the	Strongly agree	168	27%	36%	31%	24%	27%	20%	12%	
construction of more retail and	Somewhat agree	207	33%	27%	40%		34%	20% 37%	31%	
commercial spaces in my	Somewhat disagree	137	22%	27%	10%		13%	29%	29%	
neighborhood	Strongly disagree	111	18%	9%	18%			14%	27%	
HIEIRIDOHIOOG	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	10/0	1%		14/0	1%	
	, ,								,,,,	

			Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	110	55	124	122	58
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	362	58%	56%	51%	51%	68%	56%	67%
future of this region	Disagree	256	41%	44%	49%	49%	28%	44%	30%
	(DK/Ref)	8	1%	0%	-	-	4%	-	3%
	Net Agree	+106	+17	+12	+2	+3	+41	+12	+36
Agree: A thriving downtown	Agree	556	89%	86%	92%	96%	82%	93%	95%
Seattle is critical to our region's	Disagree	65	10%	12%	8%	4%	18%	7%	5%
economic recovery	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	+491	+79	+74	+84	+92	+64	+86	+90
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	501	80%	75%	82%	93%	74%	84%	85%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	121	19%	24%	18%	7%	26%	16%	14%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	_	-	_	-	2%
	Net Agree	+380	+61	+50	+64	+85	+48	+69	+71
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	452	72%	75%	67%	58%	81%	74%	69%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	171	27%	25%	33%	42%	17%	26%	31%
day	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
,	Net Agree	+281	+45	+50	+34	+16	+64	+47	+38
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	209	33%	37%	32%	23%	40%	30%	34%
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	414	66%	62%			60%	l	66%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	2%		-	_	_	_
	Net Agree	-205		-25		-55	-19	-41	-32
Agree: Downtown Seattle	Agree	551	88%	82%	89%	100%	88%	86%	94%
cannot fully recover until the	Disagree	68					10%	l	6%
homelessness and public safety	(DK/Ref)	6				_	2%	l	-
problems are addressed	Net Agree	+482	+77	+66		-	+78	l	+87

			Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	110	55	124	122	58
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%
Agree: I support the building of a	Agree	429	69%	74%	67%	50%	80%	71%	47%
wider variety of housing in my	Disagree	192	31%	25%	32%	50%	20%	29%	51%
neighborhood	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	+236	+38	+48	+35	-1	+61	+43	-4
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle	Agree	191	31%	30%	25%	20%	37%	30%	43%
to spend my tax dollars	Disagree	430	69%	69%	75%	80%	61%	70%	57%
responsibly	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	-	-	2%	-	-
	Net Agree	-239	-38	-38	-51	-60	-25	-40	-14
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	361	58%	57%	58%	51%	68%	56%	51%
growth and development has	Disagree	258	41%	43%	42%	49%	29%	44%	49%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	_
,	Net Agree	+103	+16	+14	+16	+2	+39	+12	+3
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	457	73%	66%	72%	68%	82%	76%	79%
Seattleite	Disagree	166	27%	34%	28%	32%	17%	24%	21%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	-	-	1%		-
	Net Agree	+291	+47	+32	+43	+35	+65	+51	+58
Agree: I trust the Seattle City	Agree	209	33%	27%	35%	29%	36%	30%	52%
Council to reform the Seattle	Disagree	404	65%	70%	63%	71%	60%		47%
Police Department without	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	2%	-	4%	_	1%
endangering public safety	Net Agree	-195		-43	-28	-42	1	-40	+6
Agree: Hiring more police	Agree	430	69%	63%	77%	96%	47%	71%	88%
officers should be an immediate	<del>-</del>	189	30%	35%	22%	4%	52%	1	12%
priority for the City	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	_	2%	1%	-
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Net Agree	+241	+39	+28	+55	+91		I	+76

				Gender/Generation						
			0/	1440.00	140.64	NA 65 :	E 40 20	F 40 64	5.65	
Number of cases		n 625	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
		625	1000/	142	110	55 0%	124	122	58 9%	
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%	
Agree: I trust that the city has an	Agree	109	17%	11%	15%	17%	23%	17%	32%	
effective plan to address the	Disagree	512	82%	87%	85%	83%	76%	83%	68%	
critical issues facing our city like	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	-	
homelessness, affordability, and	Net Agree	-403	-64	-77	-71	-65	-54	-67	-35	
public safety										
Agree: The City of Seattle has	Agree	498	80%	76%	86%	86%	76%	77%	81%	
enough money to address	Disagree	118	19%	22%	14%	14%	20%	22%	19%	
important priorities; they just	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	2%	-	-	4%	1%	-	
need to spend it more	Net Agree	+380	+61	+54	+73	+73	+56	+55	+61	
effectively										
Agree: The City of Seattle should	Agree	565	90%	88%	89%	99%	93%	88%	91%	
focus on the funding the basics	Disagree	58	9%	12%	11%	1%	6%	11%	9%	
like filling potholes, maintaining	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	
parks, and addressing public	Net Agree	+507	+81	+76	+78	+98	+86	+77	+82	
safety										
Agree: We should allow the	Agree	375	60%	63%	72%	51%	62%	58%	43%	
construction of more retail and	Disagree	248	40%	36%	28%	48%	38%	42%	56%	
commercial spaces in my	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	
neighborhood	Net Agree	+127	+20	+27	+44	+3	+24	+15	-13	
Impact: Closing encampments in	1 – Very little impact	40	6%	10%	2%	_	10%	5%	1%	
parks, on sidewalks, and on	2	33	5%	6%	3%	_	11%	3%	7%	
other public right of ways	3	33	5%	6%	4%	_	6%	5%	4%	
James Parame 1.8.1.6.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.	4	56	9%	8%	7%	2%	15%	8%	9%	
	5	53	8%	9%	10%	8%	8%	10%	3%	
	6	62	10%	11%	9%	9%	8%	12%	10%	
	7 – Very significant impact	348	56%	50%	64%	81%	41%	56%	65%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	
	Mean	624	5.61	5.32	6.05	6.69		5.74	5.94	

				Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		n 625	70	142	110			122	58	
Row percent		023	100%		18%			20%	9%	
now percent			10070	2570	10/0	370	2070	2070	370	
Impact: Helping local businesses	1 – Very little impact	14	2%	3%	0%	3%	3%	3%	-	
deal with public safety concerns 2			2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	
	3	19	3%	4%	3%	-	6%	1%	2%	
	4	59	9%	10%	10%	5%	16%	6%	7%	
	5	110	18%	17%	19%	15%	19%	17%	21%	
	6	106	17%	19%	10%	23%	17%	21%	12%	
	7 – Very significant impact	306	49%	45%	57%	52%	38%	51%	58%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	
	Mean	624	5.85	5.72	6.05	6.08	5.48	6.02	6.18	
Impact: Addressing property	1 – Very little impact	23	4%	5%	0%	-	7%	4%	1%	
crime like theft and car break-ins		21	3%	2%	2%	-	7%	6%	2%	
	3	33	5%	6%	4%	2%	6%	4%	6%	
	4	51	8%	13%	7%	1%	10%	8%	4%	
	5	83	13%	14%	12%	6%	14%	16%	11%	
	6	88	14%	13%	15%	19%	11%	16%	14%	
	7 – Very significant impact	324	52%	45%	60%	72%	44%	46%	62%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	
	Mean	624	5.74	5.51	6.15	6.57	5.30	5.61	6.11	
Impact: Reducing carbon	1 – Very little impact	116	19%	24%	27%	29%	10%	11%	15%	
emissions and climate pollution	2	68	11%	10%	14%		12%	6%	5%	
·	3	60	10%	9%	9%	11%	7%	11%	14%	
	4	97	16%	15%	12%	26%	17%	18%	7%	
	5	91	15%	14%	16%	9%	17%	14%	21%	
	6	69	11%	7%	13%	3%	11%	16%	15%	
	7 – Very significant impact	123	20%	20%	9%	3%	27%	24%	22%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	_	_	-	-	1%	-	
	Mean	624	4.09	3.86	3.49	2.89	4.58	4.63	4.48	

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		625	70	142	110			122	58	
Row percent			100%	23%	18%			20%	9%	
Impact: Maintaining bridges and	1 – Very little impact	9	2%	3%	2%	-	3%	-	-	
infrastructure	2	9	1%	2%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	
	3	33	5%	5%	5%	2%	10%	4%	3%	
	4	78	13%	14%	12%	16%	10%	14%	9%	
	5	157	25%	23%	25%	18%	31%	23%	27%	
	6	119	19%	19%	22%	30%	12%	18%	19%	
	7 – Very significant impact	219	35%	34%	33%	34%	34%	39%	40%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Mean	625	5.56	5.44	5.54	5.77	5.38	5.67	5.77	
Impact: Addressing racially	1 – Very little impact	94	15%	20%	23%	20%	7%	11%	9%	
biased policing	2	38	6%	6%	7%	12%	5%	4%	5%	
	3	40	6%	6%	9%	15%	4%	7%	1%	
	4	66	11%	14%	11%	6%	6%	12%	11%	
	5	97	15%	14%	21%	23%	17%	14%	6%	
	6	89	14%	16%	7%	10%	17%	18%	15%	
	7 – Very significant impact	201	32%	24%	22%	14%	44%	35%	53%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	
	Mean	624	4.77	4.43	4.08	3.89	5.51	5.07	5.59	
Impact: Making Seattle a good	1 – Very little impact	22	4%	3%	7%	-	4%	3%	1%	
place to do business	2	25	4%	4%	2%	-	5%	4%	8%	
	3	29	5%	7%	3%	-	6%	5%	3%	
	4	71	11%	12%	8%	8%	18%	10%	4%	
	5	123	20%	19%	22%	17%	23%	21%	15%	
	6	106	17%	17%	11%	31%	12%	19%	19%	
	7 – Very significant impact	246	39%	39%	46%	44%	30%	38%	50%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	
	Mean	623	5.49	5.46	5.54	6.12	5.11	5.50	5.80	

				Gender/Generation					
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625	,,,	142	110			122	58
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%
Impact: Addressing violent crir	ne 1 – Very little impact	15	2%	1%	3%	-	5%	1%	3%
and gun violence	2	13	2%	3%	0%	-	4%	3%	-
	3	24	4%		4%			3%	3%
	4	59	9%	15%	11%			8%	6%
	5	87	14%	15%	10%	7%		12%	10%
	6	84	13%	13%	17%		13%	14%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	341	55%	48%	55%	78%	40%	59%	67%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	2%
	Mean	623	5.90	5.73	5.96	6.63	5.43	6.04	6.24
Impact: Building more affordate	ole 1 – Very little impact	36	6%	5%	9%	7%	5%	5%	4%
housing	2	26	4%	4%	10%	6%	1%	4%	-
	3	47	7%	6%	5%	9%	7%	9%	9%
	4	83	13%	13%	12%	21%	10%	13%	16%
	5	91	15%	15%	19%	20%	12%	12%	12%
	6	82	13%	13%	11%	16%	12%	18%	8%
	7 – Very significant impact	260	42%	44%	34%	22%	52%	39%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.32	5.45	4.94	4.77	5.69	5.30	5.54
Impact: Hiring more police	1 – Very little impact	78	12%	11%	10%	2%	25%	10%	5%
officers	2	52	8%	11%	6%	1%	12%	9%	3%
	3	42	7%	8%	5%	1%	9%	8%	3%
	4	66	11%	14%	9%	4%	10%	13%	12%
	5	91	15%	17%	10%	11%	15%	15%	19%
	6	68	11%	7%	17%	15%	7%	12%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	226	36%	32%	43%	66%	20%	33%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	_	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Mean	623	4.84	4.61	5.28	6.29	3.83	4.81	5.58

				Gender/Generation						
Ni walion of accord		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		625	1000/	142	110	55	124	122	58	
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%	
Impact: Closing encampments in	1-3 Little Impact	105	17%	22%	9%	-	27%	14%	13%	
parks, on sidewalks, and on	4/(DK)	57	9%	8%	7%	2%	16%	8%	9%	
other public right of ways	5-7 Significant Impact	463	74%	70%	84%	98%	57%	78%	78%	
Impact: Helping local businesses	1-3 Little Impact	44	7%	9%	4%	4%	11%	5%	2%	
deal with public safety concerns		60	10%	10%	10%	5%	16%	6%	7%	
, ,	5-7 Significant Impact	521	83%	80%	86%	91%	74%	89%	91%	
Impact: Addressing property	1-3 Little Impact	77	12%	13%	6%	2%	20%	14%	9%	
crime like theft and car break-ins	·	52	8%	13%	7%	1%	11%	8%	4%	
	5-7 Significant Impact	496	79%	73%	88%	97%	70%	78%	87%	
Impact: Reducing carbon	1-3 Little Impact	244	39%	44%	50%	59%	29%	27%	35%	
emissions and climate pollution	4/(DK)	98	16%	15%	12%	26%	17%	19%	7%	
	5-7 Significant Impact	283	45%	41%	38%	15%	54%	53%	58%	
Impact: Maintaining bridges and	1-3 Little Impact	51	8%	10%	8%	2%	13%	6%	5%	
infrastructure	4/(DK)	78	13%	14%	12%	16%	10%	14%	9%	
	5-7 Significant Impact	495	79%	76%	80%	82%	77%	79%	86%	
Impact: Addressing racially	1-3 Little Impact	172	27%	32%	39%	46%	15%	22%	15%	
biased policing	4/(DK)	67	11%	14%	11%	6%	7%	12%	11%	
	5-7 Significant Impact	387	62%	55%	50%	47%	78%	66%	75%	
Impact: Making Seattle a good	1-3 Little Impact	77	12%	13%	12%	-	15%	12%	12%	
place to do business	4/(DK)	73	12%	12%	8%	8%	20%	10%	4%	
	5-7 Significant Impact	476	l	74%	79%	92%	65%	78%	84%	
Impact: Addressing violent crime	1-3 Little Impact	52	8%	8%	7%	2%	15%	7%	5%	
and gun violence	4/(DK)	61	10%	15%	11%	1%	9%	8%	7%	
	5-7 Significant Impact	512	82%	77%	82%	97%	76%	85%	87%	

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		625		142	110	55	124	122	58	
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%	
Impact: Building more affordable 1-3 Little Impact		109	17%	15%	23%	21%	14%	19%	14%	
housing	4/(DK)	83	13%	13%	12%	21%		13%		
	5-7 Significant Impact	433	69%	72%	64%	58%	77%	68%	70%	
Impact: Hiring more police	1-3 Little Impact	172	27%	31%	21%	4%	46%	27%	11%	
officers	4/(DK)	69	11%	14%	9%	4%	12%	13%		
	5-7 Significant Impact	385	62%	55%	71%	92%	42%	60%	77%	
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	206	33%	32%	39%	33%	26%	34%	36%	
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	171	27%	22%	25%	44%	28%	27%	26%	
	Too low	65	10%	14%	14%	-	10%	8%	10%	
	About right	178	29%	31%	22%	23%	34%	31%	27%	
	(Don't know)	4	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	378	60%	54%	64%	77%	54%	61%	62%	
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	182	29%	32%	22%	23%	36%	31%	28%	
	Too low	65	10%	14%	14%	-	10%	8%	10%	
	Net Too high	+313	+50	+41	+50	-	+44	+53	+51	
Safety in your neighborhood	Much less safe	191	30%	33%	33%	30%	21%	36%	25%	
compared to 2 years ago	Somewhat less safe	160	26%	22%	23%	33%	29%	23%	27%	
	More safe	60	10%	11%	10%	5%	9%	11%	10%	
	(About the same)	213	34%	34%	33%	32%	39%	30%	38%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	
Safety in your neighborhood	Less safe	350	56%	55%	57%	63%	51%	59%	52%	
compared to 2 years ago	(About the same/DK)	215	34%	35%	33%	32%	40%	30%	38%	
	More safe	60	10%	11%	10%	5%	9%	11%	10%	
	Net Less safe	+290	+46	+44	+47	+58	+42	+48	+42	

			Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		142	110	55		122	58
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%		20%	9%
City budget deficit preference:	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	473	76%	69%	74%	85%	72%	81%	85%
Maintain spending/Increase	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	141	23%	29%	24%	15%	26%	18%	15%
taxes vs. Support	(Both)	2	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	-
vulnerable/Focus on basics	(Neither)	8	1%	0%	3%	-	2%	2%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-
Transportation preference:	Maintain existing infrastructure	431	69%	61%	73%	87%	60%	73%	80%
Maintain existing infrastructure	Bike/pedestrian amenities/Safer streets	188	30%	39%	27%	13%	37%	27%	18%
vs. Bike/pedestrian	(Both)	5	1%	-	-	-	3%	0%	2%
amenities/Safer streets	(Neither)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
City budget deficit preference:	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	473	76%	69%	74%	85%	72%	81%	85%
Maintain spending/Increase	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	141	23%	29%	24%	15%	26%	18%	15%
taxes vs. Support	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	2%	3%	-	2%	2%	-
vulnerable/Focus on basics	Net Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	+333	+53	+41	+50	+70	+46	+63	+69
Transportation preference:	Maintain existing infrastructure	431	69%	61%	73%	87%	60%	73%	80%
Maintain existing infrastructure	Bike/pedestrian amenities/Safer streets	188	30%	39%	27%	13%	37%	27%	18%
vs. Bike/pedestrian	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	6	1%	-	-	-	4%	0%	2%
amenities/Safer streets	Net Maintain existing infrastructure	+243	+39	+22	+45	+73	+23	+46	+62
Concern about personal financial	Very concerned	171	27%	28%	28%	21%	26%	30%	27%
situation given current economic	Somewhat concerned	222	36%	29%	35%	28%	42%	40%	34%
conditions	Not too concerned	153	25%	27%	25%	35%	23%	19%	26%
	Not at all concerned	78	12%	16%	12%	16%	10%	11%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concern about personal financial	Concerned	393	63%	57%	63%	49%	68%	70%	60%
situation given current economic	Not concerned	232	37%	43%	37%	51%	32%	30%	40%
conditions	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Concerned	+162	+26	+14	+27	-2	+36	+41	+21

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		625		142	110	55	124	122	58	
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%	
Downtown Seattle economy	1 – Very pessimistic	110	18%	22%	17%	22%	15%	16%	11%	
sentiment	2	187	30%	28%	32%	37%	28%	27%	31%	
	3	236	38%	35%	39%	24%	41%	39%	47%	
	4	74	12%	12%	10%	14%	13%	14%	8%	
	5 – Very optimistic	14	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	
	Mean	620	2.51	2.43	2.45	2.38	2.57	2.61	2.60	
Downtown Seattle economy	1-2 Pessimistic	297	48%	50%	49%	60%	44%	43%	42%	
sentiment	3/(DK)	241	38%	36%	40%	24%	42%	39%	47%	
	4-5 Optimistic	87	14%	14%	11%	17%	14%	17%	11%	
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Once a week or more	164	26%	22%	31%	32%	26%	25%	25%	
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	240	38%	39%	42%	41%	29%	42%	38%	
	A few times a year	131	21%	19%	17%	16%	26%	21%	31%	
	Rarely	58	9%	10%	8%	8%	11%	9%	6%	
	Never	22	4%	7%	1%	4%	4%	2%	-	
	(Refused)	10	2%	3%	1%	-	4%	-	-	
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Weekly	164	26%	22%	31%	32%	26%	25%	25%	
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	240	38%	39%	42%	41%	29%	42%	38%	
	Less often	221	35%	39%	27%	27%	44%	33%	37%	
Current downtown visit	Much more often	19	3%	3%	3%	_	5%	2%	2%	
frequency compared to pre-	Somewhat more often	26	4%	4%	3%	5%	5%	5%	2%	
pandemic	About the same	145	23%	21%	24%		27%	20%	30%	
	Somewhat less often	130	21%	22%	20%	15%	22%	22%	20%	
	Much less often	298	48%	47%	51%	59%	39%	51%	45%	
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	2%	-	-	3%	-	-	

				Gender/Generation						
			%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		625	70	142	110	55	124	122	58	
Row percent		023	100%			9%	20%	20%	9%	
Now percent			10070	2570	1070	370	2070	2070	370	
Current downtown visit	More often	45	7%	8%	6%	5%	10%	7%	4%	
frequency compared to pre-	Same/(DK/Ref)	152	24%	23%	24%	21%	30%	20%	30%	
pandemic	Less often	427	68%	69%	71%	74%	60%	73%	66%	
	Net More often	-382	-61	-62	-65	-69	-51	-66	-62	
Reasons to visit downtown	Safety/Public safety	155	25%	24%	29%	28%	14%	34%	20%	
Seattle more often	Shopping/Retail/Dining/Reopen businesses/Jobs	121	19%	18%	16%	24%	22%	20%	20%	
	Fewer homeless/Homelessness	107	17%	16%	23%	20%	16%	12%	16%	
	Less crime/More police/Crime/Policing	100	16%	13%	22%	25%	8%	18%	19%	
	Activities/Events/Entertainment/Art	88	14%	19%	9%	2%	19%	12%	16%	
	Drugs off the street/Drugs	72	12%	10%	10%	16%	15%	10%	8%	
	Clean it up/Cleanliness/Trash	62	10%	12%	6%	9%	11%	11%	10%	
	Cheaper/more parking/Parking	51	8%	4%	14%	6%	7%	9%	10%	
	Improve transportation/Public transit/Buses	49	8%	9%	5%	5%	12%	6%	10%	
	Affordability/Less expensive/Cheaper prices/Price	20	3%	2%	3%	0%	5%	4%	2%	
	No reason/don't want to go/Not much/Very little	17	3%	4%	3%	6%	2%	2%	0%	
	Walkability/Walk/bike access/Pedestrian areas	15	2%	4%	3%	0%	4%	1%	0%	
	Live here/Go all the time/Resident/Visit often	14	2%	1%	4%	1%	3%	3%	0%	
	Personal circumstances/health/finances	9	1%	1%	0%	1%	4%	0%	3%	
	Eradicate COVID/Pandemic response/COVID-19	9	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	4%	
	Other	16	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	4%	0%	
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	23	4%	5%	3%	4%	4%	3%	0%	
Duration of residency	<2 years	9	1%	3%	-	-	2%	-	4%	
	2-5 years	111	18%	29%	10%	3%	31%	12%	3%	
	6-10 years	99	16%	23%	15%	7%	26%	9%	4%	
	11-20 years	131	21%	20%	27%	14%	17%	26%	12%	
	>20 years	275	44%	25%	48%	77%	25%	53%	77%	
Duration of residency	1-10 years	219	35%	55%	25%	10%	58%	20%	10%	
,	11-20 years	131	21%	20%	27%	14%	17%	26%	12%	
	>20 years	275	44%			77%			77%	

				Gender/Generation						
			0/	N4 4 0 3 0	NA 40 66	NA 65 :	F 40 30	F 40.64	F.C.F.	
Number of cases		n 625	%	M 18-39 142	M 40-64 110	M 65+	F 18-39 124	F 40-64 122	F 65+ 58	
		625	100%	23%	18%	55 9%	20%	20%	58 9%	
Row percent			100%	25%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%	
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	30%	33%	26%	45%	38%	54%	
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	13%	10%	11%	18%	20%	8%	
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	14%	10%	19%	4%	12%	12%	
	Independent	69	11%	13%	18%	14%	4%	10%	9%	
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	6%	4%	13%	-	4%	9%	
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	
	Strong Republican	19	3%	2%	7%	11%	1%	-	-	
	Socialist	44	7%	9%	3%	2%	14%	5%	3%	
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	11%	13%	2%	11%	10%	3%	
Party	Socialist	44	7%	9%	3%	2%	14%	5%	3%	
	Democrat	388	62%	56%	53%	55%	67%	69%	74%	
	Independent	131	21%	24%	31%	16%	15%	20%	13%	
	Republican	63	10%	11%	12%	27%	4%	6%	11%	
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	105	17%	15%	11%	9%	28%	14%	18%	
	2	110	18%	16%	17%	12%	25%	15%	17%	
	3	141	23%	23%	21%	25%	19%	24%	26%	
	4	132	21%	25%	23%	21%	12%	28%	15%	
	5	59	9%	7%	9%	19%	5%	12%	11%	
	6	17	3%	3%	3%	6%	2%	1%	3%	
	7 – Very conservative	21	3%	3%	7%	4%	4%	1%	-	
	(Don't know/Refused)	41	7%	8%	10%	2%	4%	4%	9%	
	Mean	584	3.11	3.17	3.43	3.66	2.62	3.17	2.93	
Ideology	Liberal	356	57%	54%	48%	47%	73%	53%	61%	
	Moderate	173	28%	32%	33%	23%	16%	33%	25%	
	Conservative	97	15%	14%	19%	30%	11%	14%	14%	
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	33%	57%	65%	35%	65%	62%	
	Renter	313	50%		43%	35%	65%	35%	38%	

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		625	,,,	142	110			122	58	
Row percent			100%	23%	18%		20%	20%	9%	
									2-01	
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	427	68%		57%			69%	85%	
	African American or Black	25	4%		4%		5%	5%	2%	
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%		12%		6%	5%	3%	
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	8%	8%		9%	9%	4%	
	Something else	44	7%	8%	8%		8%	6%	2%	
	(Refused)	41	7%	4%	10%	8%	4%	8%	4%	
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	427	68%	76%	57%	63%	68%	69%	85%	
	African American or Black	25	4%		4%	8%	5%	5%	2%	
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%		12%	5%		5%	3%	
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	8%	8%		9%	9%	4%	
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	85	14%					13%	6%	
Ethnicity	White	427	68%		57%		68%	69%	85%	
	POC	156	25%		33%			24%	11%	
	(Ref)	41	7%	4%	10%	8%	4%	8%	4%	
Age (Replaced)	18-29	118	19%	46%	-	-	39%	-	-	
	30-39	157	25%	54%	-	-	61%	-	-	
	40-49	110	18%	-	47%	-	-	47%	-	
	50-64	125	20%	_	53%	-	_	53%	-	
	65+	115	18%		-	100%	-	-	100%	
Tura Aga Calit	18-39	275	44%	100%			100%			
Two-Age Split		I			1000/	1000/	100%	1000/	1000/	
	40+	350	56%	_	100%	100%	_	100%	100%	
Generation	18-39	275	44%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-	
	40-64	235	38%	-	100%	-	-	100%	-	
	65+	115	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%	

Number of cases   G25	
Number of cases   625	65+
Row percent   100%   23%   18%   9%   20%   20%	58
Some high school   5   1%   1%   1%   - 2%   -	9%
Some high school   5   1%   1%   1%   - 2%   -	_
Graduated high school   12   2%   1%   2%   2%   2%   2%   2%	_
Technical/vocational school   16   3%   4%   2%   3%   1%   1%   1%   Some college/<4-year degree   108   17%   15%   26%   12%   18%   14%   14%   15%   26%   12%   18%   14%   14%   15%   26%   12%   18%   14%   14%   15%   26%   12%   18%   14%   14%   15%   26%   12%   18%   14%   14%   15%   15%   26%   12%   18%   14%   14%   15%	_
Some college/<4-year degree   108   17%   15%   26%   12%   18%   14%   14%   15%   26%   12%   18%   14%   14%   15%   26%   12%   18%   14%   14%   15%   26%   12%   18%   14%	6%
Graduated college/4-year degree 256 41% 49% 37% 46% 40% 37% 45% (Refused) 219 35% 28% 29% 37% 37% 45% (Refused) 10 2% 2% 3% - 1% 1% 23% 18% 4-year degree 475 76% 77% 66% 83% 77% 82% Education Less than college 576 41% 49% 37% 46% 40% 37% Graduate/professional degree 219 35% 28% 29% 37% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 46% 40% 37% 45% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60	16%
Graduate/professional degree   219   35%   28%   29%   37%   37%   45%	34%
(Refused)       10       2%       2%       3%       -       -       1%         Education       <4-year degree	43%
4-year degree+	2%
4-year degree+	23%
Graduated college       256       41%       49%       37%       46%       40%       37%         Graduate/professional degree       219       35%       28%       29%       37%       37%       45%	77%
Graduated college       256       41%       49%       37%       46%       40%       37%         Graduate/professional degree       219       35%       28%       29%       37%       37%       45%	23%
	34%
Gender Male 306 49% 100% 100% 100%	43%
	-
Female 305 49% 100% 100%	100%
Non-binary 10 2%	-
(Refused) 4 1%	-
Region South 178 29% 28% 29% 27% 24% 34%	31%
Central 178 29% 32% 26% 35% 30% 27%	19%
North 269 43% 40% 45% 38% 46% 39%	50%
City Council District 1 97 16% 13% 20% 16% 10% 18%	22%
2 81 13% 15% 9% 11% 14% 16%	9%
3 97 16% 20% 14% 17% 15% 15%	9%
4 78 13% 11% 12% 11% 13% 13%	16%
5 91 15% 15% 18% 10% 11% 16%	16%
6   100   16%   15%   17%   22%   11%	17%
7 81 13% 12% 13% 15% 15% 11%	10%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	
Number of cases		625		142	110	55	124	122	58	
Row percent			100%	23%	18%	9%	20%	20%	9%	
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18)	0-3/6	325	52%	70%	50%	18%	72%	43%	18%	
	4-5/6	163	26%	24%	31%	30%	25%	26%	23%	
	6/6	138	22%	6%	20%	52%	3%	31%	59%	
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	142	23%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	
	M 40-64	110	18%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	
	M 65+	55	9%	-	-	100%	-	-	-	
	F 18-39	124	20%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	
	F 40-64	122	20%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	
	F 65+	58	9%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	
	Other	14	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Party/Gender	D Male	169	27%	56%	53%	55%	_	-	-	
	D Female	211	34%		-	-	67%	69%	74%	
	R/I Male	121	19%	35%	44%	43%	-	-	-	
	R/I Female	68	11%	_	-	-	19%	26%	23%	
	Other	56	9%	9%	3%	2%	14%	5%	3%	

					Pa	arty/Gende	er			Region	
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625	,,,	169	211	121	68		178		
Row percent		323	100%	27%	34%	19%			29%	29%	43%
QOL Index	Mean	625	4.24	4.88	4.85	2.74	3.43	4.28	3.97	4.66	4.14
Seattle Right direction/Wrong	Right direction	219	35%	46%	48%	8%	20%	29%	33%	41%	32%
track	Wrong track	382	61%	50%	49%	88%	75%	66%	64%	54%	64%
	(Don't know/Refused)	24	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%	3%	5%	4%
	Net Right direction	-163	-26	-4	-0	-80	-55	-37	-31	-13	-32
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	301	48%	50%	58%	33%	44%	45%	44%	46%	53%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	87	14%	14%	16%	14%	8%	14%	12%	15%	14%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	81	13%	15%	13%	13%	8%	9%	12%	16%	12%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	73	12%	8%	10%	19%	15%	8%	12%	7%	14%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	65	10%	16%	9%	3%	11%	15%	9%	14%	9%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	44	7%	7%	10%	2%	6%	9%	7%	8%	6%
	Walkability/Transit	34	5%	10%	3%	2%	3%	11%	4%	8%	4%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	30	5%	6%	2%	10%	4%	1%	7%	4%	4%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	29	5%	3%	6%	2%	9%	5%	5%	3%	6%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	16	3%	0%	1%	8%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%
	Other	13	2%	3%	3%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%
	Nothing/Don't know	17	3%	1%	0%	9%	4%	3%	3%	3%	3%

					Р	arty/Gend	er		Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625	70	169	211	121					269
Row percent		023	100%		34%	19%			1		43%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	313	50%	51%	53%	43%	   58%	41%	49%	48%	   52%
_	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	289	46%	45%	40%	66%	56%	22%	46%	45%	47%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	165	26%	32%	29%	13%	17%	41%	25%	28%	26%
	Taxes	30	5%	3%	5%	7%	7%	4%	4%	5%	5%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	26	4%	5%	2%	3%	5%	11%	5%	5%	3%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	24	4%	4%	2%	8%	0%	5%	7%	2%	3%
	Traffic/Congestion	23	4%	5%	3%	4%	0%	4%	4%	2%	4%
	Mental health/Healthcare cost/access	22	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%	3%
	Public transportation	20	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	18	3%	2%	3%	3%	6%	0%	3%	3%	3%
	Growth/Development/Population	13	2%	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	12	2%	2%	3%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	3%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	11	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%
	Jobs/Economy	10	2%	1%	1%	0%	3%	6%	2%	2%	1%
	Other	9	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	4	1%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	0%	2%	0%
Quality of life in Seattle	Much better	14	2%	5%	2%	1%	_	1%	1%	4%	1%
compared to 4 years ago	Somewhat better	81	13%	17%	14%	10%	12%	7%	11%	17%	11%
	The same	114	18%	21%	19%	12%	10%	31%	14%	20%	20%
	Somewhat worse	217	35%	36%	46%	17%	27%	35%	40%	30%	35%
	Much worse	184	30%	19%	18%	59%	48%	20%	31%	27%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	14	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	5%	2%	2%	2%
Quality of life in Seattle	Better	96	15%	22%	16%	11%	12%	8%	13%	21%	13%
compared to 4 years ago	Same/(DK/Ref)	128	20%	23%	21%	13%	12%	37%	16%	22%	22%
	Worse	402	64%	55%	64%	76%	75%	55%	71%	57%	65%
	Net Better	-306	-49	-33	-48	-65	-63	-47	-58	-35	-52
Considered moving out of	Yes	378	61%	52%	52%	83%	74%	52%	67%	52%	62%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	247	39%	48%	48%	17%	26%	48%	33%	48%	38%

		Party/Gender								Region	
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		169	211	121	68	56	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	27%	34%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Main reason for considering	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	124	33%	35%	37%	26%	26%	44%	37%	25%	34%
moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	102	27%	25%	27%	32%	30%	11%	28%	25%	27%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	30	8%	9%	2%	13%	12%	2%	5%	10%	8%
	Homelessness	24	6%	2%	8%	10%	5%	2%	6%	8%	6%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	14	4%	3%	2%	6%	5%	5%	2%	6%	3%
	Taxes	13	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%	4%	4%
	Growth/Development/Space	9	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%
	Closer to family	9	2%	3%	4%	1%	-	-	4%	-	2%
	Declining quality of life	8	2%	4%	-	1%	4%	3%	2%	3%	2%
	Weather	7	2%	1%	4%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	2%
	Schools/School district/SPS/Education	6	2%	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	3%	-	1%
	Transit/Walkability/Urban environment	5	1%	2%	-	-	5%	2%	-	2%	2%
	Always lived here/Want to try somewhere new	5	1%	1%	-	-	-	12%	1%	4%	0%
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Other	14	4%	4%	4%	3%	2%	9%	4%	5%	3%
	None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Still actively considering moving	Yes	308	82%	74%	79%	90%	79%	90%	85%	78%	81%
out of Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	70	18%	26%	21%	10%	21%	10%	15%	22%	19%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	308	49%	38%	41%	75%	59%	47%	56%	41%	50%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	70	11%	14%	11%	8%	15%	5%	10%	11%	12%
Seattle	No/(Don't know/Refused)	247	39%	48%	48%	17%	26%	48%	33%	48%	38%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	130	21%	24%	25%	12%	15%	21%	19%	22%	21%
future of this region	Somewhat agree	232	37%	45%	44%	16%	31%	40%	34%	41%	36%
Ī	Somewhat disagree	148	24%	22%	21%	31%	23%	24%	28%	24%	20%
	Strongly disagree	108	17%	8%	9%	42%	26%	14%	18%	12%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	0%	2%	-	5%	1%		1%	
<u> </u>											

					P	arty/Gend	er			Region	
			0.4	5.4.1	5.5	5/1.4.1	5/15				
N. J. C		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male			South	Central	
Number of cases		625	4000/	169 27%	211	121	l				269
Row percent			100%	27%	34%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: A thriving downtown	Strongly agree	372	59%	61%	63%	67%	55%	31%	60%	64%	57%
Seattle is critical to our region's	Somewhat agree	184	29%	29%	27%	25%	l	43%	31%	27%	30%
economic recovery	Somewhat disagree	48	8%	7%	8%	4%	6%	20%	6%	8%	9%
	Strongly disagree	17	3%	1%	2%	4%	l	6%	3%	1%	ı
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%
Agree: I'm worried about the	Strongly agree	309	49%	46%	44%	68%	62%	27%	50%	48%	50%
future of downtown Seattle	Somewhat agree	192	31%	34%	36%	17%	25%	34%	35%	28%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	85	14%	14%	16%	8%	8%	21%	10%	17%	14%
	Strongly disagree	36	6%	5%	4%	6%	5%	18%	5%	6%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	0%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	216	35%	37%	42%	14%	25%	55%	33%	39%	32%
downtown Seattle during the	Somewhat agree	236	38%	43%	38%	35%	32%	32%	37%	39%	38%
day	Somewhat disagree	109	17%	15%	15%	27%	25%	5%	13%	15%	22%
	Strongly disagree	62	10%	4%	5%	23%	18%	7%	17%	7%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Strongly agree	61	10%	9%	8%	6%	11%	22%	8%	14%	8%
downtown Seattle at night	Somewhat agree	148	24%	31%	29%	10%	11%	28%	20%	28%	23%
_	Somewhat disagree	171	27%	28%	32%	24%	20%	26%	28%	21%	32%
	Strongly disagree	243	39%	32%	31%	59%	58%	23%	43%	37%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%
Agree: Downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	436	70%	63%	71%	86%	74%	46%	67%	68%	72%
cannot fully recover until the	Somewhat agree	115	18%	20%	20%	11%	l	34%	21%		18%
homelessness and public safety	Somewhat disagree	50	8%	13%	6%	3%	l	12%	7%	10%	7%
problems are addressed	Strongly disagree	19	3%	3%	3%	-	8%	6%		4%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	l		1%	1%	
1									I		1

		Party/Gender								Region	
			%	D Male	D Famala	D/LMala	D/I Famala	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		n 625	70	169	D Female 211	121	R/I Female 68		178		269
Row percent		025	100%	27%	34%				29%		43%
Now percent			100%	2770	34%	19%	1170	9%	29%	29%	45%
Agree: I support the building of a	Strongly agree	244	39%		37%	24%	l		35%		37%
wider variety of housing in my	Somewhat agree	185	30%	32%	36%		l		34%		26%
neighborhood	Somewhat disagree	97	16%		16%	27%	7%	3%	13%	14%	19%
	Strongly disagree	95	15%	8%	10%	24%	35%	13%	17%	11%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	0%	2%	-	2%	1%	-	1%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle	Strongly agree	25	4%	3%	5%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%
to spend my tax dollars	Somewhat agree	166	27%	33%	35%	7%	13%	32%	30%	30%	23%
responsibly	Somewhat disagree	174	28%	36%	31%	14%	24%	25%	24%	31%	28%
' '	Strongly disagree	256	41%	27%	27%	76%	60%	38%	42%	35%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	2%	0%	0%	1%
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	111	18%	23%	16%	16%	12%	21%	18%	18%	17%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	250	40%	42%	49%		l		40%		38%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	159	25%	25%	23%	32%	19%	28%	21%	28%	26%
,	Strongly disagree	100	16%	10%	11%	26%	31%	13%	19%	11%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	-	1%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	230	37%	47%	45%	15%	18%	45%	33%	42%	36%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	227	36%		38%		l		34%		40%
	Somewhat disagree	99	16%	12%	13%	30%	16%	9%	21%	15%	14%
	Strongly disagree	67	11%	4%	4%	26%	l		13%		10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	0%	0%
Agree: I trust the Seattle City	Strongly agree	44	7%	7%	9%	5%	7%	4%	7%	8%	7%
Council to reform the Seattle	Somewhat agree	165	26%	31%	32%		l	23%	26%		26%
Police Department without	Somewhat disagree	193	31%	35%	36%		l	24%	30%		30%
endangering public safety	Strongly disagree	211	34%		21%	54%	l	45%	37%		35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	1%	2%	2%	l	4%	1%		

			n % D Male D Female R/I Male R/I Female							Region	
			0/	D Mala	D Famala	D/LMala	D/I Famala	Other	C	Combust	Namble
Number of cases		n 625	<u>%</u>	169		121			South 178	Central 178	North 269
		625	1000/	27%	211 34%					178 29%	43%
Row percent			100%	27%	34%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: Hiring more police	Strongly agree	292	47%		37%		l		48%	41%	49%
officers should be an immediate	Somewhat agree	138	22%	27%	29%		l		26%		21%
priority for the City	Somewhat disagree	89	14%	17%	17%	6%	10%	17%	12%	16%	15%
	Strongly disagree	100	16%	12%	15%	4%	14%	57%	14%	22%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%
Agree: I trust that the city has an	Strongly agree	19	3%	3%	5%	-	4%	_	3%	1%	4%
effective plan to address the	Somewhat agree	90	14%	14%	20%	9%	16%	7%	14%	12%	16%
critical issues facing our city like	Somewhat disagree	217	35%	46%	37%	20%	27%	34%	32%	46%	29%
homelessness, affordability, and	Strongly disagree	295	47%	36%	38%	71%	53%	58%	51%	41%	49%
public safety	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	-	1%
Agree: The City of Seattle has	Strongly agree	297	47%	40%	38%	72%	54%	47%	50%	44%	48%
enough money to address	Somewhat agree	201	32%	36%	37%	21%	33%	27%	31%	33%	32%
important priorities; they just	Somewhat disagree	91	15%	19%	19%	6%	8%	13%	16%	16%	13%
need to spend it more	Strongly disagree	27	4%	4%	4%	-	6%	13%	2%	6%	5%
effectively	(Don't know/Refused)	9	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%
Agree: The City of Seattle should	Strongly agree	343	55%	52%	48%	72%	72%	33%	54%	54%	56%
focus on the funding the basics	Somewhat agree	222	36%	38%	45%	23%	17%	43%	36%	34%	36%
like filling potholes, maintaining	Somewhat disagree	44	7%	9%	6%	1%	8%	17%	7%	9%	6%
parks, and addressing public	Strongly disagree	14	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%
safety	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	0%	1%	-	2%	0%	0%	1%
Agree: We should allow the	Strongly agree	168	27%	40%	23%	21%	16%	28%	23%	36%	23%
construction of more retail and	Somewhat agree	207	33%		34%		l	33%	37%	28%	34%
commercial spaces in my	Somewhat disagree	137	22%	16%	23%	27%	1	23%	22%	20%	23%
neighborhood	Strongly disagree	111	18%	10%	20%	20%	l	15%	17%	15%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	-	-	-	1%	0%	-

					P	arty/Gende	er	Region			
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		169	211	121	68	56	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	27%	34%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	362	58%		69%	27%	1		53%		<b>I</b>
future of this region	Disagree	256			30%	73%	1		46%		41%
	(DK/Ref)	8	1%	0%	2%	-	5%		1%		
	Net Agree	+106	+17	+40	+39	-45	-3	+23	+7	+27	+17
Agree: A thriving downtown	Agree	556	89%	91%	90%	92%	l	74%	91%	90%	87%
Seattle is critical to our region's	Disagree	65	10%	8%	10%	8%	l	26%	9%	9%	13%
economic recovery	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	0%	1%	l	-	-	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+491	+79	+82	+80	+84	+80	+48	+82	+82	+74
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	501	80%	80%	80%	86%	87%	61%	85%	76%	80%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	121	19%	19%	20%	14%	13%	39%	15%	23%	20%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+380	+61	+61	+60	+72	+75	+22	+70	+53	+59
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	452	72%	80%	80%	49%	57%	87%	70%	78%	70%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	171	27%	20%	19%	50%	43%	12%	30%	22%	29%
day	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	+281	+45	+61	+61	-1	+14	+75	+40	+55	+42
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	209	33%		37%	16%	l		28%		ı
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	414	66%	59%	63%	83%	78%	49%	71%	58%	69%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	1%	l	-	1%	-	1%
	Net Agree	-205	-33	-19	-26	-67	-56	+2	-43	-16	-38
Agree: Downtown Seattle	Agree	551	88%	83%	91%	96%	84%	80%	88%	85%	91%
cannot fully recover until the	Disagree	68	11%	16%	8%	3%	14%	18%	11%	14%	9%
homelessness and public safety	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	l
problems are addressed	Net Agree	+482	+77	+67	+83	+93	+70	+61	+76	+71	+82

					Р	arty/Gend	er			Region	
		l n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		169		121				178	
Row percent			100%	27%	34%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: I support the building of a	Agree	429	69%	78%		48%				75%	
wider variety of housing in my	Disagree	192	31%			51%				25%	
neighborhood	(DK/Ref)	4	1%		0%	2%	-	2%	1%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+236	+38	+56	+47	-3	+14	+65	+40	+50	+28
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle	Agree	191	31%	36%	l	10%			33%	34%	
to spend my tax dollars	Disagree	430	69%		58%	90%	84%	63%		66%	72%
responsibly	(DK/Ref)	4	1%			-	-	2%		0%	1
	Net Agree	-239	-38	-27	-18	-79	-67	-28	-34	-32	-45
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	361	58%	65%	65%	42%	47%	58%	58%	61%	55%
growth and development has	Disagree	258	41%	35%	35%	58%	50%	41%	41%	39%	43%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	0%	1%	0%	3%	1%	1%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+103	+16	+30	+30	-16	-3	+18	+18	+22	+12
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Agree	457	73%	83%	83%	45%	62%	81%	67%	76%	75%
Seattleite	Disagree	166	27%	17%	17%	55%	38%	17%	33%	24%	24%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	-	-	-	2%		0%	
	Net Agree	+291	+47	+67	+66	-11	+24	+65	+33	+53	+51
Agree: I trust the Seattle City	Agree	209	33%	38%	41%	19%	30%	27%	32%	35%	33%
Council to reform the Seattle	Disagree	404	65%	60%	57%	78%	70%	69%	67%	63%	64%
Police Department without	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	1%	2%	2%	-	4%	1%	1%	3%
endangering public safety	Net Agree	-195	-31	-22	-16	-59	-40	-42	-34	-28	-31
Agree: Hiring more police	Agree	430	69%	70%	66%	89%	76%	26%	75%	61%	70%
officers should be an immediate	Disagree	189	30%	29%	33%	10%	24%	74%	25%	38%	28%
priority for the City	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1
	Net Agree	+241	+39	+41	+33	+78	+51	-48	+49	+23	+42

					P	arty/Gend	er		Reg		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	P/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		n 625	70	169	211	121			178		269
Row percent		023	100%	27%	34%				29%		43%
			20075		0.,0	20,0	,	5,0	20,0		1.070
Agree: I trust that the city has an	Agree	109	17%	17%	25%	9%	20%	7%	17%	13%	21%
effective plan to address the	Disagree	512	82%	82%	75%	91%	80%	92%	83%	87%	78%
critical issues facing our city like	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	-	1%
homelessness, affordability, and	Net Agree	-403	-64	-65	-50	-82	-60	-86	-66	-74	-57
public safety											
Agree: The City of Seattle has	Agree	498	80%	76%	74%	93%	86%	73%	81%	78%	80%
enough money to address	Disagree	118	19%	23%	23%	6%	14%	25%	18%	22%	18%
important priorities; they just	(DK/Ref)	9	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%
need to spend it more	Net Agree	+380	+61	+53	+51	+87	+73	+48	+63	+56	+62
effectively											
Agree: The City of Seattle should	Agree	565	90%	90%	92%	95%	89%	76%	91%	88%	92%
focus on the funding the basics	Disagree	58	9%	10%	7%	4%	11%	22%	9%	11%	8%
like filling potholes, maintaining	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	0%	1%	-	2%	0%	0%	1%
parks, and addressing public	Net Agree	+507	+81	+79	+85	+91	+78	+54	+81	+77	+84
safety											
Agree: We should allow the	Agree	375	60%	73%	57%	53%	50%	62%	60%	64%	57%
construction of more retail and	Disagree	248	40%	26%	43%	47%	50%	38%	39%	35%	43%
commercial spaces in my	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	0%	-	-	-	1%	0%	-
neighborhood	Net Agree	+127	+20	+47	+14	+5	-1	+24	+20	+29	+14
Impact: Closing encampments in	1 – Very little impact	40	6%	5%	4%	_	8%	31%	7%	8%	5%
parks, on sidewalks, and on	2	33	5%		6%		l	17%	5%		
other public right of ways	3	33	5%	5%	6%	3%	l	12%	3%		
	4	56	9%	7%	13%		1	12%	11%		l
	5	53	8%	12%	9%	5%	l	9%	8%		9%
	6	62	10%	12%	11%	9%	1	2%	11%	8%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	348	56%	54%	52%	77%	65%	18%	55%	54%	58%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	_	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	624	5.61	5.66	5.55	6.52	1	3.29	5.61	5.42	5.73

					P	arty/Gend	er			Region	
			0/	D M-I-	D F	D/I N4-I-	D/I 5 l -	041	C	C	N
Number of cases		n 625	%	D Male 169	D Female 211	121	R/I Female 68		South 178		
Row percent		023	100%	27%	34%	19%		1	29%		1
Now percent			100%	2/70	34%	19%	1170	9%	29%	29%	45%
Impact: Helping local businesses		14	2%	1%	2%	1%					
deal with public safety concerns	2	10	2%	1%	1%	1%		10%	3%		
	3	19	3%	2%	2%	3%	7%	5%	2%	4%	3%
	4	59	9%	9%	10%	6%	6%	21%	11%	7%	10%
	5	110	18%	20%	17%	13%	20%	19%	17%	19%	16%
	6	106	17%	20%	22%	14%	6%	8%	20%	15%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	49%	47%	48%	61%	57%	25%	45%	51%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	0%
	Mean	624	5.85	5.93	5.96	6.19	5.85	4.51	5.77	5.87	5.90
Impact: Addressing property	1 – Very little impact	23	4%	2%	3%	1%	7%	14%	6%	3%	3%
crime like theft and car break-ins	2	21	3%	1%	3%	2%	9%	11%	2%	3%	5%
	3	33	5%	3%	6%	4%	1%	15%	3%	7%	5%
	4	51	8%	11%	9%	4%	4%	11%	8%	10%	7%
	5	83	13%	17%	16%	5%	5%	20%	13%	14%	13%
	6	88	14%	19%	18%	12%	4%	4%	17%	10%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	324	52%	47%	46%	72%	70%	24%	53%	52%	51%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	_	0%	_
	Mean	624	5.74	5.86	5.67	6.36	5.84	4.21	5.80	5.68	5.75
Impact: Reducing carbon	1 – Very little impact	116	19%	10%	7%	52%	26%	8%	17%	14%	23%
emissions and climate pollution	2	68	11%	9%	8%	21%					1
	3	60	10%		10%	6%					1
	4	97	16%		19%	8%		1	13%		18%
	5	91	15%		16%	6%			14%		13%
	6	69	11%	11%	14%	4%		10%	13%		9%
	7 – Very significant impact	123	20%	19%	25%	3%			1		19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	_	0%	-	_	_	-	0%	_
	Mean	624	4.09	4.36	4.72	2.19	3.76	5.36	4.19		3.92

					P	arty/Gend	er			Region	
			0/	D Mala	D.Famala	D/I N4-I-	D/I 5	0.4.5	C k l -	Caratural	<b>N</b> 1
Number of cases		n 625	%	D Male 169	D Female 211	R/I Male 121			South 178	Central 178	
Row percent		023	100%	27%	34%				29%		
now percent			10070	2770	3470	13/0	11/0	] 3/0	2570	2370	43/0
Impact: Maintaining bridges and	1 – Very little impact	9	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	1%
infrastructure	2	9	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	-	1%	0%	2%
	3	33	5%	6%	6%	3%	7%	4%	4%	6%	5%
	4	78	13%	13%	11%	16%	13%	9%	10%	16%	12%
	5	157	25%	23%	28%	22%	26%	28%	23%	25%	27%
	6	119	19%	24%	17%	19%	14%	18%	21%	17%	19%
	7 – Very significant impact	219	35%	31%	35%	36%	39%	40%	40%	33%	33%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.56	5.48	5.52	5.55	5.66	5.80	5.74	5.46	5.51
Impact: Addressing racially	1 – Very little impact	94	15%	7%	5%	43%	25%	5%	14%	14%	17%
biased policing	2	38	6%	6%	3%	10%	9%	6%	7%	6%	6%
	3	40	6%	9%	6%	9%	1%	2%	5%	5%	8%
	4	66	11%	13%	8%	11%	18%	3%	12%	11%	10%
	5	97	15%	23%	17%	13%	5%	7%	14%	17%	15%
	6	89	14%	16%	19%	3%	6%	23%	17%	11%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	201	32%	26%	43%	11%	35%	54%	31%	36%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	624	4.77	4.91	5.58	2.94	4.29	5.86	4.82	4.91	4.65
Impact: Making Seattle a good	1 – Very little impact	22	4%	3%	2%	1%	6%	14%	3%	3%	4%
place to do business	2	25	4%	2%	4%	0%	7%	16%	3%	6%	3%
	3	29	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	10%	6%	3%	5%
	4	71	11%	13%	12%	5%	5%	23%	10%	11%	13%
	5	123	20%	23%	27%	15%	6%	10%	18%	21%	20%
	6	106	17%	22%	18%	12%	13%	13%	20%	18%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	246	39%	33%	33%	61%	59%	15%	39%	39%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	623	5.49	5.47	5.45	6.15	5.73	3.98	5.55	5.48	5.45

Number of cases   R/I Male   R/I Female   Other   South   Central				Party/Gender							Region	
Number of cases   625				0.4			5/1.4.1	5/15				
Row percent   100%   27%   34%   19%   11%   9%   29	Nih		_	<u>%</u>				<del> </del>				
Impact: Addressing violent crime 1 - Very little impact and gun violence			625	1000/					1			
and gun violence 2	Row percent			100%	2/%	34%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
3	Impact: Addressing violent crir	ne 1 – Very little impact	15	2%	0%	2%	2%	5%	4%	4%	1%	2%
Second	and gun violence	2	13	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1		2%	1%
S		3	24	4%		4%	3%	3%	7%	3%		4%
6		4	59	9%	9%	7%	11%	8%	19%	8%	9%	11%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused) 2 0% - 11% 1 1% Mean 623 5.90 6.05 5.87 6.08 5.90 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 5.90 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 5.90 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 5.90 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 5.90 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 6.08 5.90 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.09 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.09 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.09 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.08 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.09 5.16 5.85 5.97 6.09 6.05 5.87 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.09 5.09 6.09 6.05 5.87 6.08 6.08 6.09 5.09 5.09 6.09 6.09 6.09 6.09 6.09 6.09 6.09 6		5	87	14%	17%	17%	5%	8%	21%	14%	15%	14%
Con't know/Refused   2 0%   - 1%   1%   Mean   623   5.90   6.05   5.87   6.08   5.90   5.16   5.85   5.97		6	84	13%	18%	14%	10%	9%	8%	13%		12%
Impact: Building more affordable 1 - Very little impact		7 – Very significant impact	341	55%	52%	52%	66%	63%	36%	55%	53%	55%
Impact: Building more affordable 1 - Very little impact		(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	_	-	-	1%	0%
housing 2 2 2 2 2 6 4% 6% 3% 8% 1% 1% 5% 5% 5% 47 7% 5% 66% 8% 15% 7% 7% 7% 7% 4 83 13% 12% 12% 19% 18% 5% 20% 8% 5 91 15% 18% 13% 19% 11% 5% 11% 21% 6 82 13% 16% 17% 8% 6% 9% 11% 12% 12% (Don't know/Refused)		Mean	623	5.90	6.05	5.87	6.08	5.90	5.16	5.85	5.97	5.89
3 47 7% 5% 6% 8% 15% 7% 7% 7% 7% 4 83 13% 12% 12% 19% 18% 5% 20% 8% 5 91 15% 18% 13% 19% 11% 5% 11% 21% 6 82 13% 16% 17% 8% 6% 9% 11% 12% 7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)	Impact: Building more affordal	ble 1 – Very little impact	36	6%	1%	2%	14%	16%	3%	6%	3%	8%
A	housing	2	26	4%	6%	3%	8%	1%	1%	5%	5%	3%
5		3	47	7%	5%	6%	8%	15%	7%	7%	7%	8%
6 82 13% 16% 17% 8% 6% 9% 11% 12% 7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused) 260 42% 41% 47% 24% 34% 70% 40% 43% (Don't know/Refused)		4	83	13%	12%	12%	19%	18%	5%	20%	8%	12%
7 - Very significant impact (Don't know/Refused)		5	91	15%	18%	13%	19%	11%	5%	11%	21%	12%
(Don't know/Refused) Mean  Control (Don't know/Refu		6	82	13%	16%	17%	8%	6%	9%	11%	12%	15%
Mean       625       5.32       5.53       5.69       4.40       4.61       6.18       5.21       5.50         Impact: Hiring more police officers       1 – Very little impact       78       12%       8%       9%       5%       13%       54%       13%       15%         officers       2       8%       9%       11%       5%       2%       11%       7%       9%         3       42       7%       6%       9%       3%       2%       12%       5%       8%         4       66       11%       16%       13%       3%       11%       1%       10%       12%         5       91       15%       18%       20%       9%       7%       5%       14%       13%         6       11%       13%       12%       12%       7%       2%       12%       7%		7 – Very significant impact	260	42%	41%	47%	24%	34%	70%	40%	43%	41%
Impact: Hiring more police 1 – Very little impact 78 12% 8% 9% 5% 13% 54% 13% 15% officers 2 52 8% 9% 11% 5% 2% 11% 7% 9% 3 42 7% 6% 9% 3% 2% 12% 5% 8% 4 66 11% 16% 13% 3% 11% 1% 10% 12% 5 5 91 15% 18% 20% 9% 7% 5% 14% 13% 6 68 11% 13% 12% 12% 7% 2% 12% 7%		(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
officers         2         52         8%         9%         11%         5%         2%         11%         7%         9%           3         42         7%         6%         9%         3%         2%         12%         5%         8%           4         66         11%         16%         13%         3%         11%         1%         10%         12%           5         91         15%         18%         20%         9%         7%         5%         14%         13%           6         11%         13%         12%         12%         7%         2%         12%         7%		Mean	625	5.32	5.53	5.69	4.40	4.61	6.18	5.21	5.50	5.29
3     42     7%     6%     9%     3%     2%     12%     5%     8%       4     66     11%     16%     13%     3%     11%     1%     10%     12%       5     91     15%     18%     20%     9%     7%     5%     14%     13%       6     11%     13%     12%     12%     7%     2%     12%     7%	Impact: Hiring more police	1 – Very little impact	78	12%	8%	9%	5%	13%	54%	13%	15%	11%
4     66     11%     16%     13%     3%     11%     10%     12%       5     91     15%     18%     20%     9%     7%     5%     14%     13%       6     68     11%     13%     12%     12%     7%     2%     12%     7%	officers	2	52	8%	9%	11%	5%	2%	11%	7%	9%	8%
5     91     15%     18%     20%     9%     7%     5%     14%     13%       6     68     11%     13%     12%     12%     7%     2%     12%     7%		3	42	7%	6%	9%	3%	2%	12%	5%	8%	7%
6 68 11% 13% 12% 12% 7% 2% 12% 7%		4	66	11%	16%	13%	3%	11%	1%	10%	12%	10%
		5	91	15%	18%	20%	9%	7%	5%	14%	13%	16%
7 – Very significant impact 226 36% 29% 25% 63% 56% 15% 38% 35%		6	68	11%	13%	12%	12%	7%	2%	12%	7%	13%
1 220  3070  2370  0370  3070  1370  3070  3070  3070  3070  3070  3070  3070  3070  3070  3070  3070  3070  3070		7 – Very significant impact	226	36%	29%	25%			15%	38%	35%	35%
(Don't know/Refused) 2 0% - 1% - 1% - 1%			2	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%
Mean 623 4.84 4.85 4.61 5.96 5.45 2.56 4.94 4.64		•	623	4.84	4.85	4.61	5.96	5.45	2.56	4.94	4.64	4.91

Number of cases Row percent		n		%         D Male         D Female         R/I Male         R/I Female         Other         South         Central           100%         27%         34%         19%         11%         9%         29%         29%           17%         15%         16%         3%         12%         60%         15%         22%           9%         7%         13%         5%         8%         12%         11%         9%           74%         77%         71%         92%         80%         28%         74%         70%           7%         4%         4%         5%         11%         27%         7%         8%           10%         9%         10%         7%         6%         21%         11%         7%           83%         87%         86%         88%         83%         52%         82%         85%           12%         6%         12%         6%         17%         40%         11%         14%           8%         11%         9%         4%         4%         11%         8%         10%           79%         83%         79%         89%         79%         49%         82%         76%								
		6	_	/U	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Row percent			25		169	211	121	68	56	178	178	269
			10	00%	27%	34%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Closing encampments in 1-3 Little I	mpact				15%	16%		12%	60%	1	22%	15%
parks, on sidewalks, and on 4/(DK)			57									1
other public right of ways 5-7 Signific	cant Impact	4	63 7	74%	77%	71%	92%	80%	28%	74%	70%	77%
Impact: Helping local businesses 1-3 Little II	mpact		44	7%	4%	4%	5%	11%	27%	7%	8%	6%
deal with public safety concerns 4/(DK)			60 1	10%	9%	10%	7%	6%	21%	11%	7%	10%
5-7 Signific	cant Impact	5	21 8	83%	87%	86%	88%	83%	52%	82%	85%	84%
Impact: Addressing property 1-3 Little II	mpact		77 1	12%	6%	12%	6%	17%	40%	11%	14%	13%
crime like theft and car break-ins 4/(DK)			52	8%	11%	9%	4%	4%	11%	8%	10%	7%
5-7 Signific	cant Impact	4	96 7	79%	83%	79%	89%	79%	49%	82%	76%	80%
Impact: Reducing carbon 1-3 Little II	mpact	2	44 3	39%	31%	25%	79%	50%	16%	39%	36%	41%
emissions and climate pollution 4/(DK)		,	98 1	16%	22%	20%	8%	9%	6%	13%	14%	18%
5-7 Signific	cant Impact	2	83 4	45%	47%	55%	13%	41%	78%	48%	49%	41%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and 1-3 Little II	mpact		51	8%	9%	9%	7%	7%	4%	6%	9%	9%
infrastructure 4/(DK)			78 1	13%	13%	11%	16%	13%	9%	10%	16%	12%
5-7 Signific	cant Impact	4	95 7	79%	78%	80%	77%	80%	86%	84%	75%	79%
Impact: Addressing racially 1-3 Little II	mpact	1	72 2	27%	22%	14%	62%	35%	13%	26%	24%	31%
biased policing 4/(DK)			67 1	11%	13%	8%	11%	20%	3%	13%	11%	10%
5-7 Signific	cant Impact	3	87 6	62%	65%	79%	27%	46%	84%	62%	65%	60%
Impact: Making Seattle a good 1-3 Little II	mpact		77 1	12%	9%	10%	6%	17%	39%	12%	12%	12%
place to do business 4/(DK)	·		73 1	12%	13%	13%	5%	5%	23%	10%	11%	14%
	cant Impact	4	76 7	76%	78%	77%	89%	78%	37%	78%	77%	74%
Impact: Addressing violent crime 1-3 Little I	mpact		52	8%	4%	9%	8%	12%	16%	10%	7%	8%
and gun violence 4/(DK)	•			10%	9%	8%	11%	8%	19%	8%	10%	
	cant Impact			82%	87%	83%	81%	80%	65%	82%	84%	

					P	arty/Gend	er			Region	
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625	,,,	169	211	121					
Row percent			100%	27%	34%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Building more affordable	1-3 Little Impact	109	17%	12%	11%	30%	31%	10%	17%	15%	19%
housing	4/(DK)	83	13%	12%	12%	19%	18%	5%	20%	8%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	433	69%	75%	77%	51%	51%	84%	63%	77%	69%
Impact: Hiring more police	1-3 Little Impact	172	27%		29%		1		1		
officers	4/(DK)	69	11%		14%				10%		11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	385	62%	61%	57%	84%	70%	22%	64%	56%	63%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	206	33%	20%	27%	60%	49%	19%	39%	28%	33%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	171	27%	33%	30%	22%	24%	18%	26%	28%	28%
	Too low	65	10%		11%		1	23%			10%
	About right	178	29%		32%				1		
	(Don't know)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	378			57%						ı
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	182	29%		33%				1		29%
	Too low	65	10%		11%			23%			1
	Net Too high	+313	+50	+41	+46	+77	+68	+13	+56	+42	+51
Safety in your neighborhood	Much less safe	191	30%	27%	25%	43%	45%	18%			31%
compared to 2 years ago	Somewhat less safe	160			27%		1	1	28%		29%
	More safe	60	10%		12%			12%	5%		10%
	(About the same)	213	34%		35%		1	54%	34%		29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	1%	0%	-	-	-	1%	0%
Safety in your neighborhood	Less safe	350	56%	50%	52%	73%	71%	35%	61%	45%	
compared to 2 years ago	(About the same/DK)	215	34%		35%				34%		29%
	More safe	60			12%			12%	1		10%
	Net Less safe	+290	+46	+39	+40	+67	+66	+23	+56	+32	+50

					P	arty/Gend	er			Region	
		n	%	D Male	N Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625	70	169	211	121			178		
Row percent		023	100%	27%	34%		l		29%	l	
City budget deficit preference:	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	473	76%	69%	74%	87%	   91%	   56%	76%	72%	78%
Maintain spending/Increase	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	141	23%	29%	24%	11%	5%	42%	22%	27%	20%
taxes vs. Support	(Both)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%	0%
vulnerable/Focus on basics	(Neither)	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	-	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	
Transportation preference:	Maintain existing infrastructure	431	69%	64%	69%	85%	77%	41%	72%	64%	71%
Maintain existing infrastructure	Bike/pedestrian amenities/Safer streets	188	30%	36%	30%	15%	20%	56%	28%	35%	28%
vs. Bike/pedestrian	(Both)	5	1%	-	1%	-	1%	3%	-	1%	1%
amenities/Safer streets	(Neither)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_'
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	_
City budget deficit preference:	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	473	76%	69%	74%	87%	91%	56%	76%	72%	78%
Maintain spending/Increase	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	141	23%	29%	24%	11%	5%	42%	22%	27%	20%
taxes vs. Support	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	11	2%	1%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	2%
vulnerable/Focus on basics	Net Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	+333	+53	+40	+50	+77	+86	+15	+54	+45	+58
Transportation preference:	Maintain existing infrastructure	431	69%	64%	69%	85%	77%	41%	72%	64%	71%
Maintain existing infrastructure	Bike/pedestrian amenities/Safer streets	188	30%	36%	30%	15%	20%	56%	28%	l	28%
vs. Bike/pedestrian	(Both/Neither/DK/Ref)	6	1%	-	1%	-	3%	3%	1%		
amenities/Safer streets	Net Maintain existing infrastructure	+243	+39	+27	+38	+70	+57	-15	+44	+28	+42
Concern about personal financial	Very concerned	171	27%	20%	21%	36%	49%	30%	33%	25%	25%
situation given current economic	Somewhat concerned	222	36%	34%	43%	26%	30%	37%	30%	32%	42%
conditions	Not too concerned	153	25%	32%	24%	23%	13%	22%	26%	27%	22%
	Not at all concerned	78	12%	14%	12%	14%	8%	11%	11%	16%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Concern about personal financial	Concerned	393	63%	54%	64%	63%	l		63%	l	1
situation given current economic	Not concerned	232	37%	46%	36%	37%	21%	33%	37%	43%	33%
conditions	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Concerned	+162	+26	+8	+28	+26	+58	+34	+25	+15	+34

					P	arty/Gend	er		Region				
			0/	D.Mala	D Famala	D/LMala	D/I Famala	Othor	Caush	Combinal	Nambh		
Number of cases		n 625	%	D Male 169	D Female 211	121	R/I Female 68		South 178		North 269		
Row percent		023	100%		34%	19%		1	29%		43%		
Now percent			100%	2/70	34%	19%	1170	9%	29%	29%	45%		
Downtown Seattle economy	1 – Very pessimistic	110			11%	35%	1		18%				
sentiment	2	187	30%		30%	31%	l		31%		28%		
	3	236	38%	41%	43%	24%	l	47%	37%	35%	40%		
	4	74	12%	13%	15%	8%	5%	11%	11%	15%	10%		
	5 – Very optimistic	14	2%	2%	0%	2%	8%	5%	2%	3%	2%		
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	0%	1%	-	3%	1%	0%	0%		
	Mean	620	2.51	2.61	2.63	2.09	2.39	2.78	2.48	2.60	2.47		
Downtown Seattle economy	1-2 Pessimistic	297	48%	44%	41%	66%	54%	34%	49%	47%	47%		
sentiment	3/(DK)	241	38%	41%	43%	25%	33%	50%	39%	35%	41%		
	4-5 Optimistic	87	14%	15%	16%	9%	13%	16%	13%	18%	12%		
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Once a week or more	164	26%	25%	23%	30%	33%	24%	28%	35%	19%		
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	240	38%		36%	41%	1	1	36%		41%		
' ' '	A few times a year	131	21%	20%	28%	15%	18%	18%	25%	10%	26%		
	Rarely	58	9%	7%	11%	10%	5%	13%	7%	11%	10%		
	Never	22	4%	5%	1%	3%	7%	3%	4%	4%	3%		
	(Refused)	10	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%		
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Weekly	164	26%	25%	23%	30%	33%	24%	28%	35%	19%		
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	240	38%	41%	36%	41%	36%	38%	36%	38%	41%		
	Less often	221	35%		40%	29%		38%	36%	27%	40%		
Current downtown visit	Much more often	19	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	6%	3%	4%	2%		
frequency compared to pre-	Somewhat more often	26	4%		5%	1%	l	1	3%		3%		
pandemic	About the same	145	23%		24%	12%	l	40%	25%		22%		
ľ	Somewhat less often	130	21%		24%	13%	1	18%	23%		19%		
	Much less often	298	48%		43%	71%	1				52%		
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%		1%	-	-	4%	-	2%	2%		

Number of cases   625						Р	arty/Gend	er			Region	
Number of cases   625			l n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Row percent	Number of cases			70								
Frequency compared to pre- pandemic   Less often   427   68%   64%   67%   68%   75%   44%   25%   25%     Pandemic   Less often   427   68%   64%   67%   68%   67%   68%   67%   63%   63%     Net More often   -382   -61   -56   -59   -81   -69   -31   -63   -51     Reasons to visit downtown   Safety/Public safety   155   25%   26%   24%   31%   27%   8%   24%   27%     Seattle more often   Shopping/Retail/Dining/Reopen businesses/Jobs   121   19%   26%   24%   88%   10%   18%   15%   28%     Fewer homeless/Homelessness   107   17%   17%   15%   25%   18%   10%   19%   17%     Less crime/More police/Crime/Policing   100   16%   14%   24%   18%   6%   18%   15%     Activities/Events/Entertainment/Art   88   14%   15%   13%   15%   13%   11%   8%   12%     Clean it up/Cleanlines/Trash   62   10%   9%   10%   10%   14%   6%   12%   11%     Cheaper/more parking/Parking   151   8%   7%   9%   11%   15%   13%   11%   8%   12%     Improve transportation/Public transit/Buses   49   8%   8%   10%   11%   5%   16%   5%   7%     Affordability/Less expensive/Cheaper prices/Price   20   3%   3%   4%   11%   2%   6%   33%   33%     No reason/don't want to go/Not much/Very little   17   3%   4%   11%   2%   6%   33%   33%   2%   2%   4%     Walkability/Walk/bike access/Pedestrian areas   15   2%   2%   1%   2%   2%   2%   8%   2%   3%     Live here/Goal lithe time/Resident/Visit often   14   2%   2%   2%   1%   2%   2%   2%   2%	Row percent			100%				1		1		
Dependemic   Less often   A	Current downtown visit	More often	45	7%	8%	8%	3%	6%	12%	6%	12%	5%
Net More often	frequency compared to pre-	Same/(DK/Ref)	152	24%	28%	25%	12%	20%	44%	25%	25%	23%
Reasons to visit downtown Safety/Public safety	pandemic	Less often	427	68%	64%	67%	84%	75%	44%	69%	63%	72%
Seattle more often		Net More often	-382	-61	-56	-59	-81	-69	-31	-63	-51	-66
Fewer homeless/Homelessness   107   17%   17%   15%   25%   18%   10%   19%   17%   15%   16%   16%   16%   14%   24%   18%   66%   18%   15%   15%   16%   16%   16%   14%   24%   18%   66%   18%   15%   15%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   14%   24%   18%   66%   18%   15%   15%   16%   16%   16%   16%   14%   15%   18%   15%   16%   15%   16%   16%   16%   16%   14%   15%   18%   15%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   16%   14%   15%   16%	Reasons to visit downtown	Safety/Public safety	155	25%	26%	24%	31%	27%	8%	24%	27%	23%
Less crime/More police/Crime/Policing	Seattle more often	Shopping/Retail/Dining/Reopen businesses/Jobs	121	19%	26%	24%	8%	10%	18%	15%	28%	16%
Activities/Events/Entertainment/Art Drugs off the street/Drugs Clean it up/Cleanliness/Trash Cheaper/more parking/Parking Improve transportation/Public transit/Buses Affordability/Less expensive/Cheaper prices/Price Nor eason/don't want to go/Not much/Very little Walkability/Walk/bike access/Pedestrian areas Live here/Go all the time/Resident/Visit often Personal circumstances/health/finances Personal circumstances/health/finances Personal circumstances/Phealth/finances Other None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment  Activities/Events/Entertainment/Art Base 14% 15% 18% 5% 9% 21% 11% 11% 8% 12% 6% 3% 3% 10% 11% 5% 16% 5% 7% 10% 11% 11% 11% 11% 11% 11% 11% 11% 11		Fewer homeless/Homelessness	107	17%	17%	15%	25%	18%	10%	19%	17%	16%
Drugs off the street/Drugs   Clean it up/Cleanliness/Trash   62   10%   9%   11%   15%   13%   11%   8%   12%   11%   Cheaper/more parking/Parking   51   8%   7%   9%   11%   7%   6%   12%   11%		Less crime/More police/Crime/Policing	100	16%	16%	14%	24%	18%	6%	18%	15%	15%
Clean it up/Cleanliness/Trash   62   10%   9%   10%   10%   14%   6%   12%   11%   Cheaper/more parking/Parking   51   8%   7%   9%   11%   7%   6%   10%   3%   Improve transportation/Public transit/Buses   49   8%   8%   10%   11%   5%   16%   5%   7%   7%   Affordability/Less expensive/Cheaper prices/Price   20   3%   3%   4%   11%   2%   6%   3%   3%   3%   4%   11%   2%   6%   3%   3%   3%   4%   11%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   2%   4%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   2%   4%   4%   4%   4%   4%   4		Activities/Events/Entertainment/Art	88	14%	15%	18%	5%	9%	21%	10%	15%	16%
Cheaper/more parking/Parking   S1   8%   7%   9%   11%   7%   6%   10%   3%   Improve transportation/Public transit/Buses   49   8%   8%   10%   1%   5%   16%   5%   7%   Affordability/Less expensive/Cheaper prices/Price   20   3%   3%   4%   1%   2%   6%   3%   3%   3%   4%   1%   2%   6%   3%   3%   3%   4%   1%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   1%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   5%   3%   2%   4%   4%   4%   4%   5%   4%   4%   4		Drugs off the street/Drugs	72	12%	9%	11%	15%	13%	11%	8%	12%	14%
Improve transportation/Public transit/Buses		Clean it up/Cleanliness/Trash	62	10%	9%	10%	10%	14%	6%	12%	11%	8%
Affordability/Less expensive/Cheaper prices/Price No reason/don't want to go/Not much/Very little Walkability/Walk/bike access/Pedestrian areas Live here/Go all the time/Resident/Visit often Personal circumstances/health/finances Eradicate COVID/Pandemic response/COVID-19 Other None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment  23 4% 3% 3% 4% 1% 4% 5% 3% 2% 4% 1% 5% 3% 2% 3% 2% 1% 2% 8% 2% 3% 2% 1% 2% 4% 1% 5% 2% 1% 2% 4% 1% 5% 2% 1% 2% 4% 1% 5% 2% 1% 2% 4% 1% 5% 2% 1% 2% 0% 0% 3% 2% 1% 2% 1% 2% 0% 0% 3% 2% 1% 2% 1% 4% 6% 2% 6% 1% 3% 3% 4% 2% 9% 5% 2% 1% 1% 1% 5% 2% 1% 1% 1% 5% 2% 1% 1% 1% 5% 2% 1% 1% 1% 5% 2% 1% 1% 1% 5% 2% 1% 1% 1% 5% 2% 1% 1% 1% 5% 2% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1%		Cheaper/more parking/Parking	51	8%	7%	9%	11%	7%	6%	10%	3%	10%
No reason/don't want to go/Not much/Very little Walkability/Walk/bike access/Pedestrian areas Live here/Go all the time/Resident/Visit often Personal circumstances/health/finances Peradicate COVID/Pandemic response/COVID-19 Other Other None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment  Puration of residency  2 years 6-10 years 10 years  Duration of residency  Noreson/don't want to go/Not much/Very little 17 3% 4% 1% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 8% 2% 3% 2% 3% 2% 3% 2% 1% 2% 4% 1% 5% 2% 4% 1% 5% 2% 1% 2% 0% 0% 0% 3% 2% 1% 3% 2% 2% 1% 0% 0% 2% 1% 3% 3% 2% 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 3% 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 3% 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 3% 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 3% 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 3% 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 3% 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 3% 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 3% 2% 2% 2% 0% 0% 0% 3% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2% 2%		Improve transportation/Public transit/Buses	49	8%	8%	10%	1%	5%	16%	5%	7%	10%
Walkability/Walk/bike access/Pedestrian areas   15   2%   2%   1%   2%   2%   8%   2%   3%   2%   1%   2%   4%   1%   5%   2%   2%   2%   2%   2%   2%   2		Affordability/Less expensive/Cheaper prices/Price	20	3%	3%	4%	1%	2%	6%	3%	3%	3%
Live here/Go all the time/Resident/Visit often Personal circumstances/health/finances Personal circumstances/health/finances Peradicate COVID/Pandemic response/COVID-19 Other None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment  Duration of residency  2 years Personal circumstances/health/finances Personal Cover for the developed Personal Cover for the develop		No reason/don't want to go/Not much/Very little	17	3%	4%	1%	4%	5%	3%	2%	4%	2%
Personal circumstances/health/finances		Walkability/Walk/bike access/Pedestrian areas	15	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	8%	2%	3%	2%
Eradicate COVID/Pandemic response/COVID-19     Other     Other     None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment     23    4%    3%    3%    4%    2%    9%    5%    2%  Duration of residency		Live here/Go all the time/Resident/Visit often	14	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	5%	1%
Other None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment         16 2% 2% 2% 1% 3% 3% 4%         1% 4% 6% 2% 6% 1% 2% 9% 5%           Duration of residency         <2 years		Personal circumstances/health/finances	9	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	3%	2%	1%	1%
None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment 23 4% 3% 3% 4% 2% 9% 5% 2% 2% 25 years 9 1% 2% 1% 1% - 4% 2% 2% 2% 6-10 years 99 16% 19% 14% 13% 20% 15% 19% 19% 11-20 years 131 21% 21% 20% 22% 22% 23% 21% 21% >20 years 219 35% 40% 32% 29% 28% 54% 34% 43% 200 15% 34% 43%		Eradicate COVID/Pandemic response/COVID-19	9	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%
Duration of residency <2 years 9 1% 2% 1% 1% - 4% 2% 2% 2% 2-5 years 111 18% 19% 17% 14% 8% 36% 13% 22% 6-10 years 99 16% 19% 14% 13% 20% 15% 19% 19% 11-20 years 131 21% 21% 20% 22% 22% 23% 21% 21% >20 years 275 44% 39% 48% 50% 50% 23% 44% 36% Duration of residency 1-10 years 219 35% 40% 32% 29% 28% 54% 34% 43%		Other	16	2%	2%	1%	4%	6%	2%	6%	1%	1%
2-5 years		None/Nothing/Don't know/Unsure/No comment	23	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	9%	5%	2%	4%
6-10 years 99 16% 19% 14% 13% 20% 15% 19% 19% 11-20 years 131 21% 21% 20% 22% 22% 23% 21% 21% >20 years 275 44% 39% 48% 50% 50% 23% 44% 36% Duration of residency 1-10 years 219 35% 40% 32% 29% 28% 54% 34% 43%	Duration of residency	<2 years	9	1%	2%	1%	1%	_	4%	2%	2%	1%
11-20 years   131   21%   21%   20%   22%   23%   21%   21%   21%   20%   50%   36%   21%   36%   21%		2-5 years	111	18%	19%	17%	14%	8%	36%	13%	22%	18%
>20 years 275 44% 39% 48% 50% 50% 23% 44% 36% Duration of residency 1-10 years 219 35% 40% 32% 29% 28% 54% 34% 43%		6-10 years	99	16%	19%	14%	13%	20%	15%	19%	19%	12%
Duration of residency 1-10 years 219 35% 40% 32% 29% 28% 54% 34% 43%		11-20 years	131	21%	21%	20%	22%	22%	23%	21%	21%	21%
		>20 years	275	44%	39%	48%	50%	50%	23%	44%	36%	49%
11-20 years   131 21% 21% 20% 22% 22% 22% 21% 21%	Duration of residency	1-10 years	219	35%	40%	32%	29%	28%	54%	34%	43%	30%
1 131   21/0  20/0  22/0  22/0  23/0  21/0  21/0		11-20 years	131	21%	21%	20%	22%	22%	23%	21%	21%	21%
		•	275	44%	39%	48%	50%	50%	23%	44%	36%	49%

					P	arty/Gend	er			Region	
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625	70	169	211	121					269
Row percent			100%	27%	34%	19%		1	29%		43%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	55%	63%	_	  -	   9%	33%	41%	37%
,	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	21%	24%		_	2%	15%		14%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%		13%		_	3%	11%		10%
	Independent	69	11%		-	38%	33%				12%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%		-	17%		1	4%		7%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%		_	5%	l		1%		3%
	Strong Republican	19	3%		-	14%	l		3%		2%
	Socialist	44	7%		-	-	_	78%	7%		6%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	-	-	26%	40%	6%	14%	8%	9%
Party	Socialist	44	7%	_	_	_	_	78%	7%	8%	6%
,	Democrat	388	62%	100%	100%	-	_	14%	59%		61%
	Independent	131	21%	_	-	64%	73%		27%		21%
	Republican	63	10%	-	-	36%	l		7%		12%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	105	17%	14%	17%	4%	15%	53%	16%	22%	14%
	2	110	18%	23%	25%	4%	4%	20%	17%	17%	18%
	3	141	23%	35%	29%	7%	11%	9%	22%	26%	20%
	4	132	21%	21%	19%	30%	27%	2%	22%	15%	25%
	5	59	9%	4%	5%	21%	21%	5%	9%	6%	12%
	6	17	3%	1%	2%	8%	2%	-	1%	4%	3%
	7 – Very conservative	21	3%	0%	1%	11%	6%	1%	5%	3%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	41	7%	2%	2%	15%	15%	11%	8%	7%	5%
	Mean	584	3.11	2.81	2.80	4.51	3.75	1.77	3.17	2.88	3.22
Ideology	Liberal	356	57%	73%	71%	15%	30%	81%	55%	66%	52%
	Moderate	173	28%	23%	21%	45%	41%	13%	30%	21%	30%
	Conservative	97	15%	5%	8%	40%	29%	6%	15%	13%	17%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	51%	56%	44%	46%	43%	58%	37%	53%
	Renter	313	50%	49%	44%	56%	54%	57%	42%	63%	47%

						Region					
		n	%	D Male		R/I Male			South	Central	
Number of cases		625		169	211						1
Row percent			100%	27%	34%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	427	68%	73%	76%	55%	59%	63%	62%	72%	70%
	African American or Black	25	4%	3%	3%	5%	7%	4%	8%	4%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	7%	5%	8%	4%	5%	6%	8%	4%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	10%	9%	6%	8%	3%	7%	8%	9%
	Something else	44	7%	4%	4%	14%	5%	13%	8%	5%	8%
	(Refused)	41	7%	3%	2%	13%	17%	11%	9%	2%	8%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	427	68%	73%	76%	55%	59%	63%	62%	72%	70%
,	African American or Black	25	4%	3%	3%	5%	7%	4%	8%	4%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	7%	5%	8%	4%	5%	6%	8%	4%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	10%	9%	6%					1
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	85	14%		6%			1			1
Ethnicity	White	427	68%	73%	76%	55%	59%	63%	62%	72%	70%
,	POC	156	25%		22%						1
	(Ref)	41	7%		2%		17%				1
Age (Replaced)	18-29	118	19%	22%	14%	20%	15%	28%	16%	20%	20%
0-(	30-39	157	25%		25%						1
	40-49	110	18%		18%			1			1
	50-64	125	20%		22%						1
	65+	115	18%		20%						1
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%	48%	39%	41%	34%	70%	40%	48%	44%
	40+	350	56%		61%				1		
Generation	18-39	275	44%	48%	39%	41%	34%	70%	40%	48%	44%
	40-64	235	38%		40%	40%			41%		1
	65+	115	18%		20%	19%			1		

					Р		Region				
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625	,-	169	211	121	68				269
Row percent			100%	27%	34%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Education	Some grade school	_	_	_	_	_	 	_	  -	_	_
	Some high school	5	1%	1%	-	1%	4%	_	0%	2%	-
	Graduated high school	12	2%	2%	2%	2%		1			2%
	Technical/vocational school	16	3%	2%	0%	5%	l				2%
	Some college/<4-year degree	108	17%	16%	14%		23%	1			18%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	256	41%	45%	39%		35%				41%
	Graduate/professional degree	219	35%		45%		l				36%
	(Refused)	10		1%	0%		1				
Education	<4-year degree	150	24%	21%	17%	32%	36%	29%	29%	20%	23%
Eddedtion	4-year degree+	475	76%		83%		1				77%
Education	Less than college	150	24%	21%	17%	32%	36%	29%	29%	20%	23%
Ladeation	Graduated college	256	41%	45%	39%		35%	1			41%
	Graduate/professional degree	219	35%	34%	45%		1				36%
Gender	Male	306	49%	100%	_	100%	_	30%	48%	52%	47%
	Female	305	49%		100%		100%	1			50%
	Non-binary	10	2%	_	_	-	_	18%			2%
	(Refused)	4	1%	-	-	-	-	7%			
Region	South	178	29%	27%	28%	31%	32%	26%	100%	_	_
	Central	178	29%	36%	25%		1			100%	_
	North	269	43%		47%		1			-	100%
City Council District	1	97	16%	12%	16%	22%	15%	11%	54%	_	_
.,	2	81	13%	14%	13%		l				-
	3	97	16%							54%	_
	4	78	13%	9%	16%		l	1		-	29%
	5	91	15%		14%		l			-	34%
	6	100	16%		17%		1			-	37%
	7	81	13%		11%		l	1		46%	-

				169       211       121       68         6       27%       34%       19%       11%         6       52%       46%       56%       57%         6       23%       26%       32%       21%         6       25%       28%       13%       22%         6       48%       -       41%       -         6       34%       -       40%       -         6       18%       -       19%       -						Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North	
Number of cases		625		169	211	121	68	56	178	178	269	
Row percent			100%	27%	34%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%	
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18	3) 0-3/6	325	52%	52%	   46%	56%	57%	61%	50%	51%	54%	
	4-5/6	163	26%	23%	26%	32%	21%	30%	26%	27%	25%	
	6/6	138	22%	25%	28%	13%	22%	9%	24%	21%	21%	
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	142	23%	48%	_	41%	-	22%	22%	25%	21%	
	M 40-64	110	18%	34%	-	40%	-	6%	18%	16%	18%	
	M 65+	55	9%	18%	-	19%	-	2%	8%	11%	8%	
	F 18-39	124	20%	-	39%	-	34%	32%	16%	21%	21%	
	F 40-64	122	20%	-	40%	-	47%	10%	23%	18%	18%	
	F 65+	58	9%	-	20%	-	20%	3%	10%	6%	11%	
	Other	14	2%	-	-	-	-	25%	2%	2%	2%	
Party/Gender	D Male	169	27%	100%	-	-	-	_	25%	34%	23%	
	D Female	211	34%	-	100%	-	-	-	33%		37%	
	R/I Male	121	19%	-	-	100%	-	-	21%	14%	22%	
	R/I Female	68	11%	-	-	-	100%	-	12%	12%	10%	
	Other	56	9%	-	-	-	-	100%	8%	10%	9%	