				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
QOL Index	Mean	645	3.47	3.53	3.47	3.04	2.85	3.50	4.01	2.63	2.13
Homeowner	Homeowner	322	50%	49%	52%	22%	42%	26%	56%	40%	52%
	Renter	322	50%	51%	48%	78%	58%	74%	44%	60%	48%
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	150	23%	25%	23%	11%	9%	21%	31%	12%	6%
	Wrong track	488	76%	74%	75%	89%	91%	79%	67%	88%	94%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-
	Net Right direction	-338	-52	-50	-52	-79	-82	-59	-37	-77	-88
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	391	61%	62%	61%	47%	40%	52%	64%	53%	59%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	295	46%	47%	48%	12%	24%	21%	45%	42%	67%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	142	22%	20%	22%	61%	28%	48%	21%	25%	8%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	69	11%	11%	8%	37%	28%	20%	10%	10%	10%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	31	5%	6%	3%	11%	5%	4%	3%	7%	10%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	28	4%	5%	4%	5%	0%	3%	3%	8%	3%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	28	4%	4%	4%	0%	7%	1%	5%	4%	3%
	Taxes	14	2%	2%	1%	4%	18%	1%	1%	5%	2%
	Traffic/Congestion	11	2%	1%	2%	0%	8%	0%	1%	5%	1%
	Jobs/Economy	9	1%	1%	2%	0%	6%	5%	1%	1%	0%
	Healthcare cost/Access	9	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%
	Growth/Development/Population	7	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%
	Public transportation	7	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%
	COVID-19/Coronavirus	6	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	3%
	Climate change/Environment	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	10	2%	1%	2%	0%	3%	0%	2%	2%	2%
	Nothing/Don’t know	4	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	3	0%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	-
	Somewhat better	28	4%	5%	3%	13%	7%	15%	4%	3%	2%
	The same	77	12%	14%	10%	12%	7%	16%	16%	5%	3%
	Somewhat worse	244	38%	36%	39%	39%	44%	37%	42%	34%	23%
	Much worse	281	44%	43%	45%	36%	43%	31%	35%	56%	72%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	1%	3%	-	-	-	3%	-	-
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	31	5%	6%	3%	13%	7%	16%	4%	5%	2%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	90	14%	16%	13%	12%	7%	16%	19%	5%	3%
	Worse	525	81%	79%	84%	75%	86%	68%	77%	91%	95%
	Net Better	-494	-77	-73	-81	-61	-79	-53	-73	-86	-93
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	431	67%	67%	65%	82%	71%	62%	58%	82%	90%
	No	214	33%	33%	35%	18%	29%	38%	42%	18%	10%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	151	35%	31%	38%	59%	25%	76%	35%	36%	15%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	127	29%	31%	26%	33%	52%	14%	30%	25%	41%
	Homelessness	50	12%	13%	11%	-	9%	-	13%	11%	15%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	41	9%	12%	7%	8%	10%	3%	7%	9%	21%
	Taxes	11	2%	1%	4%	-	3%	-	2%	4%	4%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	9	2%	1%	3%	-	-	1%	3%	2%	-
	Closer to family	5	1%	1%	1%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	5	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	3%	-
	Growth/Development/Space	3	1%	1%	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	-
	Other	29	7%	5%	9%	-	-	3%	9%	5%	5%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	77	12%	13%	12%	5%	8%	9%	16%	6%	3%
	Somewhat agree	242	38%	39%	36%	49%	25%	40%	45%	27%	14%
	Somewhat disagree	180	28%	25%	31%	40%	29%	34%	24%	33%	37%
	Strongly disagree	143	22%	23%	21%	6%	38%	17%	15%	34%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	2%

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	399	62%	64%	60%	49%	62%	34%	64%	59%	70%
	Somewhat agree	190	29%	29%	31%	17%	19%	50%	27%	32%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	41	6%	5%	7%	28%	9%	14%	7%	5%	3%
	Strongly disagree	12	2%	1%	2%	6%	10%	1%	1%	4%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	392	61%	59%	63%	41%	74%	42%	57%	66%	80%
	Somewhat agree	163	25%	28%	24%	16%	13%	34%	28%	21%	13%
	Somewhat disagree	60	9%	10%	9%	12%	-	20%	10%	8%	2%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	3%	4%	30%	13%	4%	4%	5%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	162	25%	25%	24%	37%	38%	51%	26%	22%	10%
	Somewhat agree	229	36%	37%	36%	29%	16%	37%	39%	29%	31%
	Somewhat disagree	160	25%	25%	25%	27%	18%	6%	25%	27%	31%
	Strongly disagree	94	15%	14%	15%	6%	29%	6%	10%	23%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	51	8%	7%	7%	29%	18%	21%	8%	7%	1%
	Somewhat agree	114	18%	18%	17%	20%	16%	25%	19%	17%	7%
	Somewhat disagree	180	28%	30%	27%	23%	11%	28%	30%	21%	26%
	Strongly disagree	301	47%	44%	49%	28%	55%	26%	43%	55%	65%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	491	76%	78%	76%	49%	74%	52%	74%	82%	90%
	Somewhat agree	99	15%	17%	14%	17%	4%	31%	17%	10%	5%
	Somewhat disagree	26	4%	2%	5%	28%	11%	12%	4%	3%	-
	Strongly disagree	26	4%	4%	4%	6%	12%	5%	4%	5%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	218	34%	31%	35%	49%	47%	50%	38%	28%	13%
	Somewhat agree	211	33%	38%	27%	46%	37%	28%	35%	26%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	111	17%	15%	21%	5%	2%	13%	15%	23%	22%
	Strongly disagree	102	16%	16%	17%	-	13%	9%	11%	22%	33%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Strongly agree	273	42%	43%	40%	68%	46%	52%	48%	35%	23%
	Somewhat agree	258	40%	41%	40%	21%	25%	41%	40%	39%	41%
	Somewhat disagree	75	12%	8%	14%	5%	26%	6%	8%	19%	20%
	Strongly disagree	36	6%	7%	5%	6%	-	1%	3%	7%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	0%	1%	-	3%	-	1%	0%	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	28	4%	4%	5%	-	-	3%	6%	3%	1%
	Somewhat agree	155	24%	24%	25%	10%	7%	22%	32%	12%	2%
	Somewhat disagree	190	29%	26%	31%	66%	30%	59%	32%	20%	15%
	Strongly disagree	270	42%	45%	38%	23%	63%	16%	29%	66%	82%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	74	12%	12%	12%	13%	11%	16%	13%	6%	11%
	Somewhat agree	241	37%	40%	37%	11%	32%	32%	44%	26%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	190	29%	27%	30%	58%	32%	28%	27%	37%	30%
	Strongly disagree	138	21%	22%	21%	17%	26%	24%	15%	32%	33%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: We need to expand state and regional partnerships to address the issues underlying homelessness	Strongly agree	395	61%	59%	64%	56%	43%	70%	71%	47%	31%
	Somewhat agree	175	27%	28%	27%	33%	23%	23%	24%	32%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	38	6%	7%	5%	-	14%	3%	3%	11%	14%
	Strongly disagree	33	5%	6%	4%	11%	11%	3%	2%	7%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	9%	-	0%	2%	-

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Agree: The City of Seattle needs more money to address homelessness	Strongly agree	224	35%	30%	38%	41%	47%	50%	40%	27%	11%
	Somewhat agree	169	26%	27%	25%	47%	11%	39%	30%	19%	12%
	Somewhat disagree	124	19%	19%	21%	-	11%	7%	20%	24%	14%
	Strongly disagree	125	19%	23%	16%	11%	31%	5%	9%	30%	64%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
Agree: Our region does not have enough affordable, high-quality childcare	Strongly agree	308	48%	43%	51%	84%	34%	69%	52%	40%	26%
	Somewhat agree	218	34%	36%	33%	5%	38%	24%	33%	38%	38%
	Somewhat disagree	64	10%	11%	8%	11%	10%	4%	8%	11%	22%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	5%	4%	-	-	1%	2%	6%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	27	4%	5%	3%	-	18%	1%	5%	6%	1%
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	46	7%	6%	8%	8%	4%	14%	7%	5%	7%
	Somewhat agree	150	23%	24%	23%	5%	34%	24%	29%	15%	5%
	Somewhat disagree	172	27%	23%	32%	16%	16%	30%	31%	20%	12%
	Strongly disagree	271	42%	46%	36%	70%	46%	31%	31%	59%	76%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-	-
Agree: I support changing regulations to support more density in single family housing zones by allowing duplexes and triplexes in most Seattle neighborhoods	Strongly agree	196	30%	29%	30%	57%	35%	55%	33%	26%	9%
	Somewhat agree	196	30%	31%	29%	28%	32%	26%	31%	30%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	114	18%	20%	16%	4%	21%	11%	18%	19%	18%
	Strongly disagree	136	21%	19%	24%	11%	12%	8%	17%	24%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	-
Agree: We need to invest more in behavioral health programs and services to address the issues underlying homelessness	Strongly agree	400	62%	58%	66%	78%	47%	84%	69%	55%	27%
	Somewhat agree	158	24%	28%	22%	11%	18%	12%	25%	24%	31%
	Somewhat disagree	47	7%	8%	6%	6%	17%	3%	4%	13%	19%
	Strongly disagree	39	6%	6%	6%	5%	15%	1%	3%	7%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	3%	-	-	1%	-

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	319	50%	51%	48%	54%	33%	49%	61%	33%	18%
	Disagree	323	50%	48%	52%	46%	67%	51%	38%	67%	80%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	2%
	Net Agree	-4	-1	+4	-3	+7	-35	-2	+23	-34	-63
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	589	91%	94%	91%	66%	81%	85%	91%	91%	95%
	Disagree	54	8%	6%	8%	34%	19%	15%	8%	9%	5%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	+536	+83	+87	+83	+32	+62	+70	+84	+82	+90
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	555	86%	86%	87%	58%	87%	76%	85%	87%	93%
	Disagree	88	14%	13%	13%	42%	13%	24%	14%	13%	7%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	+468	+73	+73	+74	+15	+74	+52	+72	+75	+86
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	391	61%	62%	60%	67%	54%	88%	65%	51%	41%
	Disagree	254	39%	38%	40%	33%	46%	12%	35%	49%	59%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+137	+21	+23	+20	+34	+8	+76	+29	+1	-18
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	165	26%	25%	24%	49%	34%	46%	27%	24%	8%
	Disagree	480	74%	75%	76%	51%	66%	54%	73%	76%	92%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-315	-49	-49	-52	-2	-32	-8	-46	-52	-83
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	589	91%	95%	90%	66%	78%	83%	92%	91%	95%
	Disagree	53	8%	5%	9%	34%	22%	17%	8%	8%	5%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+537	+83	+89	+81	+32	+55	+65	+84	+83	+90

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	429	66%	69%	61%	95%	84%	78%	74%	54%	45%
	Disagree	213	33%	31%	37%	5%	16%	22%	26%	45%	55%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+216	+33	+38	+24	+90	+69	+56	+48	+9	-10
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Agree	531	82%	85%	80%	89%	71%	93%	88%	73%	64%
	Disagree	111	17%	15%	19%	11%	26%	7%	12%	26%	36%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	0%	1%	-	3%	-	1%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+420	+65	+70	+61	+77	+44	+86	+76	+47	+28
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	182	28%	29%	30%	10%	7%	25%	38%	15%	3%
	Disagree	460	71%	71%	69%	90%	93%	75%	61%	85%	97%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	-278	-43	-42	-39	-79	-86	-50	-23	-71	-94
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	315	49%	51%	48%	25%	42%	47%	57%	32%	36%
	Disagree	328	51%	49%	51%	75%	58%	53%	42%	68%	64%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-13	-2	+2	-3	-51	-16	-5	+15	-37	-27
Agree: We need to expand state and regional partnerships to address the issues underlying homelessness	Agree	570	88%	87%	91%	89%	66%	93%	95%	80%	67%
	Disagree	71	11%	12%	9%	11%	26%	7%	5%	18%	33%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	9%	-	0%	2%	-
	Net Agree	+499	+77	+75	+83	+78	+40	+87	+90	+62	+33
Agree: The City of Seattle needs more money to address homelessness	Agree	393	61%	58%	63%	89%	59%	89%	70%	46%	23%
	Disagree	249	39%	42%	37%	11%	41%	11%	29%	53%	77%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+143	+22	+16	+26	+78	+17	+78	+41	-8	-55

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Agree: Our region does not have enough affordable, high-quality childcare	Agree	526	82%	79%	85%	89%	72%	93%	85%	77%	64%
	Disagree	92	14%	16%	13%	11%	10%	6%	10%	17%	36%
	(DK/Ref)	27	4%	5%	3%	-	18%	1%	5%	6%	1%
	Net Agree	+434	+67	+63	+72	+78	+62	+88	+75	+60	+28
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	195	30%	30%	31%	13%	38%	38%	36%	21%	12%
	Disagree	443	69%	69%	68%	87%	62%	61%	62%	79%	88%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-	-
	Net Agree	-248	-38	-39	-37	-73	-24	-22	-26	-59	-76
Agree: I support changing regulations to support more density in single family housing zones by allowing duplexes and triplexes in most Seattle	Agree	392	61%	61%	59%	85%	67%	81%	64%	56%	38%
	Disagree	249	39%	39%	40%	15%	33%	19%	35%	43%	62%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+142	+22	+22	+19	+70	+34	+62	+30	+13	-24
Agree: We need to invest more in behavioral health programs and services to address the issues underlying homelessness	Agree	557	86%	86%	88%	89%	65%	95%	93%	79%	58%
	Disagree	86	13%	14%	12%	11%	32%	5%	7%	20%	42%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	0%	-	3%	-	-	1%	-
	Net Agree	+471	+73	+72	+77	+78	+34	+91	+87	+59	+16
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	54	8%	6%	7%	52%	24%	43%	5%	9%	5%
	2	21	3%	4%	3%	-	8%	6%	3%	4%	-
	3	24	4%	2%	5%	5%	-	9%	5%	1%	-
	4	41	6%	6%	7%	15%	3%	17%	6%	7%	1%
	5	53	8%	9%	8%	-	4%	1%	10%	8%	3%
	6	48	7%	8%	7%	6%	3%	1%	9%	6%	5%
	7 – Very significant impact	400	62%	64%	62%	22%	59%	22%	61%	65%	86%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	641	5.75	5.89	5.78	3.16	4.99	3.19	5.87	5.80	6.55

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	14	2%	2%	2%	-	8%	11%	1%	3%	1%
	2	15	2%	2%	2%	8%	9%	6%	2%	3%	2%
	3	20	3%	3%	3%	-	14%	6%	3%	5%	-
	4	80	12%	13%	11%	28%	17%	27%	12%	10%	8%
	5	98	15%	15%	15%	24%	14%	18%	17%	12%	8%
	6	114	18%	17%	18%	24%	7%	9%	20%	14%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	303	47%	48%	49%	16%	30%	24%	44%	51%	67%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	644	5.78	5.80	5.86	5.03	4.63	4.55	5.81	5.76	6.33
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	32	5%	4%	5%	8%	14%	25%	3%	6%	2%
	2	16	2%	2%	3%	4%	6%	6%	3%	1%	-
	3	20	3%	3%	4%	-	-	10%	3%	2%	-
	4	45	7%	9%	5%	25%	6%	8%	8%	8%	1%
	5	99	15%	15%	16%	10%	19%	15%	17%	14%	6%
	6	126	19%	19%	19%	48%	7%	19%	21%	15%	20%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	47%	48%	49%	6%	47%	17%	45%	52%	71%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	644	5.74	5.77	5.78	4.90	5.19	4.06	5.76	5.77	6.53
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	101	16%	19%	12%	11%	30%	8%	7%	26%	46%
	2	49	8%	9%	6%	11%	4%	5%	5%	13%	11%
	3	68	10%	12%	8%	6%	23%	7%	9%	12%	20%
	4	81	13%	11%	15%	-	8%	20%	13%	11%	8%
	5	98	15%	16%	15%	5%	10%	5%	20%	9%	8%
	6	67	10%	11%	9%	15%	2%	14%	13%	5%	1%
	7 – Very significant impact	181	28%	21%	34%	52%	22%	41%	32%	25%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	645	4.47	4.16	4.80	5.31	3.60	5.16	5.00	3.80	2.53

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	8	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	2%	3%
	2	5	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	2%
	3	18	3%	3%	1%	5%	10%	-	2%	5%	7%
	4	55	8%	8%	9%	14%	-	5%	8%	8%	13%
	5	145	22%	19%	25%	28%	37%	33%	24%	21%	13%
	6	132	20%	23%	19%	17%	19%	22%	21%	17%	22%
	7 – Very significant impact	280	43%	45%	43%	36%	34%	40%	44%	45%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-
	Mean	643	5.86	5.90	5.84	5.65	5.67	5.98	5.94	5.79	5.51
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	78	12%	15%	8%	22%	17%	3%	5%	19%	41%
	2	31	5%	6%	4%	-	-	1%	3%	7%	10%
	3	55	9%	9%	8%	-	14%	4%	8%	7%	16%
	4	81	13%	14%	12%	-	13%	6%	13%	12%	17%
	5	82	13%	13%	12%	8%	15%	10%	16%	9%	5%
	6	92	14%	14%	15%	14%	10%	14%	18%	10%	4%
	7 – Very significant impact	224	35%	29%	40%	56%	29%	60%	38%	35%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Mean	644	4.91	4.61	5.22	5.37	4.57	6.04	5.34	4.55	2.70
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	25	4%	3%	4%	4%	18%	9%	3%	8%	1%
	2	34	5%	5%	5%	19%	8%	12%	5%	7%	2%
	3	43	7%	5%	8%	-	9%	22%	6%	4%	3%
	4	77	12%	12%	12%	24%	4%	21%	13%	11%	2%
	5	92	14%	16%	12%	34%	4%	16%	18%	7%	9%
	6	110	17%	16%	18%	6%	22%	1%	20%	13%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	262	41%	43%	40%	13%	36%	20%	35%	49%	68%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-
	Mean	643	5.42	5.52	5.41	4.37	4.80	4.05	5.40	5.41	6.34

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	10	2%	2%	0%	-	14%	8%	1%	3%	1%
	2	12	2%	2%	1%	8%	-	8%	1%	2%	2%
	3	17	3%	3%	2%	11%	8%	10%	2%	2%	2%
	4	47	7%	5%	7%	28%	20%	18%	6%	10%	5%
	5	73	11%	13%	10%	6%	3%	8%	13%	11%	3%
	6	103	16%	13%	19%	11%	8%	20%	17%	13%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	381	59%	61%	59%	36%	45%	26%	60%	60%	73%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	3%	1%	0%	-	-
	Mean	644	6.10	6.09	6.21	5.07	5.04	4.78	6.21	6.02	6.44
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1 – Very little impact	51	8%	8%	8%	-	14%	1%	4%	15%	23%
	2	27	4%	4%	4%	-	2%	-	2%	7%	11%
	3	47	7%	6%	8%	11%	13%	2%	6%	7%	16%
	4	92	14%	17%	12%	6%	12%	7%	14%	14%	19%
	5	121	19%	20%	18%	-	27%	13%	22%	16%	12%
	6	95	15%	14%	17%	16%	-	16%	17%	11%	8%
	7 – Very significant impact	212	33%	31%	33%	67%	30%	60%	35%	30%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	3%	-	-	0%	-
	Mean	644	5.08	5.01	5.12	6.21	4.59	6.19	5.38	4.64	3.59
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1 – Very little impact	47	7%	9%	6%	-	3%	3%	3%	13%	21%
	2	21	3%	4%	3%	-	5%	-	3%	4%	7%
	3	37	6%	7%	4%	6%	21%	2%	4%	8%	14%
	4	72	11%	11%	12%	-	16%	2%	11%	10%	19%
	5	105	16%	17%	16%	10%	9%	4%	17%	16%	18%
	6	76	12%	11%	13%	-	11%	1%	15%	9%	5%
	7 – Very significant impact	287	44%	42%	46%	84%	34%	89%	47%	39%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	645	5.39	5.26	5.49	6.55	4.95	6.63	5.70	4.96	3.81

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Impact: Reducing local taxes	1 – Very little impact	142	22%	23%	20%	38%	34%	33%	26%	16%	7%
	2	45	7%	8%	6%	5%	7%	12%	8%	5%	1%
	3	71	11%	11%	12%	15%	3%	15%	12%	10%	8%
	4	120	19%	19%	18%	26%	7%	19%	21%	11%	16%
	5	73	11%	11%	12%	12%	10%	4%	12%	12%	13%
	6	54	8%	8%	9%	5%	11%	1%	8%	11%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	136	21%	21%	22%	-	25%	16%	13%	34%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	3%	-	1%	2%	-
	Mean	641	4.00	3.93	4.13	2.84	3.86	3.19	3.59	4.70	5.39
Impact: Easing regulations to allow for more outdoor restaurant seating	1 – Very little impact	67	10%	11%	9%	11%	38%	10%	7%	19%	12%
	2	49	8%	9%	7%	-	-	6%	6%	11%	10%
	3	70	11%	12%	10%	-	18%	8%	13%	6%	10%
	4	108	17%	13%	19%	30%	20%	19%	17%	19%	12%
	5	140	22%	23%	22%	25%	9%	32%	23%	16%	22%
	6	83	13%	12%	15%	6%	2%	7%	14%	12%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	126	19%	20%	19%	28%	12%	19%	20%	18%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	643	4.49	4.45	4.59	4.87	3.18	4.54	4.62	4.09	4.45
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1 – Very little impact	49	8%	6%	6%	44%	27%	30%	6%	9%	-
	2	44	7%	8%	6%	-	8%	11%	6%	10%	3%
	3	30	5%	4%	6%	11%	-	6%	6%	4%	-
	4	79	12%	11%	14%	18%	4%	22%	13%	11%	4%
	5	84	13%	12%	14%	6%	11%	14%	16%	8%	4%
	6	91	14%	15%	13%	11%	15%	2%	14%	14%	20%
	7 – Very significant impact	266	41%	44%	40%	11%	34%	15%	38%	44%	70%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	644	5.24	5.38	5.24	3.18	4.49	3.46	5.23	5.17	6.46

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	99	15%	12%	15%	57%	31%	58%	13%	14%	5%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	6%	8%	15%	3%	17%	7%	7%	1%
	5-7 Significant Impact	501	78%	82%	77%	28%	65%	25%	80%	79%	94%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	49	8%	7%	7%	8%	31%	23%	6%	11%	2%
	4/(DK)	81	12%	13%	11%	28%	17%	27%	12%	11%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	515	80%	80%	82%	64%	52%	50%	82%	78%	90%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	68	11%	9%	11%	12%	21%	41%	9%	10%	2%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	9%	5%	25%	6%	8%	8%	8%	1%
	5-7 Significant Impact	530	82%	82%	84%	63%	73%	50%	83%	82%	97%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	218	34%	40%	26%	28%	57%	20%	22%	50%	76%
	4/(DK)	81	13%	11%	15%	-	8%	20%	13%	11%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	346	54%	49%	59%	72%	34%	61%	65%	39%	16%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	31	5%	6%	4%	5%	10%	-	3%	8%	13%
	4/(DK)	57	9%	8%	10%	14%	-	5%	8%	9%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	557	86%	87%	86%	81%	90%	95%	89%	83%	74%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	164	25%	30%	21%	22%	32%	9%	16%	34%	68%
	4/(DK)	82	13%	14%	12%	-	13%	7%	13%	12%	17%
	5-7 Significant Impact	399	62%	56%	67%	78%	55%	84%	71%	55%	15%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	102	16%	13%	17%	23%	34%	42%	14%	19%	6%
	4/(DK)	79	12%	12%	12%	24%	4%	21%	13%	12%	2%
	5-7 Significant Impact	464	72%	75%	71%	53%	62%	36%	73%	69%	92%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	40	6%	7%	4%	20%	22%	26%	4%	6%	4%
	4/(DK)	48	7%	5%	8%	28%	22%	19%	6%	10%	5%
	5-7 Significant Impact	557	86%	88%	89%	52%	55%	55%	90%	84%	91%

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	125	19%	19%	20%	11%	29%	4%	12%	28%	49%
	4/(DK)	93	14%	17%	12%	6%	15%	7%	14%	14%	19%
	5-7 Significant Impact	428	66%	65%	68%	83%	56%	89%	73%	57%	32%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	105	16%	19%	13%	6%	29%	5%	9%	25%	43%
	4/(DK)	72	11%	11%	12%	-	16%	2%	11%	10%	19%
	5-7 Significant Impact	468	72%	70%	75%	94%	55%	94%	79%	65%	38%
Impact: Reducing local taxes	1-3 Little Impact	259	40%	41%	38%	57%	44%	59%	46%	31%	15%
	4/(DK)	124	19%	20%	19%	26%	10%	19%	22%	13%	16%
	5-7 Significant Impact	262	41%	39%	43%	17%	46%	22%	32%	57%	69%
Impact: Easing regulations to allow for more outdoor restaurant seating	1-3 Little Impact	187	29%	32%	25%	11%	56%	23%	27%	35%	32%
	4/(DK)	109	17%	14%	19%	30%	20%	19%	17%	19%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	349	54%	55%	55%	59%	24%	58%	56%	46%	56%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1-3 Little Impact	124	19%	18%	18%	55%	35%	47%	18%	23%	3%
	4/(DK)	81	12%	11%	14%	18%	4%	22%	14%	11%	4%
	5-7 Significant Impact	441	68%	71%	68%	28%	61%	31%	68%	66%	93%

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Most important thing the city of Seattle could do to improve quality of life	Homelessness/Encampments	174	27%	26%	28%	16%	25%	19%	31%	19%	24%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	149	23%	22%	25%	16%	16%	9%	22%	23%	40%
	Affordable housing	93	14%	13%	16%	17%	11%	36%	14%	16%	-
	Racial inequality/Policing	58	9%	10%	8%	5%	17%	13%	7%	13%	12%
	Taxes	33	5%	3%	6%	25%	20%	5%	5%	7%	3%
	Politicians/Government	29	4%	7%	2%	6%	-	2%	3%	6%	12%
	Climate change/Environment	18	3%	4%	2%	-	2%	-	4%	2%	1%
	Cost of living	11	2%	2%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	1%	1%
	Road repairs/Infrastructure	11	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	-	2%	1%	1%
	Public health/Pandemic/Coronavirus	7	1%	1%	1%	6%	-	-	1%	2%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	6	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	2%	-
	Public transportation	6	1%	1%	1%	-	-	4%	0%	1%	1%
	Other	35	5%	6%	4%	8%	5%	8%	6%	4%	4%
	Nothing/Don’t know	12	2%	1%	3%	-	-	1%	2%	3%	1%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Much too high	156	24%	22%	27%	12%	29%	16%	16%	37%	51%
	Somewhat too high	224	35%	37%	34%	30%	21%	22%	37%	32%	34%
	Too low	75	12%	11%	12%	33%	4%	24%	12%	9%	5%
	About right	181	28%	30%	26%	25%	41%	37%	33%	20%	10%
	(Don't know)	9	1%	1%	2%	-	6%	1%	1%	3%	-
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Too high	380	59%	59%	61%	42%	49%	37%	53%	69%	85%
	About right/(DK)	190	30%	31%	27%	25%	47%	38%	35%	22%	10%
	Too low	75	12%	11%	12%	33%	4%	24%	12%	9%	5%
	Net Too high	+304	+47	+48	+49	+9	+45	+13	+41	+59	+81
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	304	47%	46%	49%	27%	49%	14%	43%	53%	76%
	Somewhat less safe	167	26%	25%	28%	33%	5%	28%	28%	25%	17%
	More safe	17	3%	3%	2%	5%	12%	11%	2%	1%	3%
	(About the same)	154	24%	26%	21%	35%	26%	45%	26%	20%	4%
	(Don't know)	3	0%	1%	-	-	7%	1%	1%	-	-

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	471	73%	70%	77%	61%	55%	42%	71%	78%	93%
	(About the same/DK)	157	24%	27%	21%	35%	33%	47%	27%	20%	4%
	More safe	17	3%	3%	2%	5%	12%	11%	2%	1%	3%
	Net Less safe	+454	+70	+68	+75	+56	+43	+31	+69	+77	+90
Police reform preference: Defund & decriminalize vs. Reform & hire	Defund & decriminalize	139	21%	20%	20%	72%	29%	58%	20%	22%	5%
	(Lean Defund & decriminalize)	8	1%	2%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	1%	-
	Reform & hire	492	76%	77%	78%	28%	71%	37%	77%	76%	93%
	(Lean Reform & hire)	5	1%	1%	0%	-	-	3%	0%	-	2%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-
Tent encampments preference: Stop the sweeps vs. Remove encampments	Stop the sweeps	87	13%	11%	13%	56%	31%	45%	11%	17%	-
	(Lean Stop the sweeps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Remove encampments	553	86%	88%	87%	44%	69%	55%	88%	82%	97%
	(Lean Remove encampments)	4	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	3%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-
Opportunity and prosperity preference: Increase taxes & redistribution vs. Increase access & opportunity	Increase taxes & redistribution	281	44%	41%	44%	83%	55%	80%	45%	46%	13%
	(Lean Increase taxes & redistribution)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Increase access & opportunity	346	54%	57%	53%	17%	42%	20%	53%	51%	80%
	(Lean Increase access & opportunity)	8	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	1%	2%	3%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	1%	1%	5%
City budget deficit preference: Maintain spending/Increase taxes vs. Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	205	32%	30%	32%	48%	36%	60%	37%	25%	4%
	(Lean Maintain spending/Increase taxes)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	0%	2%	-
	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	427	66%	68%	65%	52%	64%	40%	62%	72%	94%
	(Lean Support vulnerable/Focus on	4	1%	0%	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	1%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	1%

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Police reform preference:	Defund & decriminalize	146	23%	22%	21%	72%	29%	60%	22%	23%	5%
Defund & decriminalize vs.	Reform & hire	497	77%	78%	78%	28%	71%	40%	78%	76%	95%
Reform & hire	(None/DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	1%	-
	Net Reform & hire	+350	+54	+57	+57	-45	+41	-19	+56	+54	+90
Tent encampments preference:	Stop the sweeps	87	13%	11%	13%	56%	31%	45%	11%	17%	-
Stop the sweeps vs. Remove	Remove encampments	557	86%	89%	87%	44%	69%	55%	89%	82%	100%
encampments	(None/DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Net Remove encampments	+470	+73	+78	+74	-12	+37	+9	+77	+66	-
Opportunity and prosperity	Increase taxes & redistribution	284	44%	41%	44%	83%	55%	80%	45%	47%	13%
preference: Increase taxes &	Increase access & opportunity	354	55%	57%	55%	17%	42%	20%	54%	52%	83%
redistribution vs. Increase access	(None/DK/Ref)	8	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	1%	1%	5%
& opportunity	Net Increase access & opportunity	+70	+11	+16	+11	-66	-13	-59	+9	+6	+70
City budget deficit preference:	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	209	32%	31%	33%	48%	36%	60%	37%	27%	4%
Maintain spending/Increase	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	431	67%	69%	66%	52%	64%	40%	62%	73%	95%
taxes vs. Support	(None/DK/Ref)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	1%
vulnerable/Focus on basics	Net Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	+222	+34	+38	+33	+5	+29	-21	+26	+47	+92
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Once a week or more	207	32%	35%	28%	69%	36%	34%	30%	37%	35%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	231	36%	37%	35%	13%	54%	40%	38%	31%	33%
	A few times a year	124	19%	17%	23%	11%	6%	20%	19%	22%	15%
	Rarely	57	9%	9%	9%	6%	5%	6%	9%	8%	13%
	Never	21	3%	2%	5%	-	-	-	4%	2%	4%
	(Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Weekly	207	32%	35%	28%	69%	36%	34%	30%	37%	35%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	231	36%	37%	35%	13%	54%	40%	38%	31%	33%
	Less often	207	32%	29%	38%	18%	10%	27%	32%	33%	33%

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Downtown visit frequency	Much more often	31	5%	6%	4%	-	-	3%	5%	3%	7%
compared to pre-pandemic	Somewhat more often	67	10%	10%	11%	-	8%	12%	11%	9%	5%
	About the same	212	33%	30%	33%	84%	29%	44%	34%	29%	27%
	Somewhat less often	143	22%	20%	25%	10%	14%	25%	24%	21%	14%
	Much less often	189	29%	33%	26%	6%	49%	14%	25%	38%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	0%	-	-	3%	1%	-	-
Downtown visit frequency	More often	97	15%	16%	16%	-	8%	15%	17%	12%	12%
compared to pre-pandemic	Same/(DK/Ref)	216	33%	31%	34%	84%	29%	47%	35%	29%	27%
	Less often	332	51%	53%	51%	16%	63%	38%	49%	59%	61%
	Net More often	-235	-36	-37	-35	-	-55	-23	-32	-47	-48
Duration of residency	<2 years	25	4%	3%	5%	8%	4%	6%	4%	3%	4%
	2-5 years	103	16%	17%	15%	10%	8%	20%	17%	13%	15%
	6-10 years	104	16%	14%	17%	39%	15%	25%	16%	14%	13%
	11-20 years	143	22%	24%	20%	22%	27%	26%	20%	25%	27%
	>20 years	270	42%	42%	43%	21%	46%	23%	43%	45%	40%
	(Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	644	21.36	21.49	21.44	13.29	23.82	14.60	21.96	22.36	20.54
Duration of residency	1-10 years	231	36%	34%	37%	57%	27%	51%	37%	30%	32%
	11-20 years	143	22%	24%	20%	22%	27%	26%	20%	25%	27%
	>20 years	271	42%	42%	43%	21%	46%	23%	43%	46%	40%

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Party	Strong Democrat	232	36%	35%	41%	5%	-	-	59%	-	-
	Not very strong Democrat	90	14%	15%	13%	5%	14%	-	23%	-	-
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	71	11%	10%	12%	25%	8%	-	18%	-	-
	Independent	64	10%	10%	10%	5%	17%	-	-	50%	-
	Independent, closer to Republican party	39	6%	9%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	50%
	Not very strong Republican	19	3%	4%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	25%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	25%
	Socialist	45	7%	5%	7%	34%	15%	100%	-	-	-
	(Something else/Don’t know/Refused)	64	10%	9%	8%	26%	46%	-	-	50%	-
Party	Socialist	45	7%	5%	7%	34%	15%	100%	-	-	-
	Democrat	393	61%	60%	66%	35%	22%	-	100%	-	-
	Independent	129	20%	19%	18%	31%	63%	-	-	100%	-
	Republican	77	12%	16%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	100%
Ideology	1 - Very liberal	82	13%	12%	12%	31%	11%	52%	12%	6%	2%
	2	107	17%	17%	17%	13%	11%	19%	22%	8%	4%
	3	167	26%	27%	27%	6%	4%	6%	37%	12%	3%
	4	149	23%	25%	22%	10%	34%	6%	21%	37%	23%
	5	60	9%	10%	10%	-	3%	-	4%	10%	39%
	6	14	2%	2%	2%	-	-	-	1%	1%	13%
	7 - Very conservative	15	2%	2%	3%	-	-	4%	0%	2%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	50	8%	5%	7%	40%	38%	14%	3%	24%	3%
	Mean	595	3.17	3.18	3.19	1.91	3.11	1.83	2.85	3.63	4.84
Ideology	Liberal	356	55%	56%	56%	50%	26%	76%	71%	26%	9%
	Moderate	200	31%	30%	29%	50%	72%	20%	23%	60%	27%
	Conservative	89	14%	14%	15%	-	3%	4%	5%	14%	64%

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	445	69%	70%	71%	55%	32%	78%	74%	50%	69%
	African American or Black	26	4%	5%	2%	6%	8%	3%	4%	4%	3%
	Hispanic or Latino	26	4%	3%	4%	30%	-	5%	4%	6%	2%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	52	8%	8%	9%	-	-	2%	9%	8%	8%
	Something else	40	6%	6%	7%	5%	-	12%	5%	7%	5%
	(Refused)	57	9%	8%	7%	4%	60%	-	4%	25%	13%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	445	69%	70%	71%	55%	32%	78%	74%	50%	69%
	African American or Black	26	4%	5%	2%	6%	8%	3%	4%	4%	3%
	Hispanic or Latino	26	4%	3%	4%	30%	-	5%	4%	6%	2%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	52	8%	8%	9%	-	-	2%	9%	8%	8%
	Other Ethnicity/(Ref)	97	15%	14%	14%	9%	60%	12%	9%	32%	18%
Ethnicity	White	445	69%	70%	71%	55%	32%	78%	74%	50%	69%
	POC	143	22%	22%	22%	41%	8%	22%	22%	25%	19%
	(Ref)	57	9%	8%	7%	4%	60%	-	4%	25%	13%
Age	18-29	111	17%	20%	15%	28%	-	23%	18%	11%	21%
	30-39	166	26%	24%	27%	27%	32%	55%	25%	23%	17%
	40-49	117	18%	16%	18%	35%	37%	11%	18%	27%	11%
	50-64	131	20%	21%	20%	4%	26%	4%	19%	24%	30%
	65+	120	19%	18%	21%	6%	5%	7%	21%	15%	21%
Two-Age Split	18-39	277	43%	45%	41%	55%	32%	78%	43%	33%	38%
	40+	368	57%	55%	59%	45%	68%	22%	57%	67%	62%
Generation	18-39	277	43%	45%	41%	55%	32%	78%	43%	33%	38%
	40-64	248	38%	37%	38%	39%	63%	16%	36%	51%	41%
	65+	120	19%	18%	21%	6%	5%	7%	21%	15%	21%

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Education	Some grade school	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Some high school	5	1%	1%	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	2%
	Graduated high school	22	3%	4%	3%	-	8%	9%	1%	5%	8%
	Technical/vocational school	28	4%	4%	4%	-	8%	3%	5%	3%	5%
	Some college/<4-year degree	100	16%	13%	17%	40%	9%	22%	14%	20%	15%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	259	40%	42%	39%	20%	41%	40%	40%	38%	45%
	Graduate/professional degree	215	33%	33%	34%	40%	20%	25%	39%	24%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	15	2%	2%	2%	-	13%	1%	1%	8%	1%
Education	<4-year degree	170	26%	25%	27%	40%	38%	35%	21%	37%	30%
	4-year degree+	475	74%	75%	73%	60%	62%	65%	79%	63%	70%
Education	Less than college	170	26%	25%	27%	40%	38%	35%	21%	37%	30%
	Graduated college	259	40%	42%	39%	20%	41%	40%	40%	38%	45%
	Graduate/professional degree	215	33%	33%	34%	40%	20%	25%	39%	24%	25%
Gender	Male	305	47%	100%	-	-	-	36%	46%	44%	63%
	Female	307	48%	-	100%	-	-	47%	51%	43%	37%
	Non-binary	14	2%	-	-	100%	-	11%	1%	3%	-
	(Refused)	19	3%	-	-	-	100%	6%	1%	9%	-
Region	South	177	28%	26%	29%	31%	28%	20%	27%	32%	26%
	Central	197	31%	32%	28%	32%	34%	39%	30%	28%	31%
	North	271	42%	41%	43%	37%	38%	41%	42%	40%	43%
City Council District	1	94	15%	14%	15%	12%	12%	9%	14%	15%	19%
	2	84	13%	12%	13%	19%	15%	11%	13%	17%	7%
	3	100	16%	17%	12%	27%	31%	33%	15%	14%	8%
	4	81	13%	14%	12%	5%	2%	6%	13%	12%	14%
	5	90	14%	14%	14%	10%	19%	17%	15%	12%	12%
	6	100	16%	14%	17%	22%	17%	18%	14%	17%	18%
	7	97	15%	15%	16%	5%	3%	6%	15%	14%	23%

				Gender				Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	(Ref)	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		645		305	307	14	19	45	393	129	77
Row percent			100%	47%	48%	2%	3%	7%	61%	20%	12%
Vote History (PG20 PG18 PG16)	0-3/6	310	48%	51%	44%	64%	51%	61%	40%	58%	63%
	4-5/6	194	30%	27%	33%	19%	30%	23%	33%	27%	21%
	6/6	142	22%	22%	23%	17%	20%	16%	26%	15%	16%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	136	21%	45%	-	-	-	25%	22%	16%	25%
	M 40-64	113	18%	37%	-	-	-	6%	17%	22%	23%
	M 65+	55	9%	18%	-	-	-	6%	8%	7%	14%
	F 18-39	127	20%	-	41%	-	-	36%	21%	14%	13%
	F 40-64	117	18%	-	38%	-	-	10%	18%	22%	17%
	F 65+	63	10%	-	21%	-	-	1%	12%	8%	7%
	Other	33	5%	-	-	100%	100%	17%	2%	13%	-
Party/Gender	D Male	182	28%	60%	-	-	-	-	46%	-	-
	D Female	202	31%	-	66%	-	-	-	51%	-	-
	R/I Male	106	16%	35%	-	-	-	-	-	44%	63%
	R/I Female	84	13%	-	27%	-	-	-	-	43%	37%
	Other	71	11%	5%	7%	100%	100%	100%	2%	13%	-

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
QOL Index	Mean	645	3.47	3.67	3.57	3.69	2.91	3.55	3.57	3.41	2.86
Homeowner	Homeowner	322	50%	38%	41%	50%	59%	65%	51%	46%	53%
	Renter	322	50%	62%	59%	50%	41%	35%	49%	54%	47%
Seattle Right direction/Wrong	Right direction	150	23%	27%	31%	23%	14%	19%	24%	23%	16%
track	Wrong track	488	76%	73%	68%	76%	84%	79%	75%	75%	84%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	-
	Net Right direction	-338	-52	-45	-37	-53	-70	-61	-50	-53	-69
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	391	61%	67%	59%	54%	59%	65%	64%	55%	49%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	295	46%	38%	34%	48%	58%	53%	47%	40%	52%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	142	22%	26%	29%	28%	15%	12%	22%	26%	10%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	69	11%	9%	12%	13%	11%	9%	9%	15%	12%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	31	5%	4%	4%	5%	6%	5%	5%	1%	10%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	28	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%	6%	3%	8%	3%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	28	4%	5%	4%	2%	6%	5%	5%	3%	6%
	Taxes	14	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	9%
	Traffic/Congestion	11	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	0%
	Jobs/Economy	9	1%	0%	2%	3%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%
	Healthcare cost/Access	9	1%	1%	0%	4%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%
	Growth/Development/Population	7	1%	0%	2%	0%	3%	1%	1%	0%	1%
	Public transportation	7	1%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	0%
	COVID-19/Coronavirus	6	1%	1%	3%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%
	Climate change/Environment	2	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	10	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%
	Nothing/Don’t know	4	1%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	3	0%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	0%	1%	-
	Somewhat better	28	4%	6%	4%	8%	2%	1%	4%	5%	4%
	The same	77	12%	14%	14%	12%	6%	15%	14%	9%	7%
	Somewhat worse	244	38%	43%	47%	37%	31%	29%	36%	43%	37%
	Much worse	281	44%	30%	34%	39%	61%	54%	43%	42%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	5%	1%	3%	-	1%	2%	1%	-
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	31	5%	7%	4%	9%	2%	2%	4%	6%	4%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	90	14%	20%	15%	14%	6%	16%	16%	10%	7%
	Worse	525	81%	73%	81%	77%	92%	83%	79%	84%	90%
	Net Better	-494	-77	-67	-76	-67	-90	-81	-75	-78	-86
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	431	67%	70%	70%	59%	75%	59%	64%	70%	80%
	No	214	33%	30%	30%	41%	25%	41%	36%	30%	20%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	151	35%	42%	48%	41%	16%	26%	33%	45%	27%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	127	29%	15%	22%	32%	44%	35%	31%	21%	40%
	Homelessness	50	12%	15%	11%	8%	16%	5%	11%	14%	11%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	41	9%	14%	5%	6%	10%	15%	9%	7%	14%
	Taxes	11	2%	1%	0%	2%	4%	5%	2%	2%	7%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	9	2%	1%	3%	2%	4%	-	2%	3%	-
	Closer to family	5	1%	-	3%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	5	1%	-	2%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	-
	Growth/Development/Space	3	1%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	-
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	2	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	-
	Other	29	7%	11%	5%	8%	4%	8%	8%	5%	2%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	77	12%	12%	11%	12%	13%	13%	13%	11%	9%
	Somewhat agree	242	38%	46%	39%	38%	27%	39%	39%	37%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	180	28%	20%	31%	28%	29%	29%	26%	31%	34%
	Strongly disagree	143	22%	21%	19%	22%	31%	18%	21%	21%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	399	62%	63%	51%	61%	71%	67%	62%	56%	74%
	Somewhat agree	190	29%	35%	35%	28%	24%	24%	30%	31%	21%
	Somewhat disagree	41	6%	2%	12%	7%	3%	5%	5%	11%	4%
	Strongly disagree	12	2%	-	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	0%	-
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	392	61%	61%	48%	58%	67%	74%	60%	59%	71%
	Somewhat agree	163	25%	24%	36%	23%	21%	19%	26%	25%	18%
	Somewhat disagree	60	9%	11%	11%	9%	7%	6%	10%	9%	6%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	3%	5%	9%	3%	1%	4%	7%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%	1%	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	162	25%	32%	35%	25%	13%	18%	26%	24%	16%
	Somewhat agree	229	36%	41%	35%	37%	35%	30%	37%	33%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	160	25%	13%	20%	29%	30%	33%	22%	27%	38%
	Strongly disagree	94	15%	14%	10%	9%	21%	19%	14%	15%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	51	8%	4%	12%	15%	4%	3%	8%	8%	5%
	Somewhat agree	114	18%	22%	26%	9%	13%	17%	19%	16%	8%
	Somewhat disagree	180	28%	36%	24%	33%	29%	19%	28%	31%	18%
	Strongly disagree	301	47%	38%	38%	43%	54%	62%	44%	45%	68%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	491	76%	74%	70%	74%	78%	87%	75%	73%	91%
	Somewhat agree	99	15%	21%	18%	15%	14%	8%	17%	15%	5%
	Somewhat disagree	26	4%	2%	6%	6%	4%	2%	4%	6%	2%
	Strongly disagree	26	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	4%	6%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	218	34%	40%	44%	46%	23%	14%	37%	29%	17%
	Somewhat agree	211	33%	25%	33%	31%	33%	41%	31%	33%	41%
	Somewhat disagree	111	17%	22%	12%	14%	22%	17%	16%	20%	19%
	Strongly disagree	102	16%	12%	11%	10%	21%	27%	14%	18%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	2%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Strongly agree	273	42%	50%	54%	45%	32%	28%	46%	36%	29%
	Somewhat agree	258	40%	34%	39%	40%	42%	45%	38%	43%	48%
	Somewhat disagree	75	12%	11%	6%	9%	20%	14%	10%	16%	17%
	Strongly disagree	36	6%	5%	1%	6%	6%	11%	6%	5%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	1%	-	-	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	28	4%	3%	6%	4%	4%	3%	4%	6%	1%
	Somewhat agree	155	24%	28%	23%	26%	17%	27%	27%	20%	13%
	Somewhat disagree	190	29%	29%	37%	23%	26%	29%	30%	31%	26%
	Strongly disagree	270	42%	39%	34%	45%	53%	40%	39%	43%	61%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	-
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	74	12%	19%	10%	12%	8%	9%	12%	11%	7%
	Somewhat agree	241	37%	37%	40%	38%	34%	37%	39%	34%	31%
	Somewhat disagree	190	29%	25%	32%	33%	30%	27%	26%	36%	40%
	Strongly disagree	138	21%	17%	18%	17%	28%	27%	22%	19%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
Agree: We need to expand state and regional partnerships to address the issues underlying homelessness	Strongly agree	395	61%	61%	69%	62%	58%	54%	65%	59%	40%
	Somewhat agree	175	27%	27%	24%	28%	27%	31%	26%	27%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	38	6%	2%	3%	5%	9%	10%	5%	7%	11%
	Strongly disagree	33	5%	9%	3%	4%	6%	4%	4%	6%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	0%	3%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Agree: The City of Seattle needs more money to address homelessness	Strongly agree	224	35%	44%	36%	38%	27%	30%	39%	28%	23%
	Somewhat agree	169	26%	26%	34%	28%	19%	21%	27%	29%	16%
	Somewhat disagree	124	19%	14%	20%	15%	25%	22%	18%	22%	21%
	Strongly disagree	125	19%	16%	10%	19%	29%	27%	16%	22%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	-
Agree: Our region does not have enough affordable, high-quality childcare	Strongly agree	308	48%	53%	55%	57%	31%	42%	49%	47%	39%
	Somewhat agree	218	34%	26%	32%	28%	44%	38%	36%	31%	27%
	Somewhat disagree	64	10%	10%	9%	8%	13%	9%	8%	11%	21%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	6%	0%	4%	7%	6%	4%	6%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	27	4%	6%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	6%	7%
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	46	7%	14%	6%	7%	4%	6%	7%	9%	4%
	Somewhat agree	150	23%	20%	23%	26%	21%	27%	25%	18%	19%
	Somewhat disagree	172	27%	27%	31%	27%	25%	23%	25%	33%	20%
	Strongly disagree	271	42%	39%	39%	40%	49%	43%	41%	38%	56%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	-	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
Agree: I support changing regulations to support more density in single family housing zones by allowing duplexes and triplexes in most Seattle neighborhoods	Strongly agree	196	30%	35%	38%	38%	22%	17%	33%	26%	22%
	Somewhat agree	196	30%	26%	31%	33%	27%	35%	30%	32%	24%
	Somewhat disagree	114	18%	20%	19%	12%	26%	10%	15%	24%	24%
	Strongly disagree	136	21%	17%	12%	18%	24%	38%	21%	18%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	2%	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	-
Agree: We need to invest more in behavioral health programs and services to address the issues underlying homelessness	Strongly agree	400	62%	67%	72%	66%	56%	46%	67%	55%	40%
	Somewhat agree	158	24%	17%	20%	22%	27%	38%	23%	27%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	47	7%	8%	6%	7%	9%	7%	5%	9%	19%
	Strongly disagree	39	6%	8%	3%	5%	7%	9%	5%	9%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	1%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	319	50%	58%	50%	49%	40%	52%	52%	48%	34%
	Disagree	323	50%	41%	50%	51%	60%	47%	47%	52%	66%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	-4	-1	+17	-0	-1	-21	+5	+4	-4	-31
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	589	91%	98%	86%	88%	95%	91%	92%	87%	95%
	Disagree	54	8%	2%	14%	12%	5%	8%	7%	13%	5%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+536	+83	+96	+72	+77	+91	+83	+85	+74	+89
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	555	86%	85%	84%	82%	88%	93%	87%	83%	89%
	Disagree	88	14%	15%	16%	18%	11%	7%	13%	16%	11%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+468	+73	+70	+67	+63	+78	+85	+73	+67	+79
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	391	61%	73%	70%	62%	49%	49%	64%	58%	45%
	Disagree	254	39%	27%	30%	38%	51%	51%	36%	42%	55%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+137	+21	+46	+39	+23	-3	-3	+27	+15	-10
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	165	26%	25%	38%	24%	17%	19%	28%	24%	14%
	Disagree	480	74%	75%	62%	76%	83%	81%	72%	76%	86%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-315	-49	-49	-24	-51	-67	-61	-45	-52	-72
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	589	91%	95%	88%	89%	92%	95%	92%	88%	96%
	Disagree	53	8%	5%	11%	11%	7%	5%	7%	12%	4%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	+537	+83	+89	+77	+78	+85	+89	+84	+76	+92

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	429	66%	65%	77%	76%	56%	55%	69%	62%	59%
	Disagree	213	33%	34%	23%	24%	43%	44%	30%	38%	41%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	2%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	+216	+33	+31	+53	+53	+14	+11	+39	+24	+17
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Agree	531	82%	83%	93%	85%	74%	73%	84%	79%	77%
	Disagree	111	17%	16%	7%	15%	26%	25%	15%	21%	22%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	1%	-	-	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+420	+65	+67	+85	+70	+48	+49	+69	+58	+55
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	182	28%	31%	28%	31%	21%	31%	31%	26%	14%
	Disagree	460	71%	69%	72%	68%	79%	68%	69%	74%	86%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	-	1%	0%	1%	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	-278	-43	-38	-43	-37	-58	-38	-38	-48	-73
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	315	49%	56%	50%	51%	42%	46%	52%	45%	38%
	Disagree	328	51%	42%	50%	49%	58%	54%	48%	55%	62%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	-13	-2	+14	+0	+1	-16	-8	+4	-10	-24
Agree: We need to expand state and regional partnerships to address the issues underlying homelessness	Agree	570	88%	88%	93%	90%	85%	85%	91%	86%	76%
	Disagree	71	11%	12%	6%	9%	15%	14%	9%	14%	21%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	0%	3%
	Net Agree	+499	+77	+76	+87	+80	+69	+71	+82	+72	+54
Agree: The City of Seattle needs more money to address homelessness	Agree	393	61%	69%	70%	67%	46%	51%	65%	56%	39%
	Disagree	249	39%	30%	29%	33%	53%	49%	34%	43%	61%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+143	+22	+39	+41	+33	-7	+2	+31	+13	-22

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Agree: Our region does not have enough affordable, high-quality childcare	Agree	526	82%	79%	87%	85%	75%	80%	85%	78%	67%
	Disagree	92	14%	15%	10%	12%	20%	16%	12%	16%	26%
	(DK/Ref)	27	4%	6%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	6%	7%
	Net Agree	+434	+67	+64	+78	+72	+55	+65	+73	+62	+40
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	195	30%	34%	28%	33%	25%	33%	32%	27%	23%
	Disagree	443	69%	66%	69%	67%	74%	66%	67%	72%	77%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	-	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	-248	-38	-32	-41	-34	-49	-33	-35	-45	-53
Agree: I support changing regulations to support more density in single family housing zones by allowing duplexes and triplexes in most Seattle	Agree	392	61%	61%	69%	70%	49%	52%	63%	58%	46%
	Disagree	249	39%	37%	31%	30%	49%	48%	36%	42%	54%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	2%	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	+142	+22	+25	+39	+41	-1	+3	+28	+17	-7
Agree: We need to invest more in behavioral health programs and services to address the issues underlying homelessness	Agree	557	86%	84%	92%	88%	83%	84%	90%	82%	69%
	Disagree	86	13%	16%	8%	12%	16%	16%	10%	18%	30%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+471	+73	+68	+84	+76	+67	+67	+80	+65	+39
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	54	8%	7%	11%	14%	3%	6%	8%	11%	3%
	2	21	3%	3%	8%	3%	1%	-	4%	3%	-
	3	24	4%	8%	5%	4%	1%	2%	4%	4%	3%
	4	41	6%	7%	11%	2%	4%	5%	7%	6%	7%
	5	53	8%	8%	4%	9%	12%	9%	9%	5%	13%
	6	48	7%	11%	6%	9%	8%	4%	7%	9%	4%
	7 – Very significant impact	400	62%	56%	53%	59%	72%	73%	61%	62%	69%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-
	Mean	641	5.75	5.61	5.24	5.53	6.33	6.17	5.73	5.64	6.16

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	14	2%	-	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	2%	-
	2	15	2%	2%	5%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
	3	20	3%	1%	5%	5%	3%	1%	3%	5%	2%
	4	80	12%	17%	18%	11%	7%	7%	12%	13%	13%
	5	98	15%	15%	13%	21%	17%	11%	17%	11%	9%
	6	114	18%	29%	18%	12%	16%	13%	16%	24%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	303	47%	37%	38%	45%	54%	63%	47%	43%	61%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	644	5.78	5.79	5.37	5.60	6.07	6.18	5.76	5.70	6.10
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	32	5%	2%	10%	6%	3%	1%	5%	5%	-
	2	16	2%	1%	5%	4%	2%	-	2%	3%	2%
	3	20	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%
	4	45	7%	8%	9%	10%	3%	5%	7%	8%	3%
	5	99	15%	21%	16%	16%	11%	14%	16%	14%	10%
	6	126	19%	25%	16%	20%	20%	18%	19%	22%	18%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	47%	39%	40%	43%	59%	58%	46%	44%	63%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	644	5.74	5.77	5.22	5.56	6.12	6.18	5.70	5.64	6.28
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	101	16%	10%	15%	14%	21%	19%	13%	16%	33%
	2	49	8%	9%	8%	5%	13%	2%	7%	10%	9%
	3	68	10%	13%	10%	12%	11%	5%	11%	10%	6%
	4	81	13%	11%	15%	11%	10%	15%	13%	11%	12%
	5	98	15%	23%	10%	16%	13%	17%	16%	16%	11%
	6	67	10%	8%	11%	5%	13%	14%	12%	9%	4%
	7 – Very significant impact	181	28%	25%	30%	36%	20%	29%	29%	27%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	645	4.47	4.53	4.51	4.72	4.01	4.64	4.62	4.36	3.65

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	8	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	2%
	2	5	1%	-	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-
	3	18	3%	4%	2%	5%	2%	1%	2%	3%	6%
	4	55	8%	11%	12%	5%	5%	9%	8%	10%	7%
	5	145	22%	28%	24%	22%	21%	16%	22%	22%	25%
	6	132	20%	21%	20%	20%	24%	17%	21%	22%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	280	43%	34%	41%	46%	46%	50%	44%	41%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	0%	-	1%
	Mean	643	5.86	5.72	5.83	5.88	6.01	5.83	5.89	5.80	5.76
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	78	12%	12%	7%	12%	13%	18%	11%	11%	22%
	2	31	5%	5%	5%	2%	8%	3%	5%	5%	5%
	3	55	9%	8%	9%	6%	10%	9%	7%	13%	9%
	4	81	13%	12%	12%	12%	17%	9%	12%	11%	18%
	5	82	13%	14%	13%	12%	14%	11%	15%	8%	10%
	6	92	14%	16%	18%	9%	10%	18%	15%	14%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	224	35%	34%	35%	46%	28%	31%	35%	38%	27%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	0%	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Mean	644	4.91	4.92	5.13	5.21	4.55	4.71	5.00	4.93	4.23
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	25	4%	2%	6%	7%	2%	3%	3%	5%	6%
	2	34	5%	3%	10%	5%	2%	4%	5%	7%	3%
	3	43	7%	4%	11%	9%	3%	5%	6%	11%	2%
	4	77	12%	15%	15%	12%	14%	2%	14%	8%	4%
	5	92	14%	19%	13%	17%	8%	17%	16%	13%	7%
	6	110	17%	24%	16%	12%	14%	21%	19%	12%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	262	41%	34%	29%	37%	57%	47%	37%	43%	61%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Mean	643	5.42	5.54	4.85	5.13	5.94	5.81	5.40	5.25	5.97

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Impact: Addressing violent crime	1 – Very little impact	10	2%	-	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-
and gun violence	2	12	2%	3%	3%	3%	-	-	2%	2%	-
	3	17	3%	3%	5%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	-
	4	47	7%	5%	13%	9%	4%	5%	7%	8%	8%
	5	73	11%	13%	13%	14%	7%	9%	13%	8%	7%
	6	103	16%	24%	17%	16%	13%	11%	17%	15%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	381	59%	53%	46%	53%	74%	73%	56%	63%	73%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Mean	644	6.10	6.10	5.66	5.89	6.47	6.48	6.02	6.17	6.51
Impact: Investing in more public	1 – Very little impact	51	8%	9%	3%	8%	8%	13%	7%	7%	18%
transit	2	27	4%	2%	4%	2%	7%	6%	4%	6%	3%
	3	47	7%	10%	6%	5%	8%	9%	7%	7%	13%
	4	92	14%	14%	10%	16%	16%	18%	15%	8%	25%
	5	121	19%	14%	19%	13%	25%	21%	21%	14%	15%
	6	95	15%	16%	17%	17%	15%	9%	14%	22%	3%
	7 – Very significant impact	212	33%	35%	42%	40%	21%	24%	33%	36%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	644	5.08	5.12	5.55	5.32	4.71	4.53	5.14	5.25	4.14
Impact: Building more affordable	1 – Very little impact	47	7%	6%	4%	8%	7%	12%	7%	7%	14%
housing	2	21	3%	2%	2%	3%	4%	5%	3%	3%	5%
	3	37	6%	5%	7%	4%	6%	7%	5%	5%	13%
	4	72	11%	13%	8%	6%	14%	16%	13%	4%	15%
	5	105	16%	21%	11%	14%	21%	16%	16%	16%	18%
	6	76	12%	6%	13%	15%	13%	11%	12%	12%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	287	44%	47%	55%	49%	35%	34%	44%	53%	27%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	645	5.39	5.45	5.77	5.59	5.16	4.87	5.41	5.66	4.51

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Impact: Reducing local taxes	1 – Very little impact	142	22%	22%	28%	27%	13%	19%	24%	22%	7%
	2	45	7%	9%	7%	6%	7%	6%	7%	8%	2%
	3	71	11%	10%	16%	14%	9%	6%	13%	7%	6%
	4	120	19%	26%	16%	12%	20%	20%	21%	13%	17%
	5	73	11%	11%	10%	11%	14%	11%	11%	12%	13%
	6	54	8%	4%	9%	8%	10%	11%	7%	10%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	136	21%	18%	15%	21%	26%	26%	16%	27%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%
	Mean	641	4.00	3.80	3.59	3.87	4.50	4.34	3.75	4.23	5.33
Impact: Easing regulations to allow for more outdoor restaurant seating	1 – Very little impact	67	10%	8%	10%	12%	13%	10%	10%	10%	15%
	2	49	8%	5%	6%	6%	8%	14%	7%	12%	2%
	3	70	11%	12%	14%	7%	10%	9%	12%	8%	7%
	4	108	17%	15%	18%	23%	14%	14%	15%	21%	20%
	5	140	22%	18%	21%	23%	24%	22%	23%	16%	28%
	6	83	13%	16%	13%	10%	13%	13%	14%	10%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	126	19%	26%	18%	19%	17%	18%	19%	23%	17%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	0%	-	-
	Mean	643	4.49	4.83	4.46	4.44	4.38	4.36	4.50	4.43	4.47
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1 – Very little impact	49	8%	6%	13%	13%	2%	2%	8%	8%	5%
	2	44	7%	5%	12%	10%	3%	3%	7%	10%	-
	3	30	5%	6%	9%	5%	1%	2%	5%	5%	4%
	4	79	12%	17%	18%	7%	10%	7%	15%	8%	5%
	5	84	13%	13%	13%	14%	11%	14%	14%	11%	11%
	6	91	14%	23%	9%	12%	16%	13%	13%	18%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	266	41%	30%	27%	39%	56%	59%	39%	40%	58%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	0%	1%	0%	-	-
	Mean	644	5.24	5.12	4.39	4.92	5.97	6.06	5.16	5.18	5.98

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	99	15%	18%	24%	21%	4%	8%	15%	18%	7%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	7%	13%	2%	4%	6%	7%	6%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	501	78%	74%	64%	77%	92%	86%	77%	76%	86%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	49	8%	3%	13%	11%	5%	4%	8%	9%	4%
	4/(DK)	81	12%	17%	18%	11%	8%	7%	12%	13%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	515	80%	81%	69%	78%	88%	88%	80%	77%	82%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	68	11%	7%	19%	12%	7%	4%	11%	12%	6%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	8%	9%	10%	3%	5%	8%	8%	3%
	5-7 Significant Impact	530	82%	85%	71%	78%	89%	90%	82%	80%	91%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	218	34%	33%	33%	31%	44%	26%	31%	37%	49%
	4/(DK)	81	13%	11%	15%	11%	10%	15%	13%	11%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	346	54%	56%	51%	58%	46%	59%	56%	52%	39%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	31	5%	4%	3%	7%	4%	7%	4%	5%	8%
	4/(DK)	57	9%	12%	12%	5%	5%	10%	9%	10%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	557	86%	84%	85%	88%	91%	83%	87%	85%	83%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	164	25%	25%	22%	21%	30%	30%	23%	29%	36%
	4/(DK)	82	13%	12%	12%	12%	17%	10%	13%	11%	18%
	5-7 Significant Impact	399	62%	63%	66%	67%	52%	60%	65%	60%	46%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	102	16%	8%	27%	21%	7%	12%	14%	24%	11%
	4/(DK)	79	12%	15%	15%	14%	14%	3%	15%	8%	4%
	5-7 Significant Impact	464	72%	77%	58%	66%	79%	85%	72%	68%	85%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	40	6%	6%	11%	8%	3%	2%	7%	6%	-
	4/(DK)	48	7%	5%	13%	9%	4%	5%	7%	8%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	557	86%	90%	76%	83%	93%	93%	86%	86%	92%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	125	19%	20%	12%	15%	23%	28%	17%	20%	34%
	4/(DK)	93	14%	14%	10%	16%	16%	18%	15%	8%	26%
	5-7 Significant Impact	428	66%	66%	78%	69%	60%	55%	68%	72%	40%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	105	16%	13%	13%	15%	17%	24%	15%	15%	32%
	4/(DK)	72	11%	13%	8%	6%	14%	16%	13%	4%	15%
	5-7 Significant Impact	468	72%	74%	79%	79%	69%	61%	72%	81%	53%
Impact: Reducing local taxes	1-3 Little Impact	259	40%	40%	51%	46%	29%	31%	44%	38%	15%
	4/(DK)	124	19%	26%	16%	13%	21%	21%	21%	13%	18%
	5-7 Significant Impact	262	41%	33%	33%	41%	50%	47%	35%	49%	67%
Impact: Easing regulations to allow for more outdoor restaurant seating	1-3 Little Impact	187	29%	25%	30%	25%	31%	33%	29%	30%	23%
	4/(DK)	109	17%	15%	18%	23%	14%	14%	15%	21%	20%
	5-7 Significant Impact	349	54%	60%	52%	52%	54%	53%	55%	49%	57%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1-3 Little Impact	124	19%	17%	34%	28%	6%	6%	19%	23%	9%
	4/(DK)	81	12%	17%	18%	7%	11%	8%	15%	8%	5%
	5-7 Significant Impact	441	68%	65%	48%	65%	83%	86%	66%	69%	86%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Most important thing the city of Seattle could do to improve quality of life	Homelessness/Encampments	174	27%	29%	30%	22%	26%	27%	27%	25%	32%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	149	23%	27%	17%	24%	25%	25%	22%	25%	25%
	Affordable housing	93	14%	11%	20%	14%	14%	10%	15%	14%	10%
	Racial inequality/Policing	58	9%	5%	8%	14%	8%	11%	8%	12%	9%
	Taxes	33	5%	5%	6%	11%	2%	2%	4%	9%	8%
	Politicians/Government	29	4%	5%	4%	2%	7%	4%	4%	5%	4%
	Climate change/Environment	18	3%	4%	2%	0%	4%	5%	4%	1%	2%
	Cost of living	11	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	3%	-	-
	Road repairs/Infrastructure	11	2%	5%	2%	0%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%
	Public health/Pandemic/Coronavirus	7	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	6	1%	2%	2%	-	0%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Public transportation	6	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-
	Other	35	5%	3%	2%	5%	9%	8%	5%	5%	7%
	Nothing/Don't know	12	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Much too high	156	24%	22%	16%	23%	32%	30%	20%	28%	43%
	Somewhat too high	224	35%	37%	29%	33%	46%	31%	35%	33%	36%
	Too low	75	12%	7%	17%	17%	6%	10%	12%	13%	5%
	About right	181	28%	34%	37%	26%	14%	28%	31%	23%	15%
	(Don't know)	9	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Too high	380	59%	59%	45%	57%	78%	60%	55%	62%	79%
	About right/(DK)	190	30%	34%	39%	27%	16%	30%	33%	25%	16%
	Too low	75	12%	7%	17%	17%	6%	10%	12%	13%	5%
	Net Too high	+304	+47	+51	+28	+40	+72	+50	+44	+48	+73
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	304	47%	48%	34%	40%	60%	57%	45%	47%	62%
	Somewhat less safe	167	26%	18%	31%	31%	21%	26%	26%	28%	20%
	More safe	17	3%	4%	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%
	(About the same)	154	24%	28%	31%	27%	17%	15%	25%	22%	16%
	(Don't know)	3	0%	2%	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	471	73%	66%	65%	71%	81%	83%	71%	75%	81%
	(About the same/DK)	157	24%	30%	31%	27%	18%	15%	26%	22%	18%
	More safe	17	3%	4%	4%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%
	Net Less safe	+454	+70	+61	+61	+69	+80	+81	+68	+72	+80
Police reform preference: Defund & decriminalize vs. Reform & hire	Defund & decriminalize	139	21%	24%	34%	27%	9%	10%	23%	21%	13%
	(Lean Defund & decriminalize)	8	1%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	-
	Reform & hire	492	76%	74%	64%	71%	87%	89%	74%	77%	87%
	(Lean Reform & hire)	5	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	-
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	0%	-	0%	-	-
Tent encampments preference: Stop the sweeps vs. Remove encampments	Stop the sweeps	87	13%	13%	21%	18%	6%	7%	14%	14%	7%
	(Lean Stop the sweeps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Remove encampments	553	86%	85%	79%	82%	93%	92%	85%	84%	93%
	(Lean Remove encampments)	4	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-
Opportunity and prosperity preference: Increase taxes & redistribution vs. Increase access & opportunity	Increase taxes & redistribution	281	44%	36%	55%	51%	38%	34%	43%	51%	31%
	(Lean Increase taxes & redistribution)	3	0%	1%	-	0%	-	1%	1%	-	-
	Increase access & opportunity	346	54%	58%	45%	46%	60%	63%	55%	46%	65%
	(Lean Increase access & opportunity)	8	1%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	1%	2%	3%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	3%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
City budget deficit preference: Maintain spending/Increase taxes vs. Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	205	32%	28%	38%	43%	22%	27%	33%	33%	23%
	(Lean Maintain spending/Increase taxes)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%
	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	427	66%	69%	60%	57%	76%	70%	65%	67%	76%
	(Lean Support vulnerable/Focus on	4	1%	-	0%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	-
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	2%	0%	-	0%	1%	1%	-	-

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Police reform preference:	Defund & decriminalize	146	23%	24%	36%	27%	11%	11%	24%	21%	13%
Defund & decriminalize vs.	Reform & hire	497	77%	76%	64%	72%	88%	89%	75%	79%	87%
Reform & hire	(None/DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	-	1%	0%	-	0%	-	-
	Net Reform & hire	+350	+54	+51	+27	+45	+77	+78	+51	+57	+74
Tent encampments preference:	Stop the sweeps	87	13%	13%	21%	18%	6%	7%	14%	14%	7%
Stop the sweeps vs. Remove	Remove encampments	557	86%	86%	79%	82%	94%	93%	86%	85%	93%
encampments	(None/DK/Ref)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Net Remove encampments	+470	+73	+73	+58	+64	+88	+86	+72	+71	+87
Opportunity and prosperity	Increase taxes & redistribution	284	44%	38%	55%	52%	38%	34%	43%	51%	31%
preference: Increase taxes &	Increase access & opportunity	354	55%	59%	45%	48%	62%	63%	56%	48%	68%
redistribution vs. Increase access	(None/DK/Ref)	8	1%	3%	-	-	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
& opportunity	Net Increase access & opportunity	+70	+11	+22	-10	-4	+24	+29	+12	-4	+37
City budget deficit preference:	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	209	32%	29%	39%	43%	23%	27%	33%	33%	24%
Maintain spending/Increase	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	431	67%	69%	61%	57%	77%	72%	66%	67%	76%
taxes vs. Support	(None/DK/Ref)	4	1%	2%	0%	-	0%	1%	1%	-	-
vulnerable/Focus on basics	Net Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	+222	+34	+40	+22	+15	+54	+45	+32	+35	+52
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Once a week or more	207	32%	36%	34%	27%	32%	32%	32%	34%	32%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	231	36%	38%	35%	41%	36%	29%	34%	38%	43%
	A few times a year	124	19%	16%	20%	20%	21%	19%	20%	19%	13%
	Rarely	57	9%	4%	8%	7%	10%	14%	10%	7%	9%
	Never	21	3%	2%	3%	5%	1%	6%	4%	2%	3%
	(Refused)	5	1%	4%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Weekly	207	32%	36%	34%	27%	32%	32%	32%	34%	32%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	231	36%	38%	35%	41%	36%	29%	34%	38%	43%
	Less often	207	32%	26%	31%	32%	32%	39%	34%	28%	25%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Downtown visit frequency	Much more often	31	5%	3%	2%	3%	8%	7%	5%	5%	5%
compared to pre-pandemic	Somewhat more often	67	10%	9%	8%	11%	11%	13%	11%	10%	7%
	About the same	212	33%	29%	36%	33%	26%	39%	33%	34%	27%
	Somewhat less often	143	22%	27%	26%	24%	20%	13%	24%	21%	13%
	Much less often	189	29%	28%	28%	29%	34%	27%	27%	29%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	4%	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
Downtown visit frequency	More often	97	15%	12%	10%	14%	20%	21%	15%	15%	12%
compared to pre-pandemic	Same/(DK/Ref)	216	33%	33%	36%	33%	26%	39%	34%	35%	27%
	Less often	332	51%	55%	54%	53%	55%	40%	51%	50%	61%
	Net More often	-235	-36	-43	-44	-38	-35	-19	-35	-35	-49
Duration of residency	<2 years	25	4%	8%	6%	2%	2%	1%	5%	1%	5%
	2-5 years	103	16%	35%	22%	10%	7%	5%	14%	22%	13%
	6-10 years	104	16%	13%	32%	13%	10%	7%	15%	20%	13%
	11-20 years	143	22%	36%	20%	36%	14%	8%	23%	20%	25%
	>20 years	270	42%	8%	20%	39%	67%	80%	43%	38%	43%
	(Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%
	Mean	644	21.36	10.32	12.53	19.21	28.79	37.77	22.06	18.89	22.04
Duration of residency	1-10 years	231	36%	56%	60%	25%	19%	12%	34%	43%	31%
	11-20 years	143	22%	36%	20%	36%	14%	8%	23%	20%	25%
	>20 years	271	42%	8%	20%	39%	67%	80%	43%	38%	45%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Party	Strong Democrat	232	36%	35%	35%	30%	34%	47%	42%	30%	5%
	Not very strong Democrat	90	14%	15%	15%	16%	12%	12%	13%	16%	14%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	71	11%	13%	10%	13%	11%	9%	10%	14%	7%
	Independent	64	10%	7%	8%	13%	14%	8%	7%	12%	26%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	39	6%	6%	5%	4%	9%	7%	5%	7%	12%
	Not very strong Republican	19	3%	4%	2%	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	2%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	5%	2%	1%	4%	5%	4%	-	3%
	Socialist	45	7%	9%	15%	4%	2%	2%	8%	7%	-
	(Something else/Don’t know/Refused)	64	10%	6%	9%	16%	10%	9%	7%	10%	30%
Party	Socialist	45	7%	9%	15%	4%	2%	2%	8%	7%	-
	Democrat	393	61%	63%	60%	59%	57%	67%	66%	60%	27%
	Independent	129	20%	12%	18%	29%	24%	16%	15%	22%	56%
	Republican	77	12%	15%	8%	7%	18%	14%	12%	10%	17%
Ideology	1 - Very liberal	82	13%	18%	14%	12%	8%	12%	14%	12%	5%
	2	107	17%	19%	22%	20%	10%	11%	17%	19%	8%
	3	167	26%	26%	25%	24%	27%	28%	26%	29%	15%
	4	149	23%	18%	21%	27%	29%	21%	23%	26%	22%
	5	60	9%	8%	8%	4%	12%	16%	10%	6%	16%
	6	14	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%
	7 - Very conservative	15	2%	6%	-	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	50	8%	4%	9%	11%	8%	7%	6%	4%	28%
	Mean	595	3.17	3.07	2.87	2.97	3.57	3.39	3.12	3.14	3.71
Ideology	Liberal	356	55%	63%	61%	56%	44%	51%	57%	59%	29%
	Moderate	200	31%	22%	30%	37%	37%	28%	29%	30%	50%
	Conservative	89	14%	15%	8%	6%	19%	21%	14%	11%	21%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	445	69%	68%	71%	61%	68%	77%	100%	-	-
	African American or Black	26	4%	3%	6%	3%	5%	2%	-	18%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	26	4%	7%	3%	5%	4%	2%	-	18%	-
	Asian or Pacific Islander	52	8%	6%	10%	11%	9%	4%	-	36%	-
	Something else	40	6%	9%	5%	6%	4%	7%	-	28%	-
	(Refused)	57	9%	8%	5%	15%	10%	8%	-	-	100%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	445	69%	68%	71%	61%	68%	77%	100%	-	-
	African American or Black	26	4%	3%	6%	3%	5%	2%	-	18%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	26	4%	7%	3%	5%	4%	2%	-	18%	-
	Asian or Pacific Islander	52	8%	6%	10%	11%	9%	4%	-	36%	-
	Other Ethnicity/(Ref)	97	15%	16%	11%	21%	14%	15%	-	28%	100%
Ethnicity	White	445	69%	68%	71%	61%	68%	77%	100%	-	-
	POC	143	22%	25%	24%	25%	22%	15%	-	100%	-
	(Ref)	57	9%	8%	5%	15%	10%	8%	-	-	100%
Age	18-29	111	17%	100%	-	-	-	-	17%	19%	15%
	30-39	166	26%	-	100%	-	-	-	26%	28%	16%
	40-49	117	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	16%	20%	30%
	50-64	131	20%	-	-	-	100%	-	20%	20%	23%
	65+	120	19%	-	-	-	-	100%	21%	13%	17%
Two-Age Split	18-39	277	43%	100%	100%	-	-	-	43%	47%	30%
	40+	368	57%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	57%	53%	70%
Generation	18-39	277	43%	100%	100%	-	-	-	43%	47%	30%
	40-64	248	38%	-	-	100%	100%	-	36%	40%	53%
	65+	120	19%	-	-	-	-	100%	21%	13%	17%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Education	Some grade school	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Some high school	5	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-
	Graduated high school	22	3%	4%	2%	1%	6%	4%	4%	4%	1%
	Technical/vocational school	28	4%	6%	5%	5%	4%	2%	4%	4%	5%
	Some college/<4-year degree	100	16%	11%	9%	18%	21%	22%	16%	13%	15%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	259	40%	48%	41%	39%	43%	29%	41%	40%	34%
	Graduate/professional degree	215	33%	27%	42%	32%	24%	39%	32%	37%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	15	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	3%	1%	2%	11%
Education	<4-year degree	170	26%	24%	17%	28%	33%	32%	27%	24%	31%
	4-year degree+	475	74%	76%	83%	72%	67%	68%	73%	76%	69%
Education	Less than college	170	26%	24%	17%	28%	33%	32%	27%	24%	31%
	Graduated college	259	40%	48%	41%	39%	43%	29%	41%	40%	34%
	Graduate/professional degree	215	33%	27%	42%	32%	24%	39%	32%	37%	35%
Gender	Male	305	47%	56%	44%	43%	48%	46%	48%	47%	43%
	Female	307	48%	40%	50%	47%	47%	53%	49%	48%	36%
	Non-binary	14	2%	4%	2%	4%	0%	1%	2%	4%	1%
	(Refused)	19	3%	-	4%	6%	4%	1%	1%	1%	20%
Region	South	177	28%	27%	24%	29%	30%	29%	27%	27%	32%
	Central	197	31%	27%	36%	32%	29%	28%	30%	33%	28%
	North	271	42%	46%	41%	39%	41%	43%	43%	39%	39%
City Council District	1	94	15%	7%	12%	21%	15%	18%	15%	12%	14%
	2	84	13%	20%	11%	8%	15%	12%	11%	16%	18%
	3	100	16%	13%	20%	18%	15%	10%	14%	20%	12%
	4	81	13%	25%	10%	7%	11%	11%	12%	15%	8%
	5	90	14%	12%	13%	12%	17%	17%	14%	13%	16%
	6	100	16%	10%	17%	21%	14%	15%	17%	11%	14%
	7	97	15%	14%	16%	14%	14%	18%	15%	13%	16%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		645		111	166	117	131	120	445	143	57
Row percent			100%	17%	26%	18%	20%	19%	69%	22%	9%
Vote History (PG20 PG18 PG16)	0-3/6	310	48%	82%	50%	42%	42%	28%	44%	58%	52%
	4-5/6	194	30%	16%	37%	32%	32%	29%	30%	27%	34%
	6/6	142	22%	2%	14%	26%	26%	43%	25%	15%	14%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	136	21%	56%	44%	-	-	-	21%	22%	17%
	M 40-64	113	18%	-	-	43%	48%	-	17%	21%	17%
	M 65+	55	9%	-	-	-	-	46%	10%	5%	9%
	F 18-39	127	20%	40%	50%	-	-	-	20%	22%	11%
	F 40-64	117	18%	-	-	47%	47%	-	18%	18%	18%
	F 65+	63	10%	-	-	-	-	53%	11%	8%	6%
	Other	33	5%	4%	6%	10%	4%	2%	3%	5%	21%
Party/Gender	D Male	182	28%	39%	25%	25%	27%	27%	29%	31%	15%
	D Female	202	31%	25%	34%	30%	28%	39%	35%	28%	8%
	R/I Male	106	16%	15%	14%	16%	20%	17%	15%	14%	29%
	R/I Female	84	13%	11%	10%	14%	19%	13%	10%	16%	27%
	Other	71	11%	11%	18%	14%	6%	4%	9%	12%	21%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
QOL Index	Mean	645	3.47	3.21	3.57	3.64	3.45	3.34	3.60	3.34
Homeowner	Homeowner	322	50%	38%	54%	32%	58%	61%	100%	-
	Renter	322	50%	62%	46%	68%	42%	39%	-	100%
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	150	23%	16%	26%	28%	22%	20%	26%	21%
	Wrong track	488	76%	82%	73%	70%	78%	79%	74%	78%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Net Right direction	-338	-52	-67	-47	-42	-56	-59	-48	-57
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	391	61%	53%	63%	61%	64%	58%	64%	57%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	295	46%	42%	47%	46%	44%	46%	52%	39%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	142	22%	26%	21%	29%	20%	17%	11%	34%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	69	11%	8%	12%	10%	12%	10%	9%	12%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	31	5%	9%	3%	3%	3%	7%	7%	3%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	28	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	4%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	28	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%
	Taxes	14	2%	3%	2%	0%	3%	4%	2%	2%
	Traffic/Congestion	11	2%	3%	1%	1%	0%	3%	1%	2%
	Jobs/Economy	9	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%
	Healthcare cost/Access	9	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Growth/Development/Population	7	1%	3%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	2%
	Public transportation	7	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	COVID-19/Coronavirus	6	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%
	Climate change/Environment	2	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	10	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
	Nothing/Don’t know	4	1%	0%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	3	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
	Somewhat better	28	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	3%	4%	5%
	The same	77	12%	13%	12%	10%	15%	12%	11%	13%
	Somewhat worse	244	38%	35%	39%	47%	39%	30%	35%	41%
	Much worse	281	44%	47%	42%	34%	40%	54%	48%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	-	3%	5%	0%	0%	1%	3%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	31	5%	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%	5%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	90	14%	13%	14%	15%	15%	13%	13%	15%
	Worse	525	81%	82%	81%	80%	79%	84%	83%	80%
	Net Better	-494	-77	-78	-76	-75	-73	-80	-78	-75
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	431	67%	71%	65%	68%	65%	67%	63%	70%
	No	214	33%	29%	35%	32%	35%	33%	37%	30%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	151	35%	33%	36%	47%	33%	26%	20%	48%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	127	29%	23%	32%	25%	33%	31%	37%	23%
	Homelessness	50	12%	13%	11%	13%	9%	12%	12%	11%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	41	9%	12%	8%	5%	13%	12%	15%	4%
	Taxes	11	2%	5%	1%	-	2%	5%	4%	1%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	9	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%
	Closer to family	5	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	0%	2%	1%
	Traffic/Congestion	5	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%
	Growth/Development/Space	3	1%	1%	0%	0%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	2	0%	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%
	Other	29	7%	7%	7%	5%	6%	8%	6%	7%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	77	12%	13%	12%	13%	11%	12%	14%	10%
	Somewhat agree	242	38%	33%	39%	40%	36%	36%	36%	39%
	Somewhat disagree	180	28%	27%	28%	27%	31%	27%	27%	29%
	Strongly disagree	143	22%	26%	21%	20%	22%	24%	22%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	2%	-	1%	-	0%	-	1%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	399	62%	61%	62%	59%	64%	63%	69%	55%
	Somewhat agree	190	29%	28%	30%	35%	28%	26%	24%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	41	6%	8%	6%	7%	4%	7%	4%	8%
	Strongly disagree	12	2%	4%	1%	-	3%	3%	2%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	0%	1%	1%	-
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	392	61%	62%	60%	54%	61%	67%	66%	55%
	Somewhat agree	163	25%	24%	26%	30%	21%	23%	21%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	60	9%	9%	9%	11%	12%	7%	9%	9%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	4%	4%	5%	6%	3%	3%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	162	25%	23%	26%	27%	31%	20%	23%	28%
	Somewhat agree	229	36%	31%	37%	39%	31%	34%	32%	39%
	Somewhat disagree	160	25%	26%	24%	24%	21%	27%	29%	21%
	Strongly disagree	94	15%	20%	12%	9%	17%	18%	16%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	51	8%	8%	8%	7%	13%	6%	7%	9%
	Somewhat agree	114	18%	15%	19%	18%	23%	14%	16%	20%
	Somewhat disagree	180	28%	24%	29%	33%	22%	26%	24%	32%
	Strongly disagree	301	47%	53%	44%	41%	42%	53%	53%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	491	76%	79%	75%	75%	75%	78%	81%	71%
	Somewhat agree	99	15%	11%	17%	16%	15%	15%	11%	20%
	Somewhat disagree	26	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	4%	5%
	Strongly disagree	26	4%	5%	4%	4%	6%	3%	4%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	218	34%	30%	35%	46%	35%	23%	26%	42%
	Somewhat agree	211	33%	32%	33%	32%	29%	35%	36%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	111	17%	16%	18%	12%	22%	19%	17%	17%
	Strongly disagree	102	16%	22%	14%	9%	13%	23%	21%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Strongly agree	273	42%	36%	45%	51%	46%	33%	38%	46%
	Somewhat agree	258	40%	40%	40%	40%	36%	42%	40%	40%
	Somewhat disagree	75	12%	14%	11%	7%	11%	16%	13%	10%
	Strongly disagree	36	6%	10%	4%	2%	6%	8%	7%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	28	4%	3%	5%	5%	5%	3%	4%	5%
	Somewhat agree	155	24%	22%	25%	24%	24%	24%	23%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	190	29%	26%	31%	35%	24%	27%	30%	29%
	Strongly disagree	270	42%	49%	39%	34%	47%	45%	43%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	0%	0%	0%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	74	12%	9%	12%	11%	15%	10%	16%	7%
	Somewhat agree	241	37%	34%	39%	42%	34%	35%	38%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	190	29%	31%	29%	28%	28%	32%	27%	31%
	Strongly disagree	138	21%	26%	20%	18%	23%	23%	18%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%
Agree: We need to expand state and regional partnerships to address the issues underlying homelessness	Strongly agree	395	61%	54%	64%	67%	61%	56%	60%	62%
	Somewhat agree	175	27%	30%	26%	22%	29%	30%	27%	27%
	Somewhat disagree	38	6%	9%	5%	4%	7%	7%	7%	5%
	Strongly disagree	33	5%	6%	5%	6%	2%	6%	5%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	0%	1%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Agree: The City of Seattle needs more money to address homelessness	Strongly agree	224	35%	34%	35%	40%	37%	29%	27%	42%
	Somewhat agree	169	26%	23%	27%	30%	26%	23%	24%	28%
	Somewhat disagree	124	19%	20%	19%	16%	16%	24%	23%	15%
	Strongly disagree	125	19%	23%	18%	14%	20%	24%	25%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Agree: Our region does not have enough affordable, high-quality childcare	Strongly agree	308	48%	51%	47%	52%	50%	43%	42%	53%
	Somewhat agree	218	34%	31%	35%	32%	33%	36%	36%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	64	10%	9%	10%	6%	13%	12%	14%	6%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	6%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	27	4%	5%	4%	6%	2%	4%	2%	6%
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	46	7%	11%	6%	7%	9%	6%	5%	9%
	Somewhat agree	150	23%	24%	23%	24%	20%	24%	21%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	172	27%	27%	27%	33%	23%	23%	23%	31%
	Strongly disagree	271	42%	37%	44%	34%	47%	46%	51%	33%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%
Agree: I support changing regulations to support more density in single family housing zones by allowing duplexes and triplexes in most Seattle neighborhoods	Strongly agree	196	30%	29%	31%	39%	31%	23%	24%	36%
	Somewhat agree	196	30%	26%	32%	31%	31%	30%	30%	31%
	Somewhat disagree	114	18%	21%	17%	15%	15%	21%	18%	18%
	Strongly disagree	136	21%	24%	20%	14%	24%	26%	28%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	2%	-	0%	-	1%
Agree: We need to invest more in behavioral health programs and services to address the issues underlying homelessness	Strongly agree	400	62%	58%	63%	67%	69%	54%	57%	67%
	Somewhat agree	158	24%	25%	24%	22%	18%	30%	26%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	47	7%	8%	7%	7%	6%	8%	9%	5%
	Strongly disagree	39	6%	9%	5%	4%	7%	8%	7%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	-	-	0%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	319	50%	46%	51%	52%	47%	49%	50%	49%
	Disagree	323	50%	52%	49%	47%	53%	51%	50%	51%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	2%	-	1%	-	0%	-	1%
	Net Agree	-4	-1	-6	+2	+5	-6	-3	+1	-2
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	589	91%	89%	92%	93%	92%	89%	93%	90%
	Disagree	54	8%	11%	7%	7%	7%	10%	6%	10%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	-	0%	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+536	+83	+78	+85	+87	+85	+79	+87	+80
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	555	86%	86%	86%	84%	82%	90%	87%	85%
	Disagree	88	14%	13%	14%	15%	18%	10%	13%	15%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Net Agree	+468	+73	+73	+72	+69	+64	+80	+75	+70
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	391	61%	54%	63%	67%	63%	54%	55%	66%
	Disagree	254	39%	46%	37%	33%	37%	46%	45%	34%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+137	+21	+7	+26	+33	+25	+9	+10	+32
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	165	26%	24%	26%	25%	36%	20%	23%	28%
	Disagree	480	74%	76%	74%	75%	64%	80%	77%	72%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-315	-49	-53	-48	-50	-28	-59	-55	-43
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	589	91%	90%	92%	91%	89%	93%	92%	91%
	Disagree	53	8%	10%	8%	8%	10%	7%	8%	8%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	+537	+83	+80	+84	+82	+80	+86	+84	+82

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	429	66%	62%	68%	78%	64%	58%	61%	71%
	Disagree	213	33%	38%	31%	21%	35%	42%	38%	28%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+216	+33	+25	+37	+57	+29	+16	+23	+44
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Agree	531	82%	76%	85%	91%	82%	75%	79%	86%
	Disagree	111	17%	24%	15%	9%	17%	24%	20%	14%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+420	+65	+51	+70	+82	+65	+51	+58	+72
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	182	28%	25%	29%	29%	29%	27%	26%	30%
	Disagree	460	71%	75%	70%	70%	71%	73%	73%	70%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	0%	0%	0%
	Net Agree	-278	-43	-50	-41	-40	-43	-46	-47	-39
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	315	49%	43%	51%	54%	49%	45%	55%	43%
	Disagree	328	51%	57%	49%	45%	51%	55%	45%	56%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	-13	-2	-14	+2	+8	-3	-10	+9	-13
Agree: We need to expand state and regional partnerships to address the issues underlying homelessness	Agree	570	88%	84%	90%	90%	90%	86%	87%	89%
	Disagree	71	11%	15%	9%	10%	8%	13%	12%	10%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+499	+77	+69	+80	+79	+82	+73	+75	+80
Agree: The City of Seattle needs more money to address homelessness	Agree	393	61%	57%	62%	70%	63%	52%	51%	71%
	Disagree	249	39%	43%	37%	30%	36%	48%	48%	29%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%
	Net Agree	+143	+22	+14	+25	+40	+27	+4	+3	+41

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Agree: Our region does not have enough affordable, high-quality childcare	Agree	526	82%	83%	81%	84%	83%	79%	78%	85%
	Disagree	92	14%	13%	15%	10%	16%	17%	19%	9%
	(DK/Ref)	27	4%	5%	4%	6%	2%	4%	2%	6%
	Net Agree	+434	+67	+70	+66	+74	+67	+62	+59	+76
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	195	30%	34%	29%	31%	30%	30%	26%	34%
	Disagree	443	69%	64%	70%	67%	70%	69%	74%	64%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	2%
	Net Agree	-248	-38	-30	-41	-36	-40	-39	-48	-29
Agree: I support changing regulations to support more density in single family housing zones by allowing duplexes and triplexes in most Seattle	Agree	392	61%	55%	63%	69%	62%	53%	54%	67%
	Disagree	249	39%	45%	36%	29%	38%	47%	46%	31%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	2%	-	0%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+142	+22	+10	+26	+40	+24	+5	+8	+36
Agree: We need to invest more in behavioral health programs and services to address the issues underlying homelessness	Agree	557	86%	83%	88%	89%	87%	84%	83%	89%
	Disagree	86	13%	17%	12%	11%	13%	16%	17%	10%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	-	-	0%
	Net Agree	+471	+73	+67	+75	+78	+74	+68	+67	+79
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	54	8%	9%	8%	7%	15%	6%	5%	12%
	2	21	3%	2%	4%	6%	2%	2%	1%	5%
	3	24	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	2%	2%	5%
	4	41	6%	6%	6%	7%	9%	5%	5%	8%
	5	53	8%	8%	8%	9%	7%	8%	6%	10%
	6	48	7%	7%	8%	7%	8%	7%	10%	5%
	7 – Very significant impact	400	62%	64%	61%	58%	55%	69%	71%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Mean	641	5.75	5.81	5.73	5.62	5.35	6.07	6.19	5.30

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	14	2%	4%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	2%
	2	15	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%
	3	20	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%
	4	80	12%	10%	13%	16%	15%	8%	8%	17%
	5	98	15%	13%	16%	16%	15%	15%	13%	17%
	6	114	18%	17%	18%	17%	22%	16%	20%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	303	47%	50%	46%	43%	39%	54%	52%	42%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	1%	-	-	0%
	Mean	644	5.78	5.78	5.78	5.64	5.62	5.97	5.96	5.59
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	32	5%	6%	5%	5%	6%	4%	5%	5%
	2	16	2%	0%	3%	3%	4%	1%	3%	2%
	3	20	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%
	4	45	7%	5%	8%	8%	7%	7%	5%	9%
	5	99	15%	15%	16%	18%	15%	13%	13%	18%
	6	126	19%	17%	20%	16%	24%	20%	18%	21%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	47%	53%	45%	47%	41%	51%	56%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%
	Mean	644	5.74	5.88	5.69	5.67	5.55	5.89	5.94	5.53
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	101	16%	16%	16%	14%	16%	17%	16%	15%
	2	49	8%	4%	9%	7%	10%	7%	9%	7%
	3	68	10%	9%	11%	13%	11%	8%	11%	10%
	4	81	13%	14%	12%	13%	15%	11%	14%	11%
	5	98	15%	15%	15%	15%	13%	16%	15%	16%
	6	67	10%	9%	11%	10%	11%	10%	13%	8%
	7 – Very significant impact	181	28%	34%	26%	28%	25%	30%	23%	33%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	645	4.47	4.71	4.39	4.51	4.30	4.53	4.33	4.62

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	8	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%
	2	5	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
	3	18	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%
	4	55	8%	9%	8%	10%	8%	8%	9%	8%
	5	145	22%	24%	22%	24%	22%	21%	16%	29%
	6	132	20%	25%	19%	22%	17%	21%	22%	19%
	7 – Very significant impact	280	43%	38%	45%	41%	47%	44%	49%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean	643	5.86	5.83	5.87	5.87	5.92	5.82	6.01	5.71
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	78	12%	14%	11%	10%	12%	14%	14%	10%
	2	31	5%	4%	5%	6%	3%	5%	5%	4%
	3	55	9%	9%	8%	6%	9%	10%	11%	6%
	4	81	13%	11%	13%	13%	13%	12%	12%	13%
	5	82	13%	10%	14%	12%	15%	12%	13%	12%
	6	92	14%	14%	14%	17%	8%	16%	12%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	224	35%	38%	34%	36%	40%	31%	32%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-
	Mean	644	4.91	4.94	4.91	5.02	5.02	4.76	4.72	5.11
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	25	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	5%
	2	34	5%	7%	5%	7%	5%	4%	3%	7%
	3	43	7%	5%	7%	8%	9%	4%	5%	9%
	4	77	12%	6%	14%	14%	14%	9%	10%	14%
	5	92	14%	11%	15%	14%	16%	14%	14%	14%
	6	110	17%	17%	17%	18%	14%	18%	18%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	262	41%	49%	38%	36%	38%	46%	47%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	-	1%	0%	0%
	Mean	643	5.42	5.60	5.35	5.29	5.28	5.60	5.73	5.11

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	10	2%	3%	1%	-	4%	2%	1%	2%
	2	12	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%
	3	17	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%
	4	47	7%	7%	7%	8%	8%	6%	5%	10%
	5	73	11%	11%	11%	13%	13%	9%	10%	12%
	6	103	16%	15%	16%	20%	16%	13%	15%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	381	59%	59%	59%	55%	53%	66%	64%	54%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	-
	Mean	644	6.10	6.04	6.12	6.11	5.83	6.23	6.25	5.94
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1 – Very little impact	51	8%	10%	7%	4%	9%	10%	10%	5%
	2	27	4%	4%	4%	3%	2%	6%	3%	5%
	3	47	7%	6%	8%	5%	9%	8%	8%	7%
	4	92	14%	15%	14%	16%	11%	15%	16%	13%
	5	121	19%	21%	18%	20%	10%	22%	22%	16%
	6	95	15%	14%	15%	14%	20%	13%	15%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	212	33%	29%	34%	38%	39%	25%	26%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%
	Mean	644	5.08	4.91	5.14	5.40	5.27	4.70	4.85	5.31
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1 – Very little impact	47	7%	10%	6%	4%	8%	10%	9%	5%
	2	21	3%	4%	3%	1%	5%	4%	6%	1%
	3	37	6%	3%	7%	5%	8%	5%	8%	3%
	4	72	11%	7%	13%	10%	11%	12%	14%	9%
	5	105	16%	14%	17%	18%	13%	17%	19%	14%
	6	76	12%	10%	13%	11%	12%	12%	15%	8%
	7 – Very significant impact	287	44%	52%	42%	51%	44%	39%	29%	60%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	645	5.39	5.46	5.37	5.74	5.28	5.15	4.89	5.89

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Impact: Reducing local taxes	1 – Very little impact	142	22%	17%	24%	21%	28%	20%	23%	21%
	2	45	7%	2%	9%	7%	8%	6%	6%	8%
	3	71	11%	8%	12%	14%	15%	6%	10%	12%
	4	120	19%	19%	18%	25%	13%	16%	18%	20%
	5	73	11%	15%	10%	10%	11%	12%	11%	11%
	6	54	8%	9%	8%	5%	8%	11%	10%	7%
	7 – Very significant impact	136	21%	30%	18%	16%	18%	27%	22%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Mean	641	4.00	4.57	3.79	3.76	3.68	4.37	4.06	3.93
Impact: Easing regulations to allow for more outdoor restaurant seating	1 – Very little impact	67	10%	14%	9%	6%	10%	14%	9%	12%
	2	49	8%	5%	9%	8%	6%	8%	8%	7%
	3	70	11%	10%	11%	12%	11%	10%	9%	13%
	4	108	17%	13%	18%	19%	20%	13%	18%	15%
	5	140	22%	22%	22%	21%	20%	23%	24%	19%
	6	83	13%	16%	12%	11%	12%	15%	13%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	126	19%	19%	20%	23%	21%	16%	19%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%
	Mean	643	4.49	4.50	4.48	4.66	4.51	4.32	4.54	4.43
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1 – Very little impact	49	8%	7%	8%	8%	12%	5%	6%	10%
	2	44	7%	6%	7%	7%	9%	5%	4%	9%
	3	30	5%	4%	5%	8%	4%	2%	3%	6%
	4	79	12%	12%	12%	15%	11%	10%	9%	16%
	5	84	13%	11%	14%	14%	10%	14%	13%	13%
	6	91	14%	15%	14%	11%	18%	15%	16%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	266	41%	46%	40%	37%	35%	48%	48%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	-
	Mean	644	5.24	5.42	5.18	5.01	4.92	5.61	5.61	4.87

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	99	15%	14%	16%	18%	22%	10%	8%	22%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	6%	7%	7%	9%	6%	5%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	501	78%	79%	77%	75%	70%	85%	86%	69%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	49	8%	10%	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	8%
	4/(DK)	81	12%	10%	13%	16%	16%	8%	8%	17%
	5-7 Significant Impact	515	80%	80%	80%	76%	76%	85%	85%	75%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	68	11%	9%	11%	11%	14%	9%	9%	12%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	6%	8%	8%	7%	7%	5%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	530	82%	85%	81%	81%	79%	85%	86%	78%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	218	34%	28%	36%	34%	36%	32%	35%	32%
	4/(DK)	81	13%	14%	12%	13%	15%	11%	14%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	346	54%	58%	52%	53%	48%	57%	50%	57%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	31	5%	3%	5%	3%	5%	6%	4%	6%
	4/(DK)	57	9%	10%	8%	10%	9%	8%	10%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	557	86%	87%	86%	87%	87%	86%	87%	86%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	164	25%	27%	25%	23%	24%	29%	30%	21%
	4/(DK)	82	13%	11%	13%	13%	13%	12%	13%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	399	62%	62%	62%	64%	64%	59%	58%	66%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	102	16%	16%	16%	17%	18%	13%	10%	21%
	4/(DK)	79	12%	6%	14%	14%	14%	10%	10%	14%
	5-7 Significant Impact	464	72%	77%	70%	68%	68%	77%	79%	65%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	40	6%	8%	6%	4%	10%	6%	5%	7%
	4/(DK)	48	7%	7%	8%	8%	8%	6%	5%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	557	86%	85%	87%	87%	82%	88%	90%	83%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	125	19%	21%	19%	12%	20%	25%	22%	17%
	4/(DK)	93	14%	15%	14%	16%	11%	15%	16%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	428	66%	64%	67%	72%	69%	60%	63%	70%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	105	16%	18%	16%	10%	20%	19%	23%	9%
	4/(DK)	72	11%	7%	13%	10%	11%	12%	14%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	468	72%	75%	72%	80%	69%	68%	63%	82%
Impact: Reducing local taxes	1-3 Little Impact	259	40%	27%	45%	43%	50%	32%	39%	41%
	4/(DK)	124	19%	20%	19%	25%	13%	17%	18%	20%
	5-7 Significant Impact	262	41%	53%	36%	32%	37%	50%	43%	38%
Impact: Easing regulations to allow for more outdoor restaurant seating	1-3 Little Impact	187	29%	29%	29%	26%	28%	32%	26%	32%
	4/(DK)	109	17%	14%	18%	20%	20%	13%	18%	16%
	5-7 Significant Impact	349	54%	57%	53%	55%	53%	54%	56%	52%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1-3 Little Impact	124	19%	16%	20%	23%	26%	13%	14%	25%
	4/(DK)	81	12%	12%	13%	16%	11%	11%	9%	16%
	5-7 Significant Impact	441	68%	71%	67%	62%	63%	77%	77%	59%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Most important thing the city of Seattle could do to improve quality of life	Homelessness/Encampments	174	27%	26%	27%	31%	21%	27%	30%	24%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	149	23%	19%	25%	22%	25%	23%	28%	18%
	Affordable housing	93	14%	15%	14%	15%	14%	14%	10%	19%
	Racial inequality/Policing	58	9%	11%	8%	10%	8%	9%	10%	8%
	Taxes	33	5%	4%	5%	5%	7%	4%	4%	6%
	Politicians/Government	29	4%	6%	4%	2%	6%	6%	6%	3%
	Climate change/Environment	18	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%
	Cost of living	11	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%
	Road repairs/Infrastructure	11	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%	1%	1%	3%
	Public health/Pandemic/Coronavirus	7	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Traffic/Congestion	6	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Public transportation	6	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Other	35	5%	8%	4%	4%	4%	8%	4%	6%
	Nothing/Don’t know	12	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Much too high	156	24%	33%	21%	17%	27%	28%	28%	21%
	Somewhat too high	224	35%	34%	35%	35%	31%	36%	33%	36%
	Too low	75	12%	8%	13%	16%	11%	8%	9%	14%
	About right	181	28%	24%	30%	30%	31%	25%	29%	27%
	(Don't know)	9	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Too high	380	59%	67%	56%	53%	57%	65%	61%	57%
	About right/(DK)	190	30%	25%	31%	31%	32%	27%	30%	29%
	Too low	75	12%	8%	13%	16%	11%	8%	9%	14%
	Net Too high	+304	+47	+59	+43	+37	+46	+57	+51	+43
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	304	47%	52%	45%	44%	45%	51%	50%	45%
	Somewhat less safe	167	26%	25%	26%	29%	22%	25%	25%	27%
	More safe	17	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%
	(About the same)	154	24%	19%	26%	22%	32%	21%	22%	26%
	(Don't know)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	-	0%	1%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	471	73%	77%	71%	73%	66%	76%	75%	71%
	(About the same/DK)	157	24%	19%	26%	24%	32%	21%	22%	27%
	More safe	17	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%
	Net Less safe	+454	+70	+74	+69	+70	+64	+74	+72	+69
Police reform preference: Defund & decriminalize vs. Reform & hire	Defund & decriminalize	139	21%	22%	21%	26%	28%	14%	13%	30%
	(Lean Defund & decriminalize)	8	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
	Reform & hire	492	76%	77%	76%	72%	71%	83%	85%	67%
	(Lean Reform & hire)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	0%
Tent encampments preference: Stop the sweeps vs. Remove encampments	Stop the sweeps	87	13%	12%	14%	14%	18%	10%	7%	20%
	(Lean Stop the sweeps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Remove encampments	553	86%	87%	85%	85%	81%	89%	92%	79%
	(Lean Remove encampments)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%
Opportunity and prosperity preference: Increase taxes & redistribution vs. Increase access & opportunity	Increase taxes & redistribution	281	44%	47%	42%	47%	44%	40%	32%	55%
	(Lean Increase taxes & redistribution)	3	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Increase access & opportunity	346	54%	49%	55%	50%	52%	58%	65%	43%
	(Lean Increase access & opportunity)	8	1%	2%	1%	0%	3%	1%	2%	1%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
City budget deficit preference: Maintain spending/Increase taxes vs. Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	205	32%	23%	35%	35%	33%	29%	30%	34%
	(Lean Maintain spending/Increase taxes)	4	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%
	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	427	66%	74%	63%	63%	65%	70%	68%	65%
	(Lean Support vulnerable/Focus on	4	1%	1%	0%	0%	-	1%	1%	0%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Police reform preference:	Defund & decriminalize	146	23%	22%	23%	27%	29%	16%	14%	32%
Defund & decriminalize vs.	Reform & hire	497	77%	78%	77%	73%	71%	83%	86%	68%
Reform & hire	(None/DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	0%
	Net Reform & hire	+350	+54	+56	+54	+47	+42	+67	+72	+36
Tent encampments preference:	Stop the sweeps	87	13%	12%	14%	14%	18%	10%	7%	20%
Stop the sweeps vs. Remove	Remove encampments	557	86%	87%	86%	85%	82%	90%	93%	80%
encampments	(None/DK/Ref)	1	0%	1%	-	0%	-	-	-	0%
	Net Remove encampments	+470	+73	+75	+72	+71	+63	+79	+86	+60
Opportunity and prosperity	Increase taxes & redistribution	284	44%	48%	42%	48%	44%	41%	33%	55%
preference: Increase taxes &	Increase access & opportunity	354	55%	51%	56%	50%	55%	59%	66%	43%
redistribution vs. Increase access	(None/DK/Ref)	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
& opportunity	Net Increase access & opportunity	+70	+11	+3	+14	+3	+10	+18	+34	-12
City budget deficit preference:	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	209	32%	25%	35%	36%	33%	29%	31%	34%
Maintain spending/Increase	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	431	67%	75%	64%	63%	65%	71%	69%	65%
taxes vs. Support	(None/DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
vulnerable/Focus on basics	Net Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	+222	+34	+51	+29	+27	+32	+42	+38	+31
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Once a week or more	207	32%	28%	33%	35%	32%	30%	30%	34%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	231	36%	28%	39%	34%	44%	33%	40%	31%
	A few times a year	124	19%	25%	17%	16%	19%	22%	18%	20%
	Rarely	57	9%	15%	7%	9%	5%	11%	7%	11%
	Never	21	3%	4%	3%	4%	1%	4%	4%	3%
	(Refused)	5	1%	-	1%	2%	-	-	0%	1%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Weekly	207	32%	28%	33%	35%	32%	30%	30%	34%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	231	36%	28%	39%	34%	44%	33%	40%	31%
	Less often	207	32%	44%	28%	31%	25%	37%	29%	35%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Downtown visit frequency	Much more often	31	5%	7%	4%	3%	5%	6%	4%	5%
compared to pre-pandemic	Somewhat more often	67	10%	12%	10%	10%	12%	9%	11%	10%
	About the same	212	33%	30%	34%	31%	30%	36%	31%	35%
	Somewhat less often	143	22%	18%	24%	30%	18%	17%	23%	21%
	Much less often	189	29%	33%	28%	25%	34%	31%	31%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	0%	1%
Downtown visit frequency	More often	97	15%	19%	14%	13%	17%	16%	15%	15%
compared to pre-pandemic	Same/(DK/Ref)	216	33%	30%	35%	32%	31%	36%	31%	36%
	Less often	332	51%	51%	52%	55%	52%	48%	54%	49%
	Net More often	-235	-36	-32	-38	-42	-35	-33	-39	-33
Duration of residency	<2 years	25	4%	2%	5%	11%	-	-	2%	6%
	2-5 years	103	16%	8%	19%	44%	-	-	9%	23%
	6-10 years	104	16%	18%	16%	45%	-	-	12%	20%
	11-20 years	143	22%	15%	25%	-	100%	-	26%	19%
	>20 years	270	42%	57%	36%	-	-	100%	52%	32%
	(Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Mean	644	21.36	26.35	19.56	5.25	16.26	37.86	25.55	17.15
Duration of residency	1-10 years	231	36%	28%	39%	100%	-	-	23%	49%
	11-20 years	143	22%	15%	25%	-	100%	-	26%	19%
	>20 years	271	42%	57%	36%	-	-	100%	52%	32%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Party	Strong Democrat	232	36%	26%	39%	35%	32%	39%	41%	31%
	Not very strong Democrat	90	14%	12%	15%	18%	12%	12%	15%	13%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	71	11%	10%	11%	10%	11%	12%	12%	10%
	Independent	64	10%	11%	9%	8%	12%	11%	10%	10%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	39	6%	6%	6%	5%	8%	6%	6%	6%
	Not very strong Republican	19	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	2%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	5%	2%	2%	5%	3%	3%	3%
	Socialist	45	7%	9%	6%	10%	8%	4%	4%	10%
	(Something else/Don’t know/Refused)	64	10%	17%	8%	8%	11%	11%	6%	14%
Party	Socialist	45	7%	9%	6%	10%	8%	4%	4%	10%
	Democrat	393	61%	49%	65%	63%	55%	63%	68%	54%
	Independent	129	20%	28%	17%	17%	22%	22%	16%	24%
	Republican	77	12%	14%	11%	11%	15%	12%	12%	12%
Ideology	1 - Very liberal	82	13%	14%	12%	10%	15%	13%	10%	15%
	2	107	17%	12%	18%	19%	22%	12%	11%	22%
	3	167	26%	17%	29%	29%	20%	26%	31%	20%
	4	149	23%	28%	21%	23%	19%	25%	27%	20%
	5	60	9%	11%	9%	8%	10%	10%	11%	8%
	6	14	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	4%	1%
	7 - Very conservative	15	2%	5%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	50	8%	11%	7%	6%	8%	9%	5%	10%
	Mean	595	3.17	3.40	3.09	3.12	3.06	3.26	3.37	2.96
Ideology	Liberal	356	55%	43%	59%	59%	57%	51%	52%	58%
	Moderate	200	31%	39%	28%	30%	28%	34%	32%	30%
	Conservative	89	14%	18%	12%	11%	15%	15%	16%	12%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	445	69%	70%	69%	66%	70%	71%	70%	68%
	African American or Black	26	4%	6%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%
	Hispanic or Latino	26	4%	2%	5%	6%	2%	4%	2%	6%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	52	8%	6%	9%	9%	9%	7%	9%	7%
	Something else	40	6%	6%	6%	9%	4%	5%	5%	8%
	(Refused)	57	9%	10%	8%	8%	10%	9%	9%	8%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	445	69%	70%	69%	66%	70%	71%	70%	68%
	African American or Black	26	4%	6%	3%	3%	5%	4%	4%	4%
	Hispanic or Latino	26	4%	2%	5%	6%	2%	4%	2%	6%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	52	8%	6%	9%	9%	9%	7%	9%	7%
	Other Ethnicity/(Ref)	97	15%	17%	14%	16%	14%	15%	14%	16%
Ethnicity	White	445	69%	70%	69%	66%	70%	71%	70%	68%
	POC	143	22%	20%	23%	26%	20%	20%	20%	24%
	(Ref)	57	9%	10%	8%	8%	10%	9%	9%	8%
Age	18-29	111	17%	16%	18%	27%	28%	3%	13%	21%
	30-39	166	26%	17%	29%	43%	23%	12%	21%	31%
	40-49	117	18%	19%	18%	13%	30%	17%	18%	18%
	50-64	131	20%	25%	18%	11%	13%	32%	24%	17%
	65+	120	19%	23%	17%	6%	7%	35%	24%	13%
Two-Age Split	18-39	277	43%	33%	47%	70%	51%	15%	34%	52%
	40+	368	57%	67%	53%	30%	49%	85%	66%	48%
Generation	18-39	277	43%	33%	47%	70%	51%	15%	34%	52%
	40-64	248	38%	45%	36%	23%	42%	49%	42%	35%
	65+	120	19%	23%	17%	6%	7%	35%	24%	13%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Education	Some grade school	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Some high school	5	1%	3%	-	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Graduated high school	22	3%	13%	-	1%	2%	7%	2%	5%
	Technical/vocational school	28	4%	16%	-	5%	2%	5%	3%	6%
	Some college/<4-year degree	100	16%	59%	-	12%	12%	21%	13%	19%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	259	40%	-	55%	41%	46%	37%	41%	39%
	Graduate/professional degree	215	33%	-	45%	39%	37%	27%	39%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	15	2%	9%	-	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%
Education	<4-year degree	170	26%	100%	-	20%	18%	36%	20%	33%
	4-year degree+	475	74%	-	100%	80%	82%	64%	80%	67%
Education	Less than college	170	26%	100%	-	20%	18%	36%	20%	33%
	Graduated college	259	40%	-	55%	41%	46%	37%	41%	39%
	Graduate/professional degree	215	33%	-	45%	39%	37%	27%	39%	28%
Gender	Male	305	47%	44%	48%	45%	50%	47%	47%	48%
	Female	307	48%	48%	47%	49%	44%	48%	50%	45%
	Non-binary	14	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%	1%	3%
	(Refused)	19	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%
Region	South	177	28%	31%	26%	19%	32%	32%	34%	21%
	Central	197	31%	24%	33%	42%	24%	24%	22%	39%
	North	271	42%	45%	41%	40%	43%	43%	44%	40%
City Council District	1	94	15%	16%	14%	10%	14%	19%	20%	9%
	2	84	13%	14%	12%	9%	18%	14%	14%	12%
	3	100	16%	15%	16%	20%	14%	13%	13%	18%
	4	81	13%	12%	13%	12%	17%	10%	12%	13%
	5	90	14%	18%	12%	12%	11%	17%	16%	12%
	6	100	16%	15%	16%	16%	15%	16%	15%	16%
	7	97	15%	9%	17%	22%	11%	11%	9%	21%

				Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
		n	%	<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		645		170	475	231	143	271	322	322
Row percent			100%	26%	74%	36%	22%	42%	50%	50%
Vote History (PG20 PG18 PG16)	0-3/6	310	48%	51%	47%	62%	48%	36%	37%	59%
	4-5/6	194	30%	32%	29%	30%	29%	31%	32%	28%
	6/6	142	22%	17%	24%	8%	22%	34%	32%	12%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	136	21%	15%	23%	32%	30%	6%	18%	24%
	M 40-64	113	18%	20%	17%	11%	16%	24%	18%	17%
	M 65+	55	9%	9%	8%	2%	4%	16%	10%	7%
	F 18-39	127	20%	14%	22%	34%	18%	8%	14%	25%
	F 40-64	117	18%	21%	17%	11%	23%	22%	22%	14%
	F 65+	63	10%	13%	9%	5%	2%	18%	14%	6%
	Other	33	5%	8%	4%	6%	6%	4%	3%	7%
Party/Gender	D Male	182	28%	19%	32%	25%	30%	30%	32%	24%
	D Female	202	31%	29%	32%	35%	23%	32%	34%	28%
	R/I Male	106	16%	21%	15%	16%	18%	16%	13%	20%
	R/I Female	84	13%	16%	12%	9%	16%	15%	14%	12%
	Other	71	11%	14%	10%	14%	13%	8%	6%	16%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
QOL Index	Mean	645	3.47	3.64	3.39	3.56	3.61	3.26	3.59	2.93
Homeowner	Homeowner	322	50%	44%	52%	57%	36%	60%	71%	34%
	Renter	322	50%	56%	48%	43%	64%	40%	29%	66%
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	150	23%	29%	20%	23%	32%	18%	16%	10%
	Wrong track	488	76%	71%	78%	75%	67%	81%	83%	90%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-
	Net Right direction	-338	-52	-42	-58	-52	-35	-63	-67	-81
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	391	61%	64%	56%	67%	60%	60%	66%	43%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	295	46%	36%	59%	48%	38%	52%	59%	19%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	142	22%	23%	19%	13%	29%	20%	12%	42%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	69	11%	11%	7%	17%	7%	13%	2%	32%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	31	5%	6%	8%	2%	2%	3%	7%	8%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	28	4%	3%	5%	8%	4%	4%	5%	2%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	28	4%	4%	5%	2%	4%	3%	8%	4%
	Taxes	14	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	2%	3%	12%
	Traffic/Congestion	11	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%
	Jobs/Economy	9	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	2%	0%	4%
	Healthcare cost/Access	9	1%	1%	1%	4%	0%	3%	1%	0%
	Growth/Development/Population	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%
	Public transportation	7	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%
	COVID-19/Coronavirus	6	1%	3%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Climate change/Environment	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Other	10	2%	1%	1%	0%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	Nothing/Don’t know	4	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	3	0%	1%	0%	2%	-	1%	-	-
	Somewhat better	28	4%	5%	8%	-	4%	3%	2%	10%
	The same	77	12%	18%	8%	18%	11%	9%	11%	9%
	Somewhat worse	244	38%	43%	31%	30%	48%	35%	29%	42%
	Much worse	281	44%	31%	52%	51%	34%	51%	56%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	2%	1%	-	4%	1%	2%	-
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	31	5%	5%	8%	2%	4%	4%	2%	10%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	90	14%	20%	9%	18%	15%	11%	13%	9%
	Worse	525	81%	75%	83%	81%	82%	86%	85%	81%
	Net Better	-494	-77	-69	-75	-79	-78	-82	-84	-71
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	431	67%	69%	68%	63%	70%	66%	55%	76%
	No	214	33%	31%	32%	37%	30%	34%	45%	24%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	151	35%	40%	22%	31%	53%	28%	21%	41%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	127	29%	24%	39%	34%	12%	38%	36%	43%
	Homelessness	50	12%	15%	14%	8%	12%	13%	3%	5%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	41	9%	10%	13%	18%	6%	5%	12%	9%
	Taxes	11	2%	1%	3%	-	0%	5%	9%	2%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	9	2%	2%	1%	-	2%	5%	-	-
	Closer to family	5	1%	3%	-	-	1%	1%	3%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	5	1%	1%	-	3%	2%	-	3%	-
	Growth/Development/Space	3	1%	-	1%	4%	1%	-	-	-
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	2	0%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-
	Other	29	7%	4%	8%	3%	12%	4%	14%	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	77	12%	9%	15%	15%	13%	11%	12%	7%
	Somewhat agree	242	38%	44%	32%	41%	40%	32%	37%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	180	28%	27%	25%	18%	26%	33%	37%	34%
	Strongly disagree	143	22%	19%	28%	23%	21%	25%	13%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	-

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	399	62%	61%	68%	65%	50%	67%	70%	56%
	Somewhat agree	190	29%	35%	27%	22%	36%	28%	26%	18%
	Somewhat disagree	41	6%	3%	5%	9%	13%	3%	2%	17%
	Strongly disagree	12	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	3%	-	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	2%	-
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	392	61%	53%	61%	69%	54%	65%	78%	60%
	Somewhat agree	163	25%	30%	25%	27%	32%	22%	12%	14%
	Somewhat disagree	60	9%	12%	10%	2%	11%	8%	10%	5%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	4%	3%	1%	4%	5%	-	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	162	25%	32%	17%	22%	34%	19%	16%	38%
	Somewhat agree	229	36%	37%	39%	34%	39%	36%	27%	22%
	Somewhat disagree	160	25%	19%	31%	26%	15%	30%	39%	22%
	Strongly disagree	94	15%	12%	14%	19%	12%	15%	19%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	51	8%	11%	4%	5%	6%	11%	1%	23%
	Somewhat agree	114	18%	22%	14%	17%	26%	9%	15%	18%
	Somewhat disagree	180	28%	27%	36%	27%	32%	29%	13%	16%
	Strongly disagree	301	47%	40%	45%	52%	36%	52%	71%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	491	76%	74%	76%	91%	69%	79%	84%	63%
	Somewhat agree	99	15%	19%	19%	8%	20%	11%	8%	9%
	Somewhat disagree	26	4%	3%	2%	-	5%	5%	4%	18%
	Strongly disagree	26	4%	5%	3%	1%	4%	4%	4%	9%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	-	-	2%	1%	-	-

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	218	34%	39%	31%	13%	44%	35%	16%	48%
	Somewhat agree	211	33%	34%	38%	46%	24%	25%	36%	41%
	Somewhat disagree	111	17%	13%	18%	14%	21%	21%	20%	4%
	Strongly disagree	102	16%	13%	14%	26%	10%	19%	27%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Strongly agree	273	42%	56%	35%	30%	46%	40%	27%	55%
	Somewhat agree	258	40%	35%	48%	44%	41%	35%	48%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	75	12%	5%	11%	12%	11%	19%	14%	17%
	Strongly disagree	36	6%	4%	6%	14%	2%	6%	8%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	0%	-	-	-	-	3%	2%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	28	4%	2%	6%	8%	8%	3%	-	-
	Somewhat agree	155	24%	26%	20%	29%	26%	23%	27%	8%
	Somewhat disagree	190	29%	32%	22%	20%	33%	26%	37%	46%
	Strongly disagree	270	42%	40%	52%	44%	33%	45%	34%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	-
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	74	12%	12%	10%	13%	15%	11%	6%	12%
	Somewhat agree	241	37%	39%	41%	38%	40%	34%	36%	23%
	Somewhat disagree	190	29%	31%	26%	20%	27%	33%	33%	43%
	Strongly disagree	138	21%	18%	23%	28%	17%	22%	26%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-
Agree: We need to expand state and regional partnerships to address the issues underlying homelessness	Strongly agree	395	61%	66%	58%	47%	65%	66%	60%	48%
	Somewhat agree	175	27%	25%	27%	35%	27%	24%	30%	27%
	Somewhat disagree	38	6%	4%	7%	13%	2%	6%	7%	8%
	Strongly disagree	33	5%	4%	7%	6%	5%	3%	2%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	5%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Agree: The City of Seattle needs more money to address homelessness	Strongly agree	224	35%	33%	29%	26%	43%	36%	32%	45%
	Somewhat agree	169	26%	31%	23%	26%	31%	22%	17%	27%
	Somewhat disagree	124	19%	20%	21%	14%	17%	21%	30%	6%
	Strongly disagree	125	19%	15%	27%	34%	10%	21%	20%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
Agree: Our region does not have enough affordable, high-quality childcare	Strongly agree	308	48%	52%	36%	37%	56%	49%	45%	55%
	Somewhat agree	218	34%	28%	41%	45%	33%	33%	34%	24%
	Somewhat disagree	64	10%	13%	13%	5%	6%	10%	12%	10%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	2%	5%	9%	3%	6%	4%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	27	4%	6%	5%	4%	2%	2%	5%	10%
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	46	7%	8%	6%	5%	11%	5%	8%	6%
	Somewhat agree	150	23%	23%	22%	29%	19%	26%	25%	22%
	Somewhat disagree	172	27%	28%	21%	14%	32%	31%	31%	16%
	Strongly disagree	271	42%	42%	50%	50%	35%	38%	36%	57%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	-	1%	2%	3%	-	-	-
Agree: I support changing regulations to support more density in single family housing zones by allowing duplexes and triplexes in most Seattle neighborhoods	Strongly agree	196	30%	39%	24%	16%	35%	32%	17%	45%
	Somewhat agree	196	30%	25%	37%	36%	31%	24%	35%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	114	18%	23%	20%	13%	18%	18%	9%	14%
	Strongly disagree	136	21%	13%	18%	36%	15%	26%	39%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	2%	-	1%	0%	-	-
Agree: We need to invest more in behavioral health programs and services to address the issues underlying homelessness	Strongly agree	400	62%	68%	56%	38%	74%	65%	52%	60%
	Somewhat agree	158	24%	19%	31%	45%	18%	20%	33%	15%
	Somewhat disagree	47	7%	8%	9%	7%	5%	6%	7%	12%
	Strongly disagree	39	6%	6%	5%	10%	3%	7%	8%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	319	50%	53%	48%	56%	53%	42%	50%	42%
	Disagree	323	50%	46%	52%	42%	47%	58%	50%	58%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-4	-1	+7	-5	+14	+7	-15	-1	-17
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	589	91%	95%	94%	88%	86%	94%	95%	75%
	Disagree	54	8%	4%	6%	12%	14%	6%	2%	25%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	2%	-
	Net Agree	+536	+83	+91	+88	+75	+72	+89	+93	+49
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	555	86%	83%	86%	96%	85%	87%	90%	74%
	Disagree	88	14%	17%	13%	4%	15%	13%	10%	26%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+468	+73	+66	+73	+93	+71	+74	+81	+49
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	391	61%	69%	55%	56%	73%	55%	42%	59%
	Disagree	254	39%	31%	45%	44%	27%	45%	58%	41%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+137	+21	+38	+11	+12	+46	+10	-15	+19
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	165	26%	33%	18%	22%	32%	20%	16%	40%
	Disagree	480	74%	67%	82%	78%	68%	80%	84%	60%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-315	-49	-34	-64	-56	-36	-61	-67	-19
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	589	91%	93%	95%	99%	89%	90%	92%	73%
	Disagree	53	8%	7%	5%	1%	9%	9%	8%	27%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	-	-	2%	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	+537	+83	+85	+90	+98	+80	+81	+84	+45

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	429	66%	73%	69%	59%	68%	60%	52%	89%
	Disagree	213	33%	27%	31%	41%	31%	40%	47%	11%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+216	+33	+46	+38	+19	+37	+20	+5	+78
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Agree	531	82%	91%	83%	74%	87%	75%	75%	78%
	Disagree	111	17%	9%	17%	26%	13%	25%	22%	20%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	0%	-	-	-	-	3%	2%
	Net Agree	+420	+65	+82	+66	+49	+75	+50	+53	+58
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	182	28%	28%	26%	36%	34%	27%	27%	8%
	Disagree	460	71%	72%	74%	64%	66%	72%	72%	92%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	-
	Net Agree	-278	-43	-43	-48	-28	-32	-45	-44	-83
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	315	49%	50%	51%	52%	55%	45%	41%	35%
	Disagree	328	51%	50%	49%	48%	44%	55%	59%	65%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-13	-2	+1	+3	+3	+11	-10	-18	-31
Agree: We need to expand state and regional partnerships to address the issues underlying homelessness	Agree	570	88%	90%	86%	81%	93%	91%	89%	76%
	Disagree	71	11%	8%	14%	19%	7%	9%	10%	19%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	5%
	Net Agree	+499	+77	+82	+71	+63	+85	+81	+80	+56
Agree: The City of Seattle needs more money to address homelessness	Agree	393	61%	65%	52%	52%	74%	58%	49%	72%
	Disagree	249	39%	34%	48%	48%	26%	41%	50%	28%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+143	+22	+30	+5	+4	+48	+17	-0	+43

		n	%	Gender/Generation						
				M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Agree: Our region does not have enough affordable, high-quality childcare	Agree	526	82%	80%	77%	81%	89%	82%	79%	79%
	Disagree	92	14%	15%	18%	15%	8%	16%	16%	10%
	(DK/Ref)	27	4%	6%	5%	4%	2%	2%	5%	10%
	Net Agree	+434	+67	+65	+59	+67	+81	+66	+63	+69
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	195	30%	30%	28%	34%	29%	31%	33%	27%
	Disagree	443	69%	70%	71%	64%	68%	69%	67%	73%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	-	1%	2%	3%	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-248	-38	-39	-43	-31	-38	-37	-34	-45
Agree: I support changing regulations to support more density in single family housing zones by allowing duplexes and triplexes in most Seattle	Agree	392	61%	64%	61%	52%	66%	56%	52%	75%
	Disagree	249	39%	36%	37%	48%	33%	43%	48%	25%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	2%	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Net Agree	+142	+22	+28	+23	+3	+33	+13	+4	+50
Agree: We need to invest more in behavioral health programs and services to address the issues underlying homelessness	Agree	557	86%	86%	87%	83%	92%	86%	85%	75%
	Disagree	86	13%	14%	13%	17%	8%	13%	15%	23%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%
	Net Agree	+471	+73	+72	+73	+66	+84	+73	+70	+53
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	54	8%	8%	3%	8%	8%	9%	4%	36%
	2	21	3%	8%	-	-	3%	3%	-	4%
	3	24	4%	3%	1%	4%	10%	3%	-	2%
	4	41	6%	7%	3%	8%	12%	4%	2%	8%
	5	53	8%	7%	12%	10%	5%	10%	9%	2%
	6	48	7%	9%	11%	2%	8%	7%	5%	4%
	7 – Very significant impact	400	62%	58%	69%	69%	52%	65%	78%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-
	Mean	641	5.75	5.55	6.29	5.91	5.39	5.84	6.46	4.20

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	14	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	5%
	2	15	2%	3%	2%	-	4%	1%	1%	9%
	3	20	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	1%	8%
	4	80	12%	18%	9%	10%	16%	8%	5%	22%
	5	98	15%	12%	20%	11%	16%	16%	12%	18%
	6	114	18%	24%	12%	11%	22%	18%	13%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	303	47%	39%	52%	61%	38%	50%	66%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	644	5.78	5.62	5.89	6.04	5.61	5.89	6.30	4.80
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	32	5%	6%	4%	2%	7%	5%	-	12%
	2	16	2%	3%	1%	-	4%	4%	-	5%
	3	20	3%	2%	3%	7%	6%	2%	1%	-
	4	45	7%	12%	5%	10%	6%	5%	2%	14%
	5	99	15%	19%	10%	15%	16%	16%	14%	15%
	6	126	19%	17%	22%	17%	20%	19%	19%	24%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	47%	41%	54%	51%	41%	49%	64%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	644	5.74	5.50	6.04	5.88	5.44	5.79	6.43	5.06
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	101	16%	14%	19%	31%	11%	14%	9%	22%
	2	49	8%	10%	11%	2%	7%	8%	2%	7%
	3	68	10%	13%	15%	5%	10%	8%	5%	16%
	4	81	13%	14%	9%	10%	15%	13%	20%	5%
	5	98	15%	18%	14%	15%	13%	17%	18%	8%
	6	67	10%	12%	9%	16%	7%	10%	13%	8%
	7 – Very significant impact	181	28%	19%	24%	22%	37%	31%	33%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	645	4.47	4.26	4.08	4.09	4.80	4.64	5.08	4.34

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	8	1%	-	1%	4%	1%	1%	4%	-
	2	5	1%	-	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	-
	3	18	3%	5%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	8%
	4	55	8%	10%	4%	8%	12%	6%	10%	6%
	5	145	22%	20%	17%	22%	30%	26%	12%	33%
	6	132	20%	23%	26%	15%	17%	20%	20%	18%
	7 – Very significant impact	280	43%	42%	47%	48%	38%	45%	50%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
	Mean	643	5.86	5.88	5.98	5.78	5.74	5.93	5.85	5.66
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	78	12%	8%	17%	29%	8%	8%	8%	19%
	2	31	5%	6%	7%	4%	4%	4%	3%	-
	3	55	9%	9%	9%	7%	9%	7%	10%	8%
	4	81	13%	12%	18%	9%	12%	13%	9%	8%
	5	82	13%	13%	14%	11%	14%	11%	11%	12%
	6	92	14%	20%	7%	11%	14%	11%	24%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	224	35%	31%	26%	29%	39%	45%	32%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	644	4.91	5.03	4.33	4.16	5.15	5.30	5.19	4.91
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	25	4%	4%	4%	-	3%	3%	6%	12%
	2	34	5%	6%	1%	9%	7%	4%	-	13%
	3	43	7%	7%	5%	1%	11%	6%	8%	5%
	4	77	12%	14%	14%	2%	16%	12%	2%	12%
	5	92	14%	16%	12%	23%	12%	13%	12%	17%
	6	110	17%	21%	11%	13%	19%	14%	26%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	262	41%	31%	53%	51%	32%	46%	45%	26%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Mean	643	5.42	5.21	5.72	5.85	5.10	5.57	5.75	4.61

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	10	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	0%	-	8%
	2	12	2%	4%	2%	-	2%	1%	-	4%
	3	17	3%	5%	0%	2%	2%	3%	-	10%
	4	47	7%	8%	3%	3%	9%	6%	6%	23%
	5	73	11%	16%	12%	11%	12%	9%	9%	4%
	6	103	16%	13%	13%	14%	28%	16%	7%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	381	59%	53%	66%	68%	46%	64%	77%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	2%
	Mean	644	6.10	5.87	6.23	6.37	5.98	6.27	6.56	5.06
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1 – Very little impact	51	8%	4%	8%	17%	8%	7%	9%	8%
	2	27	4%	3%	4%	8%	3%	6%	4%	1%
	3	47	7%	5%	6%	11%	9%	6%	7%	12%
	4	92	14%	12%	18%	25%	10%	14%	12%	9%
	5	121	19%	21%	22%	14%	14%	17%	28%	15%
	6	95	15%	17%	14%	3%	16%	19%	15%	7%
	7 – Very significant impact	212	33%	37%	27%	22%	40%	30%	25%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%
	Mean	644	5.08	5.45	4.94	4.09	5.27	5.05	4.93	5.30
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1 – Very little impact	47	7%	6%	8%	17%	4%	8%	8%	2%
	2	21	3%	2%	3%	8%	2%	4%	2%	3%
	3	37	6%	7%	5%	9%	5%	2%	5%	14%
	4	72	11%	7%	13%	16%	14%	9%	15%	9%
	5	105	16%	14%	20%	17%	16%	17%	16%	10%
	6	76	12%	10%	15%	8%	10%	15%	14%	7%
	7 – Very significant impact	287	44%	54%	36%	26%	48%	46%	41%	56%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	645	5.39	5.68	5.20	4.34	5.58	5.50	5.32	5.64

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Impact: Reducing local taxes	1 – Very little impact	142	22%	28%	17%	23%	21%	20%	17%	36%
	2	45	7%	10%	7%	6%	6%	5%	7%	6%
	3	71	11%	13%	10%	6%	14%	13%	6%	8%
	4	120	19%	20%	18%	19%	20%	15%	21%	15%
	5	73	11%	9%	12%	10%	12%	13%	11%	11%
	6	54	8%	6%	8%	13%	7%	11%	8%	8%
	7 – Very significant impact	136	21%	14%	27%	23%	19%	23%	28%	14%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	2%	2%
	Mean	641	4.00	3.48	4.34	4.20	3.92	4.19	4.44	3.41
Impact: Easing regulations to allow for more outdoor restaurant seating	1 – Very little impact	67	10%	10%	12%	11%	7%	10%	9%	26%
	2	49	8%	7%	10%	15%	5%	6%	13%	-
	3	70	11%	13%	11%	11%	13%	7%	8%	10%
	4	108	17%	12%	13%	16%	21%	22%	12%	25%
	5	140	22%	22%	25%	19%	18%	23%	25%	16%
	6	83	13%	14%	11%	7%	16%	13%	17%	4%
	7 – Very significant impact	126	19%	22%	18%	21%	20%	19%	15%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	643	4.49	4.61	4.36	4.23	4.65	4.59	4.45	3.91
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1 – Very little impact	49	8%	10%	3%	3%	8%	7%	1%	34%
	2	44	7%	10%	8%	2%	8%	6%	3%	4%
	3	30	5%	6%	3%	-	10%	2%	3%	5%
	4	79	12%	16%	7%	8%	20%	12%	5%	10%
	5	84	13%	12%	11%	13%	14%	14%	15%	9%
	6	91	14%	19%	13%	10%	10%	15%	16%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	266	41%	28%	55%	64%	29%	44%	55%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Mean	644	5.24	4.77	5.74	6.13	4.70	5.42	6.01	3.92

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	99	15%	19%	5%	12%	21%	15%	4%	43%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	7%	3%	8%	13%	4%	5%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	501	78%	74%	92%	80%	65%	82%	92%	49%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	49	8%	7%	7%	6%	8%	8%	3%	21%
	4/(DK)	81	12%	18%	9%	10%	16%	9%	5%	22%
	5-7 Significant Impact	515	80%	75%	85%	84%	76%	84%	92%	57%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	68	11%	11%	7%	8%	17%	11%	1%	17%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	12%	6%	10%	6%	5%	2%	14%
	5-7 Significant Impact	530	82%	77%	87%	82%	77%	85%	97%	69%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	218	34%	37%	45%	38%	28%	30%	16%	45%
	4/(DK)	81	13%	14%	9%	10%	15%	13%	20%	5%
	5-7 Significant Impact	346	54%	50%	46%	52%	57%	58%	64%	51%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	31	5%	5%	6%	7%	2%	3%	7%	8%
	4/(DK)	57	9%	10%	4%	8%	13%	6%	12%	6%
	5-7 Significant Impact	557	86%	85%	89%	85%	85%	91%	81%	86%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	164	25%	23%	33%	40%	22%	19%	21%	28%
	4/(DK)	82	13%	12%	19%	9%	12%	13%	11%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	399	62%	65%	48%	51%	67%	68%	68%	65%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	102	16%	17%	10%	10%	21%	14%	14%	29%
	4/(DK)	79	12%	14%	14%	2%	16%	13%	3%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	464	72%	69%	76%	88%	63%	73%	83%	58%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	40	6%	9%	6%	4%	5%	5%	-	21%
	4/(DK)	48	7%	8%	3%	3%	9%	6%	7%	25%
	5-7 Significant Impact	557	86%	82%	91%	93%	86%	89%	93%	54%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	125	19%	12%	18%	36%	20%	19%	20%	21%
	4/(DK)	93	14%	12%	18%	25%	10%	14%	12%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	428	66%	76%	64%	39%	70%	66%	68%	68%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	105	16%	15%	16%	34%	12%	14%	15%	19%
	4/(DK)	72	11%	7%	13%	16%	14%	9%	15%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	468	72%	78%	71%	50%	74%	77%	70%	72%
Impact: Reducing local taxes	1-3 Little Impact	259	40%	50%	34%	35%	42%	38%	29%	50%
	4/(DK)	124	19%	20%	19%	19%	20%	16%	23%	17%
	5-7 Significant Impact	262	41%	29%	47%	47%	38%	46%	48%	33%
Impact: Easing regulations to allow for more outdoor restaurant seating	1-3 Little Impact	187	29%	30%	32%	37%	25%	23%	29%	37%
	4/(DK)	109	17%	12%	14%	16%	21%	22%	13%	25%
	5-7 Significant Impact	349	54%	58%	54%	47%	54%	56%	57%	39%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1-3 Little Impact	124	19%	26%	14%	5%	26%	15%	8%	43%
	4/(DK)	81	12%	16%	7%	8%	20%	12%	6%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	441	68%	59%	79%	87%	53%	73%	86%	47%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Most important thing the city of Seattle could do to improve quality of life	Homelessness/Encampments	174	27%	28%	25%	25%	31%	24%	30%	21%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	149	23%	19%	26%	24%	24%	25%	27%	16%
	Affordable housing	93	14%	17%	12%	6%	17%	17%	15%	14%
	Racial inequality/Policing	58	9%	6%	12%	15%	6%	10%	7%	12%
	Taxes	33	5%	4%	3%	-	7%	6%	1%	23%
	Politicians/Government	29	4%	7%	8%	4%	1%	3%	4%	3%
	Climate change/Environment	18	3%	4%	3%	4%	2%	1%	5%	1%
	Cost of living	11	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	3%	1%	-
	Road repairs/Infrastructure	11	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	-	-	1%
	Public health/Pandemic/Coronavirus	7	1%	1%	-	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	Traffic/Congestion	6	1%	3%	-	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Public transportation	6	1%	2%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-
	Other	35	5%	3%	7%	13%	2%	7%	4%	7%
	Nothing/Don’t know	12	2%	1%	0%	4%	1%	4%	3%	-
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Much too high	156	24%	15%	28%	26%	23%	28%	31%	22%
	Somewhat too high	224	35%	32%	44%	33%	32%	39%	30%	25%
	Too low	75	12%	14%	6%	12%	13%	13%	9%	16%
	About right	181	28%	38%	22%	27%	31%	17%	30%	34%
	(Don't know)	9	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Too high	380	59%	48%	71%	59%	55%	67%	60%	46%
	About right/(DK)	190	30%	38%	23%	29%	33%	20%	31%	37%
	Too low	75	12%	14%	6%	12%	13%	13%	9%	16%
	Net Too high	+304	+47	+33	+65	+47	+42	+54	+52	+30
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	304	47%	41%	48%	54%	40%	53%	61%	40%
	Somewhat less safe	167	26%	20%	30%	27%	31%	25%	26%	17%
	More safe	17	3%	5%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	9%
	(About the same)	154	24%	33%	22%	18%	26%	20%	11%	30%
	(Don't know)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	4%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	471	73%	61%	77%	80%	71%	79%	87%	57%
	(About the same/DK)	157	24%	35%	22%	18%	26%	20%	11%	34%
	More safe	17	3%	5%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	9%
	Net Less safe	+454	+70	+56	+76	+78	+69	+77	+85	+49
Police reform preference: Defund & decriminalize vs. Reform & hire	Defund & decriminalize	139	21%	30%	10%	14%	28%	21%	5%	48%
	(Lean Defund & decriminalize)	8	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	-
	Reform & hire	492	76%	67%	86%	85%	71%	77%	94%	52%
	(Lean Reform & hire)	5	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	-
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-
Tent encampments preference: Stop the sweeps vs. Remove encampments	Stop the sweeps	87	13%	16%	6%	9%	17%	13%	4%	42%
	(Lean Stop the sweeps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Remove encampments	553	86%	83%	93%	88%	83%	87%	96%	58%
	(Lean Remove encampments)	4	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	-
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Opportunity and prosperity preference: Increase taxes & redistribution vs. Increase access & opportunity	Increase taxes & redistribution	281	44%	49%	36%	30%	43%	49%	36%	67%
	(Lean Increase taxes & redistribution)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-
	Increase access & opportunity	346	54%	47%	63%	66%	55%	47%	61%	31%
	(Lean Increase access & opportunity)	8	1%	1%	-	-	0%	4%	1%	-
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	-	1%	2%
City budget deficit preference: Maintain spending/Increase taxes vs. Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	205	32%	32%	28%	31%	35%	34%	25%	41%
	(Lean Maintain spending/Increase taxes)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-
	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	427	66%	66%	71%	67%	62%	64%	72%	59%
	(Lean Support vulnerable/Focus on	4	1%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	3%	-
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	-	2%	2%	0%	-	-

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Police reform preference:	Defund & decriminalize	146	23%	32%	12%	15%	29%	21%	6%	48%
Defund & decriminalize vs.	Reform & hire	497	77%	68%	88%	85%	71%	77%	94%	52%
Reform & hire	(None/DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-
	Net Reform & hire	+350	+54	+36	+75	+70	+43	+57	+88	+4
Tent encampments preference:	Stop the sweeps	87	13%	16%	6%	9%	17%	13%	4%	42%
Stop the sweeps vs. Remove	Remove encampments	557	86%	83%	94%	91%	83%	87%	96%	58%
encampments	(None/DK/Ref)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Remove encampments	+470	+73	+68	+89	+81	+65	+74	+92	+16
Opportunity and prosperity	Increase taxes & redistribution	284	44%	50%	36%	30%	43%	49%	38%	67%
preference: Increase taxes &	Increase access & opportunity	354	55%	49%	63%	66%	56%	51%	61%	31%
redistribution vs. Increase access	(None/DK/Ref)	8	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	-	1%	2%
& opportunity	Net Increase access & opportunity	+70	+11	-1	+27	+36	+13	+2	+24	-36
City budget deficit preference:	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	209	32%	33%	28%	31%	36%	35%	25%	41%
Maintain spending/Increase	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	431	67%	67%	72%	67%	62%	65%	75%	59%
taxes vs. Support	(None/DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	-	2%	2%	0%	-	-
vulnerable/Focus on basics	Net Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	+222	+34	+33	+44	+37	+26	+30	+51	+18
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Once a week or more	207	32%	37%	28%	41%	29%	28%	25%	50%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	231	36%	37%	40%	30%	36%	36%	29%	36%
	A few times a year	124	19%	13%	22%	16%	24%	22%	21%	8%
	Rarely	57	9%	9%	8%	10%	5%	10%	17%	5%
	Never	21	3%	1%	1%	3%	4%	5%	9%	-
	(Refused)	5	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	-	-
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Weekly	207	32%	37%	28%	41%	29%	28%	25%	50%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	231	36%	37%	40%	30%	36%	36%	29%	36%
	Less often	207	32%	25%	32%	30%	35%	36%	47%	13%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Downtown visit frequency	Much more often	31	5%	2%	5%	16%	3%	8%	-	-
compared to pre-pandemic	Somewhat more often	67	10%	8%	13%	9%	8%	11%	18%	4%
	About the same	212	33%	29%	29%	36%	36%	26%	41%	53%
	Somewhat less often	143	22%	26%	19%	11%	28%	28%	14%	12%
	Much less often	189	29%	33%	34%	28%	24%	27%	27%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	-	-
Downtown visit frequency	More often	97	15%	10%	18%	25%	11%	19%	18%	4%
compared to pre-pandemic	Same/(DK/Ref)	216	33%	31%	29%	36%	37%	26%	41%	53%
	Less often	332	51%	59%	53%	39%	52%	55%	41%	43%
	Net More often	-235	-36	-49	-35	-14	-41	-36	-24	-38
Duration of residency	<2 years	25	4%	6%	0%	-	7%	3%	1%	6%
	2-5 years	103	16%	29%	11%	1%	27%	7%	9%	9%
	6-10 years	104	16%	20%	11%	7%	28%	11%	6%	25%
	11-20 years	143	22%	32%	20%	11%	21%	28%	5%	25%
	>20 years	270	42%	13%	58%	81%	18%	51%	78%	35%
	(Refused)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	644	21.36	11.48	24.31	40.33	11.85	24.35	35.34	19.29
Duration of residency	1-10 years	231	36%	55%	22%	8%	62%	21%	17%	40%
	11-20 years	143	22%	32%	20%	11%	21%	28%	5%	25%
	>20 years	271	42%	13%	58%	81%	18%	51%	78%	35%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Party	Strong Democrat	232	36%	36%	31%	39%	37%	37%	55%	2%
	Not very strong Democrat	90	14%	16%	14%	16%	15%	13%	9%	10%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	71	11%	10%	12%	5%	13%	11%	11%	15%
	Independent	64	10%	9%	13%	6%	6%	14%	9%	12%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	39	6%	9%	8%	10%	2%	6%	4%	-
	Not very strong Republican	19	3%	4%	5%	2%	1%	3%	3%	-
	Strong Republican	19	3%	1%	2%	8%	4%	3%	2%	-
	Socialist	45	7%	8%	2%	5%	13%	4%	1%	23%
	(Something else/Don’t know/Refused)	64	10%	6%	11%	11%	8%	9%	7%	37%
Party	Socialist	45	7%	8%	2%	5%	13%	4%	1%	23%
	Democrat	393	61%	62%	57%	59%	65%	61%	75%	28%
	Independent	129	20%	15%	25%	16%	14%	24%	16%	49%
	Republican	77	12%	14%	16%	20%	8%	12%	9%	-
Ideology	1 - Very liberal	82	13%	15%	8%	13%	14%	12%	10%	19%
	2	107	17%	23%	14%	9%	19%	16%	14%	12%
	3	167	26%	27%	27%	26%	27%	26%	29%	5%
	4	149	23%	18%	34%	21%	21%	22%	21%	24%
	5	60	9%	8%	7%	20%	8%	10%	13%	2%
	6	14	2%	2%	2%	5%	1%	3%	2%	-
	7 - Very conservative	15	2%	0%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	50	8%	7%	4%	3%	6%	7%	8%	39%
	Mean	595	3.17	2.89	3.36	3.49	3.11	3.23	3.29	2.61
Ideology	Liberal	356	55%	65%	50%	49%	60%	55%	54%	36%
	Moderate	200	31%	25%	38%	25%	27%	29%	30%	63%
	Conservative	89	14%	10%	12%	26%	13%	16%	17%	2%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	445	69%	70%	65%	79%	70%	69%	77%	42%
	African American or Black	26	4%	4%	7%	5%	3%	3%	-	7%
	Hispanic or Latino	26	4%	4%	4%	-	4%	3%	4%	13%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	52	8%	8%	11%	2%	10%	10%	5%	-
	Something else	40	6%	6%	5%	6%	8%	6%	8%	2%
	(Refused)	57	9%	7%	9%	9%	5%	9%	6%	36%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	445	69%	70%	65%	79%	70%	69%	77%	42%
	African American or Black	26	4%	4%	7%	5%	3%	3%	-	7%
	Hispanic or Latino	26	4%	4%	4%	-	4%	3%	4%	13%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	52	8%	8%	11%	2%	10%	10%	5%	-
	Other Ethnicity/(Ref)	97	15%	13%	13%	15%	13%	15%	14%	38%
Ethnicity	White	445	69%	70%	65%	79%	70%	69%	77%	42%
	POC	143	22%	23%	26%	12%	25%	22%	18%	22%
	(Ref)	57	9%	7%	9%	9%	5%	9%	6%	36%
Age	18-29	111	17%	46%	-	-	35%	-	-	12%
	30-39	166	26%	54%	-	-	65%	-	-	30%
	40-49	117	18%	-	44%	-	-	47%	-	36%
	50-64	131	20%	-	56%	-	-	53%	-	16%
	65+	120	19%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%	5%
Two-Age Split	18-39	277	43%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-	42%
	40+	368	57%	-	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	58%
Generation	18-39	277	43%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-	42%
	40-64	248	38%	-	100%	-	-	100%	-	52%
	65+	120	19%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%	5%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Education	Some grade school	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Some high school	5	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	-	-
	Graduated high school	22	3%	2%	5%	7%	2%	3%	2%	5%
	Technical/vocational school	28	4%	6%	4%	-	4%	5%	4%	4%
	Some college/<4-year degree	100	16%	7%	18%	18%	11%	20%	24%	22%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	259	40%	49%	42%	29%	40%	43%	28%	32%
	Graduate/professional degree	215	33%	33%	28%	42%	41%	26%	38%	29%
	(Don't know/Refused)	15	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	5%	8%
Education	<4-year degree	170	26%	19%	30%	29%	19%	31%	34%	39%
	4-year degree+	475	74%	81%	70%	71%	81%	69%	66%	61%
Education	Less than college	170	26%	19%	30%	29%	19%	31%	34%	39%
	Graduated college	259	40%	49%	42%	29%	40%	43%	28%	32%
	Graduate/professional degree	215	33%	33%	28%	42%	41%	26%	38%	29%
Gender	Male	305	47%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	-	-
	Female	307	48%	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%	-
	Non-binary	14	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	43%
	(Refused)	19	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	57%
Region	South	177	28%	24%	28%	27%	26%	31%	30%	29%
	Central	197	31%	34%	29%	36%	28%	32%	21%	34%
	North	271	42%	41%	43%	37%	46%	37%	49%	37%
City Council District	1	94	15%	11%	17%	15%	11%	18%	19%	12%
	2	84	13%	14%	11%	12%	15%	12%	11%	17%
	3	100	16%	19%	18%	11%	12%	14%	9%	30%
	4	81	13%	19%	10%	8%	14%	9%	14%	4%
	5	90	14%	13%	15%	14%	12%	13%	21%	15%
	6	100	16%	9%	19%	16%	20%	15%	14%	19%
	7	97	15%	15%	11%	25%	16%	18%	12%	4%

				Gender/Generation						
		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+	Other
Number of cases		645		136	113	55	127	117	63	33
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	9%	20%	18%	10%	5%
Vote History (PG20 PG18 PG16)	0-3/6	310	48%	66%	42%	32%	57%	40%	25%	56%
	4-5/6	194	30%	24%	34%	22%	34%	31%	35%	25%
	6/6	142	22%	9%	24%	45%	9%	28%	40%	18%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	136	21%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	M 40-64	113	18%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-
	M 65+	55	9%	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-
	F 18-39	127	20%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-
	F 40-64	117	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-
	F 65+	63	10%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
	Other	33	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%
Party/Gender	D Male	182	28%	62%	57%	59%	-	-	-	-
	D Female	202	31%	-	-	-	65%	61%	75%	-
	R/I Male	106	16%	29%	40%	36%	-	-	-	-
	R/I Female	84	13%	-	-	-	22%	35%	24%	-
	Other	71	11%	8%	2%	5%	13%	4%	1%	100%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
QOL Index	Mean	645	3.47	4.18	3.90	2.45	2.38	3.27	3.44	3.55	3.43
Homeowner	Homeowner	322	50%	57%	55%	40%	54%	29%	61%	37%	52%
	Renter	322	50%	43%	45%	60%	46%	71%	39%	63%	48%
Seattle Right direction/Wrong	Right direction	150	23%	35%	28%	8%	11%	17%	26%	22%	22%
track	Wrong track	488	76%	63%	70%	92%	89%	83%	73%	76%	77%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	2%	2%	-	-	-	1%	2%	1%
	Net Right direction	-338	-52	-29	-42	-84	-78	-67	-47	-54	-54
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	391	61%	62%	66%	61%	54%	47%	55%	62%	64%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	295	46%	47%	45%	52%	58%	21%	39%	48%	48%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	142	22%	19%	22%	19%	17%	43%	21%	20%	25%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	69	11%	11%	8%	8%	9%	24%	14%	9%	10%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	31	5%	3%	2%	10%	6%	5%	6%	4%	5%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	28	4%	5%	2%	5%	9%	3%	10%	3%	2%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	28	4%	5%	6%	3%	2%	3%	6%	4%	3%
	Taxes	14	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	6%	2%	1%	3%
	Traffic/Congestion	11	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	1%
	Jobs/Economy	9	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	5%	2%	3%	0%
	Healthcare cost/Access	9	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%
	Growth/Development/Population	7	1%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%
	Public transportation	7	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
	COVID-19/Coronavirus	6	1%	1%	0%	4%	1%	0%	0%	2%	1%
	Climate change/Environment	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%
	Other	10	2%	0%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%
	Nothing/Don’t know	4	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	3	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%
	Somewhat better	28	4%	5%	2%	3%	2%	11%	2%	4%	6%
	The same	77	12%	18%	13%	5%	2%	15%	13%	11%	12%
	Somewhat worse	244	38%	40%	43%	32%	27%	38%	36%	43%	35%
	Much worse	281	44%	33%	38%	58%	68%	36%	45%	40%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	2%	4%	-	-	-	3%	1%	2%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	31	5%	6%	2%	4%	3%	12%	3%	5%	6%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	90	14%	21%	17%	5%	2%	15%	15%	12%	14%
	Worse	525	81%	73%	81%	90%	95%	74%	82%	83%	80%
	Net Better	-494	-77	-67	-79	-86	-92	-62	-79	-79	-74
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	431	67%	57%	58%	86%	85%	69%	68%	65%	67%
	No	214	33%	43%	42%	14%	15%	31%	32%	35%	33%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	151	35%	32%	37%	25%	30%	61%	36%	35%	35%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	127	29%	38%	22%	26%	35%	27%	26%	32%	31%
	Homelessness	50	12%	14%	12%	15%	11%	3%	7%	17%	12%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	41	9%	8%	6%	19%	8%	5%	14%	8%	8%
	Taxes	11	2%	-	3%	3%	5%	1%	3%	2%	3%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	9	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%
	Closer to family	5	1%	-	2%	2%	-	1%	-	2%	1%
	Traffic/Congestion	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	4%	-	-
	Growth/Development/Space	3	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	2%	-	-
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	2	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	-
	Other	29	7%	5%	13%	6%	5%	2%	4%	4%	10%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	77	12%	17%	15%	5%	5%	9%	11%	13%	12%
	Somewhat agree	242	38%	47%	44%	23%	19%	39%	37%	40%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	180	28%	19%	28%	35%	35%	31%	29%	25%	30%
	Strongly disagree	143	22%	16%	13%	36%	41%	21%	23%	21%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	399	62%	68%	61%	63%	64%	43%	55%	67%	63%
	Somewhat agree	190	29%	27%	28%	30%	32%	36%	30%	26%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	41	6%	4%	8%	4%	3%	16%	10%	6%	4%
	Strongly disagree	12	2%	0%	2%	3%	2%	5%	5%	1%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	1%
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	392	61%	54%	61%	69%	75%	49%	58%	60%	63%
	Somewhat agree	163	25%	33%	25%	19%	18%	25%	24%	26%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	60	9%	11%	10%	7%	4%	14%	11%	9%	9%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	1%	4%	6%	2%	12%	6%	6%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	162	25%	25%	26%	20%	13%	46%	29%	26%	22%
	Somewhat agree	229	36%	39%	39%	34%	27%	29%	34%	38%	35%
	Somewhat disagree	160	25%	26%	25%	24%	31%	14%	25%	22%	26%
	Strongly disagree	94	15%	10%	10%	21%	29%	12%	13%	14%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	51	8%	8%	6%	3%	7%	22%	10%	7%	7%
	Somewhat agree	114	18%	19%	20%	14%	10%	22%	17%	16%	19%
	Somewhat disagree	180	28%	30%	31%	32%	15%	22%	26%	33%	26%
	Strongly disagree	301	47%	43%	43%	51%	68%	34%	46%	44%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	491	76%	75%	74%	85%	86%	58%	71%	77%	78%
	Somewhat agree	99	15%	22%	14%	8%	9%	21%	20%	13%	14%
	Somewhat disagree	26	4%	2%	5%	1%	2%	14%	2%	6%	4%
	Strongly disagree	26	4%	1%	6%	7%	2%	7%	7%	4%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	218	34%	35%	40%	21%	20%	49%	29%	39%	33%
	Somewhat agree	211	33%	41%	30%	33%	21%	32%	36%	31%	32%
	Somewhat disagree	111	17%	13%	17%	21%	28%	10%	17%	15%	19%
	Strongly disagree	102	16%	12%	11%	24%	31%	9%	17%	15%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	0%	0%
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Strongly agree	273	42%	48%	45%	32%	27%	52%	38%	43%	44%
	Somewhat agree	258	40%	42%	40%	43%	38%	34%	40%	43%	38%
	Somewhat disagree	75	12%	6%	11%	13%	24%	12%	14%	8%	13%
	Strongly disagree	36	6%	4%	3%	12%	11%	2%	7%	6%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%	1%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	28	4%	7%	5%	2%	3%	2%	5%	5%	3%
	Somewhat agree	155	24%	32%	34%	9%	7%	18%	21%	24%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	190	29%	31%	33%	15%	21%	50%	28%	30%	30%
	Strongly disagree	270	42%	30%	27%	75%	70%	30%	44%	41%	40%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	74	12%	14%	12%	8%	8%	11%	9%	15%	11%
	Somewhat agree	241	37%	47%	42%	26%	26%	30%	37%	36%	39%
	Somewhat disagree	190	29%	26%	26%	30%	39%	35%	26%	28%	32%
	Strongly disagree	138	21%	12%	19%	35%	27%	25%	27%	21%	18%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
Agree: We need to expand state and regional partnerships to address the issues underlying homelessness	Strongly agree	395	61%	73%	69%	35%	50%	60%	56%	64%	63%
	Somewhat agree	175	27%	22%	25%	38%	31%	26%	32%	26%	24%
	Somewhat disagree	38	6%	3%	3%	13%	11%	6%	7%	4%	6%
	Strongly disagree	33	5%	2%	2%	13%	9%	6%	5%	6%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	0%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	1%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Agree: The City of Seattle needs more money to address homelessness	Strongly agree	224	35%	37%	43%	14%	26%	47%	33%	34%	37%
	Somewhat agree	169	26%	31%	28%	20%	13%	33%	25%	31%	24%
	Somewhat disagree	124	19%	20%	21%	20%	22%	7%	25%	17%	17%
	Strongly disagree	125	19%	11%	8%	46%	38%	12%	17%	19%	21%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
Agree: Our region does not have enough affordable, high-quality childcare	Strongly agree	308	48%	49%	56%	28%	41%	60%	50%	48%	46%
	Somewhat agree	218	34%	35%	31%	39%	37%	27%	31%	34%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	64	10%	8%	8%	18%	12%	6%	10%	11%	9%
	Strongly disagree	28	4%	2%	3%	10%	9%	1%	6%	3%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	27	4%	6%	3%	4%	1%	6%	3%	4%	5%
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	46	7%	6%	8%	6%	6%	10%	8%	4%	9%
	Somewhat agree	150	23%	33%	26%	7%	16%	22%	21%	27%	22%
	Somewhat disagree	172	27%	26%	37%	17%	18%	24%	27%	29%	25%
	Strongly disagree	271	42%	33%	27%	70%	60%	43%	45%	39%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	0%	2%	1%
Agree: I support changing regulations to support more density in single family housing zones by allowing duplexes and triplexes in most Seattle neighborhoods	Strongly agree	196	30%	32%	32%	20%	20%	50%	27%	31%	33%
	Somewhat agree	196	30%	32%	32%	31%	26%	27%	30%	34%	28%
	Somewhat disagree	114	18%	20%	16%	20%	16%	13%	20%	17%	16%
	Strongly disagree	136	21%	15%	20%	29%	37%	9%	22%	17%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-
Agree: We need to invest more in behavioral health programs and services to address the issues underlying homelessness	Strongly agree	400	62%	67%	70%	40%	49%	74%	59%	64%	62%
	Somewhat agree	158	24%	27%	24%	31%	23%	12%	24%	28%	22%
	Somewhat disagree	47	7%	4%	3%	16%	13%	7%	10%	2%	9%
	Strongly disagree	39	6%	2%	3%	13%	13%	6%	7%	5%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	319	50%	64%	59%	28%	24%	48%	48%	53%	48%
	Disagree	323	50%	35%	41%	70%	76%	52%	52%	46%	52%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-
	Net Agree	-4	-1	+29	+18	-42	-53	-4	-3	+7	-4
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	589	91%	95%	90%	93%	95%	79%	85%	93%	95%
	Disagree	54	8%	4%	10%	7%	5%	21%	15%	7%	5%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+536	+83	+91	+80	+85	+91	+58	+70	+86	+90
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	555	86%	87%	86%	87%	93%	74%	82%	86%	89%
	Disagree	88	14%	12%	14%	13%	7%	26%	17%	14%	11%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+468	+73	+75	+72	+75	+87	+49	+65	+71	+78
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	391	61%	64%	65%	54%	40%	75%	62%	64%	57%
	Disagree	254	39%	36%	35%	46%	60%	25%	38%	36%	43%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+137	+21	+28	+29	+9	-20	+49	+25	+27	+15
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	165	26%	27%	26%	17%	17%	44%	28%	23%	26%
	Disagree	480	74%	73%	74%	83%	83%	56%	72%	77%	74%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Agree	-315	-49	-46	-48	-66	-67	-13	-45	-53	-49
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	589	91%	97%	88%	92%	95%	79%	91%	90%	92%
	Disagree	53	8%	3%	11%	8%	4%	21%	9%	10%	7%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	+537	+83	+94	+78	+85	+91	+58	+82	+81	+86

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	429	66%	76%	70%	55%	40%	81%	65%	69%	66%
	Disagree	213	33%	24%	29%	45%	59%	19%	34%	30%	34%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	0%	0%
	Net Agree	+216	+33	+52	+42	+9	-18	+62	+31	+39	+31
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Agree	531	82%	90%	85%	75%	65%	85%	79%	86%	82%
	Disagree	111	17%	10%	14%	25%	35%	14%	21%	14%	17%
	(DK/Ref)	3	1%	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+420	+65	+81	+72	+50	+30	+72	+57	+72	+65
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	182	28%	39%	39%	10%	10%	20%	26%	28%	30%
	Disagree	460	71%	61%	60%	90%	90%	80%	73%	72%	70%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%
	Net Agree	-278	-43	-22	-21	-79	-81	-60	-47	-43	-41
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	315	49%	61%	54%	35%	35%	41%	45%	50%	50%
	Disagree	328	51%	39%	45%	65%	65%	59%	54%	50%	50%
	(DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
	Net Agree	-13	-2	+22	+9	-30	-31	-18	-8	+1	+0
Agree: We need to expand state and regional partnerships to address the issues underlying homelessness	Agree	570	88%	95%	95%	73%	80%	86%	87%	90%	88%
	Disagree	71	11%	5%	5%	26%	20%	12%	12%	10%	11%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	0%	0%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+499	+77	+90	+90	+47	+61	+74	+75	+80	+77
Agree: The City of Seattle needs more money to address homelessness	Agree	393	61%	68%	70%	34%	39%	81%	57%	65%	60%
	Disagree	249	39%	31%	29%	66%	60%	19%	43%	35%	38%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	+143	+22	+37	+41	-32	-21	+62	+15	+29	+22

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Agree: Our region does not have enough affordable, high-quality childcare	Agree	526	82%	84%	86%	67%	78%	87%	81%	82%	82%
	Disagree	92	14%	10%	10%	28%	21%	7%	16%	14%	13%
	(DK/Ref)	27	4%	6%	3%	4%	1%	6%	3%	4%	5%
	Net Agree	+434	+67	+74	+76	+39	+57	+80	+65	+68	+68
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	195	30%	39%	34%	12%	23%	32%	28%	31%	31%
	Disagree	443	69%	60%	64%	88%	77%	67%	71%	68%	68%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	0%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	-248	-38	-20	-30	-75	-55	-35	-43	-37	-36
Agree: I support changing regulations to support more density in single family housing zones by allowing duplexes and triplexes in most Seattle	Agree	392	61%	64%	63%	51%	46%	77%	57%	65%	60%
	Disagree	249	39%	35%	36%	49%	54%	23%	42%	34%	40%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+142	+22	+29	+28	+2	-8	+55	+14	+31	+21
Agree: We need to invest more in behavioral health programs and services to address the issues underlying homelessness	Agree	557	86%	93%	94%	71%	72%	87%	83%	93%	84%
	Disagree	86	13%	7%	6%	29%	27%	12%	17%	7%	15%
	(DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%
	Net Agree	+471	+73	+87	+88	+42	+46	+74	+66	+85	+69
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	54	8%	2%	5%	6%	7%	38%	11%	9%	6%
	2	21	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	6%	3%	4%	3%
	3	24	4%	3%	6%	0%	1%	7%	3%	2%	5%
	4	41	6%	7%	6%	4%	6%	13%	6%	7%	6%
	5	53	8%	11%	9%	8%	6%	2%	14%	5%	6%
	6	48	7%	10%	9%	5%	5%	3%	10%	6%	7%
	7 – Very significant impact	400	62%	61%	62%	75%	73%	32%	53%	66%	65%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	641	5.75	5.95	5.89	6.23	6.10	3.69	5.48	5.77	5.91

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	14	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	7%	2%	3%	2%
	2	15	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	6%	4%	1%	2%
	3	20	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	7%	3%	4%	3%
	4	80	12%	14%	10%	7%	11%	26%	17%	9%	11%
	5	98	15%	18%	15%	9%	11%	20%	15%	13%	17%
	6	114	18%	20%	21%	16%	15%	9%	21%	16%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	303	47%	44%	46%	59%	58%	25%	37%	54%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	0%
	Mean	644	5.78	5.82	5.85	6.02	6.09	4.73	5.52	5.92	5.84
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	32	5%	2%	4%	4%	5%	18%	7%	6%	3%
	2	16	2%	2%	4%	0%	-	6%	4%	2%	2%
	3	20	3%	4%	3%	1%	3%	7%	2%	1%	5%
	4	45	7%	10%	5%	6%	3%	12%	7%	9%	6%
	5	99	15%	18%	17%	10%	11%	17%	12%	17%	16%
	6	126	19%	22%	20%	17%	18%	18%	23%	17%	19%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	47%	41%	48%	62%	60%	23%	45%	48%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	0%
	Mean	644	5.74	5.73	5.80	6.15	6.10	4.52	5.65	5.73	5.80
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	101	16%	11%	4%	33%	33%	14%	15%	16%	16%
	2	49	8%	7%	4%	12%	12%	7%	9%	4%	9%
	3	68	10%	10%	8%	18%	9%	10%	11%	13%	8%
	4	81	13%	12%	15%	10%	11%	15%	11%	13%	13%
	5	98	15%	21%	19%	10%	7%	7%	12%	15%	17%
	6	67	10%	15%	13%	4%	3%	11%	11%	8%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	181	28%	25%	37%	13%	24%	37%	31%	29%	26%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	645	4.47	4.70	5.27	3.15	3.54	4.72	4.51	4.48	4.45

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	8	1%	0%	1%	3%	3%	-	1%	0%	2%
	2	5	1%	2%	-	-	3%	-	1%	-	1%
	3	18	3%	1%	1%	9%	2%	4%	2%	2%	4%
	4	55	8%	7%	9%	9%	13%	3%	6%	9%	10%
	5	145	22%	20%	27%	16%	17%	33%	22%	22%	23%
	6	132	20%	23%	20%	22%	16%	19%	17%	22%	22%
	7 – Very significant impact	280	43%	46%	42%	42%	44%	41%	50%	45%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	1%	-	0%	-	0%
	Mean	643	5.86	6.01	5.89	5.67	5.65	5.92	6.01	5.98	5.67
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	78	12%	7%	4%	32%	22%	10%	16%	10%	11%
	2	31	5%	5%	1%	8%	10%	1%	2%	4%	7%
	3	55	9%	8%	7%	11%	12%	5%	7%	7%	10%
	4	81	13%	13%	13%	15%	12%	8%	11%	21%	8%
	5	82	13%	17%	15%	8%	7%	10%	16%	9%	14%
	6	92	14%	16%	20%	9%	5%	13%	11%	16%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	224	35%	34%	40%	17%	32%	53%	36%	34%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	1%	0%	-	0%
	Mean	644	4.91	5.12	5.54	3.54	4.16	5.57	4.85	4.98	4.90
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	25	4%	2%	4%	5%	4%	9%	6%	3%	3%
	2	34	5%	3%	5%	6%	3%	14%	4%	7%	5%
	3	43	7%	4%	9%	5%	3%	15%	6%	6%	7%
	4	77	12%	14%	13%	7%	8%	18%	9%	14%	12%
	5	92	14%	23%	13%	5%	9%	15%	16%	13%	14%
	6	110	17%	16%	24%	18%	9%	8%	18%	17%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	262	41%	39%	33%	55%	62%	22%	41%	40%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	2%	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	643	5.42	5.56	5.30	5.73	5.97	4.27	5.42	5.37	5.46

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	10	2%	1%	-	3%	0%	7%	1%	1%	2%
	2	12	2%	2%	1%	3%	-	5%	2%	3%	0%
	3	17	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	7%	3%	1%	4%
	4	47	7%	3%	6%	7%	6%	22%	8%	8%	6%
	5	73	11%	15%	12%	11%	5%	7%	10%	11%	13%
	6	103	16%	15%	19%	10%	19%	16%	17%	16%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	381	59%	62%	59%	63%	69%	34%	58%	60%	59%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	1%	-	0%	0%
	Mean	644	6.10	6.21	6.24	6.04	6.46	5.04	6.08	6.09	6.11
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1 – Very little impact	51	8%	3%	4%	17%	18%	5%	8%	8%	8%
	2	27	4%	3%	2%	7%	11%	0%	7%	2%	4%
	3	47	7%	5%	7%	10%	9%	7%	10%	8%	5%
	4	92	14%	16%	14%	21%	10%	8%	14%	16%	13%
	5	121	19%	23%	20%	16%	13%	14%	15%	14%	24%
	6	95	15%	17%	18%	8%	13%	11%	12%	17%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	212	33%	34%	35%	20%	26%	53%	34%	33%	32%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	0%
	Mean	644	5.08	5.39	5.35	4.16	4.31	5.77	4.93	5.13	5.14
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1 – Very little impact	47	7%	4%	2%	17%	18%	2%	9%	7%	7%
	2	21	3%	4%	2%	4%	6%	1%	6%	2%	3%
	3	37	6%	5%	3%	11%	7%	7%	6%	4%	6%
	4	72	11%	9%	13%	14%	13%	4%	10%	13%	10%
	5	105	16%	20%	15%	15%	21%	7%	17%	15%	17%
	6	76	12%	15%	16%	7%	7%	4%	10%	10%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	287	44%	44%	48%	31%	28%	75%	42%	49%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	645	5.39	5.57	5.79	4.51	4.46	6.21	5.17	5.54	5.42

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Impact: Reducing local taxes	1 – Very little impact	142	22%	26%	25%	14%	8%	32%	23%	27%	18%
	2	45	7%	11%	5%	3%	5%	11%	4%	5%	10%
	3	71	11%	10%	13%	11%	5%	13%	8%	10%	14%
	4	120	19%	21%	21%	15%	13%	15%	17%	22%	17%
	5	73	11%	11%	13%	10%	14%	6%	12%	10%	11%
	6	54	8%	8%	8%	9%	13%	5%	13%	6%	7%
	7 – Very significant impact	136	21%	12%	14%	38%	39%	17%	22%	20%	21%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	0%	1%
	Mean	641	4.00	3.52	3.71	4.83	5.22	3.36	4.22	3.81	3.99
Impact: Easing regulations to allow for more outdoor restaurant seating	1 – Very little impact	67	10%	8%	7%	15%	13%	18%	12%	14%	7%
	2	49	8%	8%	5%	11%	13%	4%	5%	7%	9%
	3	70	11%	14%	12%	9%	6%	8%	10%	11%	11%
	4	108	17%	12%	19%	14%	19%	22%	20%	17%	15%
	5	140	22%	23%	22%	20%	17%	25%	18%	19%	26%
	6	83	13%	12%	16%	12%	14%	6%	13%	14%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	126	19%	22%	18%	19%	19%	17%	22%	18%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	-	-	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	643	4.49	4.59	4.68	4.25	4.31	4.23	4.54	4.34	4.55
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1 – Very little impact	49	8%	5%	5%	3%	6%	29%	10%	9%	5%
	2	44	7%	7%	5%	8%	6%	9%	9%	6%	6%
	3	30	5%	5%	6%	2%	2%	6%	3%	6%	5%
	4	79	12%	12%	14%	7%	10%	17%	12%	11%	13%
	5	84	13%	16%	18%	5%	6%	13%	12%	11%	15%
	6	91	14%	17%	12%	14%	19%	8%	16%	13%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	266	41%	38%	38%	60%	51%	19%	38%	45%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Mean	644	5.24	5.29	5.23	5.82	5.66	3.74	5.07	5.26	5.33

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	99	15%	11%	13%	8%	10%	51%	18%	15%	14%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	7%	7%	4%	6%	13%	6%	7%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	501	78%	83%	79%	88%	84%	36%	77%	77%	79%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	49	8%	4%	7%	9%	4%	20%	9%	8%	6%
	4/(DK)	81	12%	14%	10%	7%	12%	26%	17%	9%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	515	80%	82%	83%	84%	84%	54%	74%	83%	82%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	68	11%	8%	10%	5%	8%	30%	12%	9%	11%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	10%	5%	6%	3%	12%	7%	9%	6%
	5-7 Significant Impact	530	82%	82%	85%	88%	89%	58%	81%	82%	83%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	218	34%	28%	16%	64%	54%	31%	36%	34%	32%
	4/(DK)	81	13%	12%	15%	10%	11%	15%	11%	13%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	346	54%	61%	69%	27%	35%	54%	54%	53%	54%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	31	5%	3%	2%	12%	8%	4%	4%	2%	7%
	4/(DK)	57	9%	7%	9%	9%	14%	3%	7%	9%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	557	86%	90%	88%	79%	77%	93%	89%	89%	83%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	164	25%	20%	13%	51%	43%	16%	26%	21%	29%
	4/(DK)	82	13%	13%	13%	15%	12%	8%	11%	21%	8%
	5-7 Significant Impact	399	62%	67%	75%	34%	44%	76%	63%	59%	64%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	102	16%	9%	17%	15%	10%	38%	16%	16%	15%
	4/(DK)	79	12%	14%	13%	7%	9%	18%	9%	14%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	464	72%	78%	70%	78%	81%	44%	74%	70%	72%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	40	6%	5%	3%	9%	2%	20%	6%	6%	6%
	4/(DK)	48	7%	3%	7%	7%	6%	23%	8%	8%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	557	86%	92%	90%	84%	93%	58%	86%	86%	87%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	125	19%	11%	14%	35%	38%	12%	25%	19%	16%
	4/(DK)	93	14%	16%	14%	21%	10%	9%	14%	16%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	428	66%	74%	73%	44%	52%	79%	61%	65%	71%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	105	16%	12%	7%	32%	31%	11%	21%	13%	16%
	4/(DK)	72	11%	9%	13%	14%	13%	4%	10%	13%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	468	72%	78%	80%	53%	56%	85%	68%	74%	74%
Impact: Reducing local taxes	1-3 Little Impact	259	40%	47%	44%	28%	18%	56%	35%	42%	42%
	4/(DK)	124	19%	22%	22%	15%	15%	16%	17%	22%	19%
	5-7 Significant Impact	262	41%	31%	34%	57%	67%	28%	48%	36%	39%
Impact: Easing regulations to allow for more outdoor restaurant seating	1-3 Little Impact	187	29%	30%	24%	35%	32%	29%	27%	32%	28%
	4/(DK)	109	17%	13%	20%	14%	19%	22%	20%	17%	15%
	5-7 Significant Impact	349	54%	57%	57%	51%	50%	49%	53%	51%	57%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1-3 Little Impact	124	19%	17%	17%	14%	13%	44%	22%	21%	16%
	4/(DK)	81	12%	12%	15%	7%	10%	17%	13%	11%	14%
	5-7 Significant Impact	441	68%	71%	68%	78%	76%	39%	66%	68%	70%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Most important thing the city of Seattle could do to improve quality of life	Homelessness/Encampments	174	27%	29%	32%	22%	22%	19%	22%	31%	28%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	149	23%	21%	22%	27%	35%	12%	22%	25%	23%
	Affordable housing	93	14%	13%	15%	8%	13%	26%	15%	15%	14%
	Racial inequality/Policing	58	9%	6%	7%	15%	8%	14%	9%	7%	11%
	Taxes	33	5%	3%	5%	3%	5%	14%	6%	5%	5%
	Politicians/Government	29	4%	5%	1%	11%	5%	1%	7%	4%	4%
	Climate change/Environment	18	3%	5%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	4%
	Cost of living	11	2%	2%	3%	0%	2%	1%	2%	0%	2%
	Road repairs/Infrastructure	11	2%	3%	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%
	Public health/Pandemic/Coronavirus	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
	Traffic/Congestion	6	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	0%
	Public transportation	6	1%	1%	0%	2%	1%	3%	0%	1%	1%
	Other	35	5%	8%	4%	4%	3%	6%	5%	8%	4%
	Nothing/Don’t know	12	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Much too high	156	24%	14%	17%	39%	48%	19%	27%	26%	21%
	Somewhat too high	224	35%	40%	35%	36%	31%	24%	37%	30%	36%
	Too low	75	12%	8%	15%	9%	5%	23%	10%	12%	12%
	About right	181	28%	38%	30%	17%	13%	33%	26%	30%	28%
	(Don't know)	9	1%	1%	2%	-	3%	2%	-	1%	2%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Too high	380	59%	53%	53%	74%	79%	43%	64%	56%	58%
	About right/(DK)	190	30%	39%	32%	17%	16%	34%	26%	32%	30%
	Too low	75	12%	8%	15%	9%	5%	23%	10%	12%	12%
	Net Too high	+304	+47	+46	+37	+66	+74	+19	+53	+44	+46
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	304	47%	40%	46%	60%	66%	26%	39%	50%	50%
	Somewhat less safe	167	26%	24%	32%	27%	18%	20%	29%	25%	24%
	More safe	17	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	9%	2%	5%	2%
	(About the same)	154	24%	33%	20%	10%	15%	42%	29%	19%	24%
	(Don't know)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	0%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Safety in your neighborhood	Less safe	471	73%	64%	79%	87%	84%	47%	69%	75%	74%
compared to 2 years ago	(About the same/DK)	157	24%	34%	20%	10%	15%	44%	29%	20%	24%
	More safe	17	3%	2%	2%	3%	1%	9%	2%	5%	2%
	Net Less safe	+454	+70	+62	+77	+84	+83	+38	+67	+70	+73
Police reform preference:	Defund & decriminalize	139	21%	20%	20%	14%	15%	51%	24%	22%	20%
Defund & decriminalize vs.	(Lean Defund & decriminalize)	8	1%	3%	0%	-	1%	1%	2%	0%	1%
Reform & hire	Reform & hire	492	76%	77%	79%	85%	82%	46%	73%	77%	78%
	(Lean Reform & hire)	5	1%	1%	0%	2%	-	2%	0%	1%	1%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	2%	-	0%	-	1%
Tent encampments preference:	Stop the sweeps	87	13%	11%	10%	5%	13%	43%	16%	14%	11%
Stop the sweeps vs. Remove	(Lean Stop the sweeps)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
encampments	Remove encampments	553	86%	88%	90%	91%	87%	57%	83%	85%	88%
	(Lean Remove encampments)	4	1%	0%	-	3%	-	-	1%	1%	0%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-
Opportunity and prosperity	Increase taxes & redistribution	281	44%	42%	45%	30%	34%	74%	49%	46%	38%
preference: Increase taxes &	(Lean Increase taxes & redistribution)	3	0%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%
redistribution vs. Increase access	Increase access & opportunity	346	54%	56%	53%	67%	59%	25%	47%	53%	59%
& opportunity	(Lean Increase access & opportunity)	8	1%	1%	1%	-	5%	-	0%	1%	2%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%
City budget deficit preference:	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	205	32%	36%	36%	15%	17%	51%	30%	35%	31%
Maintain spending/Increase	(Lean Maintain spending/Increase taxes)	4	1%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%
taxes vs. Support	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	427	66%	63%	61%	82%	79%	48%	67%	63%	68%
vulnerable/Focus on basics	(Lean Support vulnerable/Focus on	4	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	-	0%	1%	1%
	(None/Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	0%	0%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Police reform preference:	Defund & decriminalize	146	23%	22%	20%	14%	16%	52%	26%	22%	21%
Defund & decriminalize vs.	Reform & hire	497	77%	78%	80%	86%	82%	48%	74%	78%	79%
Reform & hire	(None/DK/Ref)	2	0%	-	0%	-	2%	-	0%	-	1%
	Net Reform & hire	+350	+54	+55	+59	+73	+66	-4	+47	+55	+58
Tent encampments preference:	Stop the sweeps	87	13%	11%	10%	5%	13%	43%	16%	14%	11%
Stop the sweeps vs. Remove	Remove encampments	557	86%	89%	90%	94%	87%	57%	84%	86%	89%
encampments	(None/DK/Ref)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-
	Net Remove encampments	+470	+73	+77	+80	+89	+74	+15	+67	+72	+77
Opportunity and prosperity	Increase taxes & redistribution	284	44%	43%	45%	30%	35%	74%	50%	46%	38%
preference: Increase taxes &	Increase access & opportunity	354	55%	56%	54%	67%	64%	25%	47%	54%	60%
redistribution vs. Increase access	(None/DK/Ref)	8	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%
& opportunity	Net Increase access & opportunity	+70	+11	+13	+9	+37	+28	-49	-3	+8	+22
City budget deficit preference:	Maintain spending/Increase taxes	209	32%	36%	37%	16%	18%	52%	31%	36%	31%
Maintain spending/Increase	Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	431	67%	64%	62%	83%	81%	48%	68%	64%	69%
taxes vs. Support	(None/DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	0%	0%
vulnerable/Focus on basics	Net Support vulnerable/Focus on basics	+222	+34	+28	+25	+66	+64	-4	+37	+28	+37
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Once a week or more	207	32%	32%	26%	39%	30%	41%	34%	46%	20%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	231	36%	40%	37%	30%	31%	38%	39%	31%	37%
	A few times a year	124	19%	18%	21%	18%	24%	15%	13%	13%	28%
	Rarely	57	9%	7%	10%	11%	9%	6%	7%	7%	11%
	Never	21	3%	2%	6%	2%	6%	-	6%	2%	3%
	(Refused)	5	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Weekly	207	32%	32%	26%	39%	30%	41%	34%	46%	20%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	231	36%	40%	37%	30%	31%	38%	39%	31%	37%
	Less often	207	32%	28%	37%	31%	39%	21%	27%	23%	42%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Downtown visit frequency	Much more often	31	5%	8%	3%	2%	8%	2%	5%	5%	4%
compared to pre-pandemic	Somewhat more often	67	10%	11%	12%	7%	9%	9%	13%	8%	10%
	About the same	212	33%	30%	36%	28%	25%	45%	30%	34%	34%
	Somewhat less often	143	22%	21%	27%	18%	20%	20%	18%	27%	21%
	Much less often	189	29%	27%	22%	44%	38%	22%	33%	26%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	2%	-	-	-	2%	1%	1%	0%
Downtown visit frequency	More often	97	15%	19%	15%	9%	17%	12%	19%	13%	15%
compared to pre-pandemic	Same/(DK/Ref)	216	33%	32%	36%	28%	25%	46%	31%	35%	34%
	Less often	332	51%	49%	49%	63%	58%	42%	51%	53%	51%
	Net More often	-235	-36	-29	-34	-54	-41	-30	-32	-40	-36
Duration of residency	<2 years	25	4%	2%	6%	4%	3%	5%	5%	4%	3%
	2-5 years	103	16%	18%	16%	16%	11%	17%	12%	23%	14%
	6-10 years	104	16%	12%	19%	16%	11%	23%	8%	22%	17%
	11-20 years	143	22%	24%	16%	24%	26%	26%	26%	18%	23%
	>20 years	270	42%	44%	43%	39%	48%	29%	49%	34%	43%
	(Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	644	21.36	22.80	21.45	19.72	23.67	17.00	23.27	18.87	21.91
Duration of residency	1-10 years	231	36%	32%	40%	36%	25%	45%	25%	49%	34%
	11-20 years	143	22%	24%	16%	24%	26%	26%	26%	18%	23%
	>20 years	271	42%	44%	43%	40%	48%	29%	49%	34%	43%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Party	Strong Democrat	232	36%	58%	62%	-	-	1%	35%	38%	35%
	Not very strong Democrat	90	14%	26%	20%	-	-	5%	12%	10%	18%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	71	11%	16%	18%	-	-	7%	13%	12%	9%
	Independent	64	10%	-	-	28%	36%	6%	10%	9%	11%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	39	6%	-	-	26%	14%	-	7%	7%	4%
	Not very strong Republican	19	3%	-	-	12%	8%	-	2%	2%	4%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	-	-	8%	12%	-	1%	3%	4%
	Socialist	45	7%	-	-	-	-	64%	5%	9%	7%
	(Something else/Don’t know/Refused)	64	10%	-	-	26%	30%	17%	13%	10%	8%
Party	Socialist	45	7%	-	-	-	-	64%	5%	9%	7%
	Democrat	393	61%	100%	100%	-	-	13%	61%	60%	62%
	Independent	129	20%	-	-	54%	66%	23%	23%	18%	19%
	Republican	77	12%	-	-	46%	34%	-	11%	12%	12%
Ideology	1 - Very liberal	82	13%	13%	12%	4%	4%	36%	15%	13%	11%
	2	107	17%	25%	20%	4%	9%	16%	16%	20%	15%
	3	167	26%	38%	38%	11%	6%	6%	23%	27%	27%
	4	149	23%	20%	21%	37%	26%	14%	22%	19%	27%
	5	60	9%	3%	5%	23%	23%	1%	10%	8%	10%
	6	14	2%	1%	-	5%	8%	-	2%	3%	2%
	7 - Very conservative	15	2%	-	1%	5%	7%	2%	2%	3%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	50	8%	0%	3%	11%	17%	25%	10%	7%	7%
	Mean	595	3.17	2.79	2.90	4.16	4.31	2.16	3.11	3.09	3.26
Ideology	Liberal	356	55%	76%	70%	19%	19%	58%	54%	60%	52%
	Moderate	200	31%	20%	24%	48%	43%	39%	32%	26%	34%
	Conservative	89	14%	4%	6%	32%	38%	3%	14%	13%	14%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	445	69%	71%	78%	65%	55%	60%	67%	68%	71%
	African American or Black	26	4%	6%	3%	5%	2%	4%	5%	6%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	26	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	9%	3%	6%	3%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	52	8%	11%	7%	4%	13%	1%	7%	8%	8%
	Something else	40	6%	4%	7%	6%	7%	9%	7%	4%	7%
	(Refused)	57	9%	5%	2%	15%	19%	17%	10%	8%	8%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	445	69%	71%	78%	65%	55%	60%	67%	68%	71%
	African American or Black	26	4%	6%	3%	5%	2%	4%	5%	6%	2%
	Hispanic or Latino	26	4%	3%	3%	4%	4%	9%	3%	6%	3%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	52	8%	11%	7%	4%	13%	1%	7%	8%	8%
	Other Ethnicity/(Ref)	97	15%	9%	9%	22%	25%	25%	17%	13%	15%
Ethnicity	White	445	69%	71%	78%	65%	55%	60%	67%	68%	71%
	POC	143	22%	24%	20%	19%	26%	23%	22%	24%	21%
	(Ref)	57	9%	5%	2%	15%	19%	17%	10%	8%	8%
Age	18-29	111	17%	24%	14%	16%	14%	17%	17%	15%	19%
	30-39	166	26%	23%	28%	22%	19%	42%	22%	30%	25%
	40-49	117	18%	16%	17%	18%	20%	24%	19%	19%	17%
	50-64	131	20%	20%	18%	25%	29%	11%	22%	19%	20%
	65+	120	19%	18%	24%	19%	18%	7%	20%	17%	19%
Two-Age Split	18-39	277	43%	46%	41%	38%	33%	59%	39%	45%	44%
	40+	368	57%	54%	59%	62%	67%	41%	61%	55%	56%
Generation	18-39	277	43%	46%	41%	38%	33%	59%	39%	45%	44%
	40-64	248	38%	36%	35%	43%	49%	34%	41%	38%	37%
	65+	120	19%	18%	24%	19%	18%	7%	20%	17%	19%

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Education	Some grade school	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Some high school	5	1%	1%	0%	2%	-	-	-	2%	1%
	Graduated high school	22	3%	1%	1%	9%	4%	6%	4%	2%	4%
	Technical/vocational school	28	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	3%
	Some college/<4-year degree	100	16%	11%	16%	14%	22%	21%	18%	9%	19%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	259	40%	45%	36%	40%	43%	37%	38%	43%	40%
	Graduate/professional degree	215	33%	37%	40%	26%	24%	29%	32%	37%	32%
	(Don't know/Refused)	15	2%	-	2%	5%	4%	4%	2%	2%	2%
Education	<4-year degree	170	26%	18%	24%	34%	33%	34%	29%	21%	29%
	4-year degree+	475	74%	82%	76%	66%	67%	66%	71%	79%	71%
Education	Less than college	170	26%	18%	24%	34%	33%	34%	29%	21%	29%
	Graduated college	259	40%	45%	36%	40%	43%	37%	38%	43%	40%
	Graduate/professional degree	215	33%	37%	40%	26%	24%	29%	32%	37%	32%
Gender	Male	305	47%	100%	-	100%	-	23%	45%	50%	46%
	Female	307	48%	-	100%	-	100%	30%	50%	44%	49%
	Non-binary	14	2%	-	-	-	-	20%	2%	2%	2%
	(Refused)	19	3%	-	-	-	-	27%	3%	3%	3%
Region	South	177	28%	27%	29%	26%	31%	25%	100%	-	-
	Central	197	31%	29%	30%	37%	22%	35%	-	100%	-
	North	271	42%	44%	41%	37%	47%	40%	-	-	100%
City Council District	1	94	15%	14%	15%	14%	19%	11%	53%	-	-
	2	84	13%	13%	14%	12%	12%	13%	47%	-	-
	3	100	16%	17%	13%	14%	8%	29%	-	51%	-
	4	81	13%	15%	12%	12%	15%	6%	-	-	30%
	5	90	14%	16%	14%	11%	13%	17%	-	-	33%
	6	100	16%	13%	16%	15%	20%	18%	-	-	37%
	7	97	15%	12%	17%	23%	13%	6%	-	49%	-

				Party/Gender					Region		
		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		645		182	202	106	84	71	177	197	271
Row percent			100%	28%	31%	16%	13%	11%	28%	31%	42%
Vote History (PG20 PG18 PG16)	0-3/6	310	48%	43%	38%	64%	57%	55%	54%	51%	42%
	4-5/6	194	30%	31%	37%	21%	27%	26%	26%	25%	36%
	6/6	142	22%	26%	26%	15%	16%	19%	20%	23%	22%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	136	21%	46%	-	38%	-	16%	19%	24%	21%
	M 40-64	113	18%	36%	-	43%	-	4%	18%	17%	18%
	M 65+	55	9%	18%	-	19%	-	4%	9%	10%	8%
	F 18-39	127	20%	-	41%	-	33%	23%	19%	18%	22%
	F 40-64	117	18%	-	35%	-	49%	6%	20%	19%	16%
	F 65+	63	10%	-	24%	-	18%	1%	11%	7%	11%
	Other	33	5%	-	-	-	-	47%	5%	6%	5%
Party/Gender	D Male	182	28%	100%	-	-	-	-	27%	27%	30%
	D Female	202	31%	-	100%	-	-	-	33%	31%	31%
	R/I Male	106	16%	-	-	100%	-	-	15%	20%	15%
	R/I Female	84	13%	-	-	-	100%	-	15%	9%	15%
	Other	71	11%	-	-	-	-	100%	10%	13%	10%