		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
QOL Index	Mean	625	4.20	4.21	4.22	3.75	4.91	4.61	3.57	2.49
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	195	31%	30%	33%	22%	36%	38%	22%	4%
	Wrong track	390	62%	65%	60%	73%	56%	55%	74%	92%
	(Don't know/Refused)	40	6%	5%	8%	5%	8%	7%	5%	4%
	Net Right direction	-195	-31	-34	-27	-52	-20	-16	-52	-88
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	262	42%	40%	45%	5%	33%	44%	37%	44%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	105	17%	16%	18%	0%	20%	17%	15%	15%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	65	10%	10%	10%	32%	11%	11%	10%	11%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	64	10%	8%	13%	12%	13%	10%	11%	7%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	54	9%	8%	8%	21%	5%	8%	12%	6%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	51	8%	10%	6%	9%	19%	8%	6%	3%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	40	6%	7%	6%	6%	3%	6%	6%	13%
	Walkability/Transit	36	6%	6%	6%	0%	15%	6%	3%	3%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	29	5%	4%	5%	0%	3%	5%	5%	5%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	20	3%	4%	2%	0%	3%	1%	6%	11%
	Other	15	2%	4%	1%	0%	4%	2%	2%	2%
	Nothing/Don't know	20	3%	2%	5%	20%	4%	3%	5%	3%

| | | n | % | Gender | | | Party | | | |
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	350	56%	51%	62%	39%	51%	61%	46%	52%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	238	38%	40%	37%	9%	5%	36%	45%	59%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	145	23%	22%	23%	53%	55%	23%	19%	9%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	46	7%	8%	6%	0%	2%	3%	14%	21%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	39	6%	9%	4%	0%	16%	4%	7%	13%
	Taxes	24	4%	4%	3%	0%	2%	3%	6%	4%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	22	3%	3%	4%	0%	1%	5%	2%	0%
	Public transportation	19	3%	4%	2%	0%	2%	4%	1%	3%
	Healthcare cost/Access	17	3%	3%	2%	11%	4%	2%	6%	0%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	17	3%	2%	4%	0%	0%	3%	3%	3%
	Traffic/Congestion	15	2%	2%	2%	6%	5%	2%	1%	4%
	Jobs/Economy	13	2%	2%	2%	0%	3%	2%	2%	0%
	Growth/Development/Population	11	2%	1%	3%	0%	0%	2%	3%	0%
	Climate change/Environment	5	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%
	Other	23	4%	4%	3%	11%	5%	4%	2%	1%
	Nothing/Don't know	4	1%	1%	0%	8%	2%	0%	1%	0%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	11	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	3%	1%	-
	Somewhat better	44	7%	9%	5%	12%	5%	8%	7%	-
	The same	118	19%	20%	18%	15%	36%	19%	19%	4%
	Somewhat worse	206	33%	30%	36%	25%	37%	38%	22%	25%
	Much worse	220	35%	36%	34%	43%	16%	27%	48%	69%
	(Don't know/Refused)	26	4%	3%	5%	5%	5%	5%	3%	2%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	55	9%	10%	7%	12%	7%	11%	8%	-
	Same/(DK/Ref)	144	23%	24%	23%	21%	41%	24%	22%	6%
	Worse	426	68%	66%	70%	67%	52%	65%	70%	94%
	Net Better	-370	-59	-55	-63	-56	-46	-54	-63	-
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	345	55%	53%	57%	67%	38%	50%	65%	80%
	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	280	45%	47%	43%	33%	62%	50%	35%	20%

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	107	31%	35%	28%	9%	-	29%	43%	28%
	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	82	24%	22%	24%	61%	56%	26%	20%	10%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	37	11%	13%	9%	-	6%	6%	12%	30%
	Homelessness	24	7%	7%	7%	-	-	8%	8%	5%
	Taxes	13	4%	3%	4%	17%	-	4%	2%	5%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	13	4%	4%	4%	-	6%	4%	4%	4%
	Closer to family	13	4%	3%	5%	-	4%	6%	1%	-
	Growth/Development/Space	10	3%	3%	4%	-	-	4%	2%	4%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	10	3%	1%	5%	-	10%	3%	2%	-
	Weather	6	2%	2%	2%	-	-	2%	-	3%
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	2%	-	-
	Other	20	6%	6%	6%	-	13%	5%	4%	10%
	Nothing/Don't know	5	2%	1%	1%	13%	5%	1%	2%	1%
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	285	83%	86%	79%	100%	72%	79%	86%	93%
	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	60	17%	14%	21%	-	28%	21%	14%	7%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	285	46%	45%	45%	67%	27%	39%	56%	75%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	60	10%	8%	12%	-	11%	10%	9%	5%
	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	280	45%	47%	43%	33%	62%	50%	35%	20%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	133	21%	24%	19%	23%	30%	25%	14%	5%
	Somewhat agree	218	35%	33%	38%	27%	42%	38%	31%	19%
	Somewhat disagree	146	23%	20%	27%	32%	20%	23%	23%	30%
	Strongly disagree	119	19%	22%	16%	18%	9%	13%	30%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	2%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	397	64%	66%	61%	42%	32%	68%	59%	70%
	Somewhat agree	162	26%	24%	28%	44%	41%	24%	27%	27%
	Somewhat disagree	42	7%	6%	7%	14%	20%	6%	9%	-
	Strongly disagree	17	3%	3%	2%	-	7%	2%	4%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	331	53%	52%	54%	36%	17%	51%	58%	80%
	Somewhat agree	181	29%	29%	29%	16%	34%	31%	27%	17%
	Somewhat disagree	72	12%	12%	10%	35%	34%	11%	11%	2%
	Strongly disagree	35	6%	6%	5%	14%	14%	6%	4%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	212	34%	37%	31%	44%	57%	38%	28%	4%
	Somewhat agree	238	38%	35%	42%	29%	39%	40%	34%	38%
	Somewhat disagree	89	14%	14%	14%	18%	-	12%	20%	25%
	Strongly disagree	81	13%	14%	12%	8%	3%	9%	19%	33%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	66	11%	13%	9%	-	30%	10%	10%	2%
	Somewhat agree	129	21%	23%	18%	48%	19%	24%	17%	7%
	Somewhat disagree	155	25%	25%	26%	9%	29%	27%	21%	18%
	Strongly disagree	267	43%	39%	46%	42%	20%	38%	51%	73%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	0%	2%	-	2%	2%	-	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	432	69%	68%	70%	33%	31%	69%	73%	87%
	Somewhat agree	126	20%	22%	19%	30%	41%	20%	17%	13%
	Somewhat disagree	48	8%	7%	8%	36%	23%	7%	7%	-
	Strongly disagree	15	2%	3%	2%	-	5%	3%	2%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	0%	-
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	226	36%	37%	35%	59%	68%	41%	23%	15%
	Somewhat agree	196	31%	29%	34%	15%	19%	32%	35%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	106	17%	18%	16%	17%	5%	17%	19%	23%
	Strongly disagree	91	14%	16%	13%	8%	9%	10%	22%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	2%

	n	%	Gender			Party			
			Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases	625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent		100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas									
Strongly agree	273	44%	44%	43%	48%	73%	48%	28%	28%
Somewhat agree	220	35%	33%	36%	52%	25%	35%	40%	32%
Somewhat disagree	79	13%	13%	13%	-	-	12%	16%	22%
Strongly disagree	42	7%	9%	5%	-	2%	3%	15%	17%
(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	1%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	2%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly									
Strongly agree	29	5%	6%	3%	-	8%	6%	1%	-
Somewhat agree	181	29%	28%	30%	57%	45%	34%	21%	3%
Somewhat disagree	161	26%	20%	31%	25%	27%	30%	21%	9%
Strongly disagree	247	40%	45%	34%	18%	18%	29%	54%	88%
(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	-
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area									
Strongly agree	89	14%	14%	15%	-	16%	19%	7%	2%
Somewhat agree	241	39%	39%	39%	47%	48%	39%	37%	34%
Somewhat disagree	169	27%	24%	29%	30%	20%	29%	27%	24%
Strongly disagree	118	19%	22%	16%	23%	14%	13%	28%	39%
(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite									
Strongly agree	243	39%	42%	36%	41%	44%	45%	30%	17%
Somewhat agree	213	34%	30%	38%	32%	45%	35%	28%	31%
Somewhat disagree	95	15%	15%	15%	18%	9%	14%	18%	24%
Strongly disagree	68	11%	11%	10%	8%	2%	6%	21%	28%
(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	2%	-	-	-	0%	3%	-
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety									
Strongly agree	36	6%	7%	5%	-	7%	7%	5%	1%
Somewhat agree	148	24%	23%	24%	24%	32%	27%	21%	4%
Somewhat disagree	148	24%	20%	27%	47%	36%	26%	16%	18%
Strongly disagree	276	44%	48%	40%	30%	23%	38%	55%	74%
(Don't know/Refused)	17	3%	2%	4%	-	2%	3%	3%	2%

			Gender			Party			
	n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases	625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent		100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City Strongly agree	294	47%	51%	43%	35%	2%	41%	60%	87%
Somewhat agree	126	20%	19%	23%	-	7%	25%	17%	7%
Somewhat disagree	75	12%	12%	12%	6%	18%	15%	8%	-
Strongly disagree	115	18%	15%	20%	59%	71%	17%	12%	6%
(Don't know/Refused)	15	2%	4%	1%	-	3%	2%	4%	-
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety Strongly agree	20	3%	3%	3%	9%	-	4%	4%	-
Somewhat agree	84	13%	14%	13%	18%	15%	16%	9%	3%
Somewhat disagree	203	32%	31%	34%	53%	49%	37%	23%	12%
Strongly disagree	308	49%	51%	48%	20%	33%	42%	60%	85%
(Don't know/Refused)	10	2%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	4%	-
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region Agree	352	56%	57%	56%	50%	72%	64%	45%	24%
Disagree	266	43%	42%	42%	50%	28%	35%	53%	75%
(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	2%
Net Agree	+86	+14	+15	+14	+0	+44	+28	-8	-51
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery Agree	560	90%	90%	89%	86%	73%	92%	86%	96%
Disagree	59	9%	9%	10%	14%	27%	7%	13%	4%
(DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Net Agree	+501	+80	+81	+79	+73	+46	+85	+72	+93
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle Agree	511	82%	81%	83%	52%	51%	82%	85%	96%
Disagree	107	17%	18%	15%	48%	47%	17%	14%	4%
(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	-
Net Agree	+404	+65	+63	+68	+3	+4	+65	+70	+93
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day Agree	451	72%	72%	73%	73%	96%	78%	61%	42%
Disagree	169	27%	27%	26%	27%	3%	21%	39%	58%
(DK/Ref)	5	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-
Net Agree	+281	+45	+45	+47	+46	+93	+57	+23	-16

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	196	31%	36%	27%	48%	49%	34%	28%	9%
	Disagree	422	68%	64%	71%	52%	49%	64%	72%	91%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	0%	2%	-	2%	2%	-	-
	Net Agree	-227	-36	-28	-45	-3	+0	-30	-45	-83
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	558	89%	90%	89%	64%	72%	89%	91%	100%
	Disagree	63	10%	9%	10%	36%	28%	10%	9%	-
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	0%	-
	Net Agree	+495	+79	+81	+79	+27	+44	+79	+81	-
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	423	68%	66%	69%	74%	87%	72%	58%	44%
	Disagree	197	32%	33%	30%	26%	13%	27%	41%	54%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	+226	+36	+32	+39	+49	+73	+46	+18	-10
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Agree	493	79%	77%	80%	100%	98%	84%	68%	60%
	Disagree	122	19%	22%	18%	-	2%	14%	31%	38%
	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	1%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	+371	+59	+56	+61	-	+95	+69	+37	+21
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	209	34%	34%	33%	57%	52%	40%	23%	3%
	Disagree	408	65%	66%	65%	43%	45%	59%	75%	97%
	(DK/Ref)	8	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	-
	Net Agree	-199	-32	-32	-32	+14	+7	-19	-52	-94
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	331	53%	53%	53%	47%	64%	58%	43%	36%
	Disagree	287	46%	46%	45%	53%	34%	41%	55%	63%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+44	+7	+8	+8	-5	+30	+16	-12	-27

| | | n | % | Gender | | | Party | | | |
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	456	73%	72%	74%	73%	89%	80%	58%	47%
	Disagree	164	26%	26%	26%	27%	11%	19%	39%	53%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	2%	-	-	-	0%	3%	-
	Net Agree	+292	+47	+46	+49	+46	+79	+61	+20	-5
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	185	30%	30%	30%	24%	39%	34%	25%	6%
	Disagree	423	68%	68%	67%	76%	59%	64%	71%	92%
	(DK/Ref)	17	3%	2%	4%	-	2%	3%	3%	2%
	Net Agree	-239	-38	-38	-37	-53	-20	-30	-46	-87
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Agree	420	67%	69%	66%	35%	8%	66%	77%	94%
	Disagree	190	30%	27%	33%	65%	89%	31%	20%	6%
	(DK/Ref)	15	2%	4%	1%	-	3%	2%	4%	-
	Net Agree	+230	+37	+42	+34	-29	-81	+35	+57	+87
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	104	17%	17%	16%	27%	15%	20%	13%	3%
	Disagree	511	82%	82%	82%	73%	82%	79%	83%	97%
	(DK/Ref)	10	2%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	4%	-
	Net Agree	-407	-65	-65	-65	-46	-67	-58	-71	-94
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	42	7%	7%	6%	32%	36%	5%	5%	-
	2	20	3%	3%	3%	5%	7%	3%	3%	3%
	3	30	5%	4%	6%	6%	20%	5%	1%	2%
	4	45	7%	6%	8%	12%	17%	8%	5%	-
	5	63	10%	10%	11%	6%	1%	12%	9%	3%
	6	59	9%	10%	9%	9%	5%	11%	10%	5%
	7 – Very significant impact	366	59%	59%	58%	29%	13%	56%	68%	87%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.73	5.78	5.72	3.99	3.07	5.76	6.08	6.68

			Gender			Party			
	n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases	625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent		100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns 1 – Very little impact	13	2%	2%	2%	-	12%	1%	3%	-
2	12	2%	2%	1%	14%	10%	2%	1%	-
3	30	5%	6%	4%	-	10%	3%	8%	5%
4	53	9%	8%	8%	33%	16%	9%	8%	3%
5	114	18%	17%	20%	21%	29%	20%	15%	9%
6	94	15%	12%	19%	6%	6%	18%	15%	4%
7 – Very significant impact	306	49%	52%	46%	26%	14%	48%	50%	79%
(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	-	3%	0%	-	-
Mean	622	5.81	5.81	5.83	4.84	4.18	5.91	5.74	6.49
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins 1 – Very little impact	16	3%	2%	3%	-	13%	2%	2%	-
2	16	2%	2%	2%	20%	12%	2%	3%	-
3	39	6%	6%	6%	18%	19%	6%	5%	2%
4	60	10%	9%	10%	15%	16%	11%	7%	3%
5	88	14%	13%	15%	12%	20%	16%	13%	-
6	95	15%	16%	14%	11%	5%	17%	17%	7%
7 – Very significant impact	310	50%	51%	48%	24%	14%	46%	53%	89%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
Mean	624	5.75	5.83	5.69	4.49	3.90	5.74	5.90	6.77
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution 1 – Very little impact	101	16%	22%	11%	-	-	8%	30%	53%
2	43	7%	7%	7%	8%	3%	5%	7%	18%
3	72	11%	12%	12%	-	2%	13%	10%	14%
4	74	12%	9%	14%	32%	13%	13%	10%	5%
5	125	20%	20%	20%	11%	27%	22%	16%	8%
6	65	10%	10%	10%	21%	11%	12%	9%	2%
7 – Very significant impact	145	23%	21%	25%	27%	44%	26%	17%	1%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
Mean	624	4.36	4.13	4.59	5.18	5.71	4.80	3.73	2.08

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	6	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	5%
	2	8	1%	2%	1%	-	-	1%	-	4%
	3	25	4%	4%	4%	-	-	4%	4%	5%
	4	45	7%	7%	7%	-	2%	7%	9%	7%
	5	123	20%	23%	16%	52%	21%	19%	20%	25%
	6	129	21%	21%	22%	5%	26%	23%	15%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	288	46%	42%	49%	43%	50%	44%	51%	42%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	624	5.90	5.81	5.98	5.91	6.25	5.90	6.01	5.45
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	90	14%	18%	11%	-	-	6%	26%	53%
	2	26	4%	5%	4%	-	-	3%	5%	12%
	3	40	6%	6%	7%	-	6%	5%	8%	11%
	4	72	11%	12%	11%	-	-	12%	16%	4%
	5	98	16%	15%	16%	20%	14%	20%	10%	-
	6	87	14%	13%	14%	24%	18%	16%	9%	11%
	7 – Very significant impact	209	33%	30%	36%	56%	62%	37%	25%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	622	4.86	4.63	5.07	6.36	6.30	5.35	4.06	2.51
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	22	3%	2%	3%	32%	17%	3%	3%	1%
	2	21	3%	4%	3%	-	14%	3%	4%	-
	3	39	6%	8%	5%	6%	16%	5%	7%	4%
	4	59	9%	7%	12%	9%	17%	8%	12%	4%
	5	99	16%	14%	17%	26%	14%	19%	8%	11%
	6	108	17%	19%	16%	18%	14%	19%	16%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	276	44%	45%	44%	9%	7%	43%	50%	66%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	0%	-
	Mean	623	5.60	5.63	5.62	3.87	3.70	5.68	5.66	6.30

	n	%	Gender			Party			
			Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases	625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent		100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence 1 – Very little impact	21	3%	4%	3%	-	11%	3%	4%	-
2	14	2%	2%	3%	-	7%	2%	2%	-
3	34	5%	4%	7%	20%	16%	5%	5%	5%
4	38	6%	6%	6%	-	10%	6%	6%	2%
5	68	11%	12%	9%	28%	18%	10%	12%	7%
6	85	14%	16%	11%	5%	12%	13%	16%	12%
7 – Very significant impact	362	58%	55%	60%	47%	25%	60%	55%	74%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	1%
Mean	623	5.92	5.93	5.91	5.60	4.53	5.99	5.91	6.49
Impact: Investing in more public transit 1 – Very little impact	62	10%	11%	8%	-	-	5%	17%	30%
2	35	6%	7%	4%	8%	2%	4%	7%	18%
3	47	8%	8%	7%	-	2%	6%	10%	15%
4	86	14%	13%	15%	9%	5%	16%	13%	7%
5	106	17%	14%	19%	57%	4%	19%	16%	15%
6	110	18%	17%	19%	-	17%	19%	16%	9%
7 – Very significant impact	179	29%	29%	28%	25%	70%	30%	21%	6%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
Mean	624	4.90	4.80	5.01	5.16	6.41	5.21	4.34	3.11
Impact: Building more affordable housing 1 – Very little impact	53	8%	11%	6%	-	-	4%	19%	22%
2	29	5%	7%	2%	-	-	3%	6%	14%
3	39	6%	6%	6%	-	-	5%	8%	12%
4	62	10%	10%	10%	-	2%	9%	15%	14%
5	108	17%	16%	18%	16%	4%	18%	17%	23%
6	82	13%	13%	14%	8%	12%	16%	8%	6%
7 – Very significant impact	251	40%	37%	42%	76%	82%	45%	27%	8%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	625	5.23	5.00	5.43	6.60	6.74	5.63	4.37	3.50

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1 – Very little impact	47	8%	6%	9%	32%	35%	6%	7%	-
	2	30	5%	6%	4%	-	16%	5%	1%	-
	3	45	7%	6%	8%	15%	13%	7%	7%	2%
	4	65	10%	8%	12%	15%	15%	11%	10%	7%
	5	100	16%	17%	16%	12%	11%	19%	13%	7%
	6	73	12%	11%	13%	6%	3%	12%	15%	6%
	7 – Very significant impact	263	42%	46%	39%	20%	5%	39%	46%	77%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Mean	623	5.27	5.40	5.17	3.73	2.79	5.26	5.52	6.50
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1 – Very little impact	87	14%	15%	13%	38%	56%	12%	11%	3%
	2	37	6%	7%	5%	21%	15%	6%	6%	-
	3	49	8%	7%	9%	6%	12%	10%	5%	-
	4	62	10%	8%	12%	-	4%	13%	7%	2%
	5	88	14%	12%	17%	9%	7%	15%	12%	16%
	6	76	12%	11%	14%	6%	2%	13%	14%	8%
	7 – Very significant impact	223	36%	41%	31%	20%	4%	31%	43%	71%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	0%	1%	-
	Mean	623	4.84	4.92	4.80	3.20	2.13	4.78	5.20	6.37
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	92	15%	14%	15%	44%	64%	13%	9%	4%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	6%	8%	12%	17%	8%	5%	-
	5-7 Significant Impact	488	78%	80%	77%	45%	19%	79%	86%	96%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	55	9%	10%	7%	14%	32%	6%	12%	5%
	4/(DK)	56	9%	9%	8%	33%	19%	9%	8%	3%
	5-7 Significant Impact	514	82%	81%	85%	53%	49%	85%	80%	92%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	71	11%	10%	11%	38%	44%	10%	10%	2%
	4/(DK)	61	10%	9%	11%	15%	16%	11%	7%	3%
	5-7 Significant Impact	494	79%	81%	78%	47%	39%	79%	84%	95%

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	216	35%	40%	30%	8%	5%	26%	47%	84%
	4/(DK)	75	12%	9%	15%	32%	13%	14%	10%	5%
	5-7 Significant Impact	334	53%	51%	56%	59%	82%	61%	43%	11%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	39	6%	7%	6%	-	-	6%	4%	14%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	7%	8%	-	2%	7%	9%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	540	86%	86%	86%	100%	98%	86%	86%	79%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	157	25%	29%	22%	-	6%	14%	39%	77%
	4/(DK)	74	12%	13%	11%	-	-	13%	16%	4%
	5-7 Significant Impact	394	63%	59%	67%	100%	94%	73%	44%	19%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	81	13%	14%	11%	38%	47%	10%	14%	5%
	4/(DK)	61	10%	7%	12%	9%	17%	9%	12%	4%
	5-7 Significant Impact	483	77%	78%	77%	53%	36%	81%	74%	91%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	70	11%	9%	13%	20%	35%	10%	10%	5%
	4/(DK)	40	6%	7%	6%	-	10%	6%	7%	3%
	5-7 Significant Impact	515	82%	84%	81%	80%	55%	84%	83%	92%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	143	23%	27%	20%	8%	4%	15%	34%	63%
	4/(DK)	87	14%	13%	15%	9%	5%	16%	14%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	395	63%	60%	66%	82%	91%	69%	52%	30%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	122	19%	24%	15%	-	-	12%	33%	49%
	4/(DK)	62	10%	10%	10%	-	2%	9%	15%	14%
	5-7 Significant Impact	441	71%	66%	75%	100%	98%	79%	52%	36%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1-3 Little Impact	122	20%	18%	20%	47%	65%	19%	15%	2%
	4/(DK)	67	11%	8%	13%	15%	16%	11%	10%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	436	70%	73%	67%	38%	19%	70%	75%	91%

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Impact: Hiring more police	1-3 Little Impact	173	28%	28%	26%	65%	83%	27%	23%	3%
officers	4/(DK)	64	10%	9%	12%	-	4%	13%	8%	2%
	5-7 Significant Impact	387	62%	63%	61%	35%	13%	60%	70%	95%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	199	32%	36%	27%	38%	8%	24%	48%	60%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	160	26%	23%	28%	32%	13%	27%	24%	29%
	Too low	55	9%	10%	8%	9%	30%	9%	6%	-
	About right	200	32%	30%	35%	21%	46%	38%	20%	10%
	(Don't know)	12	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	2%	2%	2%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	358	57%	58%	55%	70%	21%	51%	73%	88%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	212	34%	32%	37%	21%	48%	40%	22%	12%
	Too low	55	9%	10%	8%	9%	30%	9%	6%	-
	Net Too high	+304	+49	+48	+48	+61	-9	+43	+67	-
Safety in your neighborhood	Much less safe	209	33%	34%	34%	20%	8%	30%	42%	56%
compared to 2 years ago	Somewhat less safe	164	26%	24%	29%	25%	21%	28%	24%	26%
	More safe	55	9%	12%	5%	6%	14%	10%	7%	2%
	(About the same)	189	30%	29%	31%	49%	54%	31%	26%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	-
Safety in your neighborhood	Less safe	373	60%	57%	62%	44%	29%	57%	66%	82%
compared to 2 years ago	(About the same/DK)	197	32%	30%	32%	49%	57%	33%	27%	16%
	More safe	55	9%	12%	5%	6%	14%	10%	7%	2%
	Net Less safe	+318	+51	+45	+57	+38	+15	+48	+59	+80
Support: Continue to close	Strongly support	366	59%	59%	58%	24%	21%	54%	68%	89%
homeless encampments once	Somewhat support	144	23%	23%	23%	32%	13%	29%	17%	6%
people have been offered	Somewhat oppose	62	10%	8%	12%	11%	22%	11%	7%	2%
shelter and services, even if it	Strongly oppose	50	8%	9%	6%	32%	44%	5%	6%	3%
means those who refuse help	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%	-
will be displaced										

				Gender			Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Support: Create more affordable housing, update Seattle's zoning laws and expedite permitting to allow for more density across the city, even if it means there will be more duplexes and	Strongly support	251	40%	38%	41%	77%	76%	44%	23%	24%
	Somewhat support	170	27%	26%	28%	15%	18%	28%	28%	26%
	Somewhat oppose	89	14%	13%	16%	-	1%	14%	18%	16%
	Strongly oppose	110	18%	22%	14%	8%	4%	12%	30%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Support: Continue to close homeless encampments once people have been offered shelter and services, even if it means those who refuse help	Support	510	82%	82%	82%	56%	33%	84%	85%	96%
	Oppose	112	18%	17%	18%	44%	66%	16%	14%	4%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	0%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%	-
	Net Support	+398	+64	+65	+64	+13	-33	+67	+72	+91
Support: Create more affordable housing, update Seattle's zoning laws and expedite permitting to allow for more density across the city, even if it means there	Support	421	67%	64%	70%	92%	94%	73%	51%	50%
	Oppose	199	32%	35%	30%	8%	6%	27%	48%	50%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Net Support	+221	+35	+29	+40	+83	+89	+46	+3	-1
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Very concerned	174	28%	27%	27%	50%	27%	24%	35%	35%
	Somewhat concerned	226	36%	33%	39%	18%	37%	35%	39%	38%
	Not too concerned	164	26%	28%	25%	27%	31%	31%	15%	18%
	Not at all concerned	61	10%	11%	9%	6%	5%	10%	10%	9%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Concerned	400	64%	60%	67%	67%	64%	59%	74%	73%
	Not concerned	225	36%	40%	33%	33%	36%	41%	26%	27%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Concerned	+174	+28	+21	+34	+35	+27	+18	+48	+47

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1 – Very pessimistic	136	22%	23%	20%	36%	4%	16%	33%	47%
	2	181	29%	26%	33%	14%	19%	32%	22%	35%
	3	221	35%	36%	35%	39%	58%	36%	36%	16%
	4	60	10%	10%	9%	11%	11%	12%	6%	2%
	5 – Very optimistic	20	3%	4%	2%	-	4%	4%	2%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%		4%	1%	1%	-
	Mean	618	2.43	2.48	2.40	2.25	2.92	2.56	2.21	1.72
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1-2 Pessimistic	318	51%	49%	52%	50%	23%	47%	55%	82%
	3/(DK)	228	36%	37%	37%	39%	62%	37%	37%	16%
	4-5 Optimistic	80	13%	15%	11%	11%	15%	16%	8%	2%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	187	30%	31%	29%	24%	19%	31%	30%	29%
	A few times a month	241	39%	39%	37%	27%	41%	37%	40%	43%
	A few times a year	116	19%	17%	21%	11%	24%	19%	15%	17%
	Rarely	51	8%	8%	9%	14%	12%	7%	11%	7%
	Never	19	3%	3%	2%	18%	2%	3%	3%	3%
	(Refused)	10	2%	2%	2%	5%	2%	2%	1%	-
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	187	30%	31%	29%	24%	19%	31%	30%	29%
	Few times a month	241	39%	39%	37%	27%	41%	37%	40%	43%
	Less often	197	31%	29%	34%	49%	40%	31%	30%	28%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	15	2%	3%	2%	-	4%	3%	1%	-
	Somewhat more often	22	4%	4%	3%	-	15%	3%	3%	2%
	About the same	152	24%	28%	21%	32%	42%	26%	21%	10%
	Somewhat less often	106	17%	15%	19%	-	19%	18%	15%	11%
	Much less often	318	51%	48%	52%	63%	18%	48%	58%	77%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	2%	2%	5%	2%	2%	2%	-

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Current downtown visit	More often	37	6%	6%	6%	-	19%	6%	4%	2%
frequency compared to pre-	Same/(DK/Ref)	164	26%	30%	23%	37%	44%	28%	23%	10%
pandemic	Less often	424	68%	64%	71%	63%	37%	66%	73%	88%
	Net More often	-387	-62	-57	-66	-	-18	-61	-69	-86
Duration of residency	<2 years	19	3%	4%	2%	-	4%	4%	1%	3%
	2-5 years	81	13%	12%	13%	30%	31%	12%	9%	11%
	6-10 years	89	14%	13%	16%	20%	21%	14%	13%	11%
	11-20 years	92	15%	17%	13%	9%	15%	16%	16%	3%
	>20 years	341	55%	54%	55%	40%	28%	53%	60%	72%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	190	30%	29%	31%	50%	56%	31%	24%	25%
	11-20 years	92	15%	17%	13%	9%	15%	16%	16%	3%
	>20 years	343	55%	54%	56%	40%	28%	53%	60%	72%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	30%	46%	-	-	60%	-	-
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	13%	16%	-	-	23%	-	-
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	11%	10%	41%	-	18%	-	-
	Independent	69	11%	15%	7%	9%	-	-	52%	-
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	7%	4%	-	-	-	-	50%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	3%	1%	-	-	-	-	20%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	4%	2%	-	-	-	-	30%
	Socialist	44	7%	8%	5%	22%	100%	-	-	-
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	10%	9%	27%	-	-	48%	-
Party	Socialist	44	7%	8%	5%	22%	100%	-	-	-
	Democrat	388	62%	53%	72%	41%	-	100%	-	-
	Independent	131	21%	24%	16%	36%	-	-	100%	-
	Republican	63	10%	14%	7%	-	-	-	-	100%

		n	%	Gender			Party			
				Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	113	18%	17%	18%	55%	80%	19%	3%	-
	2	102	16%	15%	17%	38%	9%	23%	8%	-
	3	165	26%	26%	27%	-	8%	37%	14%	2%
	4	118	19%	21%	18%	-	-	16%	35%	18%
	5	54	9%	8%	9%	6%	-	3%	13%	41%
	6	22	4%	5%	2%	-	-	1%	5%	19%
	7 – Very conservative	17	3%	3%	3%	-	-	1%	1%	18%
	(Don't know/Refused)	34	6%	5%	6%	-	3%	1%	21%	2%
	Mean	591	3.06	3.18	2.99	1.64	1.25	2.69	3.83	5.32
Ideology	Liberal	379	61%	58%	63%	94%	97%	78%	25%	2%
	Moderate	153	24%	26%	23%	-	3%	17%	56%	20%
	Conservative	93	15%	16%	14%	6%	-	5%	19%	77%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	48%	53%	11%	22%	51%	54%	58%
	Renter	313	50%	52%	47%	89%	78%	49%	46%	42%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	416	67%	63%	71%	51%	67%	71%	49%	75%
	African American or Black	25	4%	4%	4%	8%	5%	5%	4%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	6%	6%	18%	3%	6%	5%	12%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	8%	8%	-	8%	9%	8%	4%
	Something else	44	7%	10%	4%	14%	12%	6%	11%	-
	(Refused)	53	8%	9%	7%	9%	4%	3%	25%	9%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	416	67%	63%	71%	51%	67%	71%	49%	75%
	African American or Black	25	4%	4%	4%	8%	5%	5%	4%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	6%	6%	18%	3%	6%	5%	12%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	8%	8%	-	8%	9%	8%	4%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	96	15%	19%	11%	23%	17%	10%	35%	9%

				Gender			Party			
		n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases		625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent			100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Ethnicity	White	416	67%	63%	71%	51%	67%	71%	49%	75%
	POC	156	25%	27%	22%	40%	28%	26%	27%	16%
	(Ref)	53	8%	9%	7%	9%	4%	3%	25%	9%
Age	18-29	118	19%	19%	18%	25%	35%	18%	17%	16%
	30-39	157	25%	24%	26%	40%	38%	24%	25%	21%
	40-49	110	18%	19%	17%	-	7%	19%	18%	17%
	50-64	125	20%	18%	22%	20%	11%	20%	20%	24%
	65+	115	18%	20%	17%	15%	9%	18%	20%	22%
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%	43%	44%	65%	73%	43%	42%	37%
	40+	350	56%	57%	56%	35%	27%	57%	58%	63%
Generation	18-39	275	44%	43%	44%	65%	73%	43%	42%	37%
	40-64	235	38%	37%	39%	20%	18%	39%	38%	41%
	65+	115	18%	20%	17%	15%	9%	18%	20%	22%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	1%	-
	Some high school	3	1%	1%	0%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Graduated high school	21	3%	4%	2%	-	-	3%	5%	3%
	Technical/vocational school	12	2%	2%	2%	-	-	1%	3%	5%
	Some college/<4-year degree	91	14%	17%	12%	14%	21%	12%	13%	26%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	264	42%	40%	44%	63%	49%	43%	39%	41%
	Graduate/professional degree	222	36%	34%	38%	23%	29%	40%	31%	24%
	(Refused)	10	2%	2%	1%	-	-	0%	7%	-
Education	<4-year degree	139	22%	26%	18%	14%	21%	18%	30%	35%
	4-year degree+	486	78%	74%	82%	86%	79%	82%	70%	65%
Education	Less than college	139	22%	26%	18%	14%	21%	18%	30%	35%
	Graduated college	264	42%	40%	44%	63%	49%	43%	39%	41%
	Graduate/professional degree	222	36%	34%	38%	23%	29%	40%	31%	24%

			Gender			Party			
	n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases	625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent		100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Gender Male	303	48%	100%	-	-	57%	42%	57%	67%
Female	309	49%	-	100%	-	38%	57%	39%	33%
Non-binary	9	1%	-	-	100%	5%	1%	3%	-
(Refused)	4	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	2%	-
Region (2023) South	178	29%	27%	29%	16%	23%	28%	28%	38%
Central	178	29%	30%	27%	46%	29%	31%	27%	19%
North	269	43%	43%	44%	39%	48%	42%	45%	42%
City Council District (2023) 1	97	16%	16%	14%	9%	10%	15%	14%	26%
2	81	13%	11%	15%	6%	13%	13%	14%	12%
3	97	16%	13%	17%	34%	23%	17%	14%	7%
4	78	13%	12%	13%	14%	22%	12%	12%	9%
5	91	15%	14%	15%	5%	10%	13%	15%	25%
6	100	16%	17%	15%	20%	16%	16%	19%	9%
7	81	13%	16%	10%	12%	6%	14%	13%	13%
Region (pre-2023) South	172	28%	26%	29%	16%	23%	27%	26%	37%
Central	186	30%	32%	27%	46%	27%	32%	29%	23%
North	267	43%	42%	44%	39%	50%	42%	45%	41%
City Council District (pre-2023) 1	94	15%	16%	14%	9%	10%	14%	14%	24%
2	78	13%	10%	15%	6%	13%	13%	12%	12%
3	98	16%	15%	16%	34%	21%	17%	15%	7%
4	77	12%	12%	13%	14%	20%	12%	12%	9%
5	83	13%	13%	15%	5%	10%	13%	12%	23%
6	106	17%	17%	16%	20%	19%	17%	21%	9%
7	88	14%	18%	11%	12%	6%	15%	14%	16%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18) 0-3/6	325	52%	55%	49%	50%	45%	47%	59%	73%
4-5/6	163	26%	21%	31%	34%	34%	28%	22%	18%
6/6	138	22%	24%	21%	15%	21%	25%	19%	10%

			Gender			Party			
	n	%	Male	Female	Non-binary	Socialist	Dem	Ind	Rep
Number of cases	625		303	309	9	44	388	131	63
Row percent		100%	48%	49%	1%	7%	62%	21%	10%
Gender/Generation M 18-39	130	21%	43%	-	-	39%	18%	23%	19%
M 40-64	112	18%	37%	-	-	12%	15%	23%	30%
M 65+	61	10%	20%	-	-	6%	9%	11%	18%
F 18-39	136	22%	-	44%	-	31%	23%	16%	18%
F 40-64	120	19%	-	39%	-	4%	24%	15%	11%
F 65+	52	8%	-	17%	-	3%	10%	8%	4%
Other	13	2%	-	-	100%	5%	1%	5%	-
Party/Gender D Male	162	26%	53%	-	-	-	42%	-	-
D Female	221	35%	-	72%	-	-	57%	-	-
R/I Male	116	19%	38%	-	-	-	-	57%	67%
R/I Female	71	11%	-	23%	-	-	-	39%	33%
Other	55	9%	8%	5%	100%	100%	1%	5%	-

			Age					Ethnicity		
	n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases	625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent		100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
QOL Index — Mean	625	4.20	4.94	4.55	3.77	3.55	4.07	4.34	4.21	3.08
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track — Right direction	195	31%	39%	32%	27%	28%	29%	35%	28%	15%
Wrong track	390	62%	54%	58%	67%	69%	65%	59%	67%	79%
(Don't know/Refused)	40	6%	6%	10%	5%	3%	6%	7%	6%	6%
Net Right direction	-195	-31	-15	-26	-40	-41	-36	-24	-39	-64
Best thing about living in Seattle — Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	262	42%	54%	34%	41%	43%	39%	44%	37%	42%
Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	105	17%	20%	19%	16%	15%	13%	18%	16%	11%
Weather/Summer/Climate	65	10%	6%	8%	12%	10%	17%	10%	13%	7%
Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	64	10%	11%	12%	11%	11%	6%	10%	10%	12%
Location/Geography/Puget Sound	54	9%	5%	11%	5%	10%	11%	10%	5%	10%
Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	51	8%	7%	8%	11%	7%	7%	6%	14%	4%
Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	40	6%	7%	9%	3%	8%	3%	6%	7%	6%
Walkability/Transit	36	6%	8%	5%	5%	5%	6%	7%	2%	6%
Family/Friends/Hometown	29	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	7%	5%	4%	4%
Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	20	3%	1%	1%	4%	4%	6%	3%	2%	9%
Other	15	2%	0%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	4%	4%
Nothing/Don't know	20	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	4%	1%

		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
						Age				Ethnicity	
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	350	56%	56%	56%	58%	61%	48%	57%	55%	48%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	238	38%	32%	32%	40%	44%	45%	39%	33%	44%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	145	23%	30%	27%	24%	17%	16%	23%	23%	28%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	46	7%	8%	8%	2%	9%	9%	7%	7%	13%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	39	6%	7%	8%	4%	5%	7%	6%	7%	7%
	Taxes	24	4%	4%	1%	6%	3%	7%	2%	8%	7%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	22	3%	4%	2%	4%	4%	4%	4%	2%	4%
	Public transportation	19	3%	5%	5%	2%	2%	0%	4%	2%	0%
	Healthcare cost/Access	17	3%	1%	4%	4%	3%	1%	2%	4%	4%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	17	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%
	Traffic/Congestion	15	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%
	Jobs/Economy	13	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	1%	4%
	Growth/Development/Population	11	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%
	Climate change/Environment	5	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Other	23	4%	3%	4%	1%	4%	6%	3%	6%	3%
	Nothing/Don't know	4	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	11	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	5%	-
	Somewhat better	44	7%	9%	9%	2%	6%	9%	7%	8%	5%
	The same	118	19%	18%	25%	25%	9%	16%	20%	21%	7%
	Somewhat worse	206	33%	30%	36%	29%	34%	35%	36%	27%	24%
	Much worse	220	35%	30%	21%	42%	49%	38%	32%	35%	60%
	(Don't know/Refused)	26	4%	8%	8%	1%	1%	1%	4%	4%	4%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	55	9%	14%	10%	3%	8%	10%	8%	13%	5%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	144	23%	27%	33%	26%	9%	17%	24%	25%	11%
	Worse	426	68%	60%	57%	71%	83%	73%	68%	62%	84%
	Net Better	-370	-59	-46	-47	-69	-75	-63	-60	-49	-79
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	345	55%	39%	51%	64%	67%	56%	52%	58%	72%
	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	280	45%	61%	49%	36%	33%	44%	48%	42%	28%

		n	%	Age					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Main reason for considering	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	107	31%	34%	26%	32%	32%	33%	32%	27%	34%
moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	82	24%	14%	31%	32%	21%	16%	23%	27%	24%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	37	11%	11%	5%	5%	21%	12%	10%	12%	14%
	Homelessness	24	7%	6%	5%	7%	9%	7%	6%	5%	14%
	Taxes	13	4%	5%	-	5%	5%	5%	2%	7%	5%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	13	4%	6%	6%	-	1%	7%	4%	3%	2%
	Closer to family	13	4%	11%	5%	2%	1%	2%	4%	4%	2%
	Growth/Development/Space	10	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%	4%	5%	-	-
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	10	3%	3%	8%	2%	-	1%	3%	4%	-
	Weather	6	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	3%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	-	-
	Other	20	6%	-	7%	9%	4%	7%	5%	7%	4%
	Nothing/Don't know	5	2%	5%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Still actively considering moving	Yes	285	83%	86%	79%	83%	84%	82%	81%	83%	92%
out of Seattle	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	60	17%	14%	21%	17%	16%	18%	19%	17%	8%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	285	46%	34%	40%	53%	56%	46%	42%	48%	66%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	60	10%	5%	11%	11%	11%	10%	10%	10%	6%
Seattle	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	280	45%	61%	49%	36%	33%	44%	48%	42%	28%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	133	21%	30%	24%	21%	14%	17%	22%	26%	6%
future of this region	Somewhat agree	218	35%	41%	42%	26%	28%	36%	37%	33%	29%
	Somewhat disagree	146	23%	14%	23%	30%	27%	24%	25%	18%	31%
	Strongly disagree	119	19%	15%	10%	22%	29%	22%	17%	21%	34%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	-	-	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Agree: A thriving downtown	Strongly agree	397	64%	61%	54%	62%	71%	72%	63%	63%	68%
Seattle is critical to our region's	Somewhat agree	162	26%	27%	32%	28%	22%	21%	28%	23%	15%
economic recovery	Somewhat disagree	42	7%	10%	8%	6%	6%	3%	5%	8%	12%
	Strongly disagree	17	3%	3%	4%	3%	1%	4%	2%	4%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	-	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%

		n	%	Age					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	331	53%	50%	42%	49%	66%	61%	52%	51%	68%
	Somewhat agree	181	29%	30%	35%	31%	19%	28%	30%	31%	14%
	Somewhat disagree	72	12%	12%	15%	13%	10%	7%	13%	8%	12%
	Strongly disagree	35	6%	8%	5%	8%	4%	4%	5%	8%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	-	3%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	212	34%	44%	41%	33%	26%	24%	36%	33%	22%
	Somewhat agree	238	38%	30%	39%	36%	41%	45%	41%	33%	31%
	Somewhat disagree	89	14%	16%	9%	15%	16%	17%	14%	11%	23%
	Strongly disagree	81	13%	9%	10%	15%	17%	14%	8%	23%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	0%	0%	1%	4%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	66	11%	10%	15%	12%	7%	6%	10%	12%	8%
	Somewhat agree	129	21%	20%	29%	18%	18%	14%	22%	19%	11%
	Somewhat disagree	155	25%	25%	19%	26%	26%	29%	27%	19%	26%
	Strongly disagree	267	43%	43%	33%	43%	47%	51%	40%	48%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	1%
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	432	69%	67%	62%	73%	65%	81%	69%	67%	78%
	Somewhat agree	126	20%	24%	23%	18%	21%	14%	21%	22%	9%
	Somewhat disagree	48	8%	8%	12%	6%	7%	5%	7%	8%	7%
	Strongly disagree	15	2%	1%	2%	3%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-	2%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	226	36%	41%	43%	39%	33%	22%	38%	38%	18%
	Somewhat agree	196	31%	35%	37%	28%	26%	29%	33%	26%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	106	17%	10%	12%	21%	20%	23%	16%	17%	25%
	Strongly disagree	91	14%	14%	6%	12%	20%	23%	12%	19%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	-	1%	-	0%	3%	1%	-	1%

		n	%	Age 18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Ethnicity White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Strongly agree	273	44%	53%	48%	45%	40%	31%	48%	38%	23%
	Somewhat agree	220	35%	26%	38%	36%	35%	41%	36%	29%	48%
	Somewhat disagree	79	13%	9%	9%	13%	18%	15%	11%	18%	14%
	Strongly disagree	42	7%	9%	3%	6%	6%	11%	4%	13%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	4%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	29	5%	7%	6%	1%	2%	6%	5%	4%	-
	Somewhat agree	181	29%	34%	35%	26%	26%	20%	31%	28%	16%
	Somewhat disagree	161	26%	25%	23%	29%	23%	30%	27%	27%	14%
	Strongly disagree	247	40%	33%	34%	43%	47%	42%	37%	38%	68%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	89	14%	20%	21%	11%	9%	8%	16%	13%	1%
	Somewhat agree	241	39%	37%	46%	38%	34%	36%	39%	39%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	169	27%	25%	22%	32%	34%	25%	26%	25%	38%
	Strongly disagree	118	19%	16%	9%	20%	23%	29%	17%	22%	26%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	2%	2%	-	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	243	39%	51%	39%	37%	31%	37%	41%	40%	20%
	Somewhat agree	213	34%	31%	36%	30%	37%	35%	34%	36%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	95	15%	10%	17%	21%	16%	12%	14%	14%	26%
	Strongly disagree	68	11%	7%	6%	12%	16%	16%	10%	9%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	2%	2%	-	-	0%	1%	1%	2%
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	36	6%	7%	4%	6%	4%	8%	5%	9%	2%
	Somewhat agree	148	24%	16%	25%	23%	25%	29%	26%	20%	17%
	Somewhat disagree	148	24%	35%	30%	22%	14%	17%	26%	22%	12%
	Strongly disagree	276	44%	39%	35%	49%	56%	45%	42%	44%	66%
	(Don't know/Refused)	17	3%	3%	7%	-	1%	2%	2%	5%	3%

		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
						Age				Ethnicity	
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Strongly agree	294	47%	43%	33%	48%	58%	57%	46%	45%	61%
	Somewhat agree	126	20%	19%	19%	13%	22%	29%	20%	21%	17%
	Somewhat disagree	75	12%	14%	17%	13%	8%	6%	13%	10%	8%
	Strongly disagree	115	18%	20%	27%	23%	11%	8%	20%	17%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	15	2%	4%	3%	3%	0%	0%	1%	6%	1%
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	20	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	2%	6%	2%
	Somewhat agree	84	13%	23%	15%	4%	11%	13%	15%	11%	5%
	Somewhat disagree	203	32%	25%	37%	40%	26%	34%	35%	27%	27%
	Strongly disagree	308	49%	46%	42%	55%	58%	49%	46%	53%	64%
	(Don't know/Refused)	10	2%	3%	2%	-	2%	0%	1%	3%	2%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	352	56%	71%	66%	46%	42%	53%	58%	59%	34%
	Disagree	266	43%	29%	34%	52%	56%	45%	41%	39%	65%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	-	-	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	+86	+14	+42	+33	-6	-14	+8	+17	+20	-30
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	560	90%	87%	86%	90%	93%	93%	92%	86%	84%
	Disagree	59	9%	13%	12%	9%	7%	7%	8%	13%	15%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	-	2%	1%	0%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	+501	+80	+75	+74	+82	+86	+86	+84	+73	+69
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	511	82%	80%	77%	80%	85%	89%	82%	82%	83%
	Disagree	107	17%	20%	20%	20%	13%	11%	18%	16%	16%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	-	3%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	+404	+65	+60	+57	+59	+72	+78	+64	+66	+66
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	451	72%	74%	80%	69%	66%	68%	77%	66%	53%
	Disagree	169	27%	25%	18%	31%	33%	31%	23%	33%	43%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	0%	0%	1%	4%
	Net Agree	+281	+45	+48	+62	+39	+33	+37	+54	+32	+10

		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
				Age					Ethnicity		
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Agree	196	31%	31%	44%	31%	26%	20%	33%	32%	20%
	Disagree	422	68%	68%	53%	69%	73%	80%	66%	67%	79%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	-	1%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	-227	-36	-37	-8	-39	-47	-59	-34	-35	-59
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Agree	558	89%	91%	85%	91%	86%	95%	90%	89%	87%
	Disagree	63	10%	9%	14%	9%	12%	5%	10%	11%	11%
	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-	1%	-	2%
	Net Agree	+495	+79	+82	+71	+83	+74	+89	+80	+78	+76
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Agree	423	68%	77%	80%	67%	59%	51%	71%	64%	55%
	Disagree	197	32%	23%	19%	33%	40%	46%	28%	36%	45%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	-	1%	-	0%	3%	1%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+226	+36	+53	+62	+34	+19	+5	+43	+28	+10
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Agree	493	79%	79%	86%	81%	75%	72%	84%	67%	71%
	Disagree	122	19%	17%	12%	19%	24%	27%	14%	31%	26%
	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	4%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%
	Net Agree	+371	+59	+62	+73	+61	+50	+45	+70	+36	+44
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Agree	209	34%	41%	41%	28%	29%	27%	36%	33%	16%
	Disagree	408	65%	58%	57%	72%	71%	72%	63%	65%	82%
	(DK/Ref)	8	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%
	Net Agree	-199	-32	-16	-16	-45	-42	-45	-27	-32	-66
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Agree	331	53%	57%	67%	48%	43%	44%	56%	52%	34%
	Disagree	287	46%	41%	31%	52%	57%	54%	43%	47%	64%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	2%	2%	-	0%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	Net Agree	+44	+7	+16	+36	-3	-14	-10	+12	+5	-30

			Age					Ethnicity		
	n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases	625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent		100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite — Agree	456	73%	82%	75%	67%	68%	72%	75%	76%	50%
Disagree	164	26%	17%	23%	33%	32%	28%	24%	24%	48%
(DK/Ref)	5	1%	2%	2%	-	-	0%	1%	1%	2%
Net Agree	+292	+47	+65	+52	+34	+36	+44	+50	+52	+2
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety — Agree	185	30%	24%	29%	29%	30%	36%	31%	30%	19%
Disagree	423	68%	74%	64%	71%	70%	62%	67%	66%	78%
(DK/Ref)	17	3%	3%	7%	-	1%	2%	2%	5%	3%
Net Agree	-239	-38	-50	-35	-42	-40	-25	-36	-36	-60
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City — Agree	420	67%	62%	52%	61%	80%	86%	66%	66%	79%
Disagree	190	30%	34%	44%	35%	20%	14%	33%	28%	21%
(DK/Ref)	15	2%	4%	3%	3%	0%	0%	1%	6%	1%
Net Agree	+230	+37	+28	+8	+26	+60	+72	+34	+38	+58
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety — Agree	104	17%	26%	20%	6%	14%	17%	18%	18%	7%
Disagree	511	82%	71%	79%	94%	84%	83%	82%	79%	91%
(DK/Ref)	10	2%	3%	2%	-	2%	0%	1%	3%	2%
Net Agree	-407	-65	-45	-59	-89	-70	-66	-64	-61	-85
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways — 1 – Very little impact	42	7%	9%	10%	8%	2%	3%	6%	7%	6%
2	20	3%	2%	5%	1%	4%	3%	4%	1%	4%
3	30	5%	7%	7%	5%	3%	1%	6%	3%	2%
4	45	7%	7%	8%	6%	9%	5%	8%	5%	10%
5	63	10%	10%	15%	9%	6%	7%	10%	11%	5%
6	59	9%	13%	7%	5%	12%	9%	10%	8%	8%
7 – Very significant impact	366	59%	51%	47%	66%	63%	71%	56%	63%	64%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	625	5.73	5.53	5.21	5.86	6.03	6.21	5.66	5.89	5.85

		n	%	Age					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	13	2%	6%	2%	2%	1%	-	2%	4%	1%
	2	12	2%	2%	4%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%
	3	30	5%	4%	7%	4%	4%	3%	5%	4%	6%
	4	53	9%	11%	8%	8%	8%	6%	9%	7%	12%
	5	114	18%	19%	22%	17%	14%	18%	20%	16%	9%
	6	94	15%	11%	14%	18%	19%	14%	15%	17%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	49%	45%	41%	51%	53%	57%	46%	52%	59%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-
	Mean	622	5.81	5.52	5.56	5.95	5.98	6.15	5.77	5.88	5.91
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	16	3%	7%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	3%
	2	16	2%	-	5%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	6%
	3	39	6%	10%	10%	5%	4%	1%	7%	4%	5%
	4	60	10%	8%	15%	6%	8%	9%	11%	7%	6%
	5	88	14%	14%	17%	14%	13%	10%	15%	13%	10%
	6	95	15%	15%	18%	16%	16%	11%	15%	14%	19%
	7 – Very significant impact	310	50%	46%	34%	53%	54%	66%	46%	57%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
	Mean	624	5.75	5.54	5.30	5.86	5.93	6.27	5.65	6.00	5.77
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	101	16%	10%	13%	17%	22%	19%	14%	15%	41%
	2	43	7%	8%	7%	8%	5%	6%	6%	7%	12%
	3	72	11%	14%	11%	15%	12%	6%	13%	8%	7%
	4	74	12%	6%	12%	15%	15%	11%	11%	17%	4%
	5	125	20%	22%	22%	16%	17%	23%	21%	19%	15%
	6	65	10%	11%	11%	8%	9%	13%	11%	10%	7%
	7 – Very significant impact	145	23%	29%	25%	20%	19%	21%	24%	24%	16%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	624	4.36	4.69	4.56	4.11	4.02	4.37	4.47	4.46	3.22

		n	%	Age					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	6	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	2%
	2	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
	3	25	4%	8%	4%	6%	1%	2%	5%	2%	6%
	4	45	7%	6%	9%	8%	7%	6%	8%	4%	12%
	5	123	20%	17%	19%	24%	21%	17%	21%	18%	18%
	6	129	21%	25%	21%	16%	20%	21%	22%	19%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	288	46%	43%	44%	43%	48%	52%	42%	56%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	0%	-	-
	Mean	624	5.90	5.85	5.85	5.78	6.00	6.04	5.81	6.22	5.67
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	90	14%	15%	8%	15%	18%	19%	13%	11%	37%
	2	26	4%	3%	7%	2%	4%	4%	4%	4%	7%
	3	40	6%	5%	8%	11%	3%	4%	7%	5%	6%
	4	72	11%	12%	9%	11%	13%	14%	13%	7%	16%
	5	98	16%	17%	16%	11%	16%	17%	16%	19%	6%
	6	87	14%	13%	16%	15%	13%	14%	15%	14%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	209	33%	36%	36%	35%	33%	27%	33%	40%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	-	-	-	2%	0%	0%	-
	Mean	622	4.86	4.94	5.10	4.84	4.76	4.57	4.91	5.18	3.53
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	22	3%	5%	4%	6%	0%	2%	3%	3%	9%
	2	21	3%	6%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	1%
	3	39	6%	7%	10%	6%	4%	3%	8%	2%	3%
	4	59	9%	10%	10%	7%	11%	8%	10%	8%	11%
	5	99	16%	16%	19%	17%	13%	13%	17%	14%	13%
	6	108	17%	19%	17%	18%	17%	16%	18%	15%	21%
	7 – Very significant impact	276	44%	36%	37%	44%	50%	56%	40%	57%	42%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	2%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	1%
	Mean	623	5.60	5.30	5.33	5.55	5.86	6.03	5.47	5.98	5.52

		n	%	Age					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	21	3%	3%	3%	7%	1%	4%	2%	8%	3%
	2	14	2%	3%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	4%
	3	34	5%	8%	8%	5%	4%	1%	6%	4%	4%
	4	38	6%	7%	6%	6%	6%	6%	7%	3%	7%
	5	68	11%	11%	11%	13%	13%	6%	11%	10%	13%
	6	85	14%	14%	18%	9%	14%	10%	13%	13%	23%
	7 – Very significant impact	362	58%	53%	50%	58%	61%	70%	59%	59%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	0%	-	-
	Mean	623	5.92	5.76	5.75	5.76	6.14	6.23	5.99	5.80	5.76
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1 – Very little impact	62	10%	9%	7%	8%	14%	12%	9%	11%	16%
	2	35	6%	7%	4%	6%	4%	7%	5%	6%	11%
	3	47	8%	5%	6%	11%	8%	8%	7%	8%	10%
	4	86	14%	10%	15%	11%	14%	17%	14%	12%	18%
	5	106	17%	20%	15%	17%	19%	16%	19%	13%	14%
	6	110	18%	25%	17%	16%	13%	17%	18%	19%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	179	29%	25%	36%	31%	27%	22%	29%	31%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-
	Mean	624	4.90	4.99	5.20	4.94	4.67	4.61	4.99	4.91	4.20
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1 – Very little impact	53	8%	9%	5%	8%	12%	10%	5%	11%	26%
	2	29	5%	9%	4%	7%	2%	1%	5%	5%	6%
	3	39	6%	2%	5%	7%	6%	12%	7%	5%	8%
	4	62	10%	5%	11%	13%	9%	12%	10%	9%	16%
	5	108	17%	16%	19%	12%	22%	16%	17%	17%	21%
	6	82	13%	16%	10%	13%	13%	15%	15%	11%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	251	40%	43%	47%	39%	36%	34%	42%	43%	15%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.23	5.29	5.50	5.11	5.11	5.04	5.42	5.20	3.86

		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
						Age				Ethnicity	
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1 – Very little impact	47	8%	13%	11%	7%	3%	2%	7%	8%	12%
	2	30	5%	4%	7%	5%	5%	2%	6%	3%	3%
	3	45	7%	9%	13%	5%	3%	4%	9%	5%	1%
	4	65	10%	9%	11%	12%	12%	7%	11%	9%	11%
	5	100	16%	14%	23%	20%	11%	10%	16%	18%	14%
	6	73	12%	11%	6%	8%	14%	20%	14%	7%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	263	42%	40%	29%	43%	50%	54%	38%	50%	48%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	-	1%	0%	-	1%
	Mean	623	5.27	4.98	4.61	5.29	5.66	6.00	5.17	5.48	5.35
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1 – Very little impact	87	14%	15%	21%	17%	9%	7%	14%	14%	13%
	2	37	6%	8%	5%	9%	6%	1%	6%	5%	7%
	3	49	8%	11%	12%	6%	6%	4%	8%	9%	1%
	4	62	10%	6%	14%	12%	8%	9%	11%	9%	4%
	5	88	14%	13%	15%	8%	18%	16%	13%	17%	15%
	6	76	12%	14%	8%	9%	14%	16%	13%	9%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	223	36%	32%	25%	39%	40%	47%	34%	36%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	-	1%	0%	1%	-
	Mean	623	4.84	4.64	4.24	4.68	5.21	5.64	4.79	4.83	5.28
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	92	15%	18%	22%	14%	9%	7%	16%	12%	13%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	7%	8%	6%	9%	5%	8%	5%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	488	78%	75%	69%	80%	82%	87%	77%	82%	77%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	55	9%	12%	13%	6%	7%	4%	9%	9%	10%
	4/(DK)	56	9%	12%	9%	8%	8%	7%	9%	7%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	514	82%	75%	78%	86%	85%	89%	82%	85%	78%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	71	11%	17%	16%	11%	9%	3%	12%	8%	14%
	4/(DK)	61	10%	8%	15%	6%	8%	10%	11%	8%	6%
	5-7 Significant Impact	494	79%	76%	69%	83%	83%	87%	77%	84%	80%

		n	%	Age 18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Ethnicity White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	216	35%	32%	31%	40%	40%	31%	33%	30%	59%
	4/(DK)	75	12%	6%	12%	15%	16%	11%	11%	17%	4%
	5-7 Significant Impact	334	53%	61%	58%	45%	45%	58%	55%	54%	37%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	39	6%	9%	6%	8%	3%	5%	7%	3%	10%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	6%	9%	8%	7%	6%	8%	4%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	540	86%	85%	85%	84%	90%	89%	85%	93%	78%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	157	25%	23%	23%	28%	25%	27%	24%	21%	49%
	4/(DK)	74	12%	12%	9%	11%	13%	16%	13%	7%	16%
	5-7 Significant Impact	394	63%	65%	68%	61%	62%	57%	63%	72%	35%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	81	13%	18%	17%	14%	8%	6%	15%	7%	12%
	4/(DK)	61	10%	12%	10%	7%	11%	8%	10%	8%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	483	77%	71%	72%	79%	81%	86%	74%	85%	76%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	70	11%	14%	14%	14%	6%	7%	10%	15%	11%
	4/(DK)	40	6%	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	8%	3%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	515	82%	79%	79%	80%	88%	87%	83%	82%	82%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	143	23%	20%	17%	25%	27%	27%	21%	25%	36%
	4/(DK)	87	14%	10%	15%	11%	14%	18%	14%	13%	18%
	5-7 Significant Impact	395	63%	69%	67%	64%	59%	56%	66%	63%	46%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	122	19%	20%	14%	22%	20%	23%	17%	20%	39%
	4/(DK)	62	10%	5%	11%	13%	9%	12%	10%	9%	16%
	5-7 Significant Impact	441	71%	75%	75%	65%	71%	65%	74%	70%	45%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1-3 Little Impact	122	20%	26%	31%	16%	12%	8%	21%	16%	16%
	4/(DK)	67	11%	9%	11%	13%	12%	8%	11%	9%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	436	70%	64%	58%	70%	76%	85%	67%	75%	72%

		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
						Age				Ethnicity	
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1-3 Little Impact	173	28%	34%	37%	32%	20%	12%	29%	28%	21%
	4/(DK)	64	10%	6%	14%	13%	8%	10%	11%	10%	4%
	5-7 Significant Impact	387	62%	59%	49%	56%	72%	79%	60%	62%	75%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Much too high	199	32%	30%	22%	31%	38%	40%	26%	40%	49%
	Somewhat too high	160	26%	19%	28%	26%	31%	23%	28%	21%	20%
	Too low	55	9%	6%	12%	13%	6%	6%	10%	5%	7%
	About right	200	32%	44%	36%	28%	24%	28%	34%	32%	21%
	(Don't know)	12	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%
Taxes in Seattle given the level of services the city provides	Too high	358	57%	49%	49%	57%	70%	63%	54%	61%	68%
	About right/(DK)	212	34%	45%	39%	30%	24%	31%	35%	33%	24%
	Too low	55	9%	6%	12%	13%	6%	6%	10%	5%	7%
	Net Too high	+304	+49	+43	+37	+45	+64	+57	+44	+56	+61
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Much less safe	209	33%	29%	24%	37%	42%	38%	31%	38%	41%
	Somewhat less safe	164	26%	17%	27%	29%	26%	32%	27%	27%	17%
	More safe	55	9%	17%	11%	6%	5%	4%	9%	9%	7%
	(About the same)	189	30%	34%	36%	28%	27%	25%	31%	26%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	3%	2%	-	0%	-	2%	1%	-
Safety in your neighborhood compared to 2 years ago	Less safe	373	60%	45%	51%	66%	68%	71%	58%	64%	58%
	(About the same/DK)	197	32%	37%	38%	28%	27%	25%	33%	27%	36%
	More safe	55	9%	17%	11%	6%	5%	4%	9%	9%	7%
	Net Less safe	+318	+51	+28	+41	+60	+63	+66	+49	+55	+51
Support: Continue to close homeless encampments once people have been offered shelter and services, even if it means those who refuse help will be displaced	Strongly support	366	59%	53%	51%	59%	65%	67%	56%	61%	72%
	Somewhat support	144	23%	28%	19%	23%	23%	25%	25%	24%	10%
	Somewhat oppose	62	10%	7%	18%	9%	8%	5%	11%	8%	9%
	Strongly oppose	50	8%	10%	13%	9%	4%	3%	8%	7%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	2%

		n	%	Age					Ethnicity		
				18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Support: Create more affordable housing, update Seattle's zoning laws and expedite permitting to allow for more density across the city, even if it means there will be more duplexes and	Strongly support	251	40%	46%	47%	45%	33%	28%	44%	39%	16%
	Somewhat support	170	27%	25%	30%	22%	26%	31%	28%	22%	36%
	Somewhat oppose	89	14%	9%	12%	20%	16%	16%	14%	12%	24%
	Strongly oppose	110	18%	19%	10%	14%	24%	24%	14%	27%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Support: Continue to close homeless encampments once people have been offered shelter and services, even if it means those who refuse help	Support	510	82%	81%	69%	82%	88%	92%	80%	85%	82%
	Oppose	112	18%	18%	30%	18%	12%	8%	19%	15%	16%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%	-	2%
	Net Support	+398	+64	+64	+39	+64	+76	+84	+61	+70	+65
Support: Create more affordable housing, update Seattle's zoning laws and expedite permitting to allow for more density across the city, even if it means there	Support	421	67%	71%	77%	67%	59%	59%	72%	61%	53%
	Oppose	199	32%	28%	21%	33%	40%	40%	28%	39%	46%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	-	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Support	+221	+35	+43	+56	+33	+19	+20	+44	+22	+7
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Very concerned	174	28%	22%	21%	35%	32%	31%	25%	31%	41%
	Somewhat concerned	226	36%	33%	37%	37%	40%	34%	36%	37%	38%
	Not too concerned	164	26%	33%	29%	19%	23%	26%	30%	20%	17%
	Not at all concerned	61	10%	11%	13%	10%	6%	8%	10%	12%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Concerned	400	64%	56%	58%	71%	71%	65%	61%	68%	79%
	Not concerned	225	36%	44%	42%	29%	29%	35%	39%	32%	21%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Concerned	+174	+28	+11	+16	+43	+43	+31	+21	+36	+58

		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
						Age				Ethnicity	
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1 – Very pessimistic	136	22%	21%	11%	24%	32%	24%	19%	25%	31%
	2	181	29%	25%	32%	29%	23%	35%	31%	21%	37%
	3	221	35%	33%	44%	35%	36%	26%	35%	38%	27%
	4	60	10%	15%	8%	8%	5%	13%	10%	10%	2%
	5 – Very optimistic	20	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	4%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	2%	2%
	Mean	618	2.43	2.56	2.59	2.35	2.25	2.35	2.47	2.45	2.02
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1-2 Pessimistic	318	51%	46%	43%	53%	55%	59%	50%	47%	68%
	3/(DK)	228	36%	35%	45%	37%	36%	26%	36%	39%	30%
	4-5 Optimistic	80	13%	19%	11%	10%	9%	15%	14%	14%	3%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	187	30%	34%	25%	28%	32%	32%	27%	38%	29%
	A few times a month	241	39%	40%	44%	41%	33%	33%	40%	37%	33%
	A few times a year	116	19%	11%	17%	22%	23%	20%	19%	18%	18%
	Rarely	51	8%	7%	6%	6%	10%	12%	8%	7%	13%
	Never	19	3%	5%	5%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%	7%
	(Refused)	10	2%	3%	3%	2%	-	-	2%	-	1%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	187	30%	34%	25%	28%	32%	32%	27%	38%	29%
	Few times a month	241	39%	40%	44%	41%	33%	33%	40%	37%	33%
	Less often	197	31%	26%	31%	31%	35%	35%	33%	25%	39%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	15	2%	4%	4%	1%	2%	1%	2%	5%	1%
	Somewhat more often	22	4%	6%	3%	5%	1%	3%	4%	4%	-
	About the same	152	24%	32%	24%	24%	14%	27%	26%	22%	14%
	Somewhat less often	106	17%	17%	17%	18%	20%	12%	18%	15%	18%
	Much less often	318	51%	37%	48%	51%	62%	56%	48%	53%	67%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	4%	4%	1%	-	1%	3%	1%	-

		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
						Age				Ethnicity	
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Current downtown visit	More often	37	6%	10%	7%	6%	3%	4%	6%	9%	1%
frequency compared to pre-	Same/(DK/Ref)	164	26%	36%	28%	25%	14%	28%	29%	23%	14%
pandemic	Less often	424	68%	54%	65%	69%	82%	68%	66%	68%	85%
	Net More often	-387	-62	-44	-58	-64	-79	-64	-60	-59	-84
Duration of residency	<2 years	19	3%	9%	5%	-	1%	1%	4%	2%	-
	2-5 years	81	13%	18%	19%	11%	5%	9%	14%	10%	15%
	6-10 years	89	14%	6%	30%	14%	9%	8%	14%	14%	18%
	11-20 years	92	15%	9%	20%	22%	12%	10%	13%	17%	22%
	>20 years	341	55%	59%	26%	52%	73%	70%	55%	56%	46%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	190	30%	32%	54%	25%	15%	18%	32%	27%	33%
	11-20 years	92	15%	9%	20%	22%	12%	10%	13%	17%	22%
	>20 years	343	55%	59%	26%	53%	73%	71%	56%	56%	46%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	32%	37%	36%	41%	39%	42%	32%	11%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	14%	14%	19%	14%	10%	15%	15%	2%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	14%	10%	11%	8%	13%	9%	17%	11%
	Independent	69	11%	13%	9%	10%	10%	14%	9%	13%	20%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	2%	6%	4%	8%	4%	6%	3%	7%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	1%	1%	5%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	5%	2%	1%	3%	4%	4%	2%	2%
	Socialist	44	7%	13%	11%	3%	4%	3%	7%	8%	4%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	5%	12%	12%	11%	9%	6%	9%	41%
Party	Socialist	44	7%	13%	11%	3%	4%	3%	7%	8%	4%
	Democrat	388	62%	60%	60%	66%	63%	62%	66%	63%	24%
	Independent	131	21%	19%	21%	22%	21%	23%	15%	22%	62%
	Republican	63	10%	8%	9%	10%	12%	12%	11%	7%	11%

				Age					Ethnicity		
		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	113	18%	20%	22%	17%	15%	15%	19%	19%	6%
	2	102	16%	15%	20%	14%	18%	13%	18%	15%	6%
	3	165	26%	32%	29%	25%	21%	23%	30%	19%	19%
	4	118	19%	13%	13%	22%	22%	27%	18%	23%	17%
	5	54	9%	1%	9%	10%	9%	14%	8%	9%	9%
	6	22	4%	6%	1%	6%	3%	2%	3%	5%	3%
	7 – Very conservative	17	3%	6%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	5%	-
	(Don't know/Refused)	34	6%	6%	4%	5%	9%	3%	2%	4%	40%
	Mean	591	3.06	3.06	2.72	3.19	3.14	3.31	2.96	3.25	3.46
Ideology	Liberal	379	61%	67%	72%	56%	54%	51%	67%	54%	31%
	Moderate	153	24%	19%	18%	27%	31%	30%	19%	27%	57%
	Conservative	93	15%	14%	11%	17%	15%	19%	13%	20%	12%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	52%	48%	54%	48%	49%	50%	48%	55%
	Renter	313	50%	48%	52%	46%	52%	51%	50%	52%	45%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	416	67%	71%	68%	59%	67%	67%	100%	-	-
	African American or Black	25	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	6%	-	16%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	8%	6%	7%	4%	5%	-	24%	-
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	9%	6%	10%	8%	7%	-	32%	-
	Something else	44	7%	5%	6%	8%	8%	7%	-	28%	-
	(Refused)	53	8%	4%	10%	11%	9%	7%	-	-	100%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	416	67%	71%	68%	59%	67%	67%	100%	-	-
	African American or Black	25	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	6%	-	16%	-
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	8%	6%	7%	4%	5%	-	24%	-
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	9%	6%	10%	8%	7%	-	32%	-
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	96	15%	9%	16%	20%	17%	15%	-	28%	100%

	n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
					Age				Ethnicity	
Number of cases	625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent		100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Ethnicity	White	416	67%	71%	68%	59%	67%	67%	100%	-	-
	POC	156	25%	25%	22%	30%	24%	25%	-	100%	-
	(Ref)	53	8%	4%	10%	11%	9%	7%	-	-	100%
Age	18-29	118	19%	100%	-	-	-	-	20%	19%	8%
	30-39	157	25%	-	100%	-	-	-	26%	23%	30%
	40-49	110	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	16%	21%	24%
	50-64	125	20%	-	-	-	100%	-	20%	19%	22%
	65+	115	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	19%	19%	16%
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%	100%	100%	-	-	-	46%	41%	38%
	40+	350	56%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	54%	59%	62%
Generation	18-39	275	44%	100%	100%	-	-	-	46%	41%	38%
	40-64	235	38%	-	-	100%	100%	-	36%	40%	46%
	65+	115	18%	-	-	-	-	100%	19%	19%	16%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	0%	-	-
	Some high school	3	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	0%	1%	-
	Graduated high school	21	3%	3%	5%	3%	2%	4%	2%	6%	10%
	Technical/vocational school	12	2%	-	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	Some college/<4-year degree	91	14%	10%	14%	12%	15%	22%	13%	19%	10%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	264	42%	54%	39%	43%	46%	30%	47%	32%	40%
	Graduate/professional degree	222	36%	32%	38%	36%	31%	40%	35%	40%	26%
	(Refused)	10	2%	-	2%	2%	3%	0%	1%	1%	12%
Education	<4-year degree	139	22%	14%	23%	21%	23%	29%	18%	29%	34%
	4-year degree+	486	78%	86%	77%	79%	77%	71%	82%	71%	66%
Education	Less than college	139	22%	14%	23%	21%	23%	29%	18%	29%	34%
	Graduated college	264	42%	54%	39%	43%	46%	30%	47%	32%	40%
	Graduate/professional degree	222	36%	32%	38%	36%	31%	40%	35%	40%	26%

		n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
				Age					Ethnicity		
Number of cases		625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent			100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Gender	Male	303	48%	49%	46%	52%	44%	53%	46%	53%	52%
	Female	309	49%	48%	50%	48%	54%	46%	53%	44%	38%
	Non-binary	9	1%	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%
	(Refused)	4	1%	1%	2%	-	0%	-	-	-	8%
Region (2023)	South	178	29%	24%	27%	31%	31%	29%	27%	32%	33%
	Central	178	29%	30%	32%	28%	26%	26%	30%	26%	22%
	North	269	43%	46%	41%	42%	43%	44%	43%	42%	45%
City Council District (2023)	1	97	16%	16%	17%	17%	12%	15%	15%	18%	14%
	2	81	13%	8%	10%	14%	19%	14%	12%	14%	20%
	3	97	16%	14%	22%	14%	12%	13%	16%	17%	9%
	4	78	13%	9%	10%	16%	17%	11%	13%	12%	14%
	5	91	15%	14%	16%	13%	11%	19%	14%	17%	13%
	6	100	16%	22%	15%	13%	15%	15%	17%	13%	18%
	7	81	13%	16%	10%	14%	13%	13%	14%	10%	13%
Region (pre-2023)	South	172	28%	24%	26%	31%	31%	28%	26%	31%	32%
	Central	186	30%	32%	34%	24%	28%	29%	32%	27%	22%
	North	267	43%	44%	41%	45%	42%	43%	43%	42%	46%
City Council District (pre-2023)	1	94	15%	16%	17%	17%	11%	14%	14%	18%	14%
	2	78	13%	7%	9%	14%	20%	14%	11%	14%	18%
	3	98	16%	14%	24%	10%	13%	15%	16%	17%	9%
	4	77	12%	9%	10%	18%	15%	10%	13%	11%	15%
	5	83	13%	13%	14%	13%	10%	17%	13%	15%	11%
	6	106	17%	22%	17%	14%	16%	16%	17%	16%	20%
	7	88	14%	18%	10%	14%	15%	14%	16%	10%	13%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18)	0-3/6	325	52%	77%	53%	50%	46%	34%	50%	54%	63%
	4-5/6	163	26%	20%	27%	30%	27%	25%	27%	24%	22%
	6/6	138	22%	3%	20%	21%	27%	41%	23%	22%	15%

	n	%	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	White	POC	(Ref)
					Age				Ethnicity	
Number of cases	625		118	157	110	125	115	416	156	53
Row percent		100%	19%	25%	18%	20%	18%	67%	25%	8%
Gender/Generation M 18-39	130	21%	49%	46%	-	-	-	21%	20%	17%
M 40-64	112	18%	-	-	52%	44%	-	16%	20%	29%
M 65+	61	10%	-	-	-	-	53%	9%	13%	6%
F 18-39	136	22%	48%	50%	-	-	-	23%	20%	14%
F 40-64	120	19%	-	-	48%	54%	-	20%	19%	16%
F 65+	52	8%	-	-	-	-	46%	9%	6%	8%
Other	13	2%	3%	4%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	9%
Party/Gender D Male	162	26%	27%	25%	28%	22%	29%	26%	30%	12%
D Female	221	35%	32%	34%	38%	41%	33%	39%	33%	10%
R/I Male	116	19%	16%	14%	22%	20%	22%	16%	19%	41%
R/I Female	71	11%	10%	13%	10%	12%	11%	11%	9%	25%
Other	55	9%	16%	14%	3%	5%	5%	8%	9%	13%

	n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
			<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases	625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent		100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
QOL Index — Mean	625	4.20	3.80	4.31	4.64	4.13	3.97	4.22	4.18
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track — Right direction	195	31%	20%	34%	37%	35%	27%	32%	31%
Wrong track	390	62%	72%	60%	54%	59%	68%	62%	63%
(Don't know/Refused)	40	6%	8%	6%	9%	5%	5%	7%	6%
Net Right direction	-195	-31	-52	-25	-17	-24	-41	-30	-32
Best thing about living in Seattle — Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	262	42%	36%	44%	39%	38%	45%	45%	39%
Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	105	17%	9%	19%	17%	15%	17%	19%	14%
Weather/Summer/Climate	65	10%	15%	9%	11%	7%	11%	11%	10%
Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	64	10%	9%	11%	10%	14%	10%	8%	12%
Location/Geography/Puget Sound	54	9%	12%	8%	7%	11%	9%	9%	9%
Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	51	8%	9%	8%	8%	12%	7%	8%	9%
Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	40	6%	8%	6%	9%	6%	5%	4%	9%
Walkability/Transit	36	6%	2%	7%	11%	5%	3%	4%	8%
Family/Friends/Hometown	29	5%	3%	5%	3%	0%	7%	4%	5%
Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	20	3%	8%	2%	1%	4%	4%	4%	3%
Other	15	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%
Nothing/Don't know	20	3%	5%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Top issues facing Seattle	Homelessness	350	56%	47%	59%	57%	51%	57%	59%	54%
	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	238	38%	36%	39%	33%	36%	42%	42%	34%
	Cost of living/Affordable housing	145	23%	25%	23%	32%	34%	16%	15%	32%
	Government/Politicians/Public leaders	46	7%	10%	7%	6%	6%	9%	9%	6%
	Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	39	6%	11%	5%	5%	10%	6%	5%	8%
	Taxes	24	4%	5%	4%	2%	6%	4%	4%	3%
	Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	22	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	4%	3%
	Public transportation	19	3%	1%	4%	6%	3%	1%	3%	3%
	Healthcare cost/Access	17	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%
	Cleanliness of city/Garbage	17	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	3%	5%	1%
	Traffic/Congestion	15	2%	5%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%
	Jobs/Economy	13	2%	3%	2%	2%	0%	3%	2%	3%
	Growth/Development/Population	11	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%
	Climate change/Environment	5	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Other	23	4%	6%	3%	2%	5%	4%	3%	4%
	Nothing/Don't know	4	1%	2%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	1%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Much better	11	2%	5%	1%	1%	1%	2%	0%	3%
	Somewhat better	44	7%	8%	7%	8%	7%	6%	6%	8%
	The same	118	19%	14%	20%	24%	18%	16%	19%	19%
	Somewhat worse	206	33%	26%	35%	30%	42%	32%	36%	30%
	Much worse	220	35%	44%	33%	23%	32%	43%	37%	33%
	(Don't know/Refused)	26	4%	4%	4%	13%	-	0%	2%	6%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago	Better	55	9%	12%	8%	9%	8%	9%	7%	11%
	Same/(DK/Ref)	144	23%	18%	24%	37%	18%	16%	20%	26%
	Worse	426	68%	70%	68%	53%	74%	75%	73%	63%
	Net Better	-370	-59	-57	-60	-44	-65	-66	-67	-52
Considered moving out of Seattle	Yes	345	55%	63%	53%	51%	57%	57%	52%	59%
	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	280	45%	37%	47%	49%	43%	43%	48%	41%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	107	31%	22%	34%	29%	30%	32%	37%	26%
	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	82	24%	24%	24%	27%	44%	17%	12%	35%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	37	11%	9%	11%	9%	7%	13%	13%	9%
	Homelessness	24	7%	5%	8%	7%	5%	7%	8%	6%
	Taxes	13	4%	8%	3%	1%	-	6%	8%	1%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	13	4%	5%	3%	5%	-	4%	4%	4%
	Closer to family	13	4%	5%	3%	4%	1%	5%	4%	3%
	Growth/Development/Space	10	3%	4%	3%	2%	5%	3%	3%	3%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	10	3%	1%	3%	8%	-	1%	1%	5%
	Weather	6	2%	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	0%	1%	1%
	Other	20	6%	12%	4%	3%	7%	7%	7%	5%
	Nothing/Don't know	5	2%	4%	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	1%
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	285	83%	86%	82%	77%	82%	85%	82%	83%
	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	60	17%	14%	18%	23%	18%	15%	18%	17%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	285	46%	55%	43%	39%	47%	49%	43%	49%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	60	10%	9%	10%	11%	10%	8%	9%	10%
	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	280	45%	37%	47%	49%	43%	43%	48%	41%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	133	21%	24%	21%	25%	16%	21%	20%	22%
	Somewhat agree	218	35%	24%	38%	41%	38%	31%	34%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	146	23%	24%	23%	21%	31%	23%	24%	23%
	Strongly disagree	119	19%	26%	17%	13%	16%	24%	20%	18%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	397	64%	61%	64%	57%	63%	68%	68%	60%
	Somewhat agree	162	26%	26%	26%	31%	27%	23%	25%	27%
	Somewhat disagree	42	7%	6%	7%	9%	6%	6%	5%	9%
	Strongly disagree	17	3%	5%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Strongly agree	331	53%	54%	53%	43%	54%	58%	57%	49%
	Somewhat agree	181	29%	28%	29%	34%	26%	27%	28%	30%
	Somewhat disagree	72	12%	9%	12%	16%	15%	8%	10%	14%
	Strongly disagree	35	6%	7%	5%	6%	2%	6%	5%	6%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Strongly agree	212	34%	22%	38%	40%	35%	30%	30%	38%
	Somewhat agree	238	38%	41%	37%	40%	44%	36%	40%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	89	14%	19%	13%	7%	12%	19%	15%	13%
	Strongly disagree	81	13%	16%	12%	13%	8%	14%	14%	12%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night	Strongly agree	66	11%	8%	11%	10%	17%	9%	10%	11%
	Somewhat agree	129	21%	12%	23%	24%	22%	19%	22%	19%
	Somewhat disagree	155	25%	22%	25%	24%	25%	25%	23%	26%
	Strongly disagree	267	43%	56%	39%	40%	35%	47%	43%	43%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed	Strongly agree	432	69%	70%	69%	61%	63%	76%	73%	65%
	Somewhat agree	126	20%	21%	20%	25%	27%	16%	18%	22%
	Somewhat disagree	48	8%	7%	8%	10%	8%	6%	6%	9%
	Strongly disagree	15	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood	Strongly agree	226	36%	30%	38%	49%	35%	29%	30%	43%
	Somewhat agree	196	31%	30%	32%	33%	34%	30%	35%	28%
	Somewhat disagree	106	17%	24%	15%	11%	18%	20%	17%	17%
	Strongly disagree	91	14%	14%	15%	6%	12%	20%	18%	11%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Strongly agree	273	44%	33%	47%	52%	43%	39%	42%	45%
	Somewhat agree	220	35%	38%	34%	37%	33%	35%	34%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	79	13%	18%	11%	7%	18%	14%	14%	12%
	Strongly disagree	42	7%	8%	7%	2%	5%	10%	8%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	29	5%	8%	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	6%
	Somewhat agree	181	29%	20%	31%	38%	31%	23%	25%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	161	26%	23%	27%	31%	23%	24%	28%	24%
	Strongly disagree	247	40%	48%	37%	26%	41%	47%	43%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	1%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	89	14%	11%	15%	22%	14%	10%	16%	12%
	Somewhat agree	241	39%	27%	42%	46%	35%	35%	38%	39%
	Somewhat disagree	169	27%	34%	25%	22%	35%	28%	26%	28%
	Strongly disagree	118	19%	27%	16%	8%	15%	26%	18%	20%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	243	39%	37%	40%	34%	37%	42%	38%	39%
	Somewhat agree	213	34%	31%	35%	40%	35%	30%	34%	34%
	Somewhat disagree	95	15%	14%	15%	19%	15%	13%	15%	15%
	Strongly disagree	68	11%	17%	9%	6%	13%	13%	11%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	36	6%	10%	5%	4%	5%	7%	4%	7%
	Somewhat agree	148	24%	17%	26%	27%	23%	22%	21%	26%
	Somewhat disagree	148	24%	23%	24%	28%	28%	20%	24%	24%
	Strongly disagree	276	44%	45%	44%	35%	44%	49%	49%	39%
	(Don't know/Refused)	17	3%	5%	2%	5%	1%	2%	2%	3%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Strongly agree	294	47%	47%	47%	33%	38%	57%	57%	37%
	Somewhat agree	126	20%	26%	19%	19%	18%	22%	19%	21%
	Somewhat disagree	75	12%	7%	13%	16%	16%	9%	10%	14%
	Strongly disagree	115	18%	19%	18%	28%	27%	11%	12%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	15	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Strongly agree	20	3%	9%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	5%
	Somewhat agree	84	13%	12%	14%	14%	9%	14%	12%	15%
	Somewhat disagree	203	32%	26%	34%	41%	31%	28%	32%	33%
	Strongly disagree	308	49%	53%	48%	39%	57%	53%	52%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	10	2%	0%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Agree	352	56%	48%	59%	65%	54%	52%	55%	58%
	Disagree	266	43%	50%	40%	34%	46%	46%	44%	41%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+86	+14	-2	+18	+31	+7	+6	+11	+17
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Agree	560	90%	87%	90%	87%	90%	91%	93%	86%
	Disagree	59	9%	11%	9%	12%	8%	9%	6%	13%
	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+501	+80	+77	+81	+76	+82	+82	+86	+74
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle	Agree	511	82%	81%	82%	77%	81%	85%	84%	79%
	Disagree	107	17%	16%	17%	22%	17%	15%	14%	20%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+404	+65	+65	+65	+55	+64	+70	+70	+59
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day	Agree	451	72%	63%	75%	80%	79%	66%	70%	74%
	Disagree	169	27%	35%	25%	19%	20%	33%	29%	25%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	2%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+281	+45	+28	+50	+61	+59	+32	+41	+49

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	196	31%	20%	34%	34%	39%	28%	33%	30%
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	422	68%	79%	64%	63%	60%	72%	66%	69%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	-227	-36	-59	-30	-29	-21	-44	-33	-39
Agree: Downtown Seattle	Agree	558	89%	92%	89%	86%	90%	91%	92%	87%
cannot fully recover until the	Disagree	63	10%	8%	11%	13%	9%	8%	7%	13%
homelessness and public safety	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	0%
problems are addressed	Net Agree	+495	+79	+84	+78	+72	+80	+83	+84	+74
Agree: I support the building of	Agree	423	68%	61%	70%	82%	70%	59%	65%	71%
new housing in my	Disagree	197	32%	37%	30%	17%	30%	40%	35%	28%
neighborhood	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Net Agree	+226	+36	+24	+40	+64	+39	+20	+29	+43
Agree: I support policies that	Agree	493	79%	72%	81%	89%	75%	74%	76%	81%
make it easier to build new	Disagree	122	19%	26%	18%	9%	24%	24%	22%	17%
housing in transit and	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%
commercial areas	Net Agree	+371	+59	+46	+63	+80	+52	+50	+55	+64
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle	Agree	209	34%	28%	35%	41%	37%	29%	28%	39%
to spend my tax dollars	Disagree	408	65%	71%	64%	57%	63%	71%	71%	60%
responsibly	(DK/Ref)	8	1%	1%	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	-199	-32	-43	-29	-16	-27	-42	-43	-20
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	331	53%	38%	57%	68%	49%	45%	54%	51%
growth and development has	Disagree	287	46%	61%	42%	30%	49%	54%	44%	48%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	+44	+7	-23	+16	+38	+0	-8	+10	+4

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	456	73%	67%	75%	74%	71%	73%	73%	73%
	Disagree	164	26%	32%	25%	25%	28%	27%	27%	26%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+292	+47	+36	+50	+49	+44	+46	+46	+47
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	185	30%	26%	30%	32%	27%	29%	25%	34%
	Disagree	423	68%	68%	68%	63%	72%	69%	73%	63%
	(DK/Ref)	17	3%	5%	2%	5%	1%	2%	2%	3%
	Net Agree	-239	-38	-42	-37	-32	-44	-40	-47	-29
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Agree	420	67%	73%	66%	52%	56%	79%	76%	58%
	Disagree	190	30%	26%	32%	45%	42%	19%	22%	39%
	(DK/Ref)	15	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%
	Net Agree	+230	+37	+47	+34	+7	+14	+59	+54	+20
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	104	17%	21%	15%	17%	11%	18%	14%	19%
	Disagree	511	82%	79%	83%	80%	89%	81%	84%	79%
	(DK/Ref)	10	2%	0%	2%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	-407	-65	-58	-67	-63	-78	-63	-70	-60
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	42	7%	5%	7%	9%	13%	4%	4%	10%
	2	20	3%	1%	4%	6%	2%	2%	1%	5%
	3	30	5%	4%	5%	7%	9%	3%	4%	6%
	4	45	7%	8%	7%	8%	9%	6%	6%	9%
	5	63	10%	10%	10%	14%	9%	8%	9%	11%
	6	59	9%	9%	10%	9%	11%	10%	10%	8%
	7 – Very significant impact	366	59%	65%	57%	47%	47%	68%	67%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.73	6.01	5.66	5.27	5.20	6.14	6.13	5.34

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	13	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	3%
	2	12	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%
	3	30	5%	4%	5%	8%	7%	2%	3%	7%
	4	53	9%	7%	9%	13%	8%	6%	7%	10%
	5	114	18%	18%	18%	23%	21%	15%	18%	19%
	6	94	15%	10%	16%	14%	15%	15%	18%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	49%	54%	48%	38%	44%	56%	52%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	1%	1%	0%	1%
	Mean	622	5.81	5.84	5.81	5.50	5.71	6.02	6.00	5.62
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	16	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	4%
	2	16	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%
	3	39	6%	4%	7%	11%	6%	4%	4%	8%
	4	60	10%	9%	10%	14%	13%	6%	9%	10%
	5	88	14%	16%	14%	15%	22%	12%	13%	15%
	6	95	15%	10%	17%	17%	14%	15%	17%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	310	50%	59%	47%	38%	38%	59%	53%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Mean	624	5.75	6.03	5.67	5.37	5.41	6.05	5.93	5.56
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	101	16%	23%	14%	10%	12%	20%	18%	15%
	2	43	7%	4%	8%	6%	5%	8%	10%	4%
	3	72	11%	9%	12%	11%	12%	11%	12%	11%
	4	74	12%	12%	12%	9%	14%	13%	11%	13%
	5	125	20%	15%	22%	25%	19%	17%	21%	19%
	6	65	10%	9%	11%	12%	11%	9%	10%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	145	23%	27%	22%	26%	27%	21%	18%	28%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	0%	-	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean	624	4.36	4.26	4.39	4.71	4.62	4.10	4.12	4.61

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	6	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	0%	1%
	2	8	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	3	25	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	4%	5%	3%
	4	45	7%	5%	8%	7%	13%	6%	7%	7%
	5	123	20%	16%	21%	24%	18%	18%	19%	20%
	6	129	21%	11%	23%	21%	19%	21%	24%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	288	46%	62%	41%	40%	43%	50%	42%	50%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	1%	-	0%	-
	Mean	624	5.90	6.17	5.83	5.74	5.76	6.03	5.86	5.95
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	90	14%	22%	12%	11%	10%	18%	17%	12%
	2	26	4%	4%	4%	5%	2%	4%	4%	5%
	3	40	6%	6%	7%	8%	5%	6%	10%	3%
	4	72	11%	8%	12%	8%	11%	14%	13%	10%
	5	98	16%	10%	17%	17%	9%	17%	19%	13%
	6	87	14%	10%	15%	18%	16%	11%	12%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	209	33%	38%	32%	33%	48%	30%	25%	42%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	2%	-	1%	-	0%	0%	1%
	Mean	622	4.86	4.66	4.91	5.00	5.47	4.62	4.51	5.21
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	22	3%	2%	4%	4%	9%	2%	2%	5%
	2	21	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%
	3	39	6%	6%	6%	9%	8%	4%	5%	7%
	4	59	9%	6%	10%	13%	10%	7%	9%	10%
	5	99	16%	13%	17%	18%	19%	14%	15%	17%
	6	108	17%	14%	18%	22%	13%	16%	19%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	276	44%	54%	41%	31%	39%	53%	47%	41%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	-	-	1%	1%	-
	Mean	623	5.60	5.82	5.54	5.27	5.22	5.89	5.76	5.45

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	21	3%	4%	3%	2%	5%	4%	2%	5%
	2	14	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	3%
	3	34	5%	5%	6%	8%	4%	4%	5%	5%
	4	38	6%	6%	6%	6%	8%	6%	6%	6%
	5	68	11%	9%	11%	14%	17%	8%	10%	11%
	6	85	14%	10%	15%	15%	13%	13%	14%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	362	58%	62%	57%	50%	52%	64%	60%	56%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	0%	-	0%	0%	0%
	Mean	623	5.92	5.90	5.93	5.73	5.77	6.06	6.03	5.81
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1 – Very little impact	62	10%	15%	8%	4%	7%	14%	12%	8%
	2	35	6%	8%	5%	3%	5%	7%	7%	4%
	3	47	8%	7%	8%	6%	11%	7%	7%	8%
	4	86	14%	12%	14%	11%	18%	14%	16%	11%
	5	106	17%	11%	19%	14%	18%	19%	20%	14%
	6	110	18%	17%	18%	22%	18%	15%	16%	19%
	7 – Very significant impact	179	29%	29%	29%	41%	23%	24%	21%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	1%	-	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Mean	624	4.90	4.65	4.97	5.55	4.82	4.56	4.59	5.21
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1 – Very little impact	53	8%	13%	7%	4%	9%	11%	10%	7%
	2	29	5%	7%	4%	3%	0%	7%	6%	3%
	3	39	6%	4%	7%	6%	7%	6%	8%	4%
	4	62	10%	6%	11%	8%	9%	11%	13%	7%
	5	108	17%	15%	18%	20%	16%	16%	18%	17%
	6	82	13%	10%	14%	12%	16%	13%	14%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	251	40%	45%	39%	48%	43%	35%	31%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.23	5.12	5.26	5.65	5.42	4.95	4.90	5.56

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1 – Very little impact	47	8%	5%	8%	12%	8%	5%	3%	12%
	2	30	5%	3%	5%	6%	7%	4%	5%	5%
	3	45	7%	7%	7%	11%	11%	4%	5%	10%
	4	65	10%	7%	11%	12%	17%	8%	11%	9%
	5	100	16%	12%	17%	17%	21%	14%	15%	17%
	6	73	12%	13%	11%	12%	7%	13%	12%	12%
	7 – Very significant impact	263	42%	52%	39%	31%	27%	52%	48%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean	623	5.27	5.64	5.16	4.77	4.69	5.69	5.59	4.94
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1 – Very little impact	87	14%	13%	14%	21%	18%	9%	8%	20%
	2	37	6%	3%	7%	6%	9%	5%	6%	6%
	3	49	8%	9%	8%	10%	11%	6%	5%	11%
	4	62	10%	9%	10%	15%	9%	7%	9%	10%
	5	88	14%	16%	14%	12%	16%	15%	16%	12%
	6	76	12%	11%	12%	11%	8%	14%	14%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	223	36%	39%	35%	25%	28%	44%	42%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	0%	-	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean	623	4.84	5.03	4.79	4.23	4.33	5.32	5.29	4.40
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	92	15%	9%	16%	22%	24%	8%	8%	21%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	8%	7%	8%	9%	6%	6%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	488	78%	83%	77%	70%	67%	86%	86%	70%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	55	9%	10%	8%	12%	11%	7%	6%	12%
	4/(DK)	56	9%	8%	9%	13%	9%	7%	7%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	514	82%	82%	82%	76%	80%	87%	87%	77%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	71	11%	6%	13%	16%	13%	8%	8%	15%
	4/(DK)	61	10%	9%	10%	14%	13%	6%	9%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	494	79%	84%	77%	69%	74%	86%	83%	75%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	216	35%	37%	34%	28%	29%	39%	39%	30%
	4/(DK)	75	12%	12%	12%	9%	14%	13%	11%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	334	53%	51%	54%	63%	56%	47%	50%	57%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	39	6%	5%	7%	8%	6%	5%	7%	6%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	5%	8%	7%	14%	6%	7%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	540	86%	90%	85%	85%	80%	89%	86%	87%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	157	25%	32%	23%	24%	16%	28%	31%	20%
	4/(DK)	74	12%	10%	12%	8%	11%	14%	13%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	394	63%	59%	64%	67%	73%	58%	56%	70%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	81	13%	13%	13%	16%	20%	9%	10%	16%
	4/(DK)	61	10%	6%	11%	13%	10%	8%	9%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	483	77%	81%	76%	70%	71%	83%	80%	74%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	70	11%	13%	11%	14%	11%	10%	10%	13%
	4/(DK)	40	6%	7%	6%	7%	8%	6%	6%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	515	82%	80%	83%	79%	82%	84%	84%	80%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	143	23%	30%	21%	13%	23%	28%	26%	20%
	4/(DK)	87	14%	13%	14%	11%	18%	15%	16%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	395	63%	57%	65%	76%	59%	57%	58%	69%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	122	19%	24%	18%	12%	16%	24%	24%	15%
	4/(DK)	62	10%	6%	11%	8%	9%	11%	13%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	441	71%	70%	71%	80%	75%	64%	63%	78%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1-3 Little Impact	122	20%	16%	21%	28%	26%	13%	13%	26%
	4/(DK)	67	11%	8%	12%	12%	18%	8%	12%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	436	70%	77%	68%	60%	56%	79%	75%	64%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Impact: Hiring more police	1-3 Little Impact	173	28%	25%	29%	37%	38%	20%	19%	37%
officers	4/(DK)	64	10%	9%	11%	15%	10%	7%	10%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	387	62%	66%	61%	48%	52%	73%	72%	52%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	199	32%	43%	28%	22%	25%	39%	35%	29%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	160	26%	26%	25%	25%	25%	26%	25%	26%
	Too low	55	9%	5%	10%	11%	14%	6%	9%	8%
	About right	200	32%	23%	35%	40%	34%	27%	29%	35%
	(Don't know)	12	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	358	57%	70%	54%	47%	50%	65%	60%	54%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	212	34%	26%	36%	42%	36%	29%	31%	37%
	Too low	55	9%	5%	10%	11%	14%	6%	9%	8%
	Net Too high	+304	+49	+65	+44	+37	+36	+59	+51	+46
Safety in your neighborhood	Much less safe	209	33%	42%	31%	23%	35%	39%	35%	31%
compared to 2 years ago	Somewhat less safe	164	26%	25%	27%	24%	28%	27%	26%	27%
	More safe	55	9%	10%	8%	13%	8%	7%	8%	10%
	(About the same)	189	30%	23%	32%	37%	29%	27%	30%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	-	2%	4%	1%	-	1%	2%
Safety in your neighborhood	Less safe	373	60%	67%	58%	46%	63%	66%	61%	58%
compared to 2 years ago	(About the same/DK)	197	32%	23%	34%	41%	29%	27%	31%	32%
	More safe	55	9%	10%	8%	13%	8%	7%	8%	10%
	Net Less safe	+318	+51	+57	+49	+33	+55	+60	+53	+48
Support: Continue to close	Strongly support	366	59%	60%	58%	47%	53%	66%	69%	48%
homeless encampments once	Somewhat support	144	23%	21%	24%	26%	24%	21%	20%	27%
people have been offered	Somewhat oppose	62	10%	11%	10%	14%	10%	8%	7%	13%
shelter and services, even if it	Strongly oppose	50	8%	7%	8%	12%	13%	4%	4%	12%
means those who refuse help	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	0%	1%	-	0%	1%	0%
will be displaced										

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Support: Create more affordable housing, update Seattle's zoning laws and expedite permitting to allow for more density across the city, even if it means there will be more duplexes and	Strongly support	251	40%	34%	42%	55%	42%	32%	31%	49%
	Somewhat support	170	27%	28%	27%	27%	27%	27%	28%	26%
	Somewhat oppose	89	14%	14%	14%	10%	17%	16%	18%	11%
	Strongly oppose	110	18%	24%	16%	7%	14%	25%	23%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
Support: Continue to close homeless encampments once people have been offered shelter and services, even if it means those who refuse help	Support	510	82%	81%	82%	73%	77%	88%	88%	75%
	Oppose	112	18%	18%	18%	26%	23%	12%	11%	25%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	0%	0%	1%	-	0%	1%	0%
	Net Support	+398	+64	+63	+64	+47	+53	+76	+77	+50
Support: Create more affordable housing, update Seattle's zoning laws and expedite permitting to allow for more density across the city, even if it means there	Support	421	67%	62%	69%	82%	69%	59%	59%	75%
	Oppose	199	32%	37%	30%	17%	31%	41%	40%	24%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	0%	1%
	Net Support	+221	+35	+25	+39	+65	+39	+18	+19	+52
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Very concerned	174	28%	49%	22%	23%	29%	30%	18%	37%
	Somewhat concerned	226	36%	30%	38%	39%	39%	34%	37%	36%
	Not too concerned	164	26%	16%	29%	27%	23%	27%	33%	20%
	Not at all concerned	61	10%	6%	11%	11%	9%	9%	12%	7%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Concerned	400	64%	79%	60%	62%	68%	64%	55%	73%
	Not concerned	225	36%	21%	40%	38%	32%	36%	45%	27%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Concerned	+174	+28	+58	+19	+24	+37	+28	+10	+46

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1 – Very pessimistic	136	22%	29%	20%	14%	17%	28%	22%	21%
	2	181	29%	27%	29%	27%	37%	28%	32%	26%
	3	221	35%	30%	37%	43%	32%	32%	32%	39%
	4	60	10%	9%	10%	10%	11%	9%	11%	8%
	5 – Very optimistic	20	3%	4%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%
	Mean	618	2.43	2.31	2.46	2.64	2.46	2.30	2.38	2.48
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1-2 Pessimistic	318	51%	56%	49%	41%	53%	55%	55%	47%
	3/(DK)	228	36%	31%	38%	44%	33%	33%	32%	40%
	4-5 Optimistic	80	13%	13%	13%	15%	14%	11%	13%	13%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	187	30%	29%	30%	29%	30%	31%	30%	30%
	A few times a month	241	39%	37%	39%	38%	41%	39%	41%	37%
	A few times a year	116	19%	18%	19%	16%	23%	19%	20%	17%
	Rarely	51	8%	13%	7%	5%	6%	11%	8%	9%
	Never	19	3%	2%	3%	8%	1%	1%	1%	5%
	(Refused)	10	2%	1%	2%	5%	-	0%	0%	3%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	187	30%	29%	30%	29%	30%	31%	30%	30%
	Few times a month	241	39%	37%	39%	38%	41%	39%	41%	37%
	Less often	197	31%	34%	31%	34%	30%	31%	30%	33%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	15	2%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	2%	3%
	Somewhat more often	22	4%	4%	3%	7%	2%	2%	1%	6%
	About the same	152	24%	25%	24%	28%	22%	23%	22%	27%
	Somewhat less often	106	17%	15%	18%	17%	19%	16%	17%	17%
	Much less often	318	51%	52%	51%	38%	56%	57%	58%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	1%	2%	6%	-	0%	0%	4%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Current downtown visit	More often	37	6%	7%	6%	11%	3%	4%	3%	9%
frequency compared to pre-	Same/(DK/Ref)	164	26%	27%	26%	34%	22%	23%	22%	31%
pandemic	Less often	424	68%	67%	68%	55%	75%	73%	75%	60%
	Net More often	-387	-62	-60	-62	-45	-73	-69	-73	-51
Duration of residency	<2 years	19	3%	1%	4%	10%	-	-	1%	6%
	2-5 years	81	13%	9%	14%	43%	-	-	8%	18%
	6-10 years	89	14%	8%	16%	47%	-	-	11%	18%
	11-20 years	92	15%	12%	15%	-	100%	-	14%	16%
	>20 years	341	55%	68%	51%	-	-	99%	66%	43%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	190	30%	19%	34%	100%	-	-	19%	42%
	11-20 years	92	15%	12%	15%	-	100%	-	14%	16%
	>20 years	343	55%	69%	51%	-	-	100%	67%	43%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	24%	41%	35%	34%	39%	39%	35%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	15%	14%	15%	20%	12%	13%	15%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	11%	11%	12%	15%	10%	11%	11%
	Independent	69	11%	10%	11%	8%	11%	13%	14%	8%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	6%	5%	5%	1%	6%	5%	5%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	4%	1%	2%	-	2%	3%	1%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	5%	2%	1%	1%	5%	3%	3%
	Socialist	44	7%	7%	7%	13%	7%	4%	3%	11%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	18%	8%	9%	12%	10%	8%	12%
Party	Socialist	44	7%	7%	7%	13%	7%	4%	3%	11%
	Democrat	388	62%	49%	66%	62%	68%	60%	63%	61%
	Independent	131	21%	28%	19%	17%	22%	23%	22%	20%
	Republican	63	10%	16%	8%	8%	2%	13%	12%	8%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Ideology	1 – Very liberal	113	18%	14%	19%	24%	19%	15%	15%	21%
	2	102	16%	10%	18%	20%	18%	14%	16%	17%
	3	165	26%	16%	29%	31%	30%	23%	27%	26%
	4	118	19%	24%	17%	13%	21%	22%	19%	18%
	5	54	9%	14%	7%	6%	4%	11%	11%	6%
	6	22	4%	5%	3%	1%	-	6%	4%	3%
	7 – Very conservative	17	3%	6%	2%	1%	1%	4%	3%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	34	6%	11%	4%	5%	6%	6%	5%	6%
	Mean	591	3.06	3.58	2.92	2.64	2.77	3.37	3.21	2.90
Ideology	Liberal	379	61%	40%	66%	74%	68%	52%	58%	64%
	Moderate	153	24%	35%	21%	18%	27%	27%	24%	25%
	Conservative	93	15%	24%	12%	8%	6%	21%	18%	12%
Homeowner	Homeowner	313	50%	36%	54%	32%	47%	61%	100%	-
	Renter	313	50%	64%	46%	68%	53%	39%	-	100%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	416	67%	54%	70%	69%	59%	67%	67%	66%
	African American or Black	25	4%	8%	3%	3%	4%	5%	3%	5%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	5%	6%	6%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	8%	8%	10%	9%	7%	9%	7%
	Something else	44	7%	10%	6%	2%	9%	9%	6%	8%
	(Refused)	53	8%	13%	7%	9%	12%	7%	9%	8%
Ethnicity	White or Caucasian	416	67%	54%	70%	69%	59%	67%	67%	66%
	African American or Black	25	4%	8%	3%	3%	4%	5%	3%	5%
	Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	6%	6%	7%	7%	5%	6%	6%
	Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	8%	8%	10%	9%	7%	9%	7%
	Another ethnicity/(Ref)	96	15%	23%	13%	11%	21%	16%	15%	16%

		n	%	Education <4-year degree	4-year degree+	Duration of residency 1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Homeowner Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Ethnicity	White	416	67%	54%	70%	69%	59%	67%	67%	66%
	POC	156	25%	33%	23%	22%	29%	26%	24%	26%
	(Ref)	53	8%	13%	7%	9%	12%	7%	9%	8%
Age	18-29	118	19%	12%	21%	20%	11%	20%	20%	18%
	30-39	157	25%	26%	25%	45%	34%	12%	24%	26%
	40-49	110	18%	17%	18%	15%	26%	17%	19%	16%
	50-64	125	20%	21%	20%	10%	16%	27%	19%	21%
	65+	115	18%	24%	17%	11%	13%	24%	18%	19%
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%	38%	46%	64%	45%	32%	44%	44%
	40+	350	56%	62%	54%	36%	55%	68%	56%	56%
Generation	18-39	275	44%	38%	46%	64%	45%	32%	44%	44%
	40-64	235	38%	37%	38%	25%	42%	44%	38%	37%
	65+	115	18%	24%	17%	11%	13%	24%	18%	19%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	1%	-	-	-	0%	0%	-
	Some high school	3	1%	2%	-	-	2%	0%	0%	1%
	Graduated high school	21	3%	15%	-	3%	2%	4%	3%	4%
	Technical/vocational school	12	2%	8%	-	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%
	Some college/<4-year degree	91	14%	65%	-	8%	11%	19%	10%	19%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	264	42%	-	54%	47%	50%	37%	41%	44%
	Graduate/professional degree	222	36%	-	46%	39%	31%	35%	43%	28%
	(Refused)	10	2%	7%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%
Education	<4-year degree	139	22%	100%	-	14%	18%	28%	16%	28%
	4-year degree+	486	78%	-	100%	86%	82%	72%	84%	72%
Education	Less than college	139	22%	100%	-	14%	18%	28%	16%	28%
	Graduated college	264	42%	-	54%	47%	50%	37%	41%	44%
	Graduate/professional degree	222	36%	-	46%	39%	31%	35%	43%	28%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Gender	Male	303	48%	57%	46%	46%	56%	48%	47%	50%
	Female	309	49%	40%	52%	51%	42%	51%	52%	46%
	Non-binary	9	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	0%	3%
	(Refused)	4	1%	2%	0%	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%
Region (2023)	South	178	29%	33%	27%	23%	26%	32%	32%	25%
	Central	178	29%	24%	30%	38%	27%	23%	22%	35%
	North	269	43%	43%	43%	38%	47%	45%	47%	39%
City Council District (2023)	1	97	16%	22%	14%	16%	13%	16%	16%	15%
	2	81	13%	11%	13%	7%	13%	16%	16%	10%
	3	97	16%	11%	17%	22%	13%	13%	13%	18%
	4	78	13%	9%	14%	11%	10%	14%	15%	10%
	5	91	15%	18%	14%	14%	16%	14%	15%	14%
	6	100	16%	16%	16%	13%	20%	17%	18%	14%
	7	81	13%	13%	13%	17%	14%	11%	9%	17%
Region (pre-2023)	South	172	28%	33%	26%	22%	26%	31%	31%	24%
	Central	186	30%	23%	32%	38%	28%	26%	23%	37%
	North	267	43%	44%	42%	39%	46%	44%	46%	40%
City Council District (pre-2023)	1	94	15%	22%	13%	15%	13%	15%	15%	15%
	2	78	13%	12%	13%	7%	13%	15%	16%	9%
	3	98	16%	10%	17%	21%	13%	13%	12%	19%
	4	77	12%	10%	13%	11%	10%	14%	14%	11%
	5	83	13%	15%	13%	13%	15%	13%	13%	13%
	6	106	17%	18%	17%	15%	21%	17%	18%	15%
	7	88	14%	13%	14%	17%	14%	12%	11%	17%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18)	0-3/6	325	52%	58%	50%	62%	51%	47%	45%	59%
	4-5/6	163	26%	21%	27%	21%	18%	31%	32%	20%
	6/6	138	22%	21%	22%	17%	31%	23%	23%	21%

		n	%	Education		Duration of residency			Homeowner	
				<4-year degree	4-year degree+	1-10 years	11-20 years	>20 years	Owner	Renter
Number of cases		625		139	486	190	92	343	313	313
Row percent			100%	22%	78%	30%	15%	55%	50%	50%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	130	21%	19%	21%	28%	24%	16%	19%	22%
	M 40-64	112	18%	21%	17%	12%	21%	21%	17%	18%
	M 65+	61	10%	16%	8%	7%	11%	11%	10%	10%
	F 18-39	136	22%	16%	23%	34%	19%	16%	24%	20%
	F 40-64	120	19%	16%	20%	13%	22%	22%	21%	18%
	F 65+	52	8%	8%	8%	4%	2%	12%	8%	9%
	Other	13	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%
Party/Gender	D Male	162	26%	24%	26%	26%	35%	23%	25%	27%
	D Female	221	35%	24%	38%	34%	32%	37%	37%	33%
	R/I Male	116	19%	28%	16%	14%	14%	22%	20%	17%
	R/I Female	71	11%	15%	10%	9%	10%	13%	14%	9%
	Other	55	9%	9%	9%	16%	9%	5%	4%	14%

		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation			
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
QOL Index	Mean	625	4.20	4.99	3.52	3.81	4.53	3.80	4.39
Seattle Right direction/Wrong track	Right direction	195	31%	36%	25%	28%	35%	31%	30%
	Wrong track	390	62%	57%	71%	68%	54%	65%	62%
	(Don't know/Refused)	40	6%	6%	4%	5%	11%	4%	8%
	Net Right direction	-195	-31	-21	-46	-40	-19	-34	-32
Best thing about living in Seattle	Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	262	42%	44%	39%	35%	43%	46%	45%
	Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	105	17%	23%	12%	8%	18%	18%	20%
	Weather/Summer/Climate	65	10%	7%	12%	13%	6%	10%	21%
	Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	64	10%	6%	11%	7%	17%	11%	4%
	Location/Geography/Puget Sound	54	9%	6%	8%	13%	9%	7%	8%
	Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	51	8%	10%	12%	7%	6%	6%	6%
	Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	40	6%	7%	8%	4%	9%	4%	1%
	Walkability/Transit	36	6%	7%	5%	6%	7%	5%	5%
	Family/Friends/Hometown	29	5%	4%	4%	4%	5%	5%	9%
	Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	20	3%	2%	6%	7%	1%	3%	5%
	Other	15	2%	2%	5%	7%	1%	1%	1%
	Nothing/Don't know	20	3%	1%	0%	4%	5%	5%	3%

	n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation		
Number of cases	625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent		100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Top issues facing Seattle — Homelessness	350	56%	55%	52%	41%	60%	68%	54%
Crime/Drugs/Public safety	238	38%	34%	42%	47%	29%	43%	43%
Cost of living/Affordable housing	145	23%	24%	24%	17%	32%	17%	14%
Government/Politicians/Public leaders	46	7%	6%	7%	15%	9%	5%	3%
Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	39	6%	9%	8%	11%	7%	2%	2%
Taxes	24	4%	3%	6%	5%	1%	4%	9%
Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	22	3%	2%	2%	6%	5%	5%	1%
Public transportation	19	3%	9%	1%	0%	1%	3%	0%
Healthcare cost/Access	17	3%	4%	3%	0%	1%	4%	1%
Cleanliness of city/Garbage	17	3%	1%	2%	1%	4%	4%	5%
Traffic/Congestion	15	2%	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	6%
Jobs/Economy	13	2%	1%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%
Growth/Development/Population	11	2%	3%	0%	0%	1%	3%	6%
Climate change/Environment	5	1%	0%	0%	3%	0%	2%	0%
Other	23	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%	1%	7%
Nothing/Don't know	4	1%	0%	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago — Much better	11	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%
Somewhat better	44	7%	12%	4%	10%	5%	4%	8%
The same	118	19%	25%	18%	14%	20%	15%	16%
Somewhat worse	206	33%	28%	35%	27%	38%	29%	45%
Much worse	220	35%	26%	41%	46%	23%	49%	28%
(Don't know/Refused)	26	4%	7%	-	1%	10%	2%	1%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago — Better	55	9%	14%	6%	12%	8%	5%	9%
Same/(DK/Ref)	144	23%	32%	18%	15%	30%	17%	17%
Worse	426	68%	54%	76%	73%	62%	79%	74%
Net Better	-370	-59	-40	-70	-61	-53	-74	-64
Considered moving out of Seattle — Yes	345	55%	36%	67%	64%	54%	64%	48%
No/(Don't Know/Refused)	280	45%	64%	33%	36%	46%	36%	52%

		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
				Gender/Generation					
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Main reason for considering	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	107	31%	36%	33%	37%	24%	32%	29%
moving out of Seattle	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	82	24%	20%	24%	19%	25%	28%	10%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	37	11%	7%	14%	18%	7%	14%	2%
	Homelessness	24	7%	5%	9%	4%	6%	7%	11%
	Taxes	13	4%	5%	2%	4%	-	7%	6%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	13	4%	10%	1%	2%	4%	-	15%
	Closer to family	13	4%	6%	1%	2%	9%	2%	2%
	Growth/Development/Space	10	3%	2%	4%	2%	5%	1%	6%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	10	3%	3%	1%	-	9%	1%	3%
	Weather	6	2%	1%	3%	-	-	1%	10%
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	2%	-	2%	2%	1%	-
	Other	20	6%	-	9%	9%	8%	4%	5%
	Nothing/Don't know	5	2%	3%	-	2%	2%	1%	-
Still actively considering moving	Yes	285	83%	91%	83%	84%	74%	83%	78%
out of Seattle	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	60	17%	9%	17%	16%	26%	17%	22%
Considered moving/actively	Yes, still actively considering	285	46%	32%	56%	54%	40%	53%	38%
considering moving out of	Yes, no longer actively considering	60	10%	3%	11%	10%	14%	11%	10%
Seattle	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	280	45%	64%	33%	36%	46%	36%	52%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Strongly agree	133	21%	32%	15%	23%	23%	18%	10%
future of this region	Somewhat agree	218	35%	43%	24%	27%	41%	29%	47%
	Somewhat disagree	146	23%	16%	26%	15%	20%	31%	34%
	Strongly disagree	119	19%	9%	32%	33%	16%	19%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	-	2%	2%	-	2%	2%
Agree: A thriving downtown	Strongly agree	397	64%	60%	70%	74%	54%	65%	70%
Seattle is critical to our region's	Somewhat agree	162	26%	27%	23%	16%	32%	25%	25%
economic recovery	Somewhat disagree	42	7%	9%	4%	3%	8%	7%	3%
	Strongly disagree	17	3%	3%	2%	6%	4%	1%	1%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-

	n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation		
Number of cases	625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent		100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle — Strongly agree	331	53%	42%	57%	63%	48%	59%	58%
Somewhat agree	181	29%	34%	27%	24%	33%	23%	34%
Somewhat disagree	72	12%	16%	9%	11%	11%	12%	2%
Strongly disagree	35	6%	7%	7%	2%	5%	5%	6%
(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	-
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day — Strongly agree	212	34%	51%	28%	23%	36%	29%	23%
Somewhat agree	238	38%	29%	39%	42%	41%	39%	49%
Somewhat disagree	89	14%	13%	14%	14%	9%	17%	20%
Strongly disagree	81	13%	7%	18%	21%	12%	14%	7%
(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	-	2%	1%	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night — Strongly agree	66	11%	18%	10%	8%	10%	9%	4%
Somewhat agree	129	21%	31%	18%	16%	20%	19%	11%
Somewhat disagree	155	25%	23%	26%	26%	22%	27%	33%
Strongly disagree	267	43%	29%	46%	50%	44%	45%	52%
(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	-	1%	-	4%	1%	-
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed — Strongly agree	432	69%	62%	70%	80%	68%	68%	83%
Somewhat agree	126	20%	25%	20%	17%	21%	20%	11%
Somewhat disagree	48	8%	10%	4%	3%	9%	8%	5%
Strongly disagree	15	2%	3%	4%	-	1%	3%	1%
(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	-
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood — Strongly agree	226	36%	46%	30%	29%	39%	41%	12%
Somewhat agree	196	31%	35%	27%	21%	38%	28%	38%
Somewhat disagree	106	17%	9%	24%	23%	12%	18%	24%
Strongly disagree	91	14%	9%	19%	24%	10%	13%	22%
(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	0%	-	2%	1%	0%	4%

		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation			
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas	Strongly agree	273	44%	58%	33%	34%	45%	49%	27%
	Somewhat agree	220	35%	28%	39%	35%	34%	33%	49%
	Somewhat disagree	79	13%	7%	21%	12%	12%	11%	20%
	Strongly disagree	42	7%	6%	6%	17%	5%	6%	5%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	1%	1%	2%	5%	1%	-
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly	Strongly agree	29	5%	9%	3%	6%	4%	1%	6%
	Somewhat agree	181	29%	36%	23%	19%	32%	30%	22%
	Somewhat disagree	161	26%	20%	20%	22%	28%	31%	41%
	Strongly disagree	247	40%	35%	54%	52%	32%	38%	30%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	-	1%	2%	4%	0%	1%
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area	Strongly agree	89	14%	22%	8%	11%	21%	12%	5%
	Somewhat agree	241	39%	44%	33%	38%	41%	39%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	169	27%	19%	35%	17%	25%	31%	36%
	Strongly disagree	118	19%	14%	25%	32%	11%	18%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	2%	-	2%	2%	0%	1%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Strongly agree	243	39%	54%	33%	35%	36%	35%	37%
	Somewhat agree	213	34%	25%	32%	34%	42%	35%	37%
	Somewhat disagree	95	15%	12%	20%	11%	14%	17%	13%
	Strongly disagree	68	11%	5%	14%	19%	7%	13%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	4%	-	1%	-	-	-
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Strongly agree	36	6%	7%	6%	7%	5%	5%	9%
	Somewhat agree	148	24%	27%	19%	24%	17%	28%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	148	24%	26%	17%	12%	35%	19%	22%
	Strongly disagree	276	44%	37%	58%	53%	36%	48%	35%
	(Don't know/Refused)	17	3%	2%	1%	3%	8%	-	1%

		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation			
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Agree: Hiring more police	Strongly agree	294	47%	40%	58%	60%	35%	49%	53%
officers should be an immediate	Somewhat agree	126	20%	20%	14%	25%	19%	21%	35%
priority for the City	Somewhat disagree	75	12%	15%	10%	9%	17%	11%	2%
	Strongly disagree	115	18%	20%	15%	6%	26%	18%	10%
	(Don't know/Refused)	15	2%	5%	4%	-	3%	-	1%
Agree: I trust that the city has an	Strongly agree	20	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	1%	5%
effective plan to address the	Somewhat agree	84	13%	20%	5%	14%	17%	11%	10%
critical issues facing our city like	Somewhat disagree	203	32%	33%	29%	29%	28%	37%	40%
homelessness, affordability, and	Strongly disagree	308	49%	41%	62%	54%	47%	50%	44%
public safety	(Don't know/Refused)	10	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	1%	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the	Agree	352	56%	75%	40%	50%	64%	48%	57%
future of this region	Disagree	266	43%	25%	58%	48%	36%	50%	41%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	-	2%	2%	-	2%	2%
	Net Agree	+86	+14	+49	-18	+2	+28	-2	+16
Agree: A thriving downtown	Agree	560	90%	87%	93%	90%	86%	90%	95%
Seattle is critical to our region's	Disagree	59	9%	12%	7%	9%	12%	9%	5%
economic recovery	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+501	+80	+76	+87	+81	+73	+81	+91
Agree: I'm worried about the	Agree	511	82%	76%	84%	87%	81%	82%	92%
future of downtown Seattle	Disagree	107	17%	23%	15%	13%	16%	17%	8%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	-
	Net Agree	+404	+65	+53	+69	+74	+64	+64	+84
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	451	72%	80%	67%	65%	77%	68%	72%
downtown Seattle during the	Disagree	169	27%	19%	33%	35%	21%	31%	27%
day	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	-	-	2%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+281	+45	+61	+35	+29	+56	+37	+45

	n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
					Gender/Generation			
Number of cases	625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent		100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night — Agree	196	31%	49%	28%	24%	30%	28%	16%
Disagree	422	68%	51%	72%	76%	66%	71%	84%
(DK/Ref)	7	1%	-	1%	-	4%	1%	-
Net Agree	-227	-36	-3	-44	-52	-36	-43	-69
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed — Agree	558	89%	87%	90%	97%	89%	88%	93%
Disagree	63	10%	13%	9%	3%	11%	11%	7%
(DK/Ref)	4	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	1%	-
Net Agree	+495	+79	+74	+81	+93	+78	+77	+87
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood — Agree	423	68%	81%	57%	50%	77%	69%	50%
Disagree	197	32%	18%	43%	47%	22%	31%	45%
(DK/Ref)	6	1%	0%	-	2%	1%	0%	4%
Net Agree	+226	+36	+63	+14	+3	+55	+38	+5
Agree: I support policies that make it easier to build new housing in transit and commercial areas — Agree	493	79%	86%	72%	69%	79%	82%	76%
Disagree	122	19%	13%	27%	29%	17%	17%	24%
(DK/Ref)	11	2%	1%	1%	2%	5%	1%	-
Net Agree	+371	+59	+73	+45	+39	+62	+65	+51
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle to spend my tax dollars responsibly — Agree	209	34%	45%	25%	25%	36%	31%	29%
Disagree	408	65%	55%	74%	73%	60%	68%	71%
(DK/Ref)	8	1%	-	1%	2%	4%	0%	1%
Net Agree	-199	-32	-10	-49	-49	-24	-37	-42
Agree: All things considered, growth and development has been a positive for my area — Agree	331	53%	66%	41%	49%	62%	50%	38%
Disagree	287	46%	32%	59%	49%	36%	49%	61%
(DK/Ref)	7	1%	2%	-	2%	2%	0%	1%
Net Agree	+44	+7	+34	-19	+0	+26	+1	-23

		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation			
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	456	73%	79%	65%	69%	79%	70%	74%
	Disagree	164	26%	17%	35%	30%	21%	30%	26%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	4%	-	1%	-	-	-
	Net Agree	+292	+47	+62	+31	+39	+57	+39	+48
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	185	30%	34%	25%	31%	22%	33%	42%
	Disagree	423	68%	64%	75%	66%	71%	67%	57%
	(DK/Ref)	17	3%	2%	1%	3%	8%	-	1%
	Net Agree	-239	-38	-30	-50	-34	-49	-34	-14
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Agree	420	67%	60%	71%	85%	54%	71%	87%
	Disagree	190	30%	35%	25%	15%	43%	29%	12%
	(DK/Ref)	15	2%	5%	4%	-	3%	-	1%
	Net Agree	+230	+37	+24	+46	+70	+11	+41	+75
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	104	17%	24%	8%	17%	21%	12%	15%
	Disagree	511	82%	74%	90%	83%	75%	87%	84%
	(DK/Ref)	10	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	-407	-65	-50	-82	-67	-54	-76	-70
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	42	7%	11%	3%	3%	7%	5%	4%
	2	20	3%	3%	4%	2%	5%	2%	3%
	3	30	5%	8%	2%	-	6%	6%	2%
	4	45	7%	7%	7%	3%	8%	9%	8%
	5	63	10%	14%	8%	3%	13%	7%	12%
	6	59	9%	11%	9%	11%	9%	9%	8%
	7 – Very significant impact	366	59%	45%	67%	77%	53%	61%	63%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.73	5.23	6.06	6.45	5.53	5.83	5.96

		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation			
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Impact: Helping local businesses	1 – Very little impact	13	2%	3%	2%	-	4%	1%	-
deal with public safety concerns	2	12	2%	4%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%
	3	30	5%	8%	3%	5%	5%	5%	1%
	4	53	9%	10%	7%	5%	8%	9%	7%
	5	114	18%	19%	13%	20%	23%	17%	16%
	6	94	15%	11%	15%	10%	15%	22%	19%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	49%	43%	58%	59%	43%	46%	56%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	2%	-	1%	-	-	-
	Mean	622	5.81	5.47	6.02	6.14	5.64	5.90	6.18
Impact: Addressing property	1 – Very little impact	16	3%	2%	2%	-	5%	3%	1%
crime like theft and car break-ins	2	16	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	-
	3	39	6%	11%	4%	2%	9%	5%	-
	4	60	10%	11%	8%	5%	13%	6%	14%
	5	88	14%	18%	10%	10%	15%	17%	11%
	6	95	15%	17%	18%	12%	18%	13%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	310	50%	38%	56%	69%	39%	53%	62%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	-	2%
	Mean	624	5.75	5.43	6.00	6.38	5.41	5.80	6.17
Impact: Reducing carbon	1 – Very little impact	101	16%	12%	30%	28%	10%	11%	10%
emissions and climate pollution	2	43	7%	7%	5%	8%	9%	7%	4%
	3	72	11%	12%	13%	8%	13%	14%	5%
	4	74	12%	7%	15%	1%	10%	15%	23%
	5	125	20%	22%	14%	26%	22%	18%	21%
	6	65	10%	12%	6%	13%	8%	11%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	145	23%	28%	15%	17%	27%	24%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	624	4.36	4.69	3.58	3.96	4.59	4.51	4.77

		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation			
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	6	1%	-	1%	3%	2%	-	-
	2	8	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	0%	-
	3	25	4%	5%	4%	2%	6%	3%	3%
	4	45	7%	8%	9%	3%	8%	6%	10%
	5	123	20%	20%	29%	19%	15%	17%	13%
	6	129	21%	23%	15%	25%	24%	21%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	288	46%	44%	39%	46%	43%	51%	58%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	624	5.90	5.91	5.64	5.92	5.78	6.12	6.18
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	90	14%	10%	23%	26%	11%	11%	11%
	2	26	4%	6%	4%	4%	5%	3%	4%
	3	40	6%	5%	6%	6%	9%	7%	3%
	4	72	11%	12%	13%	11%	8%	11%	18%
	5	98	16%	17%	12%	18%	17%	14%	16%
	6	87	14%	14%	13%	12%	15%	14%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	209	33%	35%	28%	22%	36%	39%	32%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	-	3%	-	-	2%
	Mean	622	4.86	5.03	4.42	4.14	5.04	5.13	5.00
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	22	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%	1%
	2	21	3%	6%	4%	2%	3%	2%	2%
	3	39	6%	12%	4%	4%	6%	5%	-
	4	59	9%	6%	11%	4%	14%	8%	12%
	5	99	16%	19%	10%	13%	16%	20%	14%
	6	108	17%	18%	21%	16%	18%	13%	18%
	7 – Very significant impact	276	44%	35%	48%	59%	37%	48%	54%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	623	5.60	5.29	5.80	6.05	5.41	5.67	6.02

	n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation		
Number of cases	625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent		100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence 1 – Very little impact	21	3%	5%	2%	5%	2%	5%	2%
2	14	2%	1%	1%	3%	4%	3%	-
3	34	5%	5%	5%	-	11%	4%	2%
4	38	6%	8%	5%	5%	6%	6%	7%
5	68	11%	12%	16%	6%	10%	10%	7%
6	85	14%	20%	16%	10%	14%	8%	11%
7 – Very significant impact	362	58%	49%	55%	69%	53%	64%	72%
(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-
Mean	623	5.92	5.78	5.99	6.14	5.72	5.93	6.36
Impact: Investing in more public transit 1 – Very little impact	62	10%	8%	12%	17%	7%	11%	7%
2	35	6%	6%	5%	12%	5%	5%	1%
3	47	8%	7%	10%	9%	5%	9%	7%
4	86	14%	11%	15%	11%	15%	11%	22%
5	106	17%	13%	15%	16%	18%	20%	17%
6	110	18%	18%	14%	18%	23%	15%	17%
7 – Very significant impact	179	29%	37%	28%	16%	26%	30%	30%
(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	2%	-	-	-
Mean	624	4.90	5.19	4.70	4.16	5.06	4.90	5.11
Impact: Building more affordable housing 1 – Very little impact	53	8%	7%	12%	16%	6%	8%	2%
2	29	5%	8%	8%	3%	4%	1%	-
3	39	6%	4%	5%	14%	4%	7%	9%
4	62	10%	7%	15%	7%	10%	7%	19%
5	108	17%	14%	15%	22%	20%	20%	10%
6	82	13%	12%	16%	7%	13%	10%	26%
7 – Very significant impact	251	40%	48%	28%	32%	42%	46%	34%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean	625	5.23	5.42	4.73	4.63	5.41	5.43	5.47

		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation			
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Impact: Addressing organized	1 – Very little impact	47	8%	9%	4%	2%	13%	6%	3%
retail theft	2	30	5%	8%	6%	2%	4%	4%	1%
	3	45	7%	11%	2%	3%	11%	5%	4%
	4	65	10%	11%	10%	1%	10%	15%	11%
	5	100	16%	22%	14%	9%	16%	17%	12%
	6	73	12%	6%	12%	20%	11%	11%	22%
	7 – Very significant impact	263	42%	32%	52%	62%	35%	40%	46%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%
	Mean	623	5.27	4.77	5.67	6.24	4.83	5.29	5.78
Impact: Hiring more police	1 – Very little impact	87	14%	19%	14%	8%	17%	11%	6%
officers	2	37	6%	8%	8%	1%	4%	7%	1%
	3	49	8%	9%	5%	5%	14%	7%	1%
	4	62	10%	10%	7%	6%	12%	12%	12%
	5	88	14%	15%	11%	7%	15%	15%	24%
	6	76	12%	8%	12%	15%	14%	11%	19%
	7 – Very significant impact	223	36%	32%	42%	56%	24%	36%	37%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	2%	-	1%	-
	Mean	623	4.84	4.46	4.98	5.79	4.42	4.94	5.50
Impact: Closing encampments in	1-3 Little Impact	92	15%	22%	9%	5%	18%	14%	9%
parks, on sidewalks, and on	4/(DK)	45	7%	7%	7%	3%	8%	9%	8%
other public right of ways	5-7 Significant Impact	488	78%	70%	84%	92%	75%	78%	83%
Impact: Helping local businesses	1-3 Little Impact	55	9%	15%	7%	5%	10%	6%	2%
deal with public safety concerns	4/(DK)	56	9%	12%	7%	6%	8%	9%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	514	82%	73%	86%	89%	82%	85%	91%
Impact: Addressing property	1-3 Little Impact	71	11%	16%	8%	3%	16%	11%	1%
crime like theft and car break-ins	4/(DK)	61	10%	11%	8%	5%	13%	6%	16%
	5-7 Significant Impact	494	79%	73%	84%	92%	72%	83%	83%

		n	%	Gender/Generation					
				M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	216	35%	31%	49%	43%	32%	32%	18%
	4/(DK)	75	12%	7%	16%	1%	10%	16%	23%
	5-7 Significant Impact	334	53%	62%	36%	56%	58%	53%	58%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	39	6%	5%	8%	7%	10%	3%	3%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	8%	9%	3%	8%	7%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	540	86%	87%	83%	90%	82%	90%	88%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	157	25%	21%	33%	36%	24%	21%	18%
	4/(DK)	74	12%	12%	13%	13%	8%	11%	20%
	5-7 Significant Impact	394	63%	66%	54%	51%	68%	68%	63%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	81	13%	21%	10%	9%	13%	12%	3%
	4/(DK)	61	10%	6%	11%	4%	16%	8%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	483	77%	73%	79%	87%	71%	81%	85%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	70	11%	11%	8%	9%	17%	12%	4%
	4/(DK)	40	6%	8%	5%	6%	6%	6%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	515	82%	81%	87%	86%	77%	82%	89%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	143	23%	20%	28%	38%	17%	24%	14%
	4/(DK)	87	14%	11%	15%	13%	15%	11%	22%
	5-7 Significant Impact	395	63%	68%	57%	50%	67%	65%	64%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	122	19%	19%	26%	33%	15%	17%	12%
	4/(DK)	62	10%	7%	15%	7%	10%	7%	19%
	5-7 Significant Impact	441	71%	74%	59%	60%	75%	76%	69%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1-3 Little Impact	122	20%	29%	12%	7%	28%	16%	9%
	4/(DK)	67	11%	11%	10%	2%	10%	16%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	436	70%	61%	78%	91%	62%	68%	79%

		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation			
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Impact: Hiring more police	1-3 Little Impact	173	28%	36%	27%	14%	35%	24%	8%
officers	4/(DK)	64	10%	10%	7%	8%	12%	13%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	387	62%	54%	65%	78%	53%	63%	79%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	199	32%	26%	43%	43%	24%	27%	39%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	160	26%	20%	27%	23%	27%	31%	24%
	Too low	55	9%	13%	8%	8%	7%	11%	2%
	About right	200	32%	40%	22%	21%	40%	29%	35%
	(Don't know)	12	2%	1%	1%	6%	3%	2%	1%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	358	57%	45%	70%	65%	51%	58%	62%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	212	34%	41%	22%	27%	42%	31%	36%
	Too low	55	9%	13%	8%	8%	7%	11%	2%
	Net Too high	+304	+49	+32	+62	+57	+44	+47	+60
Safety in your neighborhood	Much less safe	209	33%	21%	41%	47%	31%	38%	30%
compared to 2 years ago	Somewhat less safe	164	26%	23%	25%	23%	23%	31%	41%
	More safe	55	9%	21%	6%	5%	6%	5%	4%
	(About the same)	189	30%	32%	28%	25%	36%	27%	26%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	3%	1%	-	3%	-	-
Safety in your neighborhood	Less safe	373	60%	44%	66%	70%	54%	68%	70%
compared to 2 years ago	(About the same/DK)	197	32%	35%	28%	25%	40%	27%	26%
	More safe	55	9%	21%	6%	5%	6%	5%	4%
	Net Less safe	+318	+51	+24	+60	+66	+48	+63	+67
Support: Continue to close	Strongly support	366	59%	47%	67%	72%	57%	59%	61%
homeless encampments once	Somewhat support	144	23%	26%	20%	22%	20%	25%	29%
people have been offered	Somewhat oppose	62	10%	13%	6%	3%	14%	11%	7%
shelter and services, even if it	Strongly oppose	50	8%	13%	7%	3%	8%	6%	2%
means those who refuse help	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	1%
will be displaced									

			Gender/Generation					
	n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
Number of cases	625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent		100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Support: Create more affordable housing, update Seattle's zoning laws and expedite permitting to allow for more density across the city, even if it means there will be more duplexes and — Strongly support	251	40%	50%	29%	30%	44%	47%	24%
Somewhat support	170	27%	28%	25%	23%	27%	24%	42%
Somewhat oppose	89	14%	5%	21%	12%	16%	15%	21%
Strongly oppose	110	18%	15%	25%	33%	13%	14%	13%
(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	-	-
Support: Continue to close homeless encampments once people have been offered shelter and services, even if it means those who refuse help — Support	510	82%	73%	87%	94%	77%	83%	90%
Oppose	112	18%	26%	13%	6%	22%	17%	9%
(DK/Ref)	3	0%	0%	-	-	1%	-	1%
Net Support	+398	+64	+47	+74	+88	+54	+67	+81
Support: Create more affordable housing, update Seattle's zoning laws and expedite permitting to allow for more density across the city, even if it means there — Support	421	67%	78%	55%	53%	70%	71%	66%
Oppose	199	32%	20%	45%	46%	29%	29%	34%
(DK/Ref)	5	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	-	-
Net Support	+221	+35	+58	+9	+7	+42	+41	+32
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions — Very concerned	174	28%	20%	32%	33%	21%	34%	29%
Somewhat concerned	226	36%	33%	40%	23%	38%	37%	48%
Not too concerned	164	26%	35%	19%	32%	28%	23%	20%
Not at all concerned	61	10%	13%	9%	12%	12%	7%	3%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions — Concerned	400	64%	53%	72%	56%	60%	71%	77%
Not concerned	225	36%	47%	28%	44%	40%	29%	23%
(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Concerned	+174	+28	+6	+43	+12	+19	+41	+54

		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation			
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1 – Very pessimistic	136	22%	12%	30%	31%	16%	26%	14%
	2	181	29%	25%	26%	26%	33%	27%	46%
	3	221	35%	42%	35%	23%	37%	35%	29%
	4	60	10%	13%	5%	16%	9%	8%	10%
	5 – Very optimistic	20	3%	6%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-
	Mean	618	2.43	2.75	2.25	2.32	2.45	2.35	2.39
Downtown Seattle economy sentiment	1-2 Pessimistic	318	51%	37%	56%	57%	50%	52%	60%
	3/(DK)	228	36%	44%	35%	24%	39%	37%	29%
	4-5 Optimistic	80	13%	19%	8%	18%	11%	11%	11%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Once a week or more	187	30%	30%	32%	33%	29%	28%	31%
	A few times a month	241	39%	43%	36%	39%	41%	39%	24%
	A few times a year	116	19%	14%	20%	18%	17%	25%	24%
	Rarely	51	8%	6%	12%	5%	6%	6%	21%
	Never	19	3%	4%	1%	5%	4%	1%	-
	(Refused)	10	2%	4%	-	-	2%	2%	-
Pre-pandemic downtown visit frequency (non-work)	Weekly	187	30%	30%	32%	33%	29%	28%	31%
	Few times a month	241	39%	43%	36%	39%	41%	39%	24%
	Less often	197	31%	27%	32%	28%	29%	33%	45%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic	Much more often	15	2%	5%	1%	-	3%	2%	2%
	Somewhat more often	22	4%	3%	4%	5%	5%	2%	1%
	About the same	152	24%	35%	21%	25%	21%	17%	29%
	Somewhat less often	106	17%	15%	18%	12%	20%	21%	12%
	Much less often	318	51%	37%	57%	57%	47%	57%	55%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	4%	-	1%	3%	1%	-

	n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
					Gender/Generation			
Number of cases	625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent		100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Current downtown visit frequency compared to pre-pandemic								
More often	37	6%	8%	5%	5%	8%	4%	3%
Same/(DK/Ref)	164	26%	39%	21%	26%	24%	18%	29%
Less often	424	68%	52%	74%	69%	67%	78%	68%
Net More often	-387	-62	-44	-69	-64	-59	-73	-65
Duration of residency								
<2 years	19	3%	9%	-	-	4%	1%	2%
2-5 years	81	13%	15%	9%	11%	20%	7%	7%
6-10 years	89	14%	16%	10%	10%	23%	11%	7%
11-20 years	92	15%	17%	17%	16%	13%	16%	4%
>20 years	341	55%	43%	63%	63%	40%	63%	78%
Duration of residency								
1-10 years	190	30%	40%	20%	21%	47%	20%	16%
11-20 years	92	15%	17%	17%	16%	13%	16%	4%
>20 years	343	55%	43%	63%	63%	40%	64%	81%
Party								
Strong Democrat	231	37%	28%	30%	33%	43%	48%	48%
Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	14%	14%	10%	15%	19%	10%
Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	13%	8%	11%	8%	10%	15%
Independent	69	11%	16%	14%	15%	6%	7%	12%
Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	4%	10%	6%	5%	3%	3%
Not very strong Republican	13	2%	1%	4%	4%	1%	1%	2%
Strong Republican	19	3%	4%	3%	8%	2%	1%	-
Socialist	44	7%	13%	5%	4%	10%	2%	2%
(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	7%	13%	9%	10%	10%	8%
Party								
Socialist	44	7%	13%	5%	4%	10%	2%	2%
Democrat	388	62%	55%	52%	54%	67%	77%	73%
Independent	131	21%	23%	27%	24%	15%	16%	20%
Republican	63	10%	9%	17%	18%	8%	6%	5%

	n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
								Gender/Generation
Number of cases	625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent		100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Ideology — 1 – Very liberal	113	18%	20%	15%	15%	20%	17%	16%
2	102	16%	18%	12%	12%	18%	20%	10%
3	165	26%	33%	23%	19%	30%	24%	29%
4	118	19%	15%	25%	26%	12%	19%	28%
5	54	9%	3%	11%	16%	9%	8%	11%
6	22	4%	6%	5%	3%	1%	4%	1%
7 – Very conservative	17	3%	2%	3%	6%	4%	2%	-
(Don't know/Refused)	34	6%	3%	7%	3%	5%	7%	4%
Mean	591	3.06	2.90	3.33	3.50	2.89	3.03	3.12
Ideology — Liberal	379	61%	71%	49%	46%	68%	60%	55%
Moderate	153	24%	18%	33%	29%	18%	25%	32%
Conservative	93	15%	11%	18%	25%	14%	15%	13%
Homeowner — Homeowner	313	50%	46%	49%	51%	55%	53%	47%
Renter	313	50%	54%	51%	49%	45%	47%	53%
Ethnicity — White or Caucasian	416	67%	69%	58%	61%	71%	69%	75%
African American or Black	25	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	7%	4%	6%	7%	5%	5%
Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	6%	10%	9%	9%	8%	5%
Something else	44	7%	7%	10%	14%	4%	6%	-
(Refused)	53	8%	7%	14%	5%	5%	7%	8%
Ethnicity — White or Caucasian	416	67%	69%	58%	61%	71%	69%	75%
African American or Black	25	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	4%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	7%	4%	6%	7%	5%	5%
Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	6%	10%	9%	9%	8%	5%
Another ethnicity/(Ref)	96	15%	14%	24%	19%	10%	13%	8%

	n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
						Gender/Generation		
Number of cases	625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent		100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Ethnicity White	416	67%	69%	58%	61%	71%	69%	75%
POC	156	25%	24%	28%	33%	23%	24%	17%
(Ref)	53	8%	7%	14%	5%	5%	7%	8%
Age 18-29	118	19%	45%	-	-	42%	-	-
30-39	157	25%	55%	-	-	58%	-	-
40-49	110	18%	-	51%	-	-	44%	-
50-64	125	20%	-	49%	-	-	56%	-
65+	115	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%
Two-Age Split 18-39	275	44%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-
40+	350	56%	-	100%	100%	-	100%	100%
Generation 18-39	275	44%	100%	-	-	100%	-	-
40-64	235	38%	-	100%	-	-	100%	-
65+	115	18%	-	-	100%	-	-	100%
Education Some grade school	2	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	-
Some high school	3	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-
Graduated high school	21	3%	5%	3%	6%	3%	2%	2%
Technical/vocational school	12	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	2%	1%
Some college/<4-year degree	91	14%	13%	16%	26%	11%	12%	18%
Graduated college/4-year degree	264	42%	45%	41%	26%	45%	47%	35%
Graduate/professional degree	222	36%	34%	32%	38%	38%	35%	43%
(Refused)	10	2%	1%	4%	-	0%	2%	1%
Education <4-year degree	139	22%	21%	26%	36%	16%	19%	22%
4-year degree+	486	78%	79%	74%	64%	84%	81%	78%
Education Less than college	139	22%	21%	26%	36%	16%	19%	22%
Graduated college	264	42%	45%	41%	26%	45%	47%	35%
Graduate/professional degree	222	36%	34%	32%	38%	38%	35%	43%

	n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
					Gender/Generation			
Number of cases	625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent		100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Gender Male	303	48%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	-
Female	309	49%	-	-	-	100%	100%	100%
Non-binary	9	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-
(Refused)	4	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Region (2023) South	178	29%	26%	32%	20%	24%	30%	41%
Central	178	29%	31%	28%	32%	32%	26%	18%
North	269	43%	43%	40%	48%	44%	44%	42%
City Council District (2023) 1	97	16%	17%	18%	12%	15%	11%	19%
2	81	13%	10%	14%	9%	9%	19%	21%
3	97	16%	20%	7%	13%	18%	18%	12%
4	78	13%	11%	12%	14%	9%	21%	8%
5	91	15%	16%	9%	20%	15%	15%	17%
6	100	16%	16%	20%	13%	21%	8%	17%
7	81	13%	11%	21%	19%	14%	7%	6%
Region (pre-2023) South	172	28%	24%	32%	19%	24%	30%	39%
Central	186	30%	35%	28%	33%	32%	24%	22%
North	267	43%	41%	39%	48%	44%	46%	39%
City Council District (pre-2023) 1	94	15%	17%	17%	12%	15%	11%	17%
2	78	13%	7%	15%	7%	9%	19%	21%
3	98	16%	22%	6%	14%	17%	17%	13%
4	77	12%	11%	12%	13%	8%	21%	8%
5	83	13%	15%	8%	17%	13%	15%	17%
6	106	17%	16%	19%	18%	22%	10%	15%
7	88	14%	13%	22%	19%	15%	7%	9%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18) 0-3/6	325	52%	66%	53%	37%	60%	43%	32%
4-5/6	163	26%	20%	22%	22%	29%	34%	28%
6/6	138	22%	14%	25%	41%	11%	23%	40%

		n	%	M 18-39	M 40-64	M 65+	F 18-39	F 40-64	F 65+
							Gender/Generation		
Number of cases		625		130	112	61	136	120	52
Row percent			100%	21%	18%	10%	22%	19%	8%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	130	21%	100%	-	-	-	-	-
	M 40-64	112	18%	-	100%	-	-	-	-
	M 65+	61	10%	-	-	100%	-	-	-
	F 18-39	136	22%	-	-	-	100%	-	-
	F 40-64	120	19%	-	-	-	-	100%	-
	F 65+	52	8%	-	-	-	-	-	100%
	Other	13	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-
Party/Gender	D Male	162	26%	55%	52%	54%	-	-	-
	D Female	221	35%	-	-	-	67%	77%	73%
	R/I Male	116	19%	32%	44%	42%	-	-	-
	R/I Female	71	11%	-	-	-	23%	22%	25%
	Other	55	9%	13%	5%	4%	10%	2%	2%

			Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
	n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases	625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent		100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
QOL Index Mean	625	4.20	4.83	4.47	3.10	3.34	4.67	4.01	4.38	4.20
Seattle Right direction/Wrong Right direction	195	31%	38%	39%	16%	15%	32%	26%	34%	33%
track Wrong track	390	62%	56%	53%	80%	79%	61%	67%	60%	61%
(Don't know/Refused)	40	6%	6%	8%	4%	5%	7%	7%	6%	6%
Net Right direction	-195	-31	-18	-13	-65	-64	-28	-41	-26	-28
Best thing about living in Seattle Environment/Nature/Outdoors/Natural beauty	262	42%	45%	45%	36%	46%	28%	36%	43%	45%
Community/Culture/Neighborhoods/Small city	105	17%	18%	17%	10%	24%	17%	17%	14%	19%
Weather/Summer/Climate	65	10%	11%	10%	10%	10%	12%	9%	10%	12%
Amenities/Food/Entertainment/Music/Sports	64	10%	8%	12%	7%	14%	12%	9%	8%	13%
Location/Geography/Puget Sound	54	9%	7%	9%	10%	7%	11%	9%	8%	9%
Diversity/Tolerance/Politics/Progressivism	51	8%	11%	7%	5%	4%	17%	11%	8%	6%
Jobs/Economy/Property values/No income tax	40	6%	4%	6%	12%	2%	6%	9%	11%	2%
Walkability/Transit	36	6%	8%	5%	2%	4%	12%	5%	10%	3%
Family/Friends/Hometown	29	5%	3%	6%	6%	4%	2%	6%	3%	5%
Planning to leave/Has complaints about Seattle	20	3%	0%	2%	10%	6%	2%	3%	2%	4%
Other	15	2%	4%	1%	4%	0%	3%	1%	5%	1%
Nothing/Don't know	20	3%	0%	4%	4%	4%	5%	6%	1%	3%

	n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
					Party/Gender			**Region (2023)**		
Number of cases	625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent		100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Top issues facing Seattle — Homelessness	350	56%	56%	65%	46%	51%	45%	55%	54%	58%
Crime/Drugs/Public safety	238	38%	39%	34%	48%	51%	12%	39%	37%	39%
Cost of living/Affordable housing	145	23%	23%	23%	15%	17%	53%	18%	26%	25%
Government/Politicians/Public leaders	46	7%	3%	4%	17%	13%	6%	11%	4%	7%
Racial issues/Policing/Police brutality	39	6%	6%	3%	10%	7%	13%	7%	5%	7%
Taxes	24	4%	4%	2%	5%	7%	1%	6%	2%	4%
Streets/Roads/Infrastructure	22	3%	3%	5%	2%	1%	2%	4%	4%	2%
Public transportation	19	3%	6%	2%	3%	0%	1%	3%	4%	2%
Healthcare cost/Access	17	3%	2%	2%	3%	5%	5%	3%	2%	3%
Cleanliness of city/Garbage	17	3%	2%	4%	2%	5%	0%	2%	3%	3%
Traffic/Congestion	15	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%
Jobs/Economy	13	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%
Growth/Development/Population	11	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	0%	3%	2%	1%
Climate change/Environment	5	1%	2%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	1%	1%
Other	23	4%	4%	5%	3%	0%	6%	3%	3%	5%
Nothing/Don't know	4	1%	1%	0%	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago — Much better	11	2%	4%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Somewhat better	44	7%	11%	6%	5%	3%	7%	3%	10%	8%
The same	118	19%	22%	18%	15%	12%	31%	22%	17%	18%
Somewhat worse	206	33%	37%	37%	18%	32%	35%	31%	32%	35%
Much worse	220	35%	23%	31%	60%	48%	20%	40%	33%	34%
(Don't know/Refused)	26	4%	4%	5%	2%	4%	5%	2%	7%	4%
Quality of life in Seattle compared to 4 years ago — Better	55	9%	15%	8%	5%	4%	9%	5%	11%	10%
Same/(DK/Ref)	144	23%	26%	23%	17%	16%	36%	24%	24%	22%
Worse	426	68%	60%	69%	78%	80%	55%	71%	65%	68%
Net Better	-370	-59	-45	-60	-73	-76	-46	-66	-54	-59
Considered moving out of Seattle — Yes	345	55%	41%	55%	73%	67%	43%	57%	52%	56%
No/(Don't Know/Refused)	280	45%	59%	45%	27%	33%	57%	43%	48%	44%

		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
					Party/Gender				**Region (2023)**		
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Main reason for considering moving out of Seattle	Crime/Drugs/Public safety	107	31%	36%	27%	38%	35%	9%	33%	40%	24%
	Expensive (Cost of living/housing)	82	24%	26%	25%	14%	20%	56%	18%	23%	28%
	Government/Leadership/Politics	37	11%	4%	7%	22%	15%	4%	13%	10%	10%
	Homelessness	24	7%	7%	8%	7%	6%	-	4%	5%	10%
	Taxes	13	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	5%	1%	5%
	Lack of diversity/Unwelcomed	13	4%	3%	4%	3%	5%	4%	8%	1%	2%
	Closer to family	13	4%	7%	6%	-	2%	3%	2%	5%	5%
	Growth/Development/Space	10	3%	5%	3%	1%	5%	-	5%	3%	2%
	Work/Job opportunities/Business leaving	10	3%	-	5%	2%	-	7%	1%	7%	2%
	Weather	6	2%	4%	2%	-	3%	-	5%	1%	-
	Traffic/Congestion	4	1%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	2%
	Other	20	6%	3%	6%	7%	6%	9%	4%	1%	10%
	Nothing/Don't know	5	2%	-	2%	3%	-	3%	2%	1%	2%
Still actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes	285	83%	82%	77%	90%	86%	80%	80%	82%	85%
	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	60	17%	18%	23%	10%	14%	20%	20%	18%	15%
Considered moving/actively considering moving out of Seattle	Yes, still actively considering	285	46%	34%	42%	66%	57%	35%	46%	43%	47%
	Yes, no longer actively considering	60	10%	7%	13%	7%	9%	8%	11%	10%	8%
	No/(Don't Know/Refused)	280	45%	59%	45%	27%	33%	57%	43%	48%	44%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region	Strongly agree	133	21%	29%	23%	13%	8%	28%	18%	23%	22%
	Somewhat agree	218	35%	37%	39%	25%	29%	39%	34%	38%	33%
	Somewhat disagree	146	23%	22%	23%	19%	38%	21%	28%	23%	21%
	Strongly disagree	119	19%	12%	14%	41%	23%	12%	18%	15%	23%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	0%	1%
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery	Strongly agree	397	64%	74%	63%	63%	60%	39%	64%	65%	63%
	Somewhat agree	162	26%	20%	27%	24%	31%	40%	26%	27%	25%
	Somewhat disagree	42	7%	4%	7%	8%	4%	16%	5%	6%	8%
	Strongly disagree	17	3%	2%	2%	4%	4%	5%	4%	2%	3%
	(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%

	n	%	Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
			D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases	625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent		100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle — Strongly agree	331	53%	48%	53%	66%	64%	26%	56%	52%	51%
Somewhat agree	181	29%	34%	29%	21%	27%	30%	27%	27%	31%
Somewhat disagree	72	12%	11%	10%	9%	6%	33%	10%	12%	12%
Strongly disagree	35	6%	6%	6%	4%	2%	11%	5%	7%	5%
(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day — Strongly agree	212	34%	43%	35%	21%	18%	53%	29%	40%	33%
Somewhat agree	238	38%	39%	41%	33%	39%	34%	38%	36%	39%
Somewhat disagree	89	14%	10%	13%	22%	22%	6%	17%	11%	15%
Strongly disagree	81	13%	8%	9%	24%	21%	6%	16%	13%	11%
(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	0%	0%	1%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle at night — Strongly agree	66	11%	12%	8%	7%	9%	24%	9%	12%	11%
Somewhat agree	129	21%	28%	21%	16%	10%	21%	16%	23%	22%
Somewhat disagree	155	25%	28%	26%	20%	21%	26%	29%	24%	22%
Strongly disagree	267	43%	32%	41%	56%	61%	28%	45%	39%	44%
(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	0%	3%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	1%
Agree: Downtown Seattle cannot fully recover until the homelessness and public safety problems are addressed — Strongly agree	432	69%	69%	71%	78%	77%	36%	73%	70%	66%
Somewhat agree	126	20%	19%	20%	18%	14%	37%	19%	20%	21%
Somewhat disagree	48	8%	7%	7%	3%	7%	22%	4%	7%	11%
Strongly disagree	15	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%
(Don't know/Refused)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%
Agree: I support the building of new housing in my neighborhood — Strongly agree	226	36%	45%	37%	18%	23%	62%	30%	43%	35%
Somewhat agree	196	31%	28%	35%	34%	31%	22%	34%	28%	32%
Somewhat disagree	106	17%	19%	15%	20%	21%	9%	17%	17%	17%
Strongly disagree	91	14%	8%	11%	27%	23%	8%	18%	10%	16%
(Don't know/Refused)	6	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%

		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
				Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: I support policies that	Strongly agree	273	44%	53%	45%	26%	32%	62%	38%	46%	46%
make it easier to build new	Somewhat agree	220	35%	30%	38%	39%	30%	36%	36%	34%	36%
housing in transit and	Somewhat disagree	79	13%	12%	11%	17%	21%	-	20%	12%	8%
commercial areas	Strongly disagree	42	7%	3%	3%	17%	15%	2%	6%	5%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	11	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	3%	2%
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle	Strongly agree	29	5%	9%	4%	1%	2%	6%	4%	5%	5%
to spend my tax dollars	Somewhat agree	181	29%	34%	33%	15%	14%	45%	26%	32%	29%
responsibly	Somewhat disagree	161	26%	26%	33%	13%	24%	25%	20%	26%	29%
	Strongly disagree	247	40%	32%	28%	71%	57%	22%	49%	35%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	-	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Agree: All things considered,	Strongly agree	89	14%	20%	18%	6%	5%	12%	12%	15%	16%
growth and development has	Somewhat agree	241	39%	41%	38%	35%	37%	45%	38%	44%	35%
been a positive for my area	Somewhat disagree	169	27%	26%	29%	23%	30%	27%	33%	18%	29%
	Strongly disagree	118	19%	13%	13%	35%	28%	14%	17%	21%	19%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	3%	1%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a	Strongly agree	243	39%	52%	40%	26%	24%	40%	38%	41%	38%
Seattleite	Somewhat agree	213	34%	29%	40%	26%	32%	44%	29%	35%	36%
	Somewhat disagree	95	15%	13%	14%	20%	18%	13%	19%	17%	11%
	Strongly disagree	68	11%	4%	6%	24%	25%	3%	12%	6%	13%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	-	3%	-	-	1%	1%	1%
Agree: I trust the Seattle City	Strongly agree	36	6%	9%	6%	4%	2%	6%	8%	7%	3%
Council to reform the Seattle	Somewhat agree	148	24%	30%	25%	12%	20%	30%	24%	23%	24%
Police Department without	Somewhat disagree	148	24%	20%	29%	16%	18%	36%	22%	25%	24%
endangering public safety	Strongly disagree	276	44%	40%	36%	65%	55%	26%	44%	43%	45%
	(Don't know/Refused)	17	3%	1%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%

	n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
					Party/Gender				Region (2023)	
Number of cases	625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent		100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City — Strongly agree	294	47%	43%	40%	71%	65%	12%	48%	43%	49%
Somewhat agree	126	20%	25%	25%	11%	19%	5%	24%	18%	19%
Somewhat disagree	75	12%	16%	14%	6%	5%	15%	11%	12%	13%
Strongly disagree	115	18%	12%	20%	8%	12%	65%	16%	23%	17%
(Don't know/Refused)	15	2%	4%	2%	4%	-	2%	2%	4%	2%
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety — Strongly agree	20	3%	5%	4%	2%	2%	2%	5%	3%	3%
Somewhat agree	84	13%	20%	14%	5%	9%	15%	11%	15%	14%
Somewhat disagree	203	32%	36%	37%	19%	20%	47%	33%	33%	32%
Strongly disagree	308	49%	39%	44%	72%	65%	34%	50%	46%	50%
(Don't know/Refused)	10	2%	0%	1%	2%	4%	2%	1%	3%	1%
Agree: I'm optimistic about the future of this region — Agree	352	56%	66%	62%	38%	37%	67%	52%	62%	56%
Disagree	266	43%	33%	37%	60%	61%	33%	46%	38%	43%
(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	0%	1%
Net Agree	+86	+14	+32	+26	-22	-24	+34	+6	+24	+12
Agree: A thriving downtown Seattle is critical to our region's economic recovery — Agree	560	90%	94%	90%	87%	91%	79%	90%	92%	88%
Disagree	59	9%	6%	8%	12%	8%	21%	9%	7%	11%
(DK/Ref)	6	1%	0%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%
Net Agree	+501	+80	+88	+81	+75	+83	+57	+80	+85	+77
Agree: I'm worried about the future of downtown Seattle — Agree	511	82%	82%	82%	87%	91%	55%	84%	79%	82%
Disagree	107	17%	17%	16%	13%	7%	43%	16%	19%	17%
(DK/Ref)	7	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%
Net Agree	+404	+65	+65	+66	+74	+84	+12	+68	+60	+65
Agree: I would feel safe visiting downtown Seattle during the day — Agree	451	72%	81%	76%	54%	57%	87%	67%	76%	73%
Disagree	169	27%	18%	22%	46%	43%	12%	32%	24%	26%
(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	0%	0%	1%
Net Agree	+281	+45	+63	+54	+7	+14	+75	+35	+53	+47

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: I would feel safe visiting	Agree	196	31%	40%	30%	24%	18%	45%	25%	36%	33%
downtown Seattle at night	Disagree	422	68%	60%	67%	76%	82%	53%	74%	63%	66%
	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	0%	3%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	-227	-36	-20	-38	-53	-63	-8	-49	-27	-33
Agree: Downtown Seattle	Agree	558	89%	88%	90%	96%	90%	74%	92%	90%	87%
cannot fully recover until the	Disagree	63	10%	11%	9%	4%	9%	26%	7%	10%	12%
homelessness and public safety	(DK/Ref)	4	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%
problems are addressed	Net Agree	+495	+79	+77	+81	+92	+82	+48	+85	+81	+74
Agree: I support the building of	Agree	423	68%	73%	73%	52%	54%	84%	65%	72%	67%
new housing in my	Disagree	197	32%	27%	26%	47%	45%	16%	34%	27%	33%
neighborhood	(DK/Ref)	6	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+226	+36	+46	+46	+5	+9	+67	+30	+45	+34
Agree: I support policies that	Agree	493	79%	83%	84%	65%	62%	98%	73%	80%	82%
make it easier to build new	Disagree	122	19%	16%	14%	34%	36%	2%	26%	17%	17%
housing in transit and	(DK/Ref)	11	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	3%	2%
commercial areas	Net Agree	+371	+59	+67	+70	+32	+26	+96	+47	+63	+65
Agree: I trust the City of Seattle	Agree	209	34%	43%	38%	16%	16%	51%	30%	37%	34%
to spend my tax dollars	Disagree	408	65%	57%	61%	83%	82%	47%	69%	61%	66%
responsibly	(DK/Ref)	8	1%	-	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%
	Net Agree	-199	-32	-15	-23	-68	-66	+4	-39	-24	-32
Agree: All things considered,	Agree	331	53%	61%	56%	41%	42%	57%	50%	59%	51%
growth and development has	Disagree	287	46%	39%	43%	57%	58%	41%	50%	39%	48%
been a positive for my area	(DK/Ref)	7	1%	0%	1%	2%	1%	2%	0%	3%	1%
	Net Agree	+44	+7	+22	+13	-16	-16	+16	+0	+20	+3

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Agree: I'm proud to call myself a Seattleite	Agree	456	73%	82%	80%	53%	57%	84%	68%	77%	74%
	Disagree	164	26%	17%	20%	44%	43%	16%	32%	23%	25%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	-	3%	-	-	1%	1%	1%
	Net Agree	+292	+47	+64	+60	+9	+13	+67	+36	+54	+49
Agree: I trust the Seattle City Council to reform the Seattle Police Department without endangering public safety	Agree	185	30%	38%	31%	16%	23%	36%	32%	31%	27%
	Disagree	423	68%	60%	66%	81%	73%	62%	66%	68%	69%
	(DK/Ref)	17	3%	1%	4%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%
	Net Agree	-239	-38	-22	-35	-65	-51	-26	-34	-37	-42
Agree: Hiring more police officers should be an immediate priority for the City	Agree	420	67%	69%	65%	83%	84%	18%	72%	61%	68%
	Disagree	190	30%	28%	33%	13%	16%	80%	26%	35%	30%
	(DK/Ref)	15	2%	4%	2%	4%	-	2%	2%	4%	2%
	Net Agree	+230	+37	+41	+32	+69	+67	-63	+45	+27	+38
Agree: I trust that the city has an effective plan to address the critical issues facing our city like homelessness, affordability, and public safety	Agree	104	17%	24%	18%	7%	11%	17%	15%	17%	17%
	Disagree	511	82%	75%	81%	91%	85%	81%	83%	80%	82%
	(DK/Ref)	10	2%	0%	1%	2%	4%	2%	1%	3%	1%
	Net Agree	-407	-65	-51	-63	-84	-74	-65	-68	-62	-65
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1 – Very little impact	42	7%	3%	5%	4%	3%	32%	4%	9%	7%
	2	20	3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	6%	3%	5%	2%
	3	30	5%	5%	5%	1%	1%	17%	7%	3%	5%
	4	45	7%	7%	8%	3%	4%	16%	8%	9%	6%
	5	63	10%	14%	12%	6%	9%	2%	9%	10%	11%
	6	59	9%	12%	9%	9%	7%	6%	10%	8%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	366	59%	56%	57%	75%	72%	21%	60%	56%	60%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.73	5.84	5.74	6.32	6.23	3.50	5.86	5.55	5.78

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1 – Very little impact	13	2%	-	1%	3%	1%	10%	1%	3%	2%
	2	12	2%	2%	1%	0%	1%	8%	3%	2%	1%
	3	30	5%	3%	3%	8%	7%	8%	5%	3%	5%
	4	53	9%	9%	8%	7%	4%	19%	7%	10%	9%
	5	114	18%	18%	21%	14%	12%	27%	17%	19%	19%
	6	94	15%	18%	18%	5%	22%	6%	12%	21%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	306	49%	49%	47%	63%	53%	20%	55%	42%	49%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	-	1%
	Mean	622	5.81	5.96	5.88	5.96	6.05	4.48	5.93	5.68	5.83
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1 – Very little impact	16	3%	0%	3%	2%	1%	10%	3%	2%	3%
	2	16	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	11%	-	4%	3%
	3	39	6%	6%	6%	4%	3%	18%	6%	7%	6%
	4	60	10%	12%	10%	2%	9%	15%	14%	10%	6%
	5	88	14%	15%	16%	9%	10%	19%	12%	16%	14%
	6	95	15%	20%	15%	13%	16%	6%	13%	19%	14%
	7 – Very significant impact	310	50%	45%	48%	68%	58%	20%	53%	42%	53%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
	Mean	624	5.75	5.80	5.71	6.30	6.04	4.21	5.80	5.60	5.81
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1 – Very little impact	101	16%	7%	8%	47%	23%	5%	19%	11%	18%
	2	43	7%	5%	6%	10%	12%	3%	5%	9%	7%
	3	72	11%	14%	12%	10%	15%	2%	11%	13%	11%
	4	74	12%	10%	15%	6%	13%	13%	13%	12%	11%
	5	125	20%	24%	21%	14%	13%	25%	15%	24%	21%
	6	65	10%	14%	10%	4%	10%	12%	13%	10%	9%
	7 – Very significant impact	145	23%	25%	28%	9%	14%	40%	24%	22%	24%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	0%	0%	-	-	-	0%	-	0%
	Mean	624	4.36	4.82	4.80	2.79	3.68	5.49	4.35	4.46	4.31

		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
						Party/Gender				**Region (2023)**	
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1 – Very little impact	6	1%	-	1%	3%	-	-	2%	0%	0%
	2	8	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	2%	1%
	3	25	4%	4%	4%	4%	6%	-	3%	3%	6%
	4	45	7%	8%	6%	7%	12%	2%	4%	7%	9%
	5	123	20%	22%	16%	24%	16%	25%	18%	23%	19%
	6	129	21%	24%	23%	15%	14%	22%	21%	21%	20%
	7 – Very significant impact	288	46%	40%	48%	45%	53%	51%	51%	44%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	0%
	Mean	624	5.90	5.84	5.95	5.72	5.95	6.22	6.02	5.88	5.84
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1 – Very little impact	90	14%	5%	6%	39%	29%	5%	16%	14%	14%
	2	26	4%	2%	4%	9%	5%	-	4%	4%	5%
	3	40	6%	5%	5%	8%	12%	5%	7%	3%	8%
	4	72	11%	15%	11%	11%	15%	1%	12%	10%	12%
	5	98	16%	23%	18%	4%	12%	13%	14%	18%	16%
	6	87	14%	13%	17%	12%	5%	18%	15%	15%	13%
	7 – Very significant impact	209	33%	35%	39%	16%	22%	57%	32%	36%	33%
	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	1%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
	Mean	622	4.86	5.28	5.40	3.34	3.80	6.03	4.77	5.02	4.81
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1 – Very little impact	22	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	17%	3%	5%	2%
	2	21	3%	2%	3%	4%	-	11%	3%	5%	2%
	3	39	6%	7%	4%	6%	5%	14%	6%	6%	6%
	4	59	9%	4%	12%	10%	8%	15%	11%	7%	10%
	5	99	16%	18%	20%	9%	9%	14%	14%	16%	17%
	6	108	17%	24%	15%	13%	19%	14%	12%	22%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	276	44%	44%	43%	55%	56%	14%	50%	38%	44%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	1%	0%	-	-	0%	-	1%
	Mean	623	5.60	5.81	5.62	5.80	6.01	3.95	5.67	5.43	5.67

		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
						Party/Gender				Region (2023)	
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1 – Very little impact	21	3%	3%	3%	3%	2%	9%	4%	5%	2%
	2	14	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	6%	2%	2%	3%
	3	34	5%	3%	6%	5%	4%	14%	5%	6%	5%
	4	38	6%	6%	7%	5%	5%	9%	4%	8%	7%
	5	68	11%	12%	8%	10%	11%	19%	9%	13%	10%
	6	85	14%	18%	10%	16%	12%	11%	11%	13%	16%
	7 – Very significant impact	362	58%	58%	62%	59%	65%	33%	64%	53%	57%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
	Mean	623	5.92	6.07	5.93	6.06	6.16	4.86	6.05	5.72	5.97
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1 – Very little impact	62	10%	3%	7%	25%	15%	3%	15%	4%	10%
	2	35	6%	4%	3%	12%	9%	1%	4%	4%	8%
	3	47	8%	7%	6%	13%	10%	2%	8%	6%	8%
	4	86	14%	17%	15%	9%	16%	7%	18%	12%	12%
	5	106	17%	13%	22%	18%	10%	13%	15%	15%	20%
	6	110	18%	22%	18%	10%	20%	15%	15%	21%	17%
	7 – Very significant impact	179	29%	34%	29%	13%	21%	58%	25%	38%	25%
	(Don't know/Refused)	1	0%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	0%
	Mean	624	4.90	5.33	5.12	3.65	4.37	6.04	4.59	5.45	4.75
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1 – Very little impact	53	8%	4%	3%	22%	17%	3%	11%	5%	9%
	2	29	5%	5%	2%	12%	4%	-	6%	5%	4%
	3	39	6%	6%	5%	8%	13%	-	7%	5%	7%
	4	62	10%	8%	10%	16%	14%	1%	13%	7%	10%
	5	108	17%	17%	18%	16%	22%	10%	14%	21%	17%
	6	82	13%	16%	17%	8%	6%	9%	9%	14%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	251	40%	44%	45%	19%	23%	77%	39%	44%	38%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Mean	625	5.23	5.52	5.69	3.91	4.33	6.49	4.98	5.50	5.22

		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
						Party/Gender				**Region (2023)**	
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1 – Very little impact	47	8%	2%	8%	5%	5%	31%	7%	10%	7%
	2	30	5%	8%	4%	1%	1%	13%	6%	5%	4%
	3	45	7%	7%	8%	5%	5%	13%	4%	10%	7%
	4	65	10%	10%	11%	4%	15%	16%	15%	8%	9%
	5	100	16%	20%	18%	13%	10%	11%	13%	18%	16%
	6	73	12%	13%	12%	9%	17%	4%	13%	14%	10%
	7 – Very significant impact	263	42%	40%	39%	63%	47%	11%	41%	35%	47%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	0%	0%	-	-	1%	0%	0%	0%
	Mean	623	5.27	5.37	5.21	6.00	5.62	3.19	5.27	5.01	5.43
Impact: Hiring more police officers	1 – Very little impact	87	14%	10%	13%	11%	4%	50%	10%	17%	15%
	2	37	6%	7%	4%	5%	3%	15%	6%	8%	5%
	3	49	8%	11%	9%	1%	7%	11%	8%	8%	8%
	4	62	10%	11%	15%	5%	6%	3%	10%	10%	10%
	5	88	14%	12%	18%	13%	14%	7%	18%	13%	12%
	6	76	12%	12%	14%	10%	15%	4%	8%	11%	15%
	7 – Very significant impact	223	36%	38%	26%	54%	52%	10%	38%	33%	36%
	(Don't know/Refused)	2	0%	-	0%	1%	-	-	-	-	1%
	Mean	623	4.84	4.94	4.68	5.54	5.76	2.55	5.00	4.61	4.89
Impact: Closing encampments in parks, on sidewalks, and on other public right of ways	1-3 Little Impact	92	15%	11%	13%	7%	7%	56%	13%	17%	14%
	4/(DK)	45	7%	7%	8%	3%	4%	16%	8%	9%	6%
	5-7 Significant Impact	488	78%	82%	78%	90%	88%	29%	79%	74%	80%
Impact: Helping local businesses deal with public safety concerns	1-3 Little Impact	55	9%	5%	6%	11%	9%	25%	9%	9%	8%
	4/(DK)	56	9%	10%	8%	7%	4%	21%	7%	10%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	514	82%	85%	86%	82%	87%	53%	84%	81%	82%
Impact: Addressing property crime like theft and car break-ins	1-3 Little Impact	71	11%	8%	10%	7%	7%	39%	9%	12%	12%
	4/(DK)	61	10%	12%	11%	2%	9%	15%	14%	11%	6%
	5-7 Significant Impact	494	79%	80%	79%	91%	84%	45%	77%	77%	82%

		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
						Party/Gender				Region (2023)	
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Reducing carbon emissions and climate pollution	1-3 Little Impact	216	35%	26%	25%	67%	50%	9%	35%	32%	36%
	4/(DK)	75	12%	11%	15%	6%	13%	13%	14%	12%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	334	53%	63%	60%	27%	37%	77%	52%	56%	53%
Impact: Maintaining bridges and infrastructure	1-3 Little Impact	39	6%	6%	7%	9%	6%	-	6%	6%	7%
	4/(DK)	46	7%	8%	7%	7%	12%	2%	4%	7%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	540	86%	86%	86%	84%	82%	98%	90%	87%	84%
Impact: Addressing racially biased policing	1-3 Little Impact	157	25%	13%	15%	56%	46%	10%	27%	21%	27%
	4/(DK)	74	12%	16%	11%	11%	15%	1%	13%	10%	12%
	5-7 Significant Impact	394	63%	71%	74%	33%	39%	89%	60%	69%	61%
Impact: Making Seattle a good place to do business	1-3 Little Impact	81	13%	10%	10%	13%	8%	42%	12%	16%	11%
	4/(DK)	61	10%	4%	13%	10%	8%	15%	11%	7%	11%
	5-7 Significant Impact	483	77%	86%	78%	77%	84%	42%	76%	77%	78%
Impact: Addressing violent crime and gun violence	1-3 Little Impact	70	11%	7%	13%	9%	8%	28%	11%	13%	10%
	4/(DK)	40	6%	6%	7%	6%	5%	9%	4%	8%	7%
	5-7 Significant Impact	515	82%	88%	81%	85%	88%	62%	85%	79%	83%
Impact: Investing in more public transit	1-3 Little Impact	143	23%	14%	16%	49%	34%	6%	27%	14%	26%
	4/(DK)	87	14%	17%	15%	10%	16%	7%	18%	12%	13%
	5-7 Significant Impact	395	63%	69%	69%	41%	50%	86%	55%	74%	62%
Impact: Building more affordable housing	1-3 Little Impact	122	19%	15%	10%	42%	34%	3%	24%	15%	19%
	4/(DK)	62	10%	8%	10%	16%	14%	1%	13%	7%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	441	71%	77%	80%	43%	52%	96%	63%	78%	71%
Impact: Addressing organized retail theft	1-3 Little Impact	122	20%	17%	20%	11%	11%	57%	17%	25%	18%
	4/(DK)	67	11%	11%	11%	4%	15%	17%	16%	9%	9%
	5-7 Significant Impact	436	70%	73%	69%	85%	74%	26%	67%	67%	73%

		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
				Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Impact: Hiring more police	1-3 Little Impact	173	28%	27%	26%	17%	13%	76%	24%	32%	27%
officers	4/(DK)	64	10%	11%	15%	6%	6%	3%	10%	10%	10%
	5-7 Significant Impact	387	62%	61%	59%	77%	81%	21%	65%	58%	63%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Much too high	199	32%	23%	24%	60%	42%	16%	39%	25%	31%
of services the city provides	Somewhat too high	160	26%	26%	27%	21%	34%	15%	22%	28%	26%
	Too low	55	9%	10%	8%	3%	5%	26%	9%	11%	7%
	About right	200	32%	39%	38%	14%	18%	41%	29%	32%	34%
	(Don't know)	12	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%
Taxes in Seattle given the level	Too high	358	57%	49%	52%	81%	76%	31%	62%	53%	57%
of services the city provides	About right/(DK)	212	34%	41%	40%	17%	19%	43%	30%	36%	36%
	Too low	55	9%	10%	8%	3%	5%	26%	9%	11%	7%
	Net Too high	+304	+49	+39	+44	+78	+71	+5	+53	+42	+50
Safety in your neighborhood	Much less safe	209	33%	27%	32%	49%	45%	11%	37%	28%	35%
compared to 2 years ago	Somewhat less safe	164	26%	24%	31%	26%	21%	23%	28%	26%	25%
	More safe	55	9%	15%	6%	6%	3%	14%	8%	10%	8%
	(About the same)	189	30%	32%	30%	20%	29%	50%	25%	34%	31%
	(Don't know/Refused)	8	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Safety in your neighborhood	Less safe	373	60%	51%	63%	75%	66%	34%	66%	53%	60%
compared to 2 years ago	(About the same/DK)	197	32%	34%	31%	20%	31%	52%	26%	36%	32%
	More safe	55	9%	15%	6%	6%	3%	14%	8%	10%	8%
	Net Less safe	+318	+51	+36	+57	+69	+63	+20	+57	+43	+52
Support: Continue to close	Strongly support	366	59%	53%	56%	77%	73%	25%	61%	55%	59%
homeless encampments once	Somewhat support	144	23%	32%	27%	12%	16%	16%	23%	21%	25%
people have been offered	Somewhat oppose	62	10%	10%	12%	5%	6%	19%	10%	13%	7%
shelter and services, even if it	Strongly oppose	50	8%	5%	5%	6%	5%	38%	5%	10%	8%
means those who refuse help	(Don't know/Refused)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	-	1%	1%
will be displaced											

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Support: Create more affordable housing, update Seattle's zoning laws and expedite permitting to allow for more density across the city, even if it means there will be more duplexes and	Strongly support	251	40%	44%	44%	21%	27%	71%	36%	49%	37%
	Somewhat support	170	27%	28%	30%	26%	26%	22%	29%	24%	28%
	Somewhat oppose	89	14%	10%	17%	18%	16%	3%	14%	17%	13%
	Strongly oppose	110	18%	17%	9%	34%	31%	3%	20%	9%	22%
	(Don't know/Refused)	5	1%	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	-	2%	1%
Support: Continue to close homeless encampments once people have been offered shelter and services, even if it means those who refuse help	Support	510	82%	85%	83%	89%	90%	41%	84%	76%	84%
	Oppose	112	18%	15%	17%	11%	10%	57%	16%	24%	15%
	(DK/Ref)	3	0%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	-	1%	1%
	Net Support	+398	+64	+70	+66	+78	+79	-16	+68	+52	+69
Support: Create more affordable housing, update Seattle's zoning laws and expedite permitting to allow for more density across the city, even if it means there	Support	421	67%	72%	73%	47%	53%	93%	66%	72%	65%
	Oppose	199	32%	27%	26%	52%	47%	6%	34%	26%	34%
	(DK/Ref)	5	1%	1%	0%	1%	-	1%	-	2%	1%
	Net Support	+221	+35	+45	+47	-5	+5	+86	+32	+46	+31
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Very concerned	174	28%	21%	26%	36%	32%	30%	31%	22%	29%
	Somewhat concerned	226	36%	32%	37%	34%	47%	36%	38%	35%	35%
	Not too concerned	164	26%	36%	27%	17%	14%	29%	20%	31%	27%
	Not at all concerned	61	10%	12%	9%	12%	7%	5%	10%	11%	8%
	(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Concern about personal financial situation given current economic conditions	Concerned	400	64%	52%	64%	71%	79%	66%	70%	58%	64%
	Not concerned	225	36%	48%	36%	29%	21%	34%	30%	42%	36%
	(DK/Ref)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Net Concerned	+174	+28	+5	+27	+41	+59	+31	+39	+15	+29

		n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
						Party/Gender				Region (2023)	
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Downtown Seattle economy	1 – Very pessimistic	136	22%	13%	17%	41%	30%	15%	22%	19%	23%
sentiment	2	181	29%	28%	35%	25%	31%	15%	27%	30%	29%
	3	221	35%	38%	34%	27%	34%	53%	37%	33%	36%
	4	60	10%	13%	11%	5%	3%	11%	9%	13%	8%
	5 – Very optimistic	20	3%	7%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%	4%	2%
	(Don't know/Refused)	7	1%	1%	1%	0%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%
	Mean	618	2.43	2.73	2.45	1.99	2.15	2.71	2.43	2.53	2.36
Downtown Seattle economy	1-2 Pessimistic	318	51%	41%	52%	66%	60%	30%	50%	49%	53%
sentiment	3/(DK)	228	36%	39%	35%	27%	35%	56%	38%	33%	37%
	4-5 Optimistic	80	13%	20%	13%	6%	5%	14%	12%	17%	10%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Once a week or more	187	30%	36%	29%	28%	33%	19%	34%	36%	23%
frequency (non-work)	A few times a month	241	39%	35%	39%	44%	34%	43%	39%	40%	38%
	A few times a year	116	19%	17%	21%	15%	19%	21%	15%	12%	25%
	Rarely	51	8%	6%	8%	10%	9%	9%	10%	6%	9%
	Never	19	3%	4%	2%	3%	4%	5%	1%	5%	4%
	(Refused)	10	2%	2%	2%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%
Pre-pandemic downtown visit	Weekly	187	30%	36%	29%	28%	33%	19%	34%	36%	23%
frequency (non-work)	Few times a month	241	39%	35%	39%	44%	34%	43%	39%	40%	38%
	Less often	197	31%	29%	33%	29%	33%	38%	26%	25%	39%
Current downtown visit	Much more often	15	2%	3%	3%	1%	-	3%	1%	3%	3%
frequency compared to pre-	Somewhat more often	22	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	12%	2%	4%	4%
pandemic	About the same	152	24%	31%	22%	20%	15%	36%	18%	32%	23%
	Somewhat less often	106	17%	17%	20%	13%	17%	15%	18%	16%	17%
	Much less often	318	51%	43%	51%	63%	63%	31%	59%	41%	52%
	(Don't know/Refused)	12	2%	3%	2%	0%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Current downtown visit	More often	37	6%	6%	6%	4%	3%	15%	3%	7%	7%
frequency compared to pre-	Same/(DK/Ref)	164	26%	34%	24%	20%	17%	39%	20%	36%	24%
pandemic	Less often	424	68%	60%	70%	76%	80%	46%	77%	57%	69%
	Net More often	-387	-62	-55	-64	-72	-77	-31	-74	-50	-61
Duration of residency	<2 years	19	3%	5%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	7%	1%
	2-5 years	81	13%	12%	12%	11%	5%	33%	11%	17%	12%
	6-10 years	89	14%	14%	14%	10%	18%	19%	12%	17%	14%
	11-20 years	92	15%	20%	13%	11%	13%	16%	13%	14%	16%
	>20 years	341	55%	50%	56%	66%	62%	29%	61%	45%	57%
Duration of residency	1-10 years	190	30%	31%	30%	23%	25%	55%	25%	41%	27%
	11-20 years	92	15%	20%	13%	11%	13%	16%	13%	14%	16%
	>20 years	343	55%	50%	57%	66%	62%	29%	62%	45%	57%
Party	Strong Democrat	231	37%	56%	64%	-	-	-	37%	38%	36%
	Not very strong Democrat	88	14%	24%	22%	-	-	-	13%	16%	14%
	Independent, closer to Democratic party	69	11%	20%	14%	-	-	9%	10%	12%	10%
	Independent	69	11%	-	-	38%	31%	5%	9%	11%	12%
	Independent, closer to Republican party	31	5%	-	-	17%	16%	-	8%	4%	4%
	Not very strong Republican	13	2%	-	-	7%	6%	-	1%	1%	3%
	Strong Republican	19	3%	-	-	12%	7%	-	4%	3%	2%
	Socialist	44	7%	-	-	-	-	79%	6%	7%	8%
	(Something else/Don't know/Refused)	63	10%	-	-	26%	41%	7%	12%	9%	10%
Party	Socialist	44	7%	-	-	-	-	79%	6%	7%	8%
	Democrat	388	62%	100%	100%	-	-	9%	60%	66%	60%
	Independent	131	21%	-	-	64%	71%	12%	21%	20%	22%
	Republican	63	10%	-	-	36%	29%	-	13%	7%	10%

				Party/Gender				Region (2023)		
	n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases	625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent		100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Ideology 1 – Very liberal	113	18%	16%	21%	1%	3%	69%	20%	18%	17%
2	102	16%	23%	22%	5%	4%	14%	12%	18%	18%
3	165	26%	41%	34%	12%	8%	8%	25%	28%	26%
4	118	19%	15%	16%	34%	25%	-	19%	18%	20%
5	54	9%	2%	4%	20%	27%	1%	11%	6%	9%
6	22	4%	1%	1%	12%	6%	-	3%	6%	2%
7 – Very conservative	17	3%	2%	1%	6%	9%	-	3%	2%	3%
(Don't know/Refused)	34	6%	1%	1%	11%	20%	8%	7%	4%	5%
Mean	591	3.06	2.72	2.68	4.39	4.52	1.37	3.11	3.00	3.07
Ideology Liberal	379	61%	80%	77%	18%	14%	91%	57%	64%	61%
Moderate	153	24%	16%	17%	44%	45%	8%	26%	22%	25%
Conservative	93	15%	4%	6%	37%	41%	1%	17%	13%	14%
Homeowner Homeowner	313	50%	48%	53%	53%	61%	22%	55%	38%	55%
Renter	313	50%	52%	47%	47%	39%	78%	45%	62%	45%
Ethnicity White or Caucasian	416	67%	67%	74%	56%	61%	62%	62%	71%	67%
African American or Black	25	4%	4%	5%	4%	1%	4%	8%	2%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	5%	6%	7%	7%	6%	9%	4%	6%
Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	10%	8%	6%	8%	6%	6%	9%	9%
Something else	44	7%	9%	4%	9%	5%	10%	5%	8%	8%
(Refused)	53	8%	4%	2%	18%	18%	12%	10%	6%	9%
Ethnicity White or Caucasian	416	67%	67%	74%	56%	61%	62%	62%	71%	67%
African American or Black	25	4%	4%	5%	4%	1%	4%	8%	2%	2%
Hispanic or Latino	38	6%	5%	6%	7%	7%	6%	9%	4%	6%
Asian or Pacific Islander	50	8%	10%	8%	6%	8%	6%	6%	9%	9%
Another ethnicity/(Ref)	96	15%	13%	7%	27%	23%	22%	14%	15%	17%

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Ethnicity	White	416	67%	67%	74%	56%	61%	62%	62%	71%	67%
	POC	156	25%	29%	23%	26%	20%	26%	28%	23%	25%
	(Ref)	53	8%	4%	2%	18%	18%	12%	10%	6%	9%
Age	18-29	118	19%	19%	17%	16%	16%	34%	16%	20%	20%
	30-39	157	25%	24%	24%	20%	28%	39%	24%	28%	24%
	40-49	110	18%	19%	19%	21%	15%	6%	19%	17%	17%
	50-64	125	20%	17%	23%	21%	22%	12%	22%	18%	20%
	65+	115	18%	20%	17%	22%	18%	10%	19%	17%	19%
Two-Age Split	18-39	275	44%	44%	41%	36%	44%	73%	40%	48%	44%
	40+	350	56%	56%	59%	64%	56%	27%	60%	52%	56%
Generation	18-39	275	44%	44%	41%	36%	44%	73%	40%	48%	44%
	40-64	235	38%	36%	42%	42%	37%	17%	41%	35%	37%
	65+	115	18%	20%	17%	22%	18%	10%	19%	17%	19%
Education	Some grade school	2	0%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	1%
	Some high school	3	1%	2%	0%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%
	Graduated high school	21	3%	4%	2%	5%	4%	2%	2%	3%	4%
	Technical/vocational school	12	2%	2%	1%	3%	4%	-	3%	3%	0%
	Some college/<4-year degree	91	14%	13%	12%	21%	14%	17%	17%	11%	15%
	Graduated college/4-year degree	264	42%	37%	46%	42%	36%	52%	39%	42%	44%
	Graduate/professional degree	222	36%	42%	39%	25%	36%	26%	35%	39%	34%
	(Refused)	10	2%	-	0%	4%	4%	3%	2%	2%	1%
Education	<4-year degree	139	22%	21%	15%	34%	28%	22%	26%	19%	22%
	4-year degree+	486	78%	79%	85%	66%	72%	78%	74%	81%	78%
Education	Less than college	139	22%	21%	15%	34%	28%	22%	26%	19%	22%
	Graduated college	264	42%	37%	46%	42%	36%	52%	39%	42%	44%
	Graduate/professional degree	222	36%	42%	39%	25%	36%	26%	35%	39%	34%

			Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
	n	%	D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases	625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent		100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Gender — Male	303	48%	100%	-	100%	-	45%	47%	51%	48%
Female	309	49%	-	100%	-	100%	30%	51%	47%	50%
Non-binary	9	1%	-	-	-	-	17%	1%	2%	1%
(Refused)	4	1%	-	-	-	-	7%	2%	0%	0%
Region (2023) — South	178	29%	28%	28%	28%	35%	26%	100%	-	-
Central	178	29%	31%	30%	27%	19%	32%	-	100%	-
North	269	43%	41%	43%	45%	46%	42%	-	-	100%
City Council District (2023) — 1	97	16%	17%	13%	17%	17%	15%	54%	-	-
2	81	13%	11%	14%	11%	18%	11%	46%	-	-
3	97	16%	13%	19%	11%	10%	25%	-	54%	-
4	78	13%	14%	11%	9%	15%	17%	-	-	29%
5	91	15%	12%	15%	19%	18%	9%	-	-	34%
6	100	16%	16%	16%	17%	13%	16%	-	-	37%
7	81	13%	18%	11%	16%	8%	7%	-	46%	-
Region (pre-2023) — South	172	28%	27%	27%	26%	34%	26%	97%	-	-
Central	186	30%	32%	30%	31%	19%	31%	3%	97%	2%
North	267	43%	41%	42%	43%	47%	44%	-	3%	98%
City Council District (pre-2023) — 1	94	15%	16%	13%	17%	16%	15%	53%	-	-
2	78	13%	11%	14%	9%	18%	11%	44%	-	-
3	98	16%	14%	19%	13%	9%	24%	3%	52%	-
4	77	12%	14%	11%	9%	15%	16%	-	3%	27%
5	83	13%	11%	14%	15%	16%	9%	-	-	31%
6	106	17%	16%	17%	18%	16%	18%	-	-	40%
7	88	14%	18%	12%	18%	10%	7%	1%	45%	2%
Vote History (PG22 PG20 PG18) — 0-3/6	325	52%	49%	45%	67%	58%	47%	50%	55%	52%
4-5/6	163	26%	23%	31%	17%	26%	34%	30%	25%	24%
6/6	138	22%	28%	24%	16%	16%	19%	20%	20%	24%

		n	%	Party/Gender					Region (2023)		
				D Male	D Female	R/I Male	R/I Female	Other	South	Central	North
Number of cases		625		162	221	116	71	55	178	178	269
Row percent			100%	26%	35%	19%	11%	9%	29%	29%	43%
Gender/Generation	M 18-39	130	21%	44%	-	36%	-	31%	19%	22%	21%
	M 40-64	112	18%	36%	-	42%	-	10%	20%	17%	17%
	M 65+	61	10%	20%	-	22%	-	5%	7%	11%	11%
	F 18-39	136	22%	-	41%	-	44%	25%	18%	24%	22%
	F 40-64	120	19%	-	42%	-	37%	3%	21%	17%	20%
	F 65+	52	8%	-	17%	-	18%	2%	12%	5%	8%
	Other	13	2%	-	-	-	-	24%	2%	3%	2%
Party/Gender	D Male	162	26%	100%	-	-	-	-	26%	28%	25%
	D Female	221	35%	-	100%	-	-	-	34%	37%	35%
	R/I Male	116	19%	-	-	100%	-	-	18%	18%	19%
	R/I Female	71	11%	-	-	-	100%	-	14%	8%	12%
	Other	55	9%	-	-	-	-	100%	8%	10%	9%