Employment Taxes May Reduce Jobs Overall, Disproportionately Impact Lower Wage Earners, and Exacerbate the Causes of Homelessness

The City of Seattle is briskly moving forward with a process to address homelessness. Various forms of an employment tax have emerged as potential revenue sources to fund additional housing investments. The proposed tax would apply to businesses grossing more than $20 million a year (earned within Seattle) and would cost businesses approximately $500 per year per full-time employee.

Underlying the policy decisions, there remain basic questions of efficient tax policy that should be addressed:

- How will businesses respond to the tax, and how will that response affect revenue projections?
- Would the tax be resilient to recession and fluctuations in economic growth?
- Is the tax fair? Is there an appropriate nexus between the policy goal (i.e. reduced homelessness) and the tax mechanism?
- Is the tax efficient? Does the tax distort or create incentives and/or disincentives for firms that are (or are not) subject to the tax?
- Who would ultimately bear the cost of the tax? Does the tax generate important equity effects that need to be addressed?

We find that an employment tax may disproportionately impact lower wage earners and exacerbate the root causes of homelessness. Alternative tax strategies, like an increase in property taxes across the region, should be considered to meet the goals of the policy and the conditions of efficient taxation.

EMPLOYEE AND PAYROLL TAX EFFECTS ON JOB GROWTH

Local tax policies change the operating costs for firms and can influence the economic competitiveness of a jurisdiction. Although competition does occur regionally, public policy decisions, such as changes in local taxes, can have a strong influence on business location decisions between jurisdictions where a firm is able to retain access to the same workforce while avoiding the tax incidence. This affect is more likely to be apparent in industries where the operations or employees do not face high moving costs resulting in slower rates of employment growth within the taxed jurisdiction.

EMPLOYEE AND PAYROLL TAX EFFECTS ON EMPLOYMENT INEQUALITY

An employment tax will increase labor costs for firms, and these costs may be disproportionately born by low-wage, low-margin jobs. Although mass layoffs from the proposed tax are unlikely, the labor costs of adding additional employees are regularly considered by firms, large and small. At the margin, increasing the cost of employment can have implications on whether, or where, a firm will hire new employees. These effects will likely be more evident at the low end of the wage scale, compared to high-wage jobs. The implication is that lower wage jobs—those most likely to employ people with lower educational attainment—will be most affected by the proposed tax.
Job growth should be a targeted policy goal, both generally and as an opportunity to alleviate homelessness in Seattle and King County. Increasing taxes on labor (by headcount or payroll) may dampen the ability of Seattle to achieve its intended policy goals.

**JOB LOSS IS THE LARGEST REPORTED CAUSE OF HOMELESSNESS IN KING COUNTY**

While taxing businesses may raise revenue, the method of doing so may be at cross purposes for addressing the root causes of homelessness in King County. Findings from the 2017 King County Point-in-Time Count of Persons Experiencing Homelessness (conducted by All Home on behalf of Seattle/King County and the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development) provide information to help better understand the homeless experience in the area.

Three important findings are worth bringing forward. First, the majority of people (77%) in the homeless count lived in King County prior to becoming homeless. Second, job loss is the single largest reported cause of homelessness in King County, with about one in three reporting job loss as the single most important reason for homelessness (Exhibit 1). Third, the homeless population has a higher risk of unemployment due to lower educational attainment, with 26 percent of respondents having less than a high school diploma, compared to 12 percent for King County residents overall.

**THE LARGEST FIRMS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR A LARGER SHARE OF JOB GROWTH**

Taxes aimed at taxing a certain segment of larger businesses should consider the employment effects. Very large firms are a major source of employment and economic activity in Seattle. According to the U.S. Census’ Business Dynamics Statistics, the largest firms in the Seattle area (more than 10,000 employees) employed 28% of employees, but were responsible for 33% of net job creation.

**LARGE FIRMS EMPLOY INDIVIDUALS ACROSS THE WAGE SPECTRUM**

Large firms in Seattle employ individuals in both low and high paying positions. The figure below shows the distribution of wages for jobs in large firms (more than 1,000 employees) in the Seattle MSA. If the proposed employment tax was applied on a fixed, per-employee basis, jobs at the lower end of the wage distribution (identified by red bars in the figure) would become relatively more expensive to employers than high-wage jobs. These jobs make up 14.5% of the jobs in large firms.
The location decisions of individual firms can have large economic impacts. A decision by Amazon not to create 7,000 new jobs in Seattle could result in a $3.5 billion loss in regional economic output.

ECONOMIC IMPACTS OF INDIVIDUAL FIRM DECISIONS

On May 2, 2018, Amazon announced that it would pause two planned expansions in downtown Seattle pending the outcome of the City Council vote on the proposed head tax. The affected projects had been expected to accommodate an estimated 7,000-8,000 new Amazon employees. However, the effect of that decision is not limited to those Amazon jobs.

The decision to add a single job has direct effects (those associated with payroll and employment), indirect effects (goods and services purchased from other businesses), and induced effects (downstream effects for businesses in the region). A preliminary analysis is that relocating 7,000 jobs—assuming 3,000 software engineers and 4,000 business support employees—from Seattle to other regions could result in an annualized loss of $3.5 billion in total economic output for the Seattle metro region. The loss of jobs and economic productivity will also have large impact on government tax collections used to fund public services.

AMAZON IS ONLY PART OF THE STORY

Amazon is only one of about 500 firms that would be directly affected by the proposed head tax. Although a large presence in Seattle, Amazon accounts for only about one quarter of total jobs at Seattle’s very large firms (those with more than 10,000 employees in Seattle). These large firms tend to have offices and capabilities in multiple locations, and can geographically shift job growth fairly seamlessly.

If these other large firms make a similar set of decisions as Amazon to avoid the costs of the proposed tax, more than 15,000 new jobs could be re-directed to locations outside of Seattle, directly inhibiting local job growth. The indirect economic impacts of this effect are potentially dramatic. Each of these jobs support economic activity throughout the economy, and this decline in labor and income in Seattle can have a ripple effect through all the other jobs and industries that they support.

---

### Estimated Annual Regional Economic Impact of Amazon Not Creating 7,000 Jobs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct effect</th>
<th>Indirect effect</th>
<th>Induced effect</th>
<th>Total effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7,000 fewer jobs at Amazon</td>
<td>2,100 fewer jobs at firms that do business with Amazon</td>
<td>5,200 fewer jobs due to reduced economic activity</td>
<td>14,300 total jobs lost to the region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$908 million loss in worker compensation from Amazon jobs</td>
<td>$185 million loss in compensation at firms that do business with Amazon</td>
<td>$289 million loss in compensation due to reduced economic activity</td>
<td>$1.3 billion loss in regional worker compensation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>